Chief Roi Mata's Domain

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Chief Roi Mata's Domain

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name Coordinates		Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year	
Chief Roi Mata's Domain -17.628 / 168.178		886.31	1275.42	2161.73	2008	
Total (ha)		886.31	1275.42	2161.73		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Chief Roi Mata's Domain, Map of the inscribed property	2007	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 1 of 50

2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The continuing cultural landscape of Chief Roi Mata's domain, Vanuatu, has Outstanding Universal Value as an outstanding example of a landscape representative of Pacific chiefly systems. This is reflected in the interaction of people with their environment over time in respecting the tangible remains associated with Roi Mata and being guided by the spiritual and moral legacy of his social reforms. The landscape reflects continuing Pacific chiefly systems and respect for this authority through tabu prohibitions on use of Roi Mata's residence and burial that have been observed for over 400 years and structured the local landscape and social practices. The landscape memorialises the deeds of Roi Mata who still lives for many people in contemporary Vanuatu as a source of power and inspiration.

Criterion (iii): Chief Roi Mata's Domain is a continuing cultural landscape reflecting the way chiefs derive their authority from previous title holders, and in particular how the tabu prohibitions on the use of Roi Mata's residence and burial site have been observed for 400 years and continue to structure the local landscape and social practices.

Criterion (v): Chief Roi Mata's Domain is an outstanding example of a landscape representative of Pacific chiefly systems and the connection between Pacific people and their environment over time reflected in respect for the tangible remains of the three key sites associated with Roi Mata, guided by the spiritual and moral legacy of his social reforms.

Criterion (vi): Chief Roi Mata's Domain still lives for many people in contemporary Vanuatu, as a source of power evident through the landscape and as an inspiration for people negotiating their lives.

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 2 of 50

The authenticity of Chief Roi Mata's Domain lies in the continuing association of the landscape with the oral traditions of Roi Mata, continuity of chiefly systems of authority and customary respect for the tangible remains of his life evident in the continuing tabu prohibitions on these places.

he legal protection of the nominated areas and their buffer zones are adequate. The overall management system for the property is adequate, involving both traditional management through the chiefly system and tabu prohibitions and government legislation for protection of the site. The management system involves the local community and government administrative bodies. The integrity of the site is thus maintained.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1					
3.2.2					
3.2.3					
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 3 of 50

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant			
Notorum	Not relevant			

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 4 of 50

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×			

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 5 of 50

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×		×	×			P

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×			

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 6 of 50

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	relevant
----------	----------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 7 of 50

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×		×					

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

※ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×				

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	gin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 8 of 50

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×			
Negative X	×			×			

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×			
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×	×				

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 10 of 50

Relevant × Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×				

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×	×	×			

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×				

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 11 of 50

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×				

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative									

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 12 of 50

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×	S			
		×		×				

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×				
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin	Origin Trend of i		impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative X		×		×				

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of		of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×					
Negative								

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 13 of 50

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.42.0. Diagon comment on passagery on how the factors	colocted as valeyant in 4.42 are affecting the preparty sither possible as

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9		•		\rightarrow
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities	O	9		•		\rightarrow
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters						
		9			Œ	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
		9		•	Œ	7
4.5.3 Land conversion						
			9	•	Œ	
4.5.5 Crop production	O	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	O	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	O	9		•		
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	•	9		•	G	\rightarrow
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.7 Pests						

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 14 of 50

				•		
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		q		•		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	A		•	G	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	(A		@	F	→
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	•	q		@		
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	•	q		()	₹	
		4			(G	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O	•		@		
		•				
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	A			æ	
4.0.0 impacts of fourishin visitation interregularity		-1			G	
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage		~	~3		-	
		9	9		G	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			9	•		
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events			9	•		
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake			9	•		
		9	9	•		
		9	9			
4.11.2 Earthquake		q	9		Œ	
4.11.2 Earthquake	•	q		•	Œ	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave	•	q		•	Œ	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave		q	q	•	Œ	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide		q	q	•	Œ	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species		q	q	•	Œ	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	•		q	•	G	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	•		q	•	E	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	•	q	q	0	&	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species	•	q	q	0	· · ·	
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species 4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	9	q	9 9		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species 4.13 Management and institutional factors		9	9	9 9	·	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species 4.13.1 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	9	0 0		\[\rightarrow \]
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species 4.13.1 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	9	0 0		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework		9	9	0 0	\(\mathcal{G}\)	\ \ \ -
4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species 4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework		9	9	0 0	\(\mathcal{G}\)	→

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 15 of 50



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1.5 Interp	4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		9		•		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 16 of 50

Name	ame		Impact			Origin		
4.3.4 Localised utilities		O	9		•		\rightarrow	
0 41								
	le - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact		Impact		pact		mpact		Origin			Trend
4.4.1 Pollution of marine waters												
		9			Œ							

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 17 of 50

	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.1 Fishi	5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
			q		•	Œ	-
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 18 of 50

×	Static
	Increasing

	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion					
			9	•	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.5.5 Crop production		A		•	Œ	→

Static Increasing

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 19 of 50

	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.7 Subs	stence wild plant collection	O	9	•	(→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 20 of 50

×	Static
	Increasing

		increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
	4.5.9 Subsistence hunting		•		•		
	Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	×	Restricted					
		Localised					
		Extensive					
		Widespread					
	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
		One off or rare					
		Intermittent or sporadic					
	×	Frequent					
		On-going					
	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	×	Insignificant					
		Minor					
		Significant					
		Major					
	Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
		High capacity					
	×	Medium capacity					
		Low capacity					
		No capacity and / or resources					

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	O	A		•	G	\rightarrow

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 21 of 50

	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.7.7 Pests							
			q		•		
Spatial scale -	- Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
× L	ocalised						
E	Extensive						
٧	Videspread						
Temporal scal	le - Occurence of the impact						
C	One off or rare						
Ir	ntermittent or sporadic						
× F	requent						
C	On-going						
Impact - Impa	ct on the attributes						
Ir	nsignificant						
×	Ainor						
S	Significant						
N	Aajor						
Management i	response - Capacity of management to respond						
Н	digh capacity						
N	fledium capacity						
×	ow capacity						
	lo capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Develo	opement over the last 6 years						

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 22 of 50

	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.8 Micro-	organisms				
			9	•	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritua	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9		•	Œ	→	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 23 of 50

	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
^	Significant					
	Major					
Managomo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
Wanageme	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
^	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trond - Do	velopement over the last 6 years					
Trella - De	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	t	Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ety's valuing of heritage	•	q	•	Œ	\Rightarrow
Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial sca	Restricted					
	Restricted Localised					
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact One off or rare					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going npact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going npact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermoder - Capacity of management to respond High capacity					
X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermittent or sporadic to respond High capacity Medium capacity					
X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going npact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intermoder - Capacity of management to respond High capacity					

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 24 of 50

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
		0	q	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chang	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	•	4	•	G	
			q		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 25 of 50

	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		A		
			-	•	
			-1	3)	
	le - Area affected by the factor		-1	3	
	le - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic				
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent				
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going				
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes				
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant				
X Temporal s Impact - Im	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor				
X Temporal s Impact - Im	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant				
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major				
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond				
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity				

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 26 of 50

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9		F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.9 Other human activities

Name	e		Impact			Origin	
4.9.2 Delibe	erate destruction of heritage						
			9	9		Œ	
Snatial sea	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 27 of 50

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact	į		Origin	٦	Trend
4.10.1 Sto	1.10.1 Storms						
				9	•		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 28 of 50

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Eart	4.11.2 Earthquake					
			9		•	
Snatial sea	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave						
			9	•	©	

Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 29 of 50

	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going .				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name	anche/Landslide	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Avai	ancher Lanusiue		q	<i>(</i> 2)	
			-1	•	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 30 of 50

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	me			Origin	Trend	
4.12.2 Inva	12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species					
			4	•		
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal :	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.12.4 Invasive/Alien marine species						
		9		•		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9		•	Œ	•
				9		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 32 of 50

	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	4.13.2 Legal framework		9	•	F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gove	ernance			((P)	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Wan	agement activities	•	9	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 34 of 50

	Major									
Management response - Capacity of management to respond										
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									
Name		Impact	_		Origin		Trend			
4.13.5 Finan	cial resources		4	9	•	E E	→			
				•						
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
	Localised									
×	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Temporal so	ale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare									
	Intermittent or sporadic									
×	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact - Imp	eact on the attributes									
	Insignificant									
	Minor									
×	Significant									
	Major									
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	O 9			•	

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 35 of 50

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	•	9	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 36 of 50

	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1					
4.18.1.2					
4.18.1.3					
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are not known and recognised by the management authority or local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The land laws and ways of decision making within Vanuatu are complex yet complimentary. There are national laws governing land use as well as a traditional land tenure stewardship system. One issue is the land tenure system allows the land to be leased for seventy five year periods to foreign investors without adequate controls in place to protect cultural resources. The preservation order for the property is under legislation entitled Preservation of Sites and Artefacts Act (Chapter 39 of the Laws of the Republic of Vanuatu).

The CRMD Site is continues to have traditional protection, governed by the Lelema Council of Chiefs and the custom laws associated with their authority.

A Physical planning process is underway for gazettal in provincial legeslation providing further protection.

A Council of Ministers decision has been reached to protect the sites in the core area under the Preservation of Sites and Artefacts Act. Work is underway to gazette the sites as per the council decision.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional)

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 37 of 50

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There are land laws that provide protection at the national level, there is management plan that provides protection at a community level and then there is customary/traditional systems that are also used to provide protection within the community or household level. There are deficiencies in the link between the management plan and the land laws enacted in parliament as the Acts although provide little recognition, it is not adequate to efficiently provide efficient protection.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan of Management for Chief Roi Mata's Domain	In Force	Available	2007	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 38 of 50

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 39 of 50

5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status		×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×	

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	40 %	10 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	10 %	5 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	20 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	20 %	10 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	20 %	5 %
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 60 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
- 6.1.4 Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	%	%
6.1.6.2	Women	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

- 6.1.7 Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
- 6.1.8 Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	
Environmental sustainability	
Community participation and inclusion	
Risk preparedness	
Capacity development and education	
Administration	

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 40 of 50

Research and monitoring	
Awareness raising and public information/communication	
Marketing and promotion	
Interpretation	
Visitor management/tourism	
Enforcement (custodians, police)	

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	
Environmental sustainability	
Community participation and inclusion	
Risk preparedness	
Capacity development and education	
Administration	
Research and monitoring	
Awareness raising and public information/communication	
Marketing and promotion	
Interpretation	
Visitor management/tourism	
Enforcement (custodians, police)	

- 6.1.10 Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 41 of 50

Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Indigenous peoples	
Landowners	
Women	
Youth/children	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

74 / 160 / 110 / 85 / 70 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 42 of 50

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Approximately we charge a package fee of USD\$85.00/person which covers transport, tour around the 3 primary sites, a lunch buffet. And tourists usually spend an average of USD\$50.00 to USD\$100.00 on souvenirs.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Nο

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

roadside market vendors

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

members of the community are involved in different parts of the tour package - either through the food for the buffet covered in the package, market vendors, etc.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status 	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 44 of 50

8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	e select 1 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5	Biological resource use/modification					
4.5.1		Fishing/collecting aquatic resources				
4.5.3		Land conversion				
4.7	Local conditions affect	eting physical fabric				
4.7.7		Pests				
4.7.8		Micro-organisms				
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of	of heritage				
4.8.4		Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system				
4.9	Other human activities	s				
4.9.2		Deliberate destruction of heritage				
4.10	Climate change and se	evere weather events				
4.10.1		Storms				
4.11	Sudden ecological or g	geological events				
4.11.2		Earthquake				
4.13	Management and institutional factors					
4.13.1		Management system/Management plan				
4.13.5		Financial resources				

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 45 of 50

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

	-	agement Ne					
5.1	Boundarie	s and Buffer Zo	nes				
	A		Actions		Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.2	World Heritage property co are known by the su management authority rel but are not known by go local aw		community surrounding relevant sta government	raising among the of the CRMD and gommunities including keholders such as the t departments to be a buffer zone and the nt plan.	Before re-opening the borders	3 .	
5.2		Protecti	ve Measures				
5.2.4		An adeq legal framewo the buffe for maint the Outs Universa including condition Authentic and/or In of the W Heritage property but there some deficien impleme	ork in r zone aining tanding Il Value ls of city tegrity orld exists e are cies in	capacity building for the C	RMD management committee to ce of the OUV.	efficiently	
5.3		Manager	ment System	/Management Plan			
5.3.5		No use himade of a made of a Historic L Landscap Recomm to develo policies a practices protection property	the r Jrban r pe endation p and best for the		cument to integrate this into the (so the Lease process to recognize		
7		Scientific Stud	lies and Rese	earch Projects			
7.2		There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	and its C		ing needed to target maintenance	e of the site	
8			Education	on, Information and Aw	areness Building		
8.2			There is heritage education awarene program children youth, de an identiin need	on and ess nme for and/or espite			

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 46 of 50

9	Visitor Management
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted
10	Monitoring
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved
Summary - Management Needs completed	

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?
- 12.3.4 What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

- ${\bf 12.3.5 \text{-} Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.}$
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Very positive

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 47 of 50

International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Poor
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Poor

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 48 of 50

Local communities

Indigenous people

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	
National Commission for UNESCO	
ICOMOS International	
ICCROM International/regional	
ICOMOS national/regional	
IUCN national/regional	
IUCN International	

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 49 of 50

- 15.5.3 Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Chief Roi Mata's Domain 50 of 50