1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Agave Landscape and Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Zona nucleo 01, Valle de Tequila y Amatitán	20.861 / -103.755	34658.67	51261.334	85920.004	2006
Zona nucleo 02, Zona arqueológica Los Guachimontones de Teuchitlán	20.683 / -103.817	360.182	?	360.182	2006
Total (ha)		35018.852	51261.334	86280.186	
1.4 - Map(s)					

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of the agave region with geographic coordinates; Scale: 1:300 000	2004	
Agave Landscape and Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila - map of inscribed property	2015	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. The UNESCO Courrier

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

The Property is under te UNESCO World Heritage Convention

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years? Yes

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Due to its morphological and geographical characteristics, the Agave Landscape is only inscribed on the UNESCO World Heritage List. It has not been considered to include the territory under another Convention.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

The territory of the Agave Landscape of Tequila is rich in expressions of intangible cultural heritage, but so far they have not been integrated into any Mexican nomination to the Representative List of Intangible Cultural Heritage.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

Archivo de Indias de Sevilla España Archivo General de la Nación, México

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief Synthesis

The Agave Region, in the Valles Region of the Jalisco State, is one of the most important cultural landscapes in Mexico, not only for the importance of the natural landscape that offers, but for the cultural tradition that has kept for several centuries and from which has arisen one of the main icons that identify this country: the tequila.

The 35,019 ha site, between the foothills of the Tequila Volcano and the deep valley of the Rio Grande River, is part of an expansive landscape of blue agave, shaped by the culture of the plant used since the 16th century to produce tequila spirit and for at least 2,000 years to make fermented drinks and cloth. Within the landscape are working distilleries reflecting the growth in the international consumption of tequila in the 19th and 20th centuries. Today, the agave culture is seen as part of national identity. The area encloses a living, working landscape of blue agave fields and the urban settlements of Tequila, Arenal, and Amatitan with large distilleries where the agave 'pineapple' is fermented and distilled. The property is also a testimony to the Teuchitlan cultures which shaped the Tequila area from AD 200-900, notably through the creation of terraces for agriculture, housing, temples, ceremonial mounds and ball courts.

Criterion (ii): The cultivation of agave and its distillation have produced a distinctive landscape within which are a collection of fine haciendas and distilleries that reflect both the fusion of pre-Hispanic traditions of fermenting mescal juice with the European distillation processes and of local and imported technologies, both European and American.

Criterion (iv): The collection of haciendas and distilleries, in many cases complete with their equipment and reflecting the growth of tequila distillation over the past two hundred and fifty years, are together an outstanding example of distinct architectural complexes which illustrate the fusion of technologies and cultures.

Criterion (v): The agave landscape exemplified the continuous link between ancient Mesoamerican culture of the agave and today, as well as the contours process of cultivation since the 17th century when large scale plantations were created and distilleries first started production of tequila. The overall landscape of fields, distilleries, haciendas and towns is an outstanding example of a traditional human settlement and land-use which is representative of a specific culture that developed in Tequila.

Criterion (vi): The Tequila landscape has generated literary works, films, music, art and dance, all celebrating the links between Mexico and tequila and its heartland in Jalisco. The Tequila landscape is thus strongly associated with perceptions of cultural significances far beyond its boundaries.

Integrity

The World Heritage property is large and encompasses the whole of the core of tequila growing landscape and most of the related elements and interdependent that characterizes the *agave* region. The area also includes all aspects of the tequila growing and distillation process, and the haciendas and factories and associated towns, thus encompassing an economic and cultural area.

In the municipalities of Magdalena, Tequila, Amatitán and El Arenal concentrate the tangible and intangible testimonies of different historical periods that favour the comprehension and appreciation as a whole coherent and vital. The inscribed property is the region of origin of the cultural process and therefore the one that better exemplifies its historical development.

The extension deployed on the municipalities of El Arenal, Amatitán, Tequila and Magdalena embraces a valley with geographical and agricultural continuity where most of the tangible elements of the occupation of the territory are located, represented by the archaeological vestiges, plantations and industrial facilities as well as the intangible ones, represented by practices and customs of the community that inhabits the region. They have been the support of the cultural process of the production of Tequila. These same elements can propitiate their long term conservation and their sustainable development. To the date, significant problems produced by the human activity that could commit the integrity of the site have not occurred.

Authenticity

In terms of the cultivated landscape, haciendas, distilleries and the centres of the urban settlements, there is no doubt of their authenticity as reflecting the way the landscape has been used and still is to grow and process the agave plant and distil tequila. The methods of cultivation and processing both retain their authenticity and there is still a defined link between where the agave plants grow and the distilleries to which they are sent: only tequila processed from agave pineapples grown in the inscribed property is eligible for a Declaration of Origin. The work in the agricultural field attests the survival of essential elements that have shaped the *agave* landscape from its creation and the continuity of an ancient cultural process.

The extensive cultivations and the old distilleries of the region of Tequila have a strong character of syncretism since in them fuse ancestral knowledge of the American and European traditions. The hefty character of the landscape is the result of the cultivation and domestication of the *Agave Azul Tequilana Weber* native plant of the region, through a long journey along the time. From it comes the *genus loci* that impregnates the site in a single way. It is characterized by countless undulant lines of *agave* that adapt to the irregular topography of the region. The outskirts of the urban areas have been subject to recent development and change and there is less well defined local building traditions and authenticity. In these areas positive programmes will be needed to manage change in a beneficial way. The Management Plan addresses this need.

Protection and management requirements

About 22% of the nominated area is owned privately; 44% is common land; the remainder, 34% is what is called mixed productive associations which are private investment on common land. Most of the factories still in production are in urban areas. Those in rural areas belong to private owners. Altogether there are 60 factories in the inscribed property.

Legal protection applies at Federal, State and Municipal levels. At the Federal level, there are different legal tools that pertain to the Tequila product itself, while heritage protection is granted through the 1972 Federal Law Regarding Artistic, Historical and Archaeological Monuments and Sites, the General Law in Human Settlements and the General Law of National Properties, the General Law of Ecological Balance and Environmental Protection. With these tools, federal protection applies to historical monuments before the 20th century, designated towns and villages, archaeological and industrial sites and the relationship between natural sites and cultural ones. This covers the core of the towns and nominated factories and haciendas. At the State Level, the Law of the Cultural Patrimony of the State of Jalisco and Municipalities, the Regulations for the Cultural Patrimony of the State of Jalisco and Municipalities, the Regulation Areas, are tools to ensure the preservation of both cultural and natural patrimony and people's culture. The State has responsibility for the preservation and restoration of historical, architectural and archaeological sites, urban and territorial development and the delineation of settlements. In particular it is responsible for the protected Tequila landscape through the Tequila Master Plan. Finally, at the Municipal level, the Regulations for the Protection and Improvement of the Urban Image of Tequila, Jalisco, the Partial Plan of Urban Development on the Historical Centre of Amatitán, Jalisco, the Plan of Urban Development of the El Arenal, Jalisco, the Model of Territorial Ecological Classification of the State of Jalisco, Region Valles, provide control over 20th and 29t century heritage building at the property.

The Management Plan for the Agave Landscape and the Ancient Facilities of Tequila is the main management and planning tool. Its implantation is centred on

improving the quality of life of the inhabitant communities and to act as factor of integration of the diverse effective legal instruments and competent instances in the region. It also seeks to ensure that the conditions of authenticity and integrity of each one of the components of the Agave Landscape are maintained through its conservation, restoration and appropriate use. Likewise, it strives to stimulate a sustainable regional growth supported by the local cultural values. The implementation of the management plan sets out the provisions for the conservation and sustainable use of the ensemble of attributes of the property: the natural landscape, the *agave* landscape, the archaeological vestiges, the ancient industrial facilities and the traditional towns. It is also a tool to promote that the social sectors of less economic income are contemplated as high-priority groups for the benefits derived from the rescue and conservation of the Cultural Agave Landscape. As part of the strategy followed by the Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia and State Government of Jalisco to ensure the conservation and protection of the property through the sustainable regional development of the entity, the "*Agave Landscape of Tequila*" has been incorporated as a "*Strategic Project for the development of Jalisco*".

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Rural heritage	×			
3.2.2	Urban heritage		×		
3.2.3	Architectonic heritage	×			
3.2.4	Archaeological heritage		×		
3.2.5	Natural heritage	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

In general terms, the main attributes and components of the Agave Landscape of Tequila understood as a productive cultural landscape (Urban Heritage, Architectural Heritage, Archaeological Heritage, Rural Heritage, Environmental Heritage and Intangible Heritage) are preserved in good condition. However, there are some problems due to urban development in specific towns or the protection of archaeological heritage in some sites (Guachimontones de Santa Quiteria)

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×			/	
 4.1.2 - Commercial development Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevan	t				
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 								
X Relevant				Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×			

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Prigin Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×				1	
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In general terms, the inscription of the Agave Landscape on the World Heritage List has generated a development pole associated with cultural tourism that has positive aspects such as greater economic spillover among the inhabitants but generates some problems in terms of building in areas of heritage value, particularly in the population of Tequila.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastruc Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): Not relevant 	ture
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

The Agave Landscape region is geographically very large, so it does not currently present problems derived from mobility, although there may be some minor traffic problems in urban populations.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.3.2 - Renewable energy f Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant									
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt					
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant									
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt					
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07) Relevant, Negative, Poter 									
× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×				1		
 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 									
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt					

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The infrastructure of electronic communication and electrical infrastructure has increased in recent years, generating a potential deterioration in the urban image.

× Not relevant

4.4. Pollution

Relevant

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):
 - Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside Not relevant × Relevant Origin Trend of impact Impact Impact 4 Current 🖣 Potential 💿 Inside ኛ Outside Decreasing → Stable Increasing O Positive 😑 Negative 🗙

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	luunaat		Origin		Trend of impost		
	Impact	-	-		Trend of impact	_	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				
4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	/2012):						
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
 4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 							
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				,
4.4.6 - Input of excess ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.4.7 - Please comment as positively The use of chemical fertilizers in	agave plantations	s and urban garbage					negatively or
4.5. Biological resource u	ise/modificatio	n					
4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting a Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	•	es					
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	/2012):						
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 	,						
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive					-		-
Negative X		×	×				

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Relevant, Positive, Potent	-							
X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×					
Negative								
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	-							
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	-							
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.5.11 - Please comment a	s necessary on	how the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.5	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or	

positively

The territory of the Agave Landscape is mainly dedicated to the cultivation of the Agave Tequilana Weber Blue Variety plant by traditional agricultural methods. There are some cases of extension of agave crops to environmental conservation areas.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant			X Not relevan	X Not relevant					
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): ● Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside 									
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×				1		

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The extraction of water from the subsoil in the territory of the Agave Landscape has increased to meet the demand of urban populations and the tequila industry. In the long run it can generate an environmental problem.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): • Not relevant

Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.7.2 - Relative humidity Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant	2012):						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.7.3 - Temperature Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant	2012):						
Relevant			X Not releva	nt			
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant	2012):						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.7.5 - Dust Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant	2012):						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water tab Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			X Not releva	nt			
4.7.7 - Pests Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Relevant, Negative, Poten							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive				
Negative X	×	×		1
170 Missa samalama				

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×		N (1997)			

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The sporadic appearance of pests and microorganisms in agave plantations can be a problem because the planting of Agave Tequilana Weber Variedad Azul is a monoculture based on the use of "hijuelos" or rhizomes for new planting, small plants that are born around the mother plant. Rhizomes are genetically equal "clones".

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×				1	

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×					

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								

Negative X	×	×		

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The recent development of the territory of the Agave Landscape derived from its inscription on the World Heritage List presents positive and negative aspects because it has generated a pole of attraction of population and investments in cultural tourism and in the tequila industry that must be properly regulated.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X		×	×				1			
 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Not relevant 										
Relevant			X Not relevan	X Not relevant						
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):● Not relevant										
Relevant			X Not relevant							
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside 										
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.9.6 - Civil unrestPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/Not relevant	/2012):									
Relevant			X Not relevan	t						

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Vandalism and graffiti in urban areas, although not very significant, does affect the urban image and urban heritage in the main populations.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - Flooding	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):	

Not relevant

• Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×				/		

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				1

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The effects of Climate Change are not yet significant in the territory of the Agave Landscape. The Agave Tequilana Weber Blue Variety is a semi-desert plant does not require irrigation, only temporary water. However, in case of increasing the temperature in the tequila and Amatitán valleys in the future, it could affect the cultivation areas.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			\rightarrow	
 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landsliv Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			X Not releva	nt			
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	•						
Relevant			× Not releva	nt			
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Relevant, Negative, Curre 		le					
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

×

Forest fires are some of the risks that can potentially occur in the Agave Landscape, can generate a negative impact on the site. An important part of the Buffer Zone corresponds to forests with endemic flora and fauna that can be affected by fire. Earthquakes, although not very frequent, pose a potential risk to urban areas.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

×

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

PositiveNegative X

× Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×		N				

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien mar Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	•						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant sp Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.12.6 - Modified genetic m Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Relevant, Positive, Potent	(2012):						
X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×			\rightarrow	

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The sporadic appearance of pests and microorganisms in agave plantations can be a problem because the planting of Agave Tequilana Weber Variedad Azul is a monoculture based on the use of "hijuelos" or rhizomes for new planting, small plants that are born around the mother plant. Rhizomes are genetically equal "clones". The genetic improvement of agave can help fight the attack of pests and microorganisms.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant	,				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×			1			
Negative										

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Negative

X Relevant			١	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×		×			1		
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant					Not relevant					
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X		×	×				1			
Negative										

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×		×	N			
Negative								

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
OPositive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Since 2006 the Agavero Landscape and the ancient industrial facilities of Tequila have a Management Plan that has served as a guide for the development of the territory. However, the Management Plan has not been fully implemented and with the gubernamental changes its application has been less.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

There is an intergovernmental Commission for the Conservation of the Agave Landscape that acts as a Management Unit. Recently, work is being done on updating the Management Plan, integrating some elements not considered in the previous document.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development			
4.1.1 Housing			

	0		9	٢	۴	1
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
	0		9	۲	Ċ	1
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9		٢		1
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٩	9		۲		/
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	0		9	٢		1
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	0		9	٢		1
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
	0		9	٢		1
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0		9	٢		1
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
	0		9	٢		→
4.5.5 Crop production	٢		9	۹		
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						
	0		9	٢		1
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.7 Pests						
	0		9	٢		1
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0	9		٢		\$
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
	0		9	٢		1
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	9		9	٢		
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	0		9	٢		1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٩	9		٢		
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	0		9	٢		1

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.3 Drought						
	0		9	٢		
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	9		9	۲		
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	9		9	۲		→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	0	4		۲		
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
	0	4		۹		N
4.12.6 Modified genetic material	٥		9	۲		→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9		٢	٢	
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	4	9		۴	
4.13.3 Governance	0	9	9	۲	Ċ	1
4.13.4 Management activities	٢		9	٢		1
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢		9		Ċ	N
4.13.6 Human resources	٢		9	٢	٢	→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9		٢		→
Legend Current Potential O Negative O Positive	Insid	de		C Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ing					
		9	9	٢	٢	1
Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
opatial set						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					

	Widespread								
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
×	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes								
	Insignificant								
×	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond								
	High capacity								
×	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend					

Name	impact		ongin			menu	
4.1.3 Industrial areas							
	٢		9	۲	Ċ	1	

Spatial scale - Area affected by	the factor
opatial scale - Alea allected by	the factor

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Name			Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Majo	r visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	9	٢	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	pretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	۲	1
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				

	Widespread				
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
		impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Loca	lised utilities				
		0	9	۹	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	nd water pollution				
		0	9	٢	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.4.3 Surfa	ce water pollution				
		0	9	٢	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				

×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Soli	d waste				
		0	4	۹	1
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion				
		0	9	٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.5.5 Crop	4.5.5 Crop production		9	۲	1
Spotial and	In Area offerind by the factor				
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.6.4 Wate	(extraction)				
		0	9	٩	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				

	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
		0	8	9	٩	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
						_
Name	-organisms	Impact			Origin	Trend
WIICTC	-organiana					

0	4	٢	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

ResidencialKonstant <td< th=""><th>Spatial sca</th><th>le - Area affected by the factor</th></td<>	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor	
kansve kansve kansve kansve kansve kansve coverse of the inpact koverse of the inpact ko		Restricted	
image: minipage: minipa	×	Localised	
Temper Concerne of the impact Prove of or rare Prove of or rare Intermittent or sporadio Prove of or rare Prove of or rare Prove of or rare Intermittent or sporadio Prove of or rare Intermittent or resources Prove of or resources Intermittent or resources Prove of or resources Intermittent or resources Prove of or resources Intermittent of of or resources Prove of or resources		Extensive	
Note off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent Or-going Insignificant Insignificant X Amor Insignificant Mor Insignificant		Widespread	
k inemitted or sporadic inemitted or s	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact	
image:		One off or rare	
Image:	×	Intermittent or sporadic	
Import - Import attributes Insignificant X More Significant Major Major Magor Magor <th></th> <th>Frequent</th>		Frequent	
Inignificant Minor Significant Major Major Mago Mago <th></th> <th>On-going</th>		On-going	
Kanage Minira Spirificat Spirificat Marge Mage Kanage Capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Midium capacity Medium capacity More participants Xanage Low capacity Yanage Decreasing Xanage Decreasing Xanage Decreasing Xanage Decreasing	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes	
i Significant i Aigr I dia capacity of management to respond I dia capacity I dia capacity <td col<="" th=""><th></th><th>Insignificant</th></td>	<th></th> <th>Insignificant</th>		Insignificant
Major Management to respond Indiana provide the respond Main capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Indiana provide the respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Indiana provide the respond Medium capacity Indiana provide the respond Medium capacity Indiana provide the respond Indiana provide the respondence Indin provide the respondence	×	Minor	
Management conspond High capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity No capacity No capacity and / or resources Frend - Evert the last 6 years Static		Significant	
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Terret - Ver the last 6 years Second (Second (S		Major	
Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Tend - U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-U-	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond	
kw capacity kw capacity and / or resources Trend - U = U = U = U = U = U = U = U = U = U		High capacity	
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing becreasing Static		Medium capacity	
Trend - Decreasing Static	×	Low capacity	
Decreasing Static		No capacity and / or resources	
Static	Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years	
	×	Decreasing	
Increasing		Static	
		Increasing	

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

ame		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage					
	٢		9	۹	~
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
Restricted					
× Localised					
Extensive					
Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
One off or rare					
X Intermittent or sporadic					
Frequent					
On-going					
Impact - Impact on the attributes					

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	t		Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system					
	0		9	۲	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

•	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Agave Landscape and Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila

Name

Trend

Origin

Impact



Spatial	scale -	Area af	fected	by t	het	factor
---------	---------	---------	--------	------	-----	--------

Spatial Sca	ale - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	4	۲	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant

×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Delib	erate destruction of heritage				
		0	9	٢	
Owerfallerer	the Area effected by the fester				_
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Dr	pught				Ū		
		٢		9	۲		1
Spatial se	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Te	mperature change	_		_			
		9		4	٢		
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact						

Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Ear	thquake						
		٢		9	٢		→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	rend - Developement over the last 6 years						

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire	wildfire)					
		0	9		۹	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Name Impact				Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Inva	sive/Alien terrestrial species						
		0	9		٢		\$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

~	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managen	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
		_			
Name		Impact	-	Origin	Trend
4.12.6 MG	dified genetic material	٢	4	٢	-
Spatial s	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact -	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managen	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
~					
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Spatial scale Amound Spatial scale Image: Spatia scale Image: Spatial scale Image:	Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
Alexanda and a series of the impact of th	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		٢	9		•		
Alexanda and a series of the impact of th								
kaliele kaliele kaliele kaliele kaliele kaliele table kaliele	Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
karana karana targena karanaa targena karana </td <td></td> <td>Restricted</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		Restricted						
with the second of the impact of the impa		Localised						
Name of the impact	×	Extensive						
A non-order or parada of point or parada of poin		Widespread						
A model of a point	Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
A result of the set		One off or rare						
Impact - work Impact - work<	×	Intermittent or sporadic						
Impact - variable attributes Insignation Insignatio Insignation Insignation Insignation In		Frequent						
kinding initianitianitianitianitianitianitianit		On-going						
Minor Significant Major Maior Maior <td>Impact - In</td> <td>pact on the attributes</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
X Significant Major Major Management to respond Version 2000 High capacity Main capacity X Medium capacity Kow capacity Low capacity Kow capacity and / or resources Version 2000 Total Second Seco		Insignificant						
Major Anaeomore - Capacity of management to respond Mage and and an anagement to respond Mage and and an anagement to respond Madu capacity Madu capacity Madu capacity Madu capacity Madu capacity and / or resources Tend		Minor						
Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity to capacity and / or resources Trend - Deverement over the last 6 years Static Increasing Maname Ansame Name Name Ansame Restricted by the factor Spatial science	×	Significant						
High capacity Medium capacity tow capacity No capacity of resources Tend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name A132 Legs Fameded by the factor Static Increasing Static Increasing Static Increasing		Major						
Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trad - Decreasing Static Increasing Maxwork Maxwork Maxwork Result Static Increasing Maxwork Maxwork <tr< td=""><td>Manageme</td><td>ent response - Capacity of management to respond</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
key capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name A1.32 Legal framework Restricted by the factor Spatial selected by the factor Restricted Localised Localised		High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name Increasing A.13.2 Legal framework Restricted Restricted Restricted Increasing Increasing <td>×</td> <td>Medium capacity</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	×	Medium capacity						
Image: Previous over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static Increasing Increasing Name Image: Vertex and V		Low capacity						
Decreasing Static Increasing Name Impact Origin Trend 4.1.3.2 Legs ramework Impact Im		No capacity and / or resources						
 kaic Increasing Name A13.2 Leg J Framework Spatial sector Spatia	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
Increasing		Decreasing						
Name Impact Origin Trend 4.13.2 Legal framework Impact Imp	×	Static						
4.13.2 Legal ramework Image: Comparison of the second region of the		Increasing						
4.13.2 Legal ramework Image: Comparison of the second region of the								
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised X Extensive		al framework			a	Origin	æ	Trend
Restricted Localised X Extensive	4.10.2 Log		•	-,	-,		9	•
Restricted Localised X Extensive								
Localised Ketensive	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
X Extensive		Restricted						
		Localised						
Widespread	×	Extensive						
		Widespread						

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.3 Governance	٥	9	9	٢	Ċ	

Spatial	scale -	Area	affected	by the	factor

Restricted Localised Kensive Midespread Temport	Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
Kanaka Extensive Widespread Temporation Immittent or sporadio No off or rare Intermittent or sporadio Immittent or sporadio </th <th></th> <th>Restricted</th>		Restricted				
Midespread Fremport Occurrence of the impact Impact - Occurrence of the impact Informittent or sporadic Impact - Occurrence of the impact Frequent Impact - Origoing Informittent or sporadic Impact - Origoing Insignificant Impact - Origoing Insignificant Impact - Origoing Impact - Origoing		Localised				
Temporalic Intermitted or sporadic Intermitted or sporadic Intermitted or sporadic On-going Impact - Ur be attributes Insignificant Insignificant Insignificant Impact - Ur be attributes Impact - Ur be attributes </th <th>×</th> <th>Extensive</th>	×	Extensive				
Note off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Unit attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Mijor Hanagement to respond Maior Hanagement to respond Minor Management to respond Management to respond Minor		Widespread				
K Internitient or sporadic Frequent Frequent On-going Internitibutes Impact - Internitibutes Insignificant K Minor Impact - Internition of the automotion of the spondom of th	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
Fequent Prequent On-going Impact - Impact		One off or rare				
On-going Impact - Imp	×	Intermittent or sporadic				
Impact - Impact Sector Insignificant Insignificant Minor Significant Significant Major Major High capacity of management to respond Significant Minor Maior		Frequent				
Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Hanagement to respond K High capacity of management to respond X Medium capacity		On-going				
 Minor Significant Major Management to respond Kiph capacity of management to respond Kiph capacity	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
Significant Major Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity		Insignificant				
Major Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity	×	Minor				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity X Medium capacity		Significant				
High capacity X Medium capacity		Major				
X Medium capacity	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
		High capacity				
Low capacity	×	Medium capacity				
		Low capacity				

No capacity and / or resource	s
no capacity and , or recourse	~

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin Trend	
4.13.4 Management activities		9	٢	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Opatial Sea				
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources		٢		9		Ċ	\$
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						

Widespread
Temporal	Frequent On-going npact - tor the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	٥	4	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
opatial scale - Alea allected by the factor	

Restricted Restricted Localised Internitient or sporadic Minimitent or sporadic Internitient or sporadic Prequest Internitient or sporadic Internitert or sporadic Internitert	Spatial Sca	ile - Area anecteu by the factor
ketansive Extensive Widespread Temporat Certorece of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Prequent In-going Intermittent or sporadic Inspirificant		Restricted
Midespread Fremport Occurrence of the impact Immediate of or rare Immediate or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Frequent Or-poing Immediate or sporadic Impact - U Immediate or sporadic Impact - U Immediate or sporadic Impact or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Impact or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Impact or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Impact or sporadic Immediate or sporadic Immediate or sporadic	×	Localised
Temporal Temporalic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - tor the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Magor Impact - tor the attributes Impact - tor the attributes Insignificant Minor Impact - tor the attributes Impact - tor		Extensive
No off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Unit attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Misor Hispense - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity		Widespread
Keinitent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - tor the attributes Insignificant Keinitent of Sporadic of Management to respond Minor Impact - tor the attributes Impact - tor	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
Fequent poing Impact-Impact-Impact - Impact - Im		One off or rare
on-going Impact - Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Magement of the attributes Main of the attributes Impact of the attributes Main of the attributes Impact of the attributes	×	Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity		Frequent
Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Haagement to respond Kanagement to respond High capacity of management to respond Medium capacity		On-going
Minor Significant Major Management to respond High capacity of management to respond Miduum capacity	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
Significant Major Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity		Insignificant
Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity	×	Minor
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity		Significant
High capacity Medium capacity		Major
Medium capacity	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
		High capacity
X Low capacity		Medium capacity
	×	Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

field be	
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low	13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities natial scale - Area affected by the factor			٢	→
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				

Widespread

Extensive

Temporal	Frequent Frequent On-going Import - Intertributes Import - Intertributes <			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
X Minor Significant Major Management to respond Minor Kanagement to respond Minor Muino capacity of management to respond Minor X Minor Molium capacity Medium capacity X Molium capacity X Molium capacity X Molium capacity X Decreasing X Decreasing X Static				
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

The Tequila Agave Landscape is a single site inscribed on the World Heritage List as a cultural landscape of productive character

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Urban Heritage	×			
4.18.1.2	Architectonic Heritage	×			

4.18.1.3	Archeological Heritage	×
4.18.1.4	Rural Heritage	×
4.18.1.5	Natural Heritage	×

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are $\ensuremath{\textit{adequate}}$ to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundaries of the Core Zone and Buffer Zone of the Agave Landscape are recognized by the authorities at all three levels of government and are integrated into the legal instruments.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Legal protection applies at Federal, State and Municipal levels.

Federal level: Federal protection applies to historical monuments before the 20th century, designated towns and villages, archaeological and industrial sites and the relationship between natural sites and cultural ones.

Mexican Official Regulation NOM-006-SCFI-1994. Specifications for Alcoholic Beverages-Tequila. Geographical Denomination Decree for Tequila. October 13, 1977

Federal Law Regarding Artistic, Historical and Archaeological Monuments and Sites. 1972. General Law in Human Settlements. General Law of National Properties. 1982. General Law of Ecological Balance and Environmental Protection.

State level: The State is responsible for the protected Tequila landscape through the Tequila Master Plan. Law of the Cultural Patrimony of the State of Jalisco and Municipalities. Rule for the Cultural Patrimony of the State of Jalisco and Municipalities. Law of Urban Development of the State of Jalisco.

Organic Law of PRODEUR.

Decrees of Natural Protection Areas.

Municipal level: At Municipal level there is control over 20th and 21st century buildings in the nominated area of patrimonial value.

Rule for the Protection and Improvement of the Urban Image of Tequila, Jalisco. Partial Plan of Urban Development on the Historical Center of Tequila, Jalisco. Partial Plan of Urban Development for the Conservation of the Urban and Architectural Patrimony of the Historical Center of Amatitán, Jalisco. Plan of Urban Development of the El Arenal, Jalisco. Model of Territorial Ecological Classification of the State of Jalisco, Region Valles.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There is a reasonable legal framework for the Tequila Agave Landscape, however there are some shortcomings in its implementation. To strengthen the legal framework, some development plans at the local level can be integrated and updated.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Reinforce and update the legal framework for the Tequila Agave Landscape by integrating the contents of the Management Plan registered with the World Heritage Center and conclude the process of updating the Management Plan.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at provincial/regional level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

A code of practice developed by industry

A management plan

An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The implementation of the Management Plan for the Agave Landscape of Tequila corresponds to the Intergovernmental Commission for the Conservation of the Agave Landscape in which the authorities of the three levels of government, civil society and private initiative are represented.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan de Manejo	In Force	Available	2004	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The Recommendation of the Historic Urban Landscape has been invoked for the authorization of some actions in the villages of the Agave Landscape. It is a recent activity that is in process.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

It is necessary to implement the Climate Change Policy in the rural and industrial development actions on the Agave Landscape. It has not yet been applied because the impact of Climate Change on agave plantations is not yet appreciated locally.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The strategy to Reducing Risks from Disasters in World Heritage Properties has been partially used in the process of updating the Management Plan that is currently being developed integrating a section on monitoring and risk prevention.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	Tequila industry				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Tequila industry				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The Management System for the Agave Landscape seeks coordination and linkage with the different key actors in the territory through the Intergovernmental Commission for the Conservation of the Agave Landscape in which the authorities of the three levels of government, civil society and private initiative are represented.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

It is necessary to reinforce the Intergovernmental Commission for the Conservation of the Agave Landscape and give it an executive character, not only advisory, to have better action in the conservation of the VUE of the Agave Landscape.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	50 %	50 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	30 %	30 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

In the National Institute of Anthropology and Federal History there is a permanent project to monitoring the Management Plan for the Agave Landscape plus the salary of the Site Manager, Dr. Luis Ignacio Gómez Arriola. In the State Ministry of Culture, resources and salaries are occasionally allocated to the project. At the municipal level, resources are allocated for the operation of the Agave Landscape Interpretation Centers.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The budgetary funds that are granted are insufficient for the proper management of the World Heritage site.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	70 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	30 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor

Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Not available
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Not available
Research and monitoring	Not available
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. Tequila's Agave Landscape project has generated limited capacity building in researchers and universities.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The human resources allocated to the Agave Landscape of Tequila are insufficient for the extension of the territory. In the National Institute of Anthropology and History there are permanent staff for the attention of the site (Site Manager) but in the other state or municipal dependencies there are no personnel dedicated exclusively to the management of the World Heritage because they carry out other activities in their work.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The territory of the Agave Landscape has generated numerous scientific studies and research in universities and public agencies but they are not always focused on the World Heritage site or its conservation.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property

amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

communities
sal/municipal authorities
ndowners
men
uth/children
searchers
al Visitors
ional/international tourists
urism industry
al businesses and industries
Os

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The Agave Landscape of Tequila has 4 interpretation centers distributed in the towns of El Arenal, Amatitán, Tequila and Magdalena. They are located in buildings acquired and restored for that purpose. They have adequate facilities but have continuity problems due to administrative changes at the State and Municipal level.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1'200,000 / 600,000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Transportation services Tourism industry	Accommodation establishments	
Tourism industry	Transportation services	
	Tourism industry	

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

National Chamber of the Tequila Industry (CNIT): The tourist route of the Tequila Route "is a successful tourism model" that in 2017 reached figures of more than 1.2 million visitors, which make it "one of the tourist poles of relevance for the country," said the president of the National Chamber of the Tequila Industry (CNIT), Rodolfo González González. Since its creation in 2005, the Tequila Route shows positive numbers in all its indicators. In number of visitors alone, the tourist tour went from 130,000 in 2006 to 1.2 million in 2017. Since 12 years ago, when the Agave Landscape and the Ancient Industrial Facilities of Tequila were declared a Cultural Heritage of Humanity (Unesco 2006), the region has become a successful tourism model, detonating the number of visitors.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100 / 40 / 50 / 20 / 20 / 20 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Preliminary estimates of the Ministry of Tourism of Jalisco

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

For the management of tourism in the Agave Landscape region, "The Tequila Route in the Agavero Landscape" was created in 2006 with the support of the Inter-American Development Bank, IDB, which has generated a successful model of tourism throughout the territory. It needs to be reinforced and better aligned with the objectives of the World Heritage site.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Secretaría de Turismo de Jalisco

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

some private agencies of cultural tourism

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

There are 4 Interpretation Centers on the Agave Landscape but not all tourists visit them. There must be better coordination between private tourism companies and local tourism institutions for an adequate work of transmitting the cultural values of the World Heritage site.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the

understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The evaluation of the key indicators of the general conservation status of the World Heritage site is carried out periodically through field trips to review conservation in agave plantations, in urban populations, in tequila facilities, in heritage architecture and in observance to traditional uses and practices. It is carried out by the National Institute of Anthropology and History through the Site Manager.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

For the Agave Landscape of Tequila there have been no specific recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The assessment of the main indicators of conservation as a World Heritage site is carried out by the National Institute of Anthropology and History through the Site Manager. Some other state government agencies conduct assessments of a tourist or environmental nature but not fully linked to the World Heritage site.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	×
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.4	Pollution								
4.4.2	Ground water pollution	Criteria II: The agave fields and the towns	Water treat	ment plants	Water tests	annual		ipal authorities, ntal authorities	Is important this issue
4.4.3	Surface water pollution	Criteria II and IV: S water contaminatio industrial spills and waste can affect sit	n from pla urban	iter treatment	Water tests	Permanent		Municipal authorities ambiental authoritie	
4.4.5		Solid wast	e						
4.6	Physical re	source extraction							
4.6.4	Water (extraction)	Criteria II and IV: Intensive water extra due to demand from urban villages and th tequila industry can a the environmental sustainability of the s	groundw e wells. affect	water ng, control of vater extraction	Groundwater monitoring	perma	inent	Ambiental authorities, municipal autho	important issue not well controlled. rities
4.8	Social/Cult	ural uses of heritage							
4.8.2		Society's valuing of heritage							
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Criteria II and V: Changes in traditiona uses and practices of the countryside could eventually become a risk factor for the sustainability of the Agave Landscape. It is not a serious problem at present.		kills for the Diffusion al values	ield investigation	Annual	-	namental Commissic Landscape	on It is necessary for for the sustainability of the Agave Landscape.
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Criteria II, V and VI: Identity and social cohesion are important for the conservation of the cultural values of the Agave Landscape.	Transmissio traditional sk Agave field. of the cultura of traditional	kills for the A Diffusion U al values	ield investigation in gave Landscape ar rban towns.	Annual	-	namental Commissic Landscape	on It is necessary for the sustainability of the Agave Landscape.
4.10	Climate cha	ange and severe weath	er events						
4.10.3		Dro	ught						
4.10.6		Tem chai	perature nge						
4.11	Sudden eco	ological or geological	events						
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Wildfires in Santia Rio Grande Cany or in the Tequila Volcano, Buffer Z of the Agave Landscape, can a the integrity of the World Heritage si	on Agave L	gation in the andscape.	Field monitoring	Permanent		Municipal authorities	This issue is fundamental for the or the sustainability of the Agave Landscape.
Question	n not completed								

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures						
		Actions		Time	frame	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	systems t Landscap through through through through through the commission of the com	tems that act in the Agave ddscape. Coordination meetings ough the Intergovernmental nmission of the Agave ddscape. Implementation of hagement Plan.		bordination meetings months through the intergovernmental ergovernmental Intergovernmental Commission of the f the Agave Agave Landscape. plementation of		As there is a constant change in the municipal authorities (3 years) it is difficult to make a planning in the medium and long term. There is also a lack of human and financial resources.
5.3	Management Sys	stem/Mana	gement Plan				
5.3.5	Some use has been made Int of the Historic Urban Re Landscape Url Recommendation to of develop policies and best pro practices for the protection Re of the property au		Historic Urban Recommendation of the Historic scape Urban Landscape in the Update mmendation to of the Management Plan in op policies and best process. Application of the ces for the protection Recommendation in the		Permanent	Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape. Municipal Authorities Secretaría de Cultura de Jalisco Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia	As there is a constant change in the municipal authorities (3 years) it is difficult to make a planning in the medium and long term. There is also a lack of human and financial resources.
5.3.11	There is coordina between the range administrative bod involved in the management of th property, but it co improved	e range of three levels of government ve bodies through the Intergovernment he Commission of the Agave nt of the Landscape.			Coordination meetings of the three levels of government every three months through the Intergovernmental Commission o the Agave Landscape.	Authorities Secretaría de Cultura	As there is a constant change in the municipal authorities (3 years) it is difficult to make a planning in the medium and long term. There is also a lack of human and financial resources.
5.3.13	The management at the property is o being partially implemented	partially Landscape at the three level		gave Is of ion of e every	Coordination meetings of the three levels of government every three months through the Intergovernmental Commission o the Agave Landscape.	Authorities Secretaría de Cultura	As there is a constant change in the municipal authorities (3 years) it is difficult to make a planning in the medium and long term. There is also a lack of human and financial resources.
5.3.17	 In a limite manner, t managem system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality 	ent ent	Although men and women wo equally in the Agave Landsca so far no action has been implemented that contributes gender equality.		Permanent.	Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape.	The gender equality is important for the everyday work in Agave Landscape.
6.1	Funding						
6.1.3	The available budget isBetter financing of the needs for the proper management of the Agave Landscape through the efforts of the basicInadequate for basicLandscape through the efforts of the Intergovernmental Commission of the andscape with the different authorities at the three levels of government. Better articulation of seriousefforts between institutions of the capacity to manage the World Heritage propertyefforts between institutions of the proservation of the Agave Landscape has World Heritage Site.		Interg	anent through the governmental Commission of the e Landscape.	Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape. Municipal Authorities Secretaría de Cultura de Jalisco Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia	As there is a constant change in the municipal authorities (3 years) it is difficult to make a planning in the medium and long term. There is also a lack of human and financial resources.	

7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects							
	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Better coordination with research centers to promote the integration of the Agave Landscape as a World Heritage site.	Permanent, thr Intergovernme Agave Landsca	ntal Commission of the	Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape. Secretaría de Cultura de Jalisco Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia	Better coordination		
9	Visitor Manager	nent						
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Coordination meetings of the three levels of government e through the Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape. Implementation of the tourism strategies foreseen in the Management Plan.		Permanent.	Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape.	More coordination with tourism agencies is necessary.		
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	The Agave Landscape of Tequila has 4 interpretation centers distributed in the towns of El Arenal, Amatitán, Tequila and Magdalena. Intergovernmental coordination.		Permanent	Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape. Municipal Authorities Secretaría de Cultura de Jalisco Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia	In Agave Landscape Territory is a constant change in the municipal authorities (3 years) it is difficult to make a planning in the medium and long term. There is also a lack of human and financial resources.		
10	Monitoring							
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Intergovernmental Coordin resources	nation Financial	Annual	Intergovernmental Commission of the Agave Landscape. Municipal Authorities Secretaría de Cultura de Jalisco Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia	In Agave Landscape territory there is a permanent lack of human and financial resources.		
Summary -	- Management N	eeds completed						

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Although there are some problems identified in the territory of the Agavero Tequila Landscape, the Outstanding Universal Value, Authenticity and Integrity are properly preserved.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The inscription of the Agave Landscape on the World Heritage List has generated a positive impact on the region. Investments have been made for the presentation and interpretation of the site, heritage properties have been restored, road infrastructure works have been carried out, training projects have been generated for the provision of tourist services and the offer in hotels, tours and restaurants has grown considerably, promoting in some areas an improvement in the quality of life of the inhabitant. The Agave Landscape has established itself as the second pole of tourist attraction in the State of Jalisco.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The Agave Landscape has generated considerable recognition of the status of World Heritage site. In the territory, coordination efforts have been made between authorities of the 3 levels of government that previously did not exist, although they can be improved. The training of tourism service providers carried out through the Tequila Route in the Agave Landscape and the Inter-American Development Bank has allowed a significant improvement in quality and good practices for the tourism sector. The implementation of the Agave Landscape Territorial System of interpretation and presentation with the placement of walls, information plaques, signs and street nomenclature have given a regional identity based on the history and cultural values of tequila and its region. The restoration of historic houses for the installation of the Agave Landscape Interpretation Centers has allowed visitors to learn about the values and attributes of the World Heritage site.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergies	
Management	
Governance	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's integrity and/or Addienticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans	
Fundraising	
Awareness raising	

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The use of the data generated by the 3rd cycle of the Periodic Report will be very useful for the updating of the Agave Landscape Management Plan currently in process. They can also be of assistance for the management of the coordination efforts developed through the Intergovernmental Commission for the Agave Landscape and for the work of monitoring the conservation status of the World Heritage site.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
UNESCO National Commission
ICOMOS national/regional

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

400 / 40 / 20 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

 $\label{eq:most} \textbf{Most} \text{ required information was accessible.}$

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The design of the questionnaire is easy to understand and answer. I think it requires only a few adjustments.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? Training to answer the questionnaire is adequate.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

```
15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
```

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise The questionnaire is well designed, clear and easy to answer. We believe that it can be useful for the assessment of the state of conservation, management and challenges of World Heritage sites.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.