Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl	57.653 / 39.876	110	580	690	2005
Total (ha)		110	580	690	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of the Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl	2005	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Yaroslavl Region

Comment

https://gis76.ru

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- Not applicable
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

 No
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The city of Yaroslavl is situated on the Volga River at its confluence with the Kotorosl River, some 250 km northeast of Moscow. It was founded by the Prince of Kievan Russia Yaroslav-the-Wise (988-1010) and consisted of a small wooden fortress. Until the 13th century, it had belonged to the territory of Rostov Principality and in 1218 it became the capital of Yaroslavl Principality. The city of Yaroslavl started developing in 1463 when Yaroslavl Principality joined the powerful Moscow state. After several fires, and starting from the 16th century, the original wooden town was gradually rebuilt in stone.

The Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl is the oldest part and the kernel of development of one of the most ancient, rich, and well preserved Russian cities. The historic centre is a representative example of the development of the planning structures of ancient Russian cities, which was subject to regular urban re-development as a part of unique town-planning reform pursued by Empress Catherine the Great at the end of 18th century. Solutions developed and implemented in Yaroslavl ensured preservation of the historical environment and spatial integrity in the central part of the city. The Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl became a recognised model in the art of town planning during the Neoclassical Age, which has organically incorporated ancient elements of the city's historical structure.

The historical centre of Yaroslavl comprises a large number of town-planning elements representing the development of Russian architecture of the 16th to 18th centuries. The property consists of the historic centre of the city, the Slobody, forming roughly a half circle with radial streets from the centre. It is essentially Neoclassical in style, with harmonious and uniform streetscapes. Most residential and public buildings are two to three storeys high along wide streets and urban squares. A specific and unique feature of Yaroslavl is the existence of numerous 16th- and 17th-century churches and monastic ensembles with valuable mural paintings and iconostases, which are outstanding in terms of their architecture, as dominant town-planning elements and composition centres. The main merits of the town-planning structure and architectural face of Yaroslavl city centre are the rational approach to activation of artistic values of the past within the city system, and the subordination of further architectural constructions to them, using the contrast between picturesque ancient churches and distinctly regular, symmetrical, composed classical buildings of the later periods.

Another particularity is the organic use of the rich natural landscape at the junction of two rivers, with their picturesque banks and wide water expanses. They reveal marvellous sights of well-equipped embankments with the best buildings constructed there.

Criterion (ii): Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl with its 17th-century churches and its neoclassical radial urban plan and civic architecture is an outstanding example of the interchange of cultural and architectural influences between Western Europe and the Russian Empire.

Criterion (iv): Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl is an outstanding example of the town-planning reform ordered by Empress Catherine The Great in the whole of Russia, implemented between 1763 and 1830.

Integrity

The vast majority of all the attributes and elements expressing the Outstanding Universal Value are within the property border. The property has adequate size (110 ha) to ensure the complete representation of the features and processes which convey the property's significance. The 580 ha buffer zone provides also the protection to maintain the conditions of integrity.

All the attributes of the property are still present in good condition, and dynamic functions between them are maintained. The most significant monuments of cultural heritage in the historical centre of the city are architectural complexes of central streets, squares and embankments. In addition, among the most important architectural objects of the centre of Yaroslavl are the Spaso Preobrazhensky monastery founded in the 12th century with walls and towers of the 16th to19th centuries, and the 17th-century Church of the Epiphany.

The conditions of integrity are threatened by the violation of the historical horizontal skyline with dominating elements, in particular, the serious changes to the town-planning due to the construction of the Uspenskiy Cathedral. Other factors that require attention include the gradual change of the town-planning structure, new construction projects and restoration projects that adapt to modern functions.

Authenticity

From the town-planning point of view, the inscribed property has retained its authenticity. It is noted that, differing from many other renovation projects in the Soviet period, the banks and islands of the Kotorosl River have been preserved, retaining the historic town with its rare natural framework. Even the river port on the Volga built in the 1980s does not interfere excessively with the town-planning composition. In the Stalinist period, thousands of churches were demolished especially in larger cities in Russia. On the other hand, in Yaroslavl, out of some 80 churches and chapels, 56 have survived intact. This number has no comparison in other parts of Russia. Even though some churches were used as workshops or warehouses, they have usually retained their artistic finishes. Only a minimum of restoration is required and it has already been started with several buildings. The work done so far is considered to respond to required standards. The residential buildings, dating from 18th to early 20th centuries, have survived almost completely. Parts of the masonry fortifications have also been preserved in the northern and north-eastern section of the town, as well as the towers of the Virgin and Uglic, and the Volga Gates.

Protection and management requirements

The state management system of the property comprises the federal level represented by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation, the regional level represented by the Government of the Yaroslavl Region (Department of Culture of the Yaroslavl Region), and the municipal level represented by the Administration of the City of Yaroslavl (Department of Architecture and Development of Territories of the City of Yaroslavl).

Administrative bodies in cooperation with other stakeholders carry out all processes according to the following normative documents: Federal Law of 25 June 2002, No. 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation"; the Master Plan of the City of Yaroslavl (approved by the Decision of the Municipality of Yaroslavl of 6 April 2006, No. 226); the Decision of the Government of the Yaroslavl Region of 22 June 2011, No. 456-p "On approval of the project on protected zones of the cultural heritage properties (monuments of history and culture) of the City of Yaroslavl" (the Project comprises the description of the territory of the Historic Centre of the City of Yaroslavl with its buffer zone and regulations within the named territories); the Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation of 17 October 2012, "On the approval of the object of protection of the cultural heritage property of federal importance "Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl" and others".

Within the management system, the process of licensing for construction and restoration works within the boundaries of the property and its buffer zone is arranged in accordance with the official legal instruments and regulations.

The Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl is included in the List of heritage properties of federal importance, which is managed by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation.

The main management challenges that will warrant attention include the development of a methodology for assessment and exploration of the historical context of the city, and for the careful preservation of architectural and town-planning integrity. In addition, procedures for evaluation and licensing of new construction and development projects which might impact the property will need to be clarified. The mechanisms for the development of Heritage Impact Assessments prior to approval of projects will need to be defined. Finally, the enforcement of regulations to ensure that the city's horizontal skyline is maintained, as well as the strict control of design quality, scales, materials and massing of projects of new buildings and constructions inside of the boundaries of the property and its buffer zone will also need to be adequately addressed.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The heritage of the medieval city (remains of fortification systems for Rubleniy Gorod and Zemlyanoy Gorod in the urban landscape; remains of the Medieval planning structure; archaeological heritage sites; historical buildings of the 16th-17th centuries; Ancient Russian City)	×			
3.2.2	Heritage of the urban planning reform (radial-ring planning structure with focal points; landscape-composition structure formed as a result of the urban planning reform; historical development from the 18th century to the early 19th century)	×			
3.2.3	Cultural landscape of Yaroslavl (historical natural frame; continuity of development (rational approach to the inclusion of monuments of the past in the urban planning structure)	×			
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×			/	

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			i	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★		×		×			1
○ Negative X	×		×	×	S		

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not rel	evant
--------------------	-------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			,
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			7
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×			7
Negative							

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Shipping and navigation routes have a positive impact on the UNESCO World Heritage Site as they provide perception and transport accessibility to the visitors.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Delever	w
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

nt	✗ Not relevant
----	----------------

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×		→	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

elevant	✗ Not relevant
---------	----------------

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

The issue of organizing the purification of storm water drainage and the organization of treatment facilities within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site is of great importance. At the moment, the options for solving this issue are being studied.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Relevant

positively

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	C Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×		×		>			

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×	•		

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×	•			

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×	×	×			\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

On the basis of the "Management Plan" developed by Russian Research Institute of Cultural and Natural Heritage named after D. S. Likhachev the structural bodies of the Government of Yaroslavl region, together with local authorities of Yaroslavl (City Hall), have developed long-term programs aimed at the development, conservation and monitoring of the World Heritage Site.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
		9	9	•	Œ	7
4.1.2 Commercial development			9		Œ	7
		9		•	Œ	S
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0	9	9	•	Œ	7
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9	9	•	Œ	1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	9		•	Œ	7
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	O	9		•	Œ	1
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	q		•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.3 Services Infrastructures						

4.3.4 Localised utilities									
					9		•	(F	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage									
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associ	iative uses			•	q	9	•		→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage				•	q	9	•	E	-
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in	n local population and commu	unity			q	9	•	Œ	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recrea	ation			O	q	9	•	Œ	→
4.9 Other human activities									
4.9.1 Illegal activities									
					q		•		\$
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage									
					9		•	G	\
4.10 Climate change and severe weather e	events								
4.10.2 Flooding									
					q		•	Œ	S
4.13 Management and institutional factors	S								
4.13.1 Management system/Management	plan			O	9	9	•	(\rightarrow
4.13.2 Legal framework				•	9	9	•	(\rightarrow
4.13.3 Governance				•	9	9	•	(1
4.13.4 Management activities				0	9	P	•	G	\rightarrow
4.13.5 Financial resources				O	9	9	•		→
4.13.6 Human resources				O	9		•	C	→
4.13.7.Low impact research/manitoring	rtivitias							78	_
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring ac	ctivities			②	9	9	•	ઉ	→

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.1.1 Housing						
		q	9	•	Œ	7
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~3	Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Comm	ercial development	•	<i></i> 01	9		G	<i>></i>
			7		Q	G.	*
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	oact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin	-4	Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	4	9	•	G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	•	4	9	•	G	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						

Restricted

	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groun	3.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9	•	Œ	7
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
opatiai sca	e - Alea allected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					

Insignificant

Minor
Significant
Major

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marino	e transport infrastructure	•	9	•	E	7
Snatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
opatiai sca	Restricted					
×	Localised					
~	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Townsels						
i emporai s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
**	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going Control of the Control of t					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	a vising from use of transportation infrastructure	Impact		Origin	net.	Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	9	•	(→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.4 Local	ised utilities					
			9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					

	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual	/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	9	•		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage

Name

Origin

0 9 9 0 6

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Impact	q	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.0.5 Identi	y, social conesion, changes in local population and community		7	-1	G	Ģ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Impact	q	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.0.0 IIIIpac	as of tourism visitation (coreation)		-1	-1		G	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

4.9.1 Illegal	.1 Illegal activities								
			9		•		•		
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact								
×	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Imp	Impact - Impact on the attributes								
	Insignificant								
×	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years								
×	Decreasing								
	Static								
	Increasing								
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend		
	rate destruction of heritage	шрасс			Origin		rrena		
			9		()	Œ	S		
	e - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
	cale - Occurence of the impact								
×	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Prequent On going								
Impact Inc.	On-going pact on the attributes								
paot - IIII	Incignificant								

	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.2 F	looding						
			9		•	Œ	S
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Ma	nagement system/Management plan	O	4	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial se	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~3	Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Le	gal framework	•	9	9	•	C	→
Spatial so	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	O	9	9	•	E	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.4 Mana	agement activities	②	9	9	②	E	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	ncial resources	Impact	q	q	Origin		Trend
			•	•	3		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						

Impact - Impact on the attributes									
	Insignificant								
	Minor								
×	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend		
4.13.6 Human resources		•	9		•	(→		
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor								
	Restricted								
	Localised								
×	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
×	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes								
	Insignificant								
	Minor								
×	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond								
	High capacity								
×	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	0	q	9	•	Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The heritage of the medieval city (remains of fortification systems for Rubleniy Gorod and Zemlyanoy Gorod in the urban landscape; remains of the Medieval planning structure; archaeological heritage sites; historical buildings of the 16th-17th centuries; Ancient Russian City)	×			
4.18.1.2	Heritage of the urban planning reform (radial-ring planning structure with focal points; landscape-composition structure formed as a result of the urban planning reform; historical development from the 18th century to the early 19th century)	×			
4.18.1.3	Cultural landscape of Yaroslavl (historical natural frame; continuity of development (rational approach to the inclusion of monuments of the past in the urban planning structure)	×			
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Les principales législations - en vigueur ou à l'état de projet - concernant le bien se situent à deux niveaux: fédéral et régional.

Législations au niveau fédéral

- La Loi fédérale sur le patrimoine culturel (monument de l'histoire et de la culture) des peuples de la Fédération de Russie (2002, la dernière version est de 2010) loi spéciale principale relative au patrimoine culturel;
- Le Code d'urbanisme (2002, 2004, 2005, 2011), qui fait l'objet d'amendements presque annuels entraînant d'autres amendements de lois s'y rattachant ;
- La loi qui transmet aux organisations religieuses les biens à vocation religieuse qui étaient une propriété de l'Etat ou de la municipalité (novembre 2010) ;
- L'Arrêté N° 315 du 26/04/2008 de la Fédération de Russie relatif aux Dispositions dans les zones de protection du patrimoine culturel déterminant la procédure de réglementation des zones de protection ;
- L'Arrêté N° 794 du 5/10/2010 de la Fédération de Russie relatif aux amendements à proposer aux Dispositions dans les zones de protection du patrimoine culturel, qui permet «des écarts des paramètres limites de la construction permise» ;
- L'Arrêté N°954/19.12.2011 du ministère de la Culture approuvant des Dispositions pour un registre d'Etat unifié du patrimoine culturel ;
- Le projet d'amendement à La Loi fédérale sur le patrimoine culturel (monument de l'histoire et de la culture) des peuples de la Fédération de Russie (2002), qui apporte plusieurs suppléments et changements concernant les limites des zones de protection et les régimes, le système de gestion, le registre d'Etat du patrimoine culturel, les activités de conservation, etc.:
- Un projet d'amendement à La Loi fédérale sur le patrimoine culturel (monument de l'histoire et de la culture) des peuples de la Fédération de Russie (2002) concernant le contenu, la préservation et les fonctions admissibles de la "localité historique" (en russe: "istoricheskoe poselenie").

Législations au niveau régional

- Loi de la région de Yaroslav N° 25-h de 2008 sur les sites du patrimoine culturel se trouvant sur le territoire de la région de Yaroslav ;
- Dispositions relatives à la préservation des monuments archéologiques et de la strate culturelle de la ville (N° 582 de 1989) :
- Arrêté du Gouvernement de la région de Yaroslav N° 456-P du 22.06.2011 pour approuver un nouveau projet pour les zones de protection des sites du patrimoine culturel à Yaroslavl. Cet arrêté apporte quelques changements aux zones de protection approuvées en 2008 ;
- Loi de l'urbanisme dans la région de Yaroslav (N° 39-h du 7.11.2011) qui modifie la procédure de délivrance de permis de construire, conformément aux changements intervenus dans le Code d'urbanisme.

Le bien est le seul site du Patrimoine mondial en Russie pour lequel la notion de «Zone tampon» soit appliquée conformément aux exigences des Orientations (dans la Loi fédérale, la notion n'est pas réglementée). Les limites de la zone tampon sont bien motivées. Elles comprennent en toute logique les quartiers situés immédiatement à l'ouest du bien ainsi que «le bassin visuel» fluvial de l'estuaire du Kotorosl dans la Volga et les territoires riverains. Il convient de mentionner spécialement la haute qualité du paysage des zones naturelles riveraines qui présentent une configuration complexe sur les deux rives du Kotorosl. Le bien est organiquement relié aux deux fleuves grâce aux silhouettes urbaines fort expressives qui constituent l'une des caractéristiques de sa VUE.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for

maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The process of issuing permits for construction and restoration work within the boundaries of the site and its buffer zone is carried out in accordance with official legal acts and regulations. With regard to land plots located within the boundaries of the site and its buffer zone, the Department of City Planning of the City Hall of Yaroslavl, at the request of land plot owners, issues urban development plans for land plots, which contain information on the existing restrictions in the area.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Within the framework of the existing legislation, the measures provided for are sufficient.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management plan for the Historical Centre of the City of Yaroslavl	N/A	Available	2018	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

In accordance with the Recommendation, planning mechanisms are in place and being developed (p.b of Section IV of the Recommendation), including such areas as monitoring and management of transformations aimed at improving the quality of life of the population, considering the assessment of the impact of transformations on cultural heritage, society and the environment.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		×			
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	15 %	15 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	50 %	50 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	5 %	5 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

At the moment there is no direct funding for the maintenance of the world heritage site. Funding is provided through other projects. Improvement of the city, private funding for the repair of objects within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	35 %
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	65 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor

Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Poor

Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1049186 / 1020239 / 590279 / 961841 / 456851 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Other

The Department of Tourism of the Yaroslavl region and the City Hall of Yaroslavl

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stavs

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The information is provided by the Department of Tourism of the Yaroslavl region and the City Hall of Yaroslavl.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

54,02 / 27,01 / 4,05 / 8,01 / 10,08 / 8,78 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The information is provided by the Department of Tourism of the Yaroslavl region and collected by analytical method based on price lists from public sources at the time of filling in the data.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

There is a strategy for the development of tourism in the Yaroslavl region and the city of Yaroslavl, including the World Heritage Site.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The government of the Yaroslavl region is working on the popularisation of the city of Yaroslavl. It is also worth noting private initiatives of holding festivals and organizing exhibitions on the territory of the site. All this leads to the preservation of the cultural characteristics of the site.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The development of tourism leads to the economic growth. New jobs appear.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

It is necessary to increase the information component of the World Heritage Site in terms of placing information on tickets for public cultural and tourist events held within the boundaries of the historic center of Yaroslavl.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The Management Plan outlines the following types of monitoring, which are carried out with a frequency of once every six months: - monitoring of conservation of Outstanding Universal Value (assessment of state of conservation); - monitoring of risk management; - monitoring of sustainable development of the territory of the site

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Implementation of the recommendations of the World Heritage Committee is carried out at the federal, regional and local level through the adoption of appropriate regulations and legal acts in relation to the cultural heritage site of federal significance "Historical Center of Yaroslavi".

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	

6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
□ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development								
4.1.1	Housing	Criteria (ii)(iv); attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3; Impact on the silhouettes of the perception of the site of high-rise buildings within 6 km from the site.	Preparation for the development of documentation for the central part of the city of Yaroslavl to become a landmark, which will further regulate urban planning activities in terms of perception and the architectural environment.	There are public organizations: Council of the Department of Cultural Heritage Protection and Architectural and Urban Planning Commission of the Department of Construction of the Yaroslavl Region, with representatives of the professional community.	Permanent activities of authorized bodies.	Department of Construction of the Yaroslavl Region. The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. Department of Town Planning of Yaroslavl City Hall. All-Russian Society for the Protection of Cultural Heritage.	None		
4.1.2	Commercial development	Criteria (ii)(iv); attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3; Impact on the silhouettes of the perception of the site of high-rise buildings within 6 km from the site.	Preparation for the development of documentation for the central part of the city of Yaroslavl to become a landmark, which will further regulate urban planning activities in terms of perception and the architectural environment.	There are public organizations: Council of the Department of Cultural Heritage Protection and Architectural and Urban Planning Commission of the Department of Construction of the Yaroslavl Region, with representatives of the professional community.	Permanent activities of authorized bodies.	Department of Construction of the Yaroslavl Region. The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. Department of Town Planning of Yaroslavl City Hall. All-Russian Society for the Protection of Cultural Heritage.	None		
4.3	Services Infr	astructures							
4.3.4	Localised utilities	Criteria (ii)(iv); attribut 3.2.1, 3.2.2; There is a impact on the architectural perception of the appearance of the cultural heritage site a result of significant dusting of building facades.	amount of territory cleaning, work with green spaces, wet the cleaning of streets,	Ongoing work of specialized organizations. Performance of control revision visits by representatives of the regional government in order to monitor the state of the architectural environment.	Constant work of the utilities.	Department of Urban Economy of the Yaroslavl City Mayor's Office. Department of Road Facilities of the Yaroslavl Region.	None		

4.9	Other human	n activities					
4.9.1	Illegal activities	Criteria (ii)(iv); attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.2; There is an impact on the architectural perception of the appearance of the cultural heritage site as a result of illegal activities like graffiti painting on the facades of buildings.	Organization of a "safe city" system with an increase in the number of installed video surveillance cameras. Organization of public events for people engaged in graffiti aimed at explaining how harmful illegal graffiti is.	Constant monitoring.	Ongoing activities of the organizations involved.	The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. Police.	None
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Criteria (ii)(iv); attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3; Architectural sites within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site are affected. In terms of the lack of proper preservation and on-time repair work.	Conducting supervisory activities with the preparation of certificates of technical condition and forcing the owners to carrout work on their preservation.		Ongoing activities	The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. Department of Urban Planning of Yaroslavl City Hall.	None
4.10	Climate char	nge and severe weather ever	nts				
4.10.2	Flooding	Criteria (ii)(iv); attributes 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3; Architectural appearance of the site in terms of landscaping is affected.	Is in the area of responsibility of specializ organizations, including t Ministry of Emergency Situations.		e	Ministry of Emergency Situations.	None

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures						
		Actions	Timeframe	Timeframe Lead agency (and others involved)		More info / comment	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	Active work in the development and improvement of urban planning documentation. The project of protection zones of the city of Yaroslavl was brought up to date.	Ongoing activities.	The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. Department of Urban Development of Yaroslavl City Mayor's Office.		None	
5.3	Management Sys	tem/Management Plan					
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Active work on the development and implementation of landscaping, taking into account more factors of influence and public opinion.	Programs involving a greater number of participants are conducted more often.	er Yaroslavl City Hall. Government of the Yaroslavl Region.		nment	None
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	A management plan for the World Heritage Site has been developed. A State Budgetary Institution of the Yaroslavl Region "Research and Production Center for the Protection of Historical and Cultural Monuments of the Yaroslavl Region" was established	was established in 2022.	eloped in 2018. The organization Heritage Site Protection of the		the Idgetary Region Center ical and	None
6.1	Funding						

6.1.7	Human resources ar inadequate the managemen needs of the World Herita property	for institutions in the preservation of cultural heritage sites. Signing of appropriate agreements.	For the last 4 years.	The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. Yaroslavl City Planning College.	None
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan programme in place and partially implemented some technic skills are beit transferred to those manage the property locally, but most technic work is carriout by extending the property locally and the property locally but most technic work is carriout by extending the property locally and the property locally but most technic work is carriout by extending the property locally and the property locally but most technic work is carriout by extending the property locally and the property locally but have been supported by the b	regional funding was created. to or is in d; cal ing o iging	The organization was created in 2022.	Government of the Yaroslavl Region. The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region.	None
7	Scientific Studies a	and Research Projects			
7.2	considerable	Information work with the professional and scientific community is being carried out in terms of distinguishing the World Heritage Site in the general amount of research work.	Ongoing activities.	Ongoing activities. Professional and scientific communities.	
8	Education, Inform	ation and Awareness Building			
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	The possibility of educational institutions working more closely with the authorities responsible for the preservation of the World Heritage Site is being actively explored. Museum and exhibition activities are being organized ("Night of Museums").	Ongoing activities.	Educational institutions. Museums of the Yaroslavl City Hall.	None
9	Visitor Manageme	ent			
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	Programs for the development of tourism activities.	Ongoing activities.	Department of Tourism of the Yaroslavl Region.	None
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a sma amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	more citizens and the public, especially students.	Ongoing activities.	The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. State Budgetary Institution of the Yaroslavl Region "Research and Production Center for the Protection of Historical and Cultural Monuments of the Yaroslavl Region".	None
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but	improving the monitoring of the state of indicators.	2023	The Department of Cultural Heritage Site Protection of the Yaroslavl Region. State Budgetary Institution of the Yaroslavl Region "Research and Production Center for the Protection of Historical and Cultural Monuments of the Yaroslavl Region".	None
	defined but				

monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	
--	--

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Implementation of the geographic information system "Geoportal of the Yaroslavl region" at the regional level (https://gis76.ru/). This information system displays different layers with relevant information by sectors. The layer "Culture and art" contains information about the site, its boundaries and buffer zone.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Poor
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

2 months / 1,5 months / 3 weeks /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire	×			
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.