

# Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh	53.223 / 26.691	0	0	0	2005
Total (ha)			0		

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh - map of inscribed property	2005	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Niasvizh"](#)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

no

**2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?**

No

**2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?**

No

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?**

No

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

No

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

## 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

## 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

## 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

## 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

"The Nesvizh Archive and Library Collection"

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

The Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh in central Belarus exercised great influence in the sciences, arts, crafts and architecture of Central and Eastern Europe. The efforts of the Radziwill dynasty, which built and kept this ensemble of buildings and their associated landscape from

1583 until 1939 and which included some of the most notable personalities in European history and culture who introduced novel concepts based on a synthesis of Western traditions, led to the establishment of a new Central European school of architecture. The Radziwill family complex - and in particular the domed basilica Corpus Christi mausoleum-church represents an important stage in the development of building typology in 16th and 17th century Central European architecture.

The Radziwill family complex consists of a residential castle and the Corpus Christi mausoleum-church, along with their landscaped setting. The compact castle has ten interconnected buildings, including a palace, galleries, residence, family archive and arsenal, all of which were developed as a single architectural ensemble around a six-sided courtyard. The buildings are set within the remains of 16th century fortifications comprised of four bastions and four curtain walls in a rectangular plan, surrounded by a moat. An earthen dam with a stone bridge connects the castle to Corpus Christi Church in the adjacent urban area of Nesvizh. The ensemble of buildings, interspersed by artificial reservoirs and canals of the river Usha, is in a picturesque 100 ha landscape that includes a series of thematic parks and ponds.

Over the centuries the Radziwills supported activities in various spheres of science and culture, and also invited important cultural personalities, architects, artists and craftsmen to the small town of Nesvizh. These interactions introduced the latest architectural innovations from Southern and Western Europe and became seminal in synthesizing and transmitting these trends to Central and Eastern Europe. An architectural school emerged here that consisted of artists from Belarus, Poland, Italy, and Germany who developed sophisticated construction and building techniques. The buildings of the Radziwill family complex became important prototypes in Central Europe, and exercised considerable influence in this region.

**Criterion (ii):** The Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh was the cradle for the introduction of new concepts based on the synthesis of Western traditions, leading to the establishment of a new architectural school in Central Europe.

**Criterion (iv):** The Radziwill complex represents an important stage in the development of new a building typology and in the history of Central European architecture during the 16th and 17th centuries. In particular, this concerns Corpus Christi Church with its domed basilica typology.

**Criterion (vi):** The Radziwill family was particularly significant for its association with the interpretation of influences from Southern and Western Europe and the transmission of ideas within Central and Eastern Europe.

Integrity

All the elements that sustain the Outstanding Universal Value of the Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh are located within the boundaries of the 120 ha property, including the residential castle, the Corpus Christi church-mausoleum, and the landscaped parks and ponds. The property is therefore of adequate size to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes that convey its significance. There is also a 292 ha buffer zone. The state of conservation of the interrelated elements is good.

Authenticity

The overall historical authenticity of the property has been maintained regarding its location and setting, form and design, and materials and substance. The territory of the castle complex and the surrounding natural landscape have largely been preserved. Drawings and maps of Nesvizh from the 16th to the 18th centuries reveal a high degree of authenticity in the design of the complex. The castle and the church-mausoleum include construction materials, structures and craft, dating from the 16th to 18th centuries. The fortifications were destroyed in the 17th century, and more recently there has been some reconstruction (e.g. the bell tower). The landscaped park, with its romantic features dating mainly from the 19th century, has suffered from neglect, though it has been subject to some clearing and replanting in recent decades. As a whole, the landscape has maintained all its essential components, especially in the immediate surroundings of the castle and the Corpus Christi Church.

The castle's Eastern Gallery was demolished and rebuilt in 2006-2010 and a heating system has been planned for the mausoleum-church, both without benefit of an overall conservation plan. Some concerns have been raised about these and other recent rehabilitation and modernization works, and in general about the balance between repair and renewal.

Protection and management requirements

The castle, the church-mausoleum and the causeway that links them are in state ownership. The church-mausoleum, which has a religious function, is managed by the Ecclesiastical Council, while the causeway is under the management of the Nesvizh Region Executive Committee. The Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh, which is a Property of National Significance, is administered by the National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nyasvizh", formed in 1996 in accordance with a Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Belarus. In general, all activities related to the protection of the property are coordinated by the Department for the Protection of Historical and Cultural Heritage and Restoration in the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Belarus. The Nesvizh General Plan (2007) controls the central part of the town and insures that the scale of development and the adaptation of buildings respect the character of the historic buildings. There is a Management Commission for the property established in 2005, and a Management Plan adopted by the decision of the Methodological Council on Historical and Cultural Heritage of the Ministry of Culture in 2006, and modified in 2010. The Management Plan seeks to organize the collective work for the protection of the Nesvizh urban landscape and the visual impact of interventions on the integrity of the urban environment within the property's buffer zone. The Management Plan for the property requires revision to respond to a very significant increase in the number of visits to the property and other pressures.

Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh over time will require revising the Management Plan to address the significant increase in visits to the property and to clearly set out approaches for conservation, restoration and renewal, in particular concerning rehabilitation and modernization works, as well as to ensure that appropriate planning measures (such as a conservation plan) are in place and adopted in order to prevent interventions that could have a negative impact on the values, authenticity and integrity of the property.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The volume-planning solution of the architectural ensemble of the Radziwill family	✗			
3.2.2	Small architectural forms on the territory of the Church of the God's Body	✗			
3.2.3	Landscape solution	✗			
3.2.4	Location and role of the ensemble in the composite-planning structure of the city	✗			
3.2.5	Surrounding urban landscape, buffer zone	✗			
3.2.6	Traditional use of the complex as a functioning cultural, educational and religious center	✗			
3.2.7	Library and documentary heritage of Nesvizh	✗			

3.2.8	Memorial importance	✕			
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

no

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant










Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------










	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕			✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	→ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	→ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕		✕		✕			↗
➖ Negative							

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕		✕			

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✕		✕	Negative ✕	✕			

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant



**4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant			✕ Not relevant				
----------	--	--	----------------	--	--	--	--

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside








✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

##### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing

Positive 							
Negative 							

**4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	
➖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕			→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------













#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities					
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure					

4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	+		🔧		🔄	➡
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
	-		🔧		🔄	➡
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
	-		🔧		🔄	➡
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	+	🔧	🔧	🕒	🔄	➡
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	+	🔧	🔧	🕒	🔄	➡
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	+	🔧		🕒		➡
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	+	🔧		🕒	🔄	➡
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	+	🔧	🔧	🕒	🔄	➡
	-					
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	+	🔧	🔧	🕒	🔄	➡
4.13.2 Legal framework	+	🔧	🔧		🔄	➡
4.13.3 Governance	+	🔧	🔧	🕒	🔄	➡
4.13.4 Management activities	+	🔧	🔧	🕒		
4.13.5 Financial resources	+	🔧	🔧	🕒	🔄	➡
4.13.6 Human resources	+	🔧	🔧	🕒		➡
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	+	🔧	🔧	🕒	🔄	➡
<b>Legend</b>	🔧 Current	🔧 Potential	- Negative	+	🕒 Inside	🔄 Outside

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<div>+</div> <div>🔧</div> <div>🔧</div>	<div>🔄</div>	<div>➡</div>

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent						
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities					

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor

	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	+	↓	↓	↻	→

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing



4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.3 Surface water pollution							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
--	-----------------

✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing






## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land conversion						
						





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	 	






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	 	 	




<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources





<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	  	 	
			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread






Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes





✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years




	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	  	 	

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive







✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity



	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	  	 	






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major







<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	  		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive

	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The volume-planning solution of the architectural ensemble of the Radziwill family	✕			
4.18.1.2	Small architectural forms on the territory of the Church of the God's Body	✕			
4.18.1.3	Landscape solution	✕			
4.18.1.4	Location and role of the ensemble in the composite-planning structure of the city	✕			
4.18.1.5	Surrounding urban landscape, buffer zone	✕			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?**

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

In the Belarusian legislation there is no concept of buffer zone, applied the concept of "protection zone", whose role for the object of cultural heritage of the Republic is similar to that of the buffer zones of the World Heritage sites, i.e. ensuring resistance to external influences, as well as the preservation of the historical environment and the visual perception of the object.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

**5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).**

The architectural-cultural residential complex of the Radziwills in Nesvizh is a property of National Significance. In 1996, by a decree of the Council of Ministers of the Republic of Belarus, the castle and the Corpus Christi church were included in the National Historical and Cultural Reserve- Museum ("Nacyanalny historika-kulturny musey zapavednik "Nesvizh"), and this institution has direct control over and supervises the conservation of both complexes as well as managing the castle. The church is managed by the Ecclesiastical Council. The causeway linking the castle and church is under the management of the Nesvizh Region Executive Committee ("Nesvizhski rayonny vykanauchy kamitet"). A group of experts and representatives of NGO has been set up recently for the coordination of activities for the restoration and maintenance of the building of the church.

The protection of the castle and church as historical monuments is ensured by the Law of the Republic of Belarus through the act "On the Protection of Historical and Cultural Heritage" which is administered by the Ministry of Culture. The National Historical and Cultural Reserve-Museum "Nesvizh" acts in its name. The castle and the Corpus Christi church and the causeway with the road which links them are in state ownership.

Source: 2010 RMM mission report

#### Comment

Since 1998, the Church of the Body of God with the crypt has been under the jurisdiction of the Niasvizh District Executive Committee. The church is managed by the Ecclesiastical Council. The Radziwills' ancestral castle is managed by the National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Niasvizh". Parks, ponds and the dam that connects the castle and the church are managed by the housing and communal services of Niasvizh district.

**5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category**

**5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

**5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

**5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

The implementation of legislative norms in the field of protection of historical and cultural heritage and control over their implementation is provided by a number of state bodies at different levels of government. Territorial and spatial planning, activities in the buffer zone are determined by the general plan of Niasvzh until 2030.

**5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

It is necessary to introduce the provision of the Convention concerning buffer zones into the national legislative system

**5.3. Management System/Management Plan**

**5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

**5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)


A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

The 2010 management plan is currently in effect. The basis of the plan is the Law of the Republic of Belarus "On protection of the historical and cultural heritage of the Republic of Belarus" of 2006. The following bodies exercise powers to manage the World Heritage site in Nesvizh: Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Belarus National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh Nesvizh District Executive Committee Nesvizh district housing and communal services. There is also an annual work plan.

**5.3.4 - Management Documents**

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan of the Architectural, Residential and Cultural Complex of the Radziwill Family at Nesvizh	In Force	Available	2005	

**5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

The detailed plan of the central part of Niasvzh with the project of regeneration of historical buildings is in force in the city of Niasvzh. Today, the detailed plan of the central part of Niasvzh is the main urban planning document. The detailed plan defines the parameters of tourism and recreation infrastructure development, projects of regeneration and adaptation of the quarter located near the central square, a reconstruction project of the tourist center, provides for the reconstruction of existing buildings in order to eliminate the dissonant impact on the nearby monuments of architecture.

**5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:**

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		✗			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			✗		
5.3.15.5	Women			✗		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✗	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				✗	
5.3.16.4	Landowners			✗		
5.3.16.5	Women				✗	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✗	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✗	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				✗	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				✗	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✗	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			✗		
5.3.16.12	NGOs				✗	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				✗	

5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

The World Heritage Site Management Plan is currently being finalized

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	30 %	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	7 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	1 %	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	52 %	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	18 %	%
6.1.6.2	Women	82 %	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Fair
--------------	------

Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

## 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

## 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Indigenous peoples
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
NGOs

## 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

320 876 / 178 945 / 141 062 / 426 142 / 421 881 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?



Entry tickets and registries

Tourism industry

**9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?**

One day (no overnight stay)

**9.4 - Please provide the source of information**

Tourism Industry Institutions of accommodation

**9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)**

30 / 15 / 10 / 6 / 6 /

**9.6 - Please provide the source of information**

Tourism Industry Institutions of accommodation Public catering facilities

**9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?**

There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

**9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7**

A management strategy for visitors, tourism activities, and their implications for the World Heritage Site is under development, along with a management plan

**9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?**

No

If a different system, please specify

**9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?**

There is **limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to **present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

**9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **adequately presented and interpreted**

**9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In **one location** and **easily visible** to visitors

**9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

**Fees are collected** and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

**9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?**

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

There are a number of activities aimed at the development of tourism in the region

**9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?**

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

**9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property**

**10. Monitoring**

**10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

**10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate** and **key indicators have been defined** but **monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

**10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?**

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×

10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Surrounding area and landscaping elements Parks layout, plants and monuments Water levels in the ponds around the castle and church Foundations, plinths Stairs, doors Exterior walls and facades Roofing, ventilation, drainage systems Masonry Interior decor Doors, windows and floors Temperature-humidity regime Sanitary condition of the premises and territory Electricity and lighting system Fire and security alarm system Video surveillance Lightning protection system

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	×
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>In a limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)</b></li> </ul>	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is acceptable</b> but <b>could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is <b>no site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is <b>no strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×

9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	✕
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	✕
<b>10</b>	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is a <b>small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	✕
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>	✕

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.4	Pollution						
4.4.3	Surface water pollution	Does not have a significant impact	Was developed in 2019. "Project of water protection zones and coastal strips of water bodies in the city of Nesvizh". Minimum boundaries of water protection zones and coastal strips of water bodies were established. A list of recommended measures aimed at the conservation and restoration of surface water bodies in Nesvizh was developed	Water samples are taken regularly	Annually	Nesvizh District Inspectorate of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection	--

4.5	Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3	Land conversion	Does not have a significant impact	It is planned to take a number of measures to reclaim disturbed lands, reconstruct storm sewers, etc.	Water samples are taken regularly	Annually	Nesvizh District Inspectorate of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection	--

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Preserving the visual integrity of the city's historic development and World Heritage Site	2025-2030	Nesvizh District Executive Committee	---
5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	An expert group consisting of representatives of organizations involved in the management of the World Heritage Site has been established, which also coordinates the development of the Management Plan for the World Heritage Site	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh" Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Belarus	--

6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is acceptable</b> but <b>could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Annual budget planning is conducted. Funds are allocated according to the needs of the facility	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh" Nesvizh District Executive Committee Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Belarus	---
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	The World Heritage Site Capacity Development Strategy is in the process of being explored for inclusion in the World Heritage Site Management Plan	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh"	---
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	Areas of research will be determined in the development of a new concept for the museum-reserve "Nesvizh"	2025-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh"	---
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	There is <b>no strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	Plans are underway to develop a strategy for visitor management, tourism activities and their derivatives as part of the development of a Management Plan for the World Heritage Site	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh"	----
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	The plan is to develop and implement a visitor research program	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh"	---
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	Improving communication with the tourism industry to increase recognition of outstanding universal value	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh"	---
10	Monitoring				

10.1	There is a <b>small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	Improvement of the monitoring system at the site	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh" Nesvizh District Executive Committee	----
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>	The list of key monitoring indicators needs to be refined	2022-	National Historical and Cultural Museum-Reserve "Nesvizh"	--

#### Summary - Management Needs completed

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

#### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Not applicable
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive

Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
State of Conservation
Management

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans
Awareness raising

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission
ICOMOS International

#### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

#### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

450 / 300 / 20 /
------------------

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

#### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

### 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

### 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**