# Stari Grad Plain

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

### **1.1 - Name of World Heritage property** Stari Grad Plain

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Stari Grad Plain	43.182 / 16.639	1376.53	6403.13	7779.66	2008
Total (ha)		1376.53	6403.13	7779.66	
1.4 - Map(s)					
Title				Date Li	nk to source
Croatia, Stari Grad, inscribed pro	operty and its buffer zone			2008	E

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. starogradsko-polje.net
- 2. New address is www.starogradsko-polje.com

### 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Site is part of NATURA 2000 ecological network

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Art of dry stone walling Mediterranean diet Procession Za Krizen ('following the cross')

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Stari Grad Plain represents a comprehensive system of land use and agricultural colonisation by the Greeks, in the 4th century BC. Its land organisation system, based on geometrical parcels with dry stone wall boundaries (chora), is exemplary. This system was completed from the very first by a rainwater recovery system

involving the use of tanks and gutters. This testimony is of Outstanding Universal Value.

The land parcel system set up by the Greek colonisers has been respected over later periods. Agricultural activity in the chora has been uninterrupted for 24 centuries up to the present day, and is mainly based on grapes and olives.

The ensemble today constitutes the cultural landscape of a fertile cultivated plain whose territorial organisation is that of the Greek colonisation.

Criterion (ii): The land parcel system, dating from the 4th century BC, of Stari Grad Plain bears witness to the dissemination of the Greek geometrical model for the dividing up of agricultural land in the Mediterranean world.

Criterion (iii): The agricultural plain of Stari Grad has remained in continuous use, with the same initial crops being produced, for 2400 years. This bears witness to its permanency and sustainability down the centuries.

Criterion (v): The agricultural plain of Stari Grad and its environment are an example of very ancient traditional human settlement, which is today under threat from modern economic development, particularly from rural depopulation and the abandonment of traditional farming practices.

The Greek cadastral system has been fully respected during the continuous agricultural use of the plain, based on the same crops. This system is today perfectly identifiable, and has changed very little. Stari Grad Plain forms an agricultural and land use ensemble of great integrity. The authenticity of the Greek land division system known as chora is clearly in evidence throughout the plain. The built structures of the stone walls are authentic, with the same basic dry stone wall materials being used and reused since the foundation by the Greeks.

The setting up of the management plan and of the authority in charge of its application should enable the carrying out of a thorough programme of archaeological excavations, the fostering of sustainable agricultural development in the chora and the control of urban and tourism development in the vicinity of the property, with all due care being taken to ensure that its Outstanding Universal Value is respected.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Land organisation system, based on geometrical parcels	×			
3.2.2	Dry stone wall boundaries	×			
3.2.3	Continuous agricultural activity	×			
3.2.4	Archaeological remains from Greek and Roman period	×			
3.2.5	Urban center	×			
3.2.6	Rainwater recovery system involving the use of tanks and gutters.	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

### Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

### Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative X		×	×		8		

### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative X		×	×		<b>N</b>		

### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×				
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	

### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

### Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

 
 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

 Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014): • Not relevant

 Relevant

 Relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.3. Services Infrastructures

### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Not relevant

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):
- Relevant × Not relevant 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):
  - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.4.2 - Ground water pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.4.3 - Surface water pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

<ul> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
<ul> <li>4.4.5 - Solid waste</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10//</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Curre</li> </ul>	,						
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
<ul> <li>4.4.6 - Input of excess ener</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
4.4.7 - Please comment as positively	necessary on I	now the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.4 a	are affecting the pro	operty either n	egatively or
4.5. Biological resource u	se/modification	n					
<ul> <li>4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting at Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2 • Not relevant</li> </ul>		es					
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
<ul> <li>4.5.3 - Land conversion</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	2014):						
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/ • Not relevant		esticated animal	s				
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
<ul> <li>4.5.5 - Crop production</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10// • Relevant, Positive, Current</li> </ul>							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							
<ul> <li>4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/ • Not relevant							

Not relevant

× Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trond of impost		
					Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							
5.8 - Commercial huntin evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			X Not relevan	ıt			
5.9 - Subsistence hunti revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			X Not relevan	ıt			
5.10 - Forestry/Wood p revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt			
ositively .6. Physical resource e	extraction						
.6. Physical resource e 6.1 - Mining							
.6. Physical resource e .6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1			X Not relevan	ıt			
.6. Physical resource e 6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant 6.2 - Quarrying	10/2014): 10/2014):		X Not relevan	ıt			
.6. Physical resource e .6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant .6.2 - Quarrying revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1	10/2014): 10/2014):		X Not relevan				
.6. Physical resource e .6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant .6.2 - Quarrying revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Relevant, Negative, Cu	10/2014): 10/2014): rrent, Inside						
.6. Physical resource of .6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant .6.2 - Quarrying revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Relevant, Negative, Cu Relevant .6.3 - Oil and gas revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1	10/2014): 10/2014): rrent, Inside			ıt			
.6. Physical resource of .6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant .6.2 - Quarrying revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Relevant, Negative, Cu Relevant .6.3 - Oil and gas revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant	10/2014): 10/2014): rrent, Inside 10/2014):		X Not relevan	ıt			
.6. Physical resource of .6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant .6.2 - Quarrying revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Relevant, Negative, Cu Relevant .6.3 - Oil and gas revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant .6.4 - Water (extraction) revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1	10/2014): 10/2014): rrent, Inside 10/2014):		X Not relevan	ıt			
6. Physical resource of 6.1 - Mining evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant 6.2 - Quarrying evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Relevant, Negative, Cu Relevant 6.3 - Oil and gas evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant 6.4 - Water (extraction) evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant	10/2014): 10/2014): rrent, Inside 10/2014):		X Not relevan	ıt	Trend of impact		
<ul> <li>6. Physical resource of 5.1 - Mining evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant</li> <li>Relevant</li> <li>5.2 - Quarrying evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Relevant, Negative, Cuita Relevant</li> <li>5.3 - Oil and gas evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant</li> <li>Relevant</li> <li>6.4 - Water (extraction) evious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant</li> <li>K Relevant</li> </ul>	10/2014): 10/2014): rrent, Inside 10/2014): 10/2014):	Potential	X Not relevan	ıt	Trend of impact  Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
6. Physical resource a 6.1 - Mining revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant 6.2 - Quarrying revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Relevant, Negative, Cu Relevant 6.3 - Oil and gas revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant Relevant 6.4 - Water (extraction) revious answer Cycle 2 (03/1 • Not relevant	10/2014): 10/2014): rrent, Inside 10/2014): 10/2014): 10/2014):	Potential X	X Not relevan	ıt Not relevant		→ Stable →	✓ Increasing

## 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

## 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):
<ul> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.7.3 - Temperature</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.7.4 - Radiation/Light</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.7.5 - Dust</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.7.7 - Pests</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.7.8 - Micro-organisms</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

## 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							
<ul> <li>4.8.2 - Society's valuing of</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	-						
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt			
<ul> <li>4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>		collecting					
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt			

### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin						
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative X		×		×			

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):
  - Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>		
Negative X		×		×	<u>N</u>			

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.9. Other human activities

### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×		8				
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruct Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10 • Not relevant	-								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
<ul> <li>4.9.3 - Military training</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	0/2014):								
Relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10 • Not relevant	)/2014):								
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt					
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10	)/2014):								

Not relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

• Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.2 - Flooding</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.3 - Drought</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.4 - Desertification</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.6 - Temperature change</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	
<ul> <li>4.12.1 - Translocated species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):
  - Not relevant

• Not recount	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.12.6 - Modified genetic material</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

### × Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	× Not relevant

### 4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant			Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1			
Negative										

## 4.13.4 - Management activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):
  - Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Stable → Stable		Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×						
Negative									

## 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×						
Negative									

### 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	<b>9</b> Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×						
Negative									

### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant								
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact							
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing				
O Positive X	×	×	×	×							
Negative											

### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (03/10/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

## 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

## 4.15. Factors Summary Table

### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.3 Industrial areas	٢	9		٢		<b>→</b>
	0		9	٢		\$
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
	0		9	٢		\$
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	9	٢		1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	9	9	٢		1
	0		9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	٢	9	9	۲		<b>→</b>
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.4 Water (extraction)	٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
	0		9		۴	\$
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٥	9	9	٢	۴	<b>→</b>
	9		9		Ċ	<b>N</b>
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0		9	٢		\$
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٩	9		٢		<b>→</b>

					0		9	۲		<b>→</b>
4.13.3 Governance					٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	/
4.13.4 Management a	1.13.4 Management activities						9	٢		1
4.13.5 Financial reso	4.13.5 Financial resources						9	٢		1
4.13.6 Human resour	rces				$\odot$	9	9	٢		
4.13.7 Low impact re	esearch/monitoring activ	vities			٢	9	9	٢	٢	1
Legend	4 Current	4 Potential	Negative	O Positive	<ol> <li>Insi</li> </ol>	de		C Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

## 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

## 4.1 Buildings and Development

				_		_
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1.3 Indus	rial areas	٢	9		٢	<b>→</b>
		0		9	٢	8
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
^						
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
	9		9	٢		<b>N</b>

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		4	9	۲		

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opatial sea	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

×	On-going					
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend			
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9	9	<ul><li>Origin</li></ul>				
		-1						
	0		4	٢		<b>→</b>		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor								
Restricted								
Localised								
X Extensive								
Widespread								
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
One off or rare								
Intermittent or sporadic								
Frequent								
X On-going								
Impact - Impact on the attributes								
X Insignificant								
Minor								
Significant								
Major								
Management response - Capacity of management to respond								
High capacity								
X Medium capacity								
Low capacity								
No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years								

Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air tr	ansport infrastructure	٢	4	9	٢		<b>→</b>
Spotial co	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

# 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	ne Impact		Origin			Trend	
4.5.5 Crop	production	٢	4	9	٢		<b>→</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
	apact on the attributes						
impact - i							
	Insignificant Minor						
~							
×	Significant						
Managom	Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
Managen	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
^	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trand D							
Trend - D	velopement over the last 6 years						
~	Decreasing						
×							
	Increasing						
Name	Name Impact						Trend
4.5.7 Sub	4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection						<b>→</b>
Snatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
opullui oc	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Temporal	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
~	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	apact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
^	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managom	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

## 4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.6.4 Water	(extraction)	٢	9	9	۹	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9	9	٢		<b>→</b>
Creticles							
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	٢	9	9	۹		<b>→</b>
	0		9		Ċ	$\mathbf{N}_{i} = 1$

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity

	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

o

0

9

9

C

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going .						
Impact - In	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

## 4.9 Other human activities

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.9.1 Illega	activities				
		0	9	۲	\$
0					
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

## 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Ma	nagement system/Management plan	•	9				<b></b>
		0		9	٢		<b>→</b>
		-					
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managam	Vanagement response - Canacity of management to respond						

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Decreasing Static

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.3 Governance	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	1

## Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities		<b>1</b>	<i>i</i>		<b></b>

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	me		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Fina	incial resources	٢	4	9	٢		
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

×

Significant

Major

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources		9	9	۲		

## Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		9	9	٢	Ċ	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	land organisation system, based on geometrical parcels	×			
4.18.1.2	dry stone wall boundaries	×			
4.18.1.3	Continuous agricultural activity	×			
4.18.1.4	archaeological remains from Greek and Roman period	×			
4.18.1.5	Urban center	×			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 5.2. Protective Measures

### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The nominated property is governed by the Law for the protection and preservation of cultural properties (Official Journal, no. 69/99, no. 151/03 and no. 157/03). It is governed more particularly by Article 10, which inscribes it on the List of Preventively Protected Properties.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

### If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

"Public institution for the Menagement of the Stari Grad Plain" works on conservation and revitalisation of Stari Grad Plain. The mission of the Agency is the conservation of Stari Grad Plain as a cultural landscape. Conservation office in Split is authorized for the monitoring and supervision of the state of conservation of the property.

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan Starogradski Ager	In Force	Available	2008	

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is fully based on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×

5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

### 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	10 %	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	60 %	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	20 %	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	5 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	1 %	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	3 %	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1 %	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

# 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources  $\ensuremath{\textit{partly meet}}$  the management needs of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following

### disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

# 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

# 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

# 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

# 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups' place describe	

If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair

Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

38,207 / 30,671 / 13,043 / 36,918 / 38,729 /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Tourism industry

## 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

More than four overnight stays

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

data not available / data not available /

### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

# **9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?** Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

## If a different system, please specify

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? Yes

## If 'Yes', please specify Economic benefit

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

### 10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

**10.6** - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Implementation of recommendations arising from the World Heritag Decision 32 COM 8B.29 of the inscription of the Stari Grad Plain on the World Heritage List is underway

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×

5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

# 12. Summary and Conclusions

# 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

## 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings ar	Buildings and Development										
4.1.3	Industrial areas	(ii) - land organi system, based geometrical par (ii) - dry wall boundaries (iii) continuous agricultural activ - Rainwater rec system involvin use of tanks and gutters.	on cels - vity (v) overy g the	strict implem spatial plan	nentation of the	by au	ar inspectior uthorized utions	1 (	continuously		Administrative Department for Construction and Spatial Planning of the Split-Dalmatia County	no comments
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	n centar spatial plan			olementation of the regular inspection by authorized institutions		pection by	ction by continuously Administrative Depa for Construction and Spatial Planning of t Split-Dalmatia Count		atial Planning of the	no comments	
4.2	Transportati	on Infrastructur	e									
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	(ii) - land organisation system, based on geometrical parcels (ii) - dry wall boundaries		nentation of the	he regular inspection continuously by authorized institutions		continuously		Administrative Department for Construction and Spatial Planning of the Split-Dalmatia County Agency for the management of the Stari Grad Plain	no comments		
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of herita	age									
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	(ii) - dry wall annual program s boundaries (iii) - for revitalizing continuous neglected agric agricultural activity areas		authorized		con	tinuously	r	agency for the nanagement of the Stari orad Plain	no comments		
4.8.6	Impacts of (ii) - dry wall tourism/Visitation/Recreation boundaries (i archaeologic from Greek a Roman perior		ndaries (iii aeologica Greek an	) - I remains nd	visitors management regular enhancement opening inspection Visitor Centre authorized institution		tion by ized		у	City of Stari Grad Agency for the management of the Stari Grad Plain	no comments	

4.9	Other human	Other human activities									
4.9.1	Illegal activities	(ii) - dry wall boundaries (iii) - continuous agricultural activity	strict implementation of the spatial plan	regular inspection by authorized institutions	continuously	State Inspectorate Ministry of Culture and Media	no comments				
4.13	Managemen	t and institutional factor	'S								
4.13.1	Management system/Managem plan	<ul> <li>(ii) - dry wall</li> <li>boundaries (iii) -</li> <li>continuous</li> <li>agricultural activity</li> <li>(v) - Rainwater</li> <li>recovery system</li> <li>involving the use of tanks and gutters.</li> </ul>	implementation and revision of the Management Plan y	annual reports of the Agency for the management of the Stari Grad Plain	continuously	Agency for the management of the Stari Grad Plain	no comments				
Summar	Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed										

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

## 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

		Actions	Timeframe		Lead agency (and other involved)	s More info / o	comment
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	community education and support towards avoiding violation of legislation	long-term process		national authorities	no comment	s
5.3	Management Sys	tem/Management Plan					
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	climate change issues are to be addressed within risk reduction pla	2025 an	site ma	anager, local authorities	no comments	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	reducing risks management is to b approved. first step is drafting disa risk management plan		site ma	anager	disaster risk manag drafted in the course plan revision	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	Management plan is out of date ar has to be revised	nd 2025	Local a	authority	no comments	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	Management plan is to be revised	2025	Local a	authority	no comments	

6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	We have organized sightseeing of archeological sites and touristic to We applicated for local, regional and state funding.	Continuous Jr.	Continuous		visibility is improved
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	Human resources capacity of the Agency for Stari Grad Plain Management is not yet at its optim level	Timeframe for capacity t is not yet defined al	building Local authorities		Capacity for management is largely improved in last two years
9	Visitor Manageme	ent				
9.7	There is <b>no</b> <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	Strategy for visitor management will be drafted in the course of management plan revision	It is planned to draft the strategy by mid 2025.	Local authority		improved visitor management is also to be achieved by openning new visitor and interpretation center
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements</b> could be made	visitor center is planned to open in next period	3-5 years	City of	Stari Grad	City has purchased the building for the Visitor center
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Virtual reality project is being prepared	VR project will be finalised and redy to implement by august 2023.	Responsible for implementation in the project is the Agency for Starigrad Plain Management		Aim of the project is better understanding of the property

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

**12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?** The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

**12.3.2** - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

# 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive

Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level World Heritage Volunteers workshops focused on stone dry walling Revitalisation of neglected agricultural land Archaeological escavation of villa rustica in Stari Grad Plain

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
Synergies	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Capacity Building	

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

### 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

UNESCO National Commission

Local communities

# **15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

48 / 24 / 36 /

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

## 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good

UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.