Protective town of San Miguel and the Sanctuary of Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Protective town of San Miguel and the Sanctuary of Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Protective town of San Miguel	20.914 / -100.746	43.26	40.05	83.31	
Sanctuary of Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco	21.005 / -100.794	0.75	4.4	5.15	
Total (ha)		44.01	44.45	88.46	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Sanctuary of Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco - inscribed property	2008	
Protective town of San Miguel - inscribed property	2008	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

N/A

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

^{1. 2.} Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Hasta ahora no hay eventualidades o fenómenos en los que se requieran temas de cooperación.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Patrimonio Inmaterial

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

Inmuebles de caracter civil y religioso que conforman el sitio, así como la relevancia de los hechos históricos por los cuales fueron reconocidos

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

San Miguel de Allende is an early example of a rational territorial and urban development in the Americas, related to the protection of one of the main Spanish inland roads. The town flourished in the 18th century with the construction of significant religious and civil architecture, which exhibits the evolution of different trends and styles, from Baroque to late 19th century Neo-Gothic. Urban mansions are exceptionally large and rich for a medium-size Latin American town and constitute an example of the transition from Baroque to Neo-Classic. The Sanctuary of Atotonilco is a remarkable architectural complex that illustrates a specific response, inspired by the doctrine of Saint Ignacio de Loyola. Its interior decoration, especially mural painting, makes the Sanctuary a masterpiece of Mexican Baroque. Both the town and the Sanctuary, intimately linked, played a significant role in the process of Mexican independence, with impacts throughout Latin America.

Criterion (ii): San Miguel de Allende constitutes an exceptional example of the interchange of human values; due to its location and functions, the town acted as a melting pot where Spaniards, Creoles and Amerindians exchanged cultural influences, something reflected in the tangible and intangible heritage. The Sanctuary of Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco constitutes an exceptional example of the cultural exchange between European and Latin American cultures; the architectural disposition and interior decoration testify to the interpretation and adaptation of the doctrine of Saint Ignacio de Loyola to this specific regional context.

Criterion (iv): San Miguel de Allende is an exceptional example of the integration of different architectural trends and styles on the basis of a 16th century urban layout. Religious and civil architecture exhibit the evolution of different styles, well integrated into a homogeneous urban landscape. Urban mansions are exceptionally large and rich for a medium-size Latin American town. The Sanctuary of Atotonilco is an outstanding example of a specific religious settlement, containing exceptional decoration that makes it a masterpiece of Mexican Baroque.

The required conditions of integrity and authenticity have been met; both the town and Sanctuary have been subject to few significant alterations over time, urban changes have been adapted to the town's features and scale, and restoration works have been carried out according to appropriate theoretical and technical principles.

The legal system in place ensures the adequate protection of the property and the town and the Sanctuary exhibit an acceptable state of conservation. Management policies, structures and plans in place are adequate to ensure the preservation of the property's values, integrity and authenticity.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Asentamiento humano en itinerario cultural	×			
3.2.2	Itinerario cultural		×		
3.2.3	Ciudad virreinal	×			
3.2.4	Arquitectura Civil	×			
3.2.5	Arquitectura Religiosa	×			
3.2.6	Ciudad viva	×			
3.2.7	Patrimonio natural	×			
3.2.8	Intergridad	×			
3.2.9	Tejido urbano integrador	×			
3.2.10	Traza urbana	×			
3.2.11	Fiestas religiosas patronales	×			
3.2.12	Santuario de Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco	×			
3.2.13	Paisaje histórico		×		
3.2.14	Casco urbano	×			
3.2.15	Autenticidad	×			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value En lo general, el estado de conservación es bueno.

- Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development
- 4.1.1 Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

On the subject of lodging; has increased by a very low percentage in the hotel industry, with an average of 3,800 rooms available, however, the particular system of temporary accommodation through digital platforms offers an average of 6,000 rooms available, so the total of rooms offered are 9,800 rooms. Commercial development revolves around the industry of restaurant-bar service providers and boutique hotels.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×		×			7
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The infrastructure of the land communication routes are the only means to reach the Site, since there are four road accesses, through which the bridge to the airports and main cities is established. There are no lakes, dams or seas nearby, so maritime transport is not relevant, since it is non-existent. There is no underground infrastructure network, so this non-existent means of communication is not relevant.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶		×	×				7
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	THE TOTAL THE TO

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×			\Rightarrow	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The infrastructure network for drinking water is permanently maintained for its optimization, considering it as a non-renewable resource. Likewise, activities are carried out to improve renewable energies in the electrical network.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×			×		→		

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant



4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

El único fenómeno que se presenta en la zona, refiere a que el abatimiento de los niveles de los mantos freáticos en la extracción de agua potable, algunos pozos en el municipio extraen aguas fósiles. Este fenómeno se presenta en la zona norte del municipio y demanda la redistribución de agua potable.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	ı	Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Sin información relevante.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							

○ Negative X
X

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×	×	×			7

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

El único fenómeno que se presenta en la zona, refiere a que el abatimiento de los niveles de los mantos freáticos en la extracción de agua potable, algunos pozos en el municipio extraen aguas fósiles. Este fenómeno se presenta en la zona norte del municipio y demanda la redistribución de agua potable.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The only factor to consider is the saturation caused by precipitation in the rainy season and natural runoff, since human settlements were established in the vicinity of water sources or springs in a territory with irregular topography. These natural factors generate the aforementioned saturation, however, an imminent or latent risk that puts the site at risk is not generated, however, it is a condition that must be addressed.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	¥ Net relevant
Notevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×			→	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×		×			→	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

En el tema de turismo, se presenta la saturación de espacios públicos, así como el cambio de usos de suelo para redensificar el centro de la ciudad, con viviendas en régimen en condominio.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No aplica en lo general, en lo particular el tema de turismo, deberá considerarse el trabajar el estudio de cargas turísticas para integración y aplicación en el plan de manejo.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		→	

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	v Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Not relevant

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×		×			→	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

El cambio climático, ha convertido el sitio en climas extremos, en dónde con lluvias es zona inundable y con sequías se vuelve extremádamente seco.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×		\Rightarrow	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Estos factores no son relevantes, sin embargo, deben ser tomados en consideración como fenómenos naturales que posíblemente pueden presentarse.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant


Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No relevante

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan



4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

Positive					
○ Negative X	×	×	×	\Rightarrow	

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on now the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

La transmisión de la cultura de forma directa se ha perdido, se realiza a través del apoyo de residentes extranjeros.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No relevantes

Relevant

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O		9	•		\rightarrow
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O		9		Œ	7
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	O		9	•		-
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
		9			Œ	\rightarrow
4.4.5 Solid waste	O	9			Œ	\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection	O		9	•		→

4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.2 Quarrying						
			9	•		7
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
			9	•	Œ	<i>P</i>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			q		F	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	(q	()	Œ	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•		a	•		_
4.6.2 decisty of valuing of normage			-1	9		
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
			4	()		→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
				•		\rightarrow
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9		•	(\rightarrow
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			A	@	F	→
4.10.2 Flooding						
			ø		E	→
4.10.6 Temperature change						
				•		⇒
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events		-,		9		
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			6	•	Œ.	→
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
			9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	O		9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.2 Legal framework	O		9	•		\rightarrow
4.13.3 Governance	O		9	•	G	→
4.13.4 Management activities	©		q	@	Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.5 Financial resources						
จ.เว.ง r manual resources			-0			
			9	•	(→

4.13.6 Human reso	ources				•	9	•		\rightarrow
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	Inside		Outside	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	i		Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Majo	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	(9	•	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impac	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•		q		Œ	-
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact Or		Origin	Trend
4.3.1 Wa	ter infrastructure	•	q	•	1
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				

High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity	
Low capacity	
N 8 17	
No capacity and / or resources	
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
Decreasing	
Static	
★ Increasing	

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		9		•		\rightarrow

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution			

			q		Œ	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	ppact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid	waste	•	9		F	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

4.3 61010	gical resource use/modification					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.5.6 Comm	4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection					→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor X Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare X Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity
Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Management response - Capacity of management to respond
X High capacity
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static
Increasing
Name Impact Origin Trend 4.6.4 Water (extraction)
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
* Localised
Extensive
Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic

Origin

Trend

Impact

	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact Origin			Trend		
4.7.6 Wate	r (rain/water table)						
				9		F	\Rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual	/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O		9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	•		9	•		\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

Localised
 Extensive
 Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going Control of the Control of t				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Chan	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system				
		_	_		
		•	q	•	→
	ale - Area affected by the factor	•	9	•	→
		•	9	•	→
	ale - Area affected by the factor		9	•	→
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor Restricted		q	•	→
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised		4	•	→
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive		9	•	→
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		q	•	
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		व	•	
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		व	•	→
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact Untermittent or sporadic		व	•	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact Une off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		व	•	
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		व	•	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		व	•	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant		व	•	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		व	•	
X Temporal s X	Ide - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		व	•	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		व	•	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Gazle - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interesponse - Capacity of management to respond		व		
X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interpose - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		व	•	

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
~	Increasing						
	increasing .						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
			q		•		→
0 411							
×	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted						
^	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		O	9		•	F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	emporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact	Impact Origin			Trend	
4.10.1 Storms							
				9	•	C	\rightarrow
Spatial sea	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

4.10.2 Flooding	Trend
	\rightarrow

			-1	G	_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change						
		P		•		\rightarrow

Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread

Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.2 Eart	4.11.2 Earthquake						
				9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
**	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.5 Eros	sion and siltation/Deposition					
			q	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Static Increasing

Localised

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•		9	•	(\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name	al framework	Impact	m	Origin	Trend
	al framework	Impact ③	9	Origin	Trend →
	al framework		9		
4.13.2 Lega	al framework le - Area affected by the factor		4		
4.13.2 Lega			9		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor		q		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted		व		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised		q		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive		q		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		q		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact		q		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread icale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		q		
4.13.2 Lega	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic		q		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		q		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		q		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes		q		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant		q		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		q		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		q		

	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	•	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	•	9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					

	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	ncial resources	Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4. 13.3 1 IIIa	iola resources			9	@	Œ	→
					3	G	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						

×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact	~	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	9	•	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Does no apply

- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost

4.18.1.1	Human Settlement in Cultural Route	×		
4.18.1.2	Cultural Route		×	
4.18.1.3	viceregal city	×		
4.18.1.4	Civil architecture	×		
4.18.1.5	Religious architecture	×		

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Se requiere hacer trabajos de difusión para los temas limítrofes de la zona demarcada en la población y los propietarios del territorio.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The historic centre of San Miguel de Allende is protected at federal level by a 1982 executive order, in the framework of the 1972 Federal Law on Archaeological, Artistic and Historic Zones and Monuments. According to the Mexican Law, the area has been declared "Historic Monuments Zone". The Sanctuary of Jesus Nazareno de Atotonilco in protected in the framework of the 1972 Federal Law on Archaeological, Artistic and Historic Zones and Monuments. At State level, the Law of Urban Development of the State of Guanajuato (September 1997) declares the public utility of the conservation and protection of the natural surroundings and the cultural heritage of the population centres.

Several articles of this Law establish obligations of local governments to implement urban planning and protection of cultural heritage. Article 37 establishes that conservation policies will be applied to, among others, natural and cultural values. Article 41 establishes that owners of buildings located in areas where conservation policies are applied are bound to obligations derived from urban development regulations. The Municipal Statutory Law of the State of Guanajuato (July 2001) establishes, among the responsibilities of local governments, the protection the cultural heritage.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2019 / Plan de Desarrollo Urbano y Ordenamiento Ecológico Territorial San Miguel de Allende 2019-2040 / Municipal avalado por el Gobierno del Estado, IPLANEG. / Ley General de Asentamientos Humanos Ordenamiento Territorial y Desarrollo Urbano, / Municipal / 2021 /

Reglamento del Código Territorial para el Municipio de San Miguel de Allende, Gto. / Municipal con visto bueno por el Congreso del Estado de Guanajuato y el Instituto de Planeación del Estado de Guanajuato. / Código Territorial para el Estado de Guanajuato y sus Municipios. / Municipal y Estatal. / 2012 / Plan de Ordenamiento Ecológico Territorial para el Municipio de San Miguel de Allende, Gto. /

Municipal con visto bueno por el Congreso del Estado de Guanajuato y el Instituto de Planeación del Estado de Guanajuato. / Código Territorial para el Estado de Guanajuato y sus Municipios. / Municipal y Estatal / 2012 / Atlas de Riesgos para el Municipio de San Miguel de Allende /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Property Management Plan	In Force	Available	2006	

Comment

Plan Municipal de Desarrollo Urbano y Ordenamiento Ecológico Territorial SMA 2019-2024

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. No se han considerado en un documento oficial
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

 $\ensuremath{\text{\textbf{No}}}$ use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

No se ha ejecutado

- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

No aplica

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Not	No mechanisms for	Some	Direct	Transformative participation in all relevant
applicable	participation	participation	participation	decision processes

5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples		×			
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women		×			
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		×			
5.3.16.7	Researchers			×		
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists		×			
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach		×			
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above No aplica

${\bf 5.3.19 \cdot Comments, conclusions \ and/or \ recommendations \ related \ to \ the \ management \ system/plan}$

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	38 %	38 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	50 %	50 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	2 %	2 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Sólo existe financiamiento local y federal, los recursos son limitados y muy bajos.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No existe una continuidad en las políticas públicas implementadas por cada gobierno administrativo cada tres años.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Se ha iniciado el plan de manejo para el sitio.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

La unidad de gestión depende del gobierno municipal.

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

La información que se tiene es limitada

- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair

Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local/municipal authorities

Researchers

NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Poor
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

It is necessary to implement plans and programs to disseminate the issue in different sectors of the population and their communities, as well as to make public administrations aware of the need to comply with and support the management unit.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1,200,000 / 600,000 / 6,000,000 / 8,500,000 / 5,000,000 / 1,200,000 / 600,000 / 6,000,000 / 8,500,000 / 5,000,000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Tourist Council of the Municipality of San Miguel e Allende. https://visitsanmiguel.travel

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

300 / 250 / 25 / 100 / 150 / 150 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Tourist Council of the Municipality of San Miguel e Allende. https://visitsanmiguel.travel

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

It is required to complement the Management Plan.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

While there is the authority to collect fees, they are not collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Plan de Mercado internacional

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property It is convenient to update the study of tourist loads.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

It is required to update the normative technical instruments.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is complete

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Abatement of social backwardness in the town of the Santuario de Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco. Creation of the Heritage Management Unit for the Villa Protectora de San Miguel and the Santuario de Jesús Nazareno de Atotonilco. Elaboration of the Management Plan for the Heritage Zone and Historic Center. Development of the Study of Tourist Charges. Permanent maintenance of the area.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

It is required to permanently implement monitoring through private initiative and regulatory bodies in the different levels of government.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	×
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	×
5.3.17	 The management system of the World Heritage property does not integrate a human rights-based approach In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	

7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters there is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	
Pleas	e select 1 more issues.	
☑ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Service	es Infrastructu	res					
4.3.4			Localised utilities					
4.4	Pollut	on						
4.4.2	Ground wa pollution	the aqu waters some a of the r which g disease are not This iss higher	the depletion of uifers, fossil are subtracted in areas of the north nunicipality, generate es because they 100% healthy. sue leads to prices in the tion service	Water treatment by chlorination.	It is due to the high degree of extraction of drinking water and water for irrigation in the agricultural sector.	Undefined.	Municipal.	Served by local government only
4.6	Physi	cal resource ex	traction					
4.6.4	Water (extraction) Water vocati are get the mwater extract distrib	gh the National Commission, ons for drilling wells enerated and throug unicipal drinking operator system, tion, exploitation an ution activities are d out.	n municipal plan	·	undefined.	Municipal.	does not apply.
4.7	Local	conditions affe	cting physical fabr	ic				
4.7.6			Water (rain/water table)					
4.8	Social	/Cultural uses	of heritage					
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system traditionalist community of the city		d and ac ed through as al fo ents and ates, as well nages of the alist	n the part of the public Iministrations, as well as sociations and undations.	Through municipal cultural areas and associations.	Permanent.	Municipal, Associations and foundations.	Permanent.

4.8.5		Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
4.10	Climate change a	and severe weather events	3				
4.10.6	Temperature Activities in the climate permanent monitor field are monitored by the municipal government, however, they do not represent an indicator that represents a risk factor to be considered		permanent monitoring.	monitoring. Municipal capital and protected natural areas outside the zone.		municipal government.	Does not apply.
4.11	Sudden ecologic	cal or geological events					
4.11.2	Earthquake	The city of San Miguel de Allende, Gto., is located within a non-seismic zone, however, movements have been detected as a result of volcanic activity. These natural phenomena are contemplated within the Atlas of Risks	Monitoring.	Monitoring.	Permanent.	Municipal.	does not apply.
4.11.5		Erosion and siltation/Depos	ition				
4.13	Management and	d institutional factors					
4.13.5	Financial resources	Only light industry is contemplated in development poles outside the area at a distance with the necessary and sufficie characteristics for the care and protection of the declared area	use of water, dry industry are	Industrial growth levels.	Permanent.	municipal government.	does not apply.
Question not	completed						

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Bu	Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment				
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage propare known by the management authout are not known local communities/landow	municipal government through the Directorate of the Historic Center and Heritage in educational documents for the population.	· ·	Municipal government Directorate of the Historic Cen and Heritage	Monitoring activities are ter carried out to analyze the state of their heritage, thus linking the population to participate from society.				
5.3	Management System	Management System/Management Plan							
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	The coordination of the municipal and federal governments work together on the recommendations of the Historical Urban Landscape, achieving a good state of conservation, inside and outside. Land speculation with real estate has been controlled .	It is carried out permanently, transcends public administrations.	The municipal government and the federal government implement surveillance actions permanently, inside and outside the site, inspections are carried out in the Monument Zone, Heritage Zone and in the Zone demarcated as the Historic Center.	The Management Plan is a regulatory instrument that is updated so that its validity is permanent.				

5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Climate change has generated some phenomena indirectly, these cause water runoff in the rainy season.	pe Pro	eventive measures are taken rmanently by the Civil otection bodies of the unicipal Government	thro Pro mo pre me	e Municipal Government, bugh the direction of Civil otection, carries out nitoring activities to take eventive actions related to teorological phenomena in my seasons.	ris	e rainy seasons represent the k factor for the area, since the e is located in an arid zone.								
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	No actions have been implemented regarding this issue, because it does not represent a risk factor.	It is	It is not implemented yet.		It is not implemented yet.		It is not implemented yet.		It is not implemented yet.		adn				ere are no actions at the oment.
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	Activities are carried out that are focused on conservation, preservation through the maintenance of material heritage, however its dissemination is limited.	inv	investment programs are carried out for the activities.		nvestment programs are through the Historical Center		ough the Historical Center ectorate, in coordination h the Association of xican World Heritage ies, presents the estment projects for the proval of the normative	The investment proposals are reviewed by the Focal Point in Mexico for their validation, so that all times they comply with the recommendations of the Practica Guidelines of the World Heritage Convention.							
6.1	Funding															
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Through the Directorate of the Histo Center and Heritage, the activities of the Management Unit are carried or which respond to the needs demand by the declared World Heritage site.	of ut, ded	It has been implemented sing 2009 in the municipal government, it began as a department attached to the Urban Development and Territorial Planning director later it became a subdirect it is currently an Address.	ate,	It is called the Directorate o the Historic Center and Heritage. It has a permaner workforce of 18 people.		The staff for the Management Unit is made up of architects, restorers at sites and monuments, administrators, administrative personnel and an immediate action crew.								
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Through the recommendations, the Study of Tourist Charges has been developed, however, it has not been possible to implement it correctly.	It was developed in the year 2018, but has not been updated			Municipal Government of San Miguel de Allende, through the Directorate of the Historic Center.		It is necessary to update the regulatory instrument for Tourist Charges.								
7	Scientific Studies an	d Research Projects														
7.2	considerable have been carried out from historical m research in the themes, their traditions and In World Heritage festivities, likewise files and property but it is documents have been integrated for the Built Heritage, considering the years.		norma the re years	monitored for the Material and Intangible Heritage, the normative documents establish the review of these every three		Directorate of the Historic Center and Municipal Heritage, Directorate of World Heritage of INAH Mexico, the National Institute of Anthropology and History.		The municipal and federal government orders work in a coordinated manner for the development of studies and projects, as well as their validations.								
8	Education, Informati	on and Awareness Building														
8.2	There is no Heritage monitors have been The			ery five or six years.		Directorate of the Historic Center and Municipal Heritage.		nere is only one isolated program in the appropriation of real estate order to monitor its state of onservation or degree of eterioration.								

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

El estado de conservación de los valores universales excepcionales se mantiene íntegro y es original, el uso del mismo se ha adaptado a los nuevos usos.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	n/a

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

En lo general, el sitio se conserva de acuerdo muy bien de acuerdo a su vocación.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Se han trabajado instrumentos normativos y se han actualizado conforme se requieren con las necesidades, tanto de la población como del mismo sitio. El patrimonio se tiene identificado por la población al realizar investigaciones y difusiones en algunos sectores de la población. Se buscan de forma permanente fuentes de financiamiento para el monitoreo y la conservación de los inmuebles catalogados y los que no es´tan catalogados,

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

sustainable Development	
state of Conservation	
flanagement flanag	
Sovernance	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Other
Normativa protectora

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

Los formatos mejoraron sustancialmente, aunque hay temas que deben ser mas específicos, considerando que los sitios declarados, no pueden ser revisados con el mismo criterio o norma para obtener los mejores datos de monitoreo.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local communities
Indigenous people
Other specific groups
ONG'S
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS national/regional
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 40 / 120 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Es necesario adecuar los cuestionarios a cada una de los tipos de sitios por su clasificación.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? N/A

- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise Valdría valorar el tiempo que existe entre cada reporte.
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.