Old town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Old town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year			
Old town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof	49.021 / 12.099	182.8	775.6	958.4	2006			
Total (ha)		182.8	775.6	958.4				
1.4 - Map(s)								

Title	Date	Link to source
Old town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof - inscribed property	2006	

Comment

Map was provided and is currently reviewed by the WHC.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Stadt Regensburg website

Comment

https://www.regensburg.de/welterbe https://www.instagram.com/welterbe.regensburg/?hl=en https://www.facebook.com/people/Welterbe-Regensburg/100048796211790/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Flößerei, craft techniques and customary practices of cathedral workshops, or Bauhütten, in Europe, know-how, transmission, development of knowledge and innovation

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Located on the Danube River, the Old Town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof is an exceptional example of a central-European medieval trading centre, which illustrates an interchange of cultural and architectural influences. The property encompasses the city centre on the south side of the river, two long islands in the Danube, the so-called Wöhrde (from the old German word: waird, meaning island or peninsula), and the area of the former charity hospital St Katharina in Stadtamhof, a district incorporated into the city of Regensburg only in 1924. A navigable canal, part of the European waterway of the Rhine-Main-Danube canal, forms the northern boundary of Stadtamhof.

A notable number of buildings of outstanding quality testify to its political, religious, and economic significance from the 9th century. The historic fabric reflects some two millennia of structural continuity and includes ancient Roman, Romanesque, and Gothic buildings. Regensburg's 11th to 13th century architecture still defines the character of the town marked by tall buildings, dark and narrow lanes, and strong fortifications. The buildings include medieval Patrician houses and towers, a large number of churches and monastic ensembles as well as the 12th century Stone Bridge.

The town is also remarkable as a meeting place of Imperial Assemblies and as the seat of the Perpetual Imperial Diet general assemblies until the 19th century. Numerous buildings testify to its history as one of the centres of the Holy Roman Empire, like the Patrician towers, large Romanesque and Gothic church buildings and monasteries – St Emmeram, Alte Kapelle, Niedermünster and St Jakob - as well as the cathedral St Peter and the late Gothic town hall.

Criterion (ii): The architecture of Regensburg represents the city's role as a medieval trading centre and its influence in the region north of the Alps. Regensburg was an important transition point on continental trade routes to Italy, Bohemia, Russia and Byzantium. It also had multiple connections with the transcontinental Silk Roads. As such, the city exhibits an important interchange of cultural and architectural influences, which have shaped its urban landscape.

Criterion (iii): The Old Town of Regensburg bears an exceptional testimony to cultural traditions especially in the Holy Roman Empire, being the location for most of the assemblies of the Empire in the High Middle Ages. Regensburg also significantly contributed to more recent European history being the seat of the Perpetual Assembly from 1663 to 1806. As a testimony to these functions, there are the remains of two imperial Palatine palaces from the 9th century, and a large number of other well preserved historic buildings, which are testimony to the wealth and political importance of the community.

Criterion (iv): The Old Town of Regensburg with Stadtamhof is an outstanding example of a central-European medieval trading town, which has well preserved its historical stages of development, and which is an exceptional illustration to the development of commerce particularly from the 11th to 14th centuries.

Integrity

Regensburg's Old Town has been able to reserve its original medieval outline since the 14th century. The Old Town survived the Second World War in exceptionally good shape. As a result, but also due to restoration efforts starting in the 1970s, a large number of old buildings have been preserved well, which contributes to the historical integrity of the town and the effective protection of important views of the property. The property therefore contains all elements necessary to express the Outstanding Universal Value. There are no adverse impacts of development and/or neglect.

Authenticity

Taking into account that the city was built in stone, rather than timber, the individual listed buildings have maintained their authenticity. The restoration of the buildings is carefully monitored and correctly carried out, according to the legal provisions in place as well as respecting the historic fabric.

Management and protection requirements

The Old Town of Regensburg and its buffer zone have been legally protected since 1975 in accordance with the Bavarian Law for the Preservation of Historic Buildings. The inscribed property is also ruled by the 1982Statutes concerning Local Building Ordinances for the Protection of the Old Town of Regensburg ("Old Town of Regensburg Statutes"). The Federal Building Code (1986/1997) constitutes the legal basis for construction and development planning. Complemented by local by-laws and the management plan, this complex system of protection ensures the good state of conservation of the property.

Several institutions on communal and state level share the responsibility for protecting the property. The City of Regensburg is responsible for its management. The Steering Committee carries out integrative monitoring as a basis of a thorough planning process and sustainable development in the historic town, with due care being taken to ensure that its values are respected. Strategies aim at restoring the historic urban fabric as well as strengthening the vitality of the inhabited historic town.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Historical structures from two millennia, including ancient Roman, Romanesque and Gothic buildings (patrician houses, towers, churches and monastery complex, 12th-century stone bridge)	×			
3.2.2	11th- to 13th-century architecture, including the market, city hall and cathedral, visual relationship between built structure, urban silhouettes, and picturesque elements	×			
3.2.3	Medieval city silhouette; buildings and public places built of stone; tall buildings, dark and narrow lanes, and strong fortifications; Vestiges	×			
3.2.4	Building of the imperial diet including the historical assembly room; imperial palaces and buildings of the different assembly delegations; public areas associated with the medieval trading	×			
3.2.5	Well-preserved historic buildings: medieval patrician houses and towers, historic barns, a large number of churches and monastic ensembles as well as the 12th-century Old Bridge				
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					

3.2.9			
3.2.10			
3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 .1.2 - Commercial development revious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 .1.3 - Industrial areas revious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Urban development is closely monitored through a "World Heritage Steering Committee" where ICOMOS International, ICOMOS Germany and the National Authorities are meeting regularly and give feedback and advice to proposed projects. More information can be found here: Decision 33 COM 7B.105 and: https://www.regensburg.de/sixcms/media.php/464/STADT_RGBG_MANAGEMENTPLAN_WELTERBE_GB_screen.pdf

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant X Not relevant								
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant	Relevant X Not relevant							
 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Gereasing → State State		⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								
 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

Relevant

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.3 - Surface water pollutionPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.4 - Air pollutionPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.5 - Solid wastePrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - QuarryingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gasPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

X Not relevant
X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								
 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/: Not relevant 		collecting						
Relevant			× Not releva	int				
 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not releva	int				
 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07// • Relevant, Positive, Curren 	2013):	in local populati	ion and con	nmunity				
× Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×				
Negative								
 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/N Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/: Relevant, Positive, Current 	2013):	eation						
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Operative X	×		×			→		
Negative								
 4.8.7 - Please comment as positively 4.9. Other human activitie 4.9.1 - Illegal activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2) Not relevant 	25	now the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.8 a	ire affecting the pro	operty either r	egatively or	
Relevant			× Not releva	int				
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2 • Not relevant	-							

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - TerrorismPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant					Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×	×					

4.10.3 - Drought

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×			N			
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/: • Not relevant	2013):							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.10.5 - Changes to oceani Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/ • Not relevant								

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant			٢	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increased number of extremely hot days; increased number of extreme weather events including heavy rain in short periods of time; increased periods of drought

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - EarthquakePrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species 4.12.1 - Translocated species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							
4.13.2 - Legal framework							

× Relevant	K Relevant					lot relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing				
O Positive X	×		×			→					
Negative											

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.4 - Management activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
C Negative								

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		→				
Negative										

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

An innovative management and governance system is in place with a small team ensuring professional site management. More information can be found here: https://www.regensburg.de/welterbe/en/projects/completed-projects/world-heritage-management-plan.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	\bigcirc	9		٢		-
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		۲		\rightarrow
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
		-				
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	٥	9			116	
4.5.1 Water mindstructure	•	4		٢	G	~
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0	4		۲		
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
	0	~7			-	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢	4		٩	G	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9		۲		\rightarrow
						_
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.2 Flooding						
	0	9		۲	Ċ	→
1400 Decembr						
4.10.3 Drought						
	0		9			N
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	6			۲	18	
	•	-1		Ģ	Ģ	•
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
	0	4	9	۹	(F	
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	4		۲		→
				4		
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	4		۲		→

4.13.3 Governance		٢	9	۲		→
4.13.4 Management activities		٢	9	٢		→
4.13.5 Financial resources		٢	9	۲		\rightarrow
4.13.6 Human resources		٢	9	٢		→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		٢	9	٢	Ċ	→
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities		٢	9	٢		→
Legend Current Potential ORgative	O Positive	Insi	de	C Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	4	۲	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	٢	9	٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.2.1 Grou	4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9		۲	Ċ	→
• • • •	Casting and a first the factor						
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Decreasing Static

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact	:	Origin			Trend
4.3.1 Water	infrastructure	٢	9		٢	۲	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
opanaiora	Restricted						
×	Localised						
^	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritua	I/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9		۲		→
Creation on							
Spatial Sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
~	Localised						
×	Extensive						
T	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin	10	Trend
4.8.2 5001	ety's valuing of heritage	٢	9		٢	٢	-
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Ident	4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		9		٢	Ċ	→
Overtiel	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact Origin		Trend
.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		9	۲	→			

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
10.2 Flooding						
	0	4		٢	Ċ	→
Overliet and a Area effected by the factor						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - I	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.3 Dro	ught				
		0	9		N
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
	npact on the attributes				
Impact - I					

×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - Dev	Velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing
Trend - Dev	

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change					
	0	9	٩	C	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

×		I

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						
	0	9	9	۲	Ċ	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact	•	Origin	Trend
		0			
4.13.1 Mana	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	٩	7
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework		4		٢		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Governance	•		٩		→	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact -	Impact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - D	Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

ne			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities		9	۲	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

·····g-···	
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.5 Financial resources		4		۲		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources	•		۲		→	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		9		۲	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

manageme	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name			Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities		9	۲	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opuliai oou	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

n.a.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next

cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Historical structures from two millennia, including ancient Roman, Romanesque and Gothic buildings (patrician houses, towers, churches and monastery complex, 12th-century stone bridge)	×			
4.18.1.2	11th- to 13th-century architecture, including the market, city hall and cathedral, visual relationship between built structure, urban silhouettes, and picturesque elements	×			
4.18.1.3	Medieval city silhouette; buildings and public places built of stone; tall buildings, dark and narrow lanes, and strong fortifications; Vestiges	×			
4.18.1.4	Building of the imperial diet including the historical assembly room; imperial palaces and buildings of the different assembly delegations; public areas associated with the medieval trading	×			
4.18.1.5	Well-preserved historic buildings: medieval patrician houses and towers, historic barns, a large number of churches and monastic ensembles as well as the 12th-century Old	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The following legislation is responsible for protecting the whole area: the Bavarian Building Ordinance from 1864 (for the last time amended in 1997) with regard to building rights and the Bavarian Law for the Protection of Historical Buildings and Monuments from 1973 with regard to curatorial measures. All of the bordering areas which are of optical relevance within the line of vision of individual buildings or of the ensemble as a whole fall within the category of "neighbourhood" in terms of the Bavarian Law for the Protection of Historical Buildings and Monuments. In accordance with the terms of article 6 of this law anyone who wants to build or implement renovation measures within the environs of these ensembles or any of their historical buildings or monuments is required to apply for curatorial permits. The buffer zone extends far beyond the boundaries of the respective immediate area as interpreted in the practice by the Bavarian Law for the Protection of Historical Buildings and Monuments without, however, standing in contradiction to this law or the legal basis for the Bavarian Building Ordinance. On the contrary, the buffer zone serves to correctly interpret the terms "neighbourhood" and "environs" in the sense of both of the above named laws. In consequence the buffer zone encompasses those sections of Regensburg's urban area which lie within the line of vision of an observer positioned in the Old Town of Regensburg. Local measures to protect the world heritage area are also in operation, above all the "Satzung über örtliche Bauvorschriften zum Schutze der Altstadt von Regensburg (Altstadtschutzsatzung)" (Statutes concerning Local Building Ordinances for the Protection of the Old Town of Regensburg (Old Town Protection Statutes). The statutes were promulgated in 1982 on the legal basis of article 91 of the Bayarian Building Ordinance. The outward manifestation of the Old Town of Regensburg (also from an aerial perspective) as well as the appearance of individual buildings within this area is the objects considered worthy of preservation. The purpose of the statute is to implement building measures and advertising which show consideration and respect for the existing historical buildings and for indigenous styles of design and their traditional rules. The statute applies to outside walls, roofs, roof superstructures and segments, windows and other openings, balconies, railings, overhead lines, antennas, enclosures and advertising billboards.

- Federal Building Code Baugesetzbuch BauGB Issued on 08.12.1986; here as revised in the publication made on 27.08.1997 Federal Law Gazette I p. 2141 The Federal Building Code constitutes the legal basis for building construction and development planning in respect of all building projects both inside and outside the World Heritage area. In the interests of simplifying legislation, the Federal Building Law Bundesbaugesetz (1960) and the Act for the Promotion of Urban Construction Städtebauförderungsgesetz (1971) were combined into a single statute. The old regulations laid down in municipal building law were then oriented more towards the present and future tasks of urban construction, and the instruments required to this end were improved.
- Federal Law on Nature Conservation & Landscape Protection [Bundesnaturschutzgesetz BnatSchG] As revised on 25.03.2002 (Federal Law Gazette I p. 1193). This federal law is the basis for the Bavarian Law on Nature Conservation and local ordinances on nature conservation. This relevance is of significance to the historic green belt which forms the perimeter of the World Heritage area to the south of the Danube, as well as to individual specially marked zones within the area and in the buffer zone. Bavarian Constitution Dated 02.12.1946, last revised in the law dated 20.06.1984 (Law Gazette p. 223). In the Constitution of the Free State of Bavaria, key aspects of preserving historic buildings and ancient monuments are defined as tasks incumbent upon the state.
- Bavarian Building Regulations [Bayerische Bauordnung BayBO] In the version published on 04.08.1997 (Law Gazette p. 433), last revised in the law dated 07.08.2003 (Law Gazette p. 497 FNBayRS 2132-1-I). The Bavarian Building Regulations form the legal basis for all building projects inside and outside the nominated area. They apply to all building structures and building products.
- Bavarian Law for the Protection and Preservation of Monuments (Monument Protection Law Denkmalschutzgesetz DSchG) In the version published on 25.06.1973 (Law Gazette p. 328 [BayRS 2242-1-K]), last revised 1.8.2003 (Law Gazette p. 475) The Monument Protection Law is the most important

legal basis for all alterations of every structure inside the World Heritage area. The provisions for protection of this law are also valid for built monuments, for archeological monuments and for all listed movable monuments outside the nominated area.

- Bavarian Law on Nature Conservation, Landscape Protection & Outdoor Recreation (Bayerisches Naturschutzgesetz BayNatSchG) In the version published on 18.08.1998 (Law Gazette p. 593), amended by the laws dated 27.12.1999 (Law Gazette p. 532), 24.04.2001 (Law Gazette p. 140), and 24.12.2002 (Law Gazette p. 975). The law forms the legal basis for a number of ordinances at local authority level, which affect both the core area and the buffer zone.
- By-Laws on Local Building Regulations for Protecting the Old City Centre of Regensburg (Altstadtschutzsatzung) Dated 16.12.1982 (Official Gazette no. 5 dated 31.01.1983). The preservation and renewal of the townscape of the old city centre of Regensburg is a matter of architectural, cultural and social significance that has high priority and is in the public interest. On the basis of Art. 91 (1) nos. 2 and 4 and Art. 91 (2) no. 1 of the Bavarian Building Code, the City of Regensburg has therefore issued By-Laws for protecting the old city centre. The aim is to ensure that when the old city centre of Regensburg's townscape which has been created over the centuries continues to develop in keeping with the times, the historic buildings, local characteristics and traditional set-ups are taken into account in order to preserve the unique appearance and atmosphere of this city.
- By-Laws on Using Public Roads & Spaces in the City of Regensburg for Special Purposes (Sondernutzungssatzung) Adopted by the city council on 18.12.2000 (Official Gazette no. 52 dated 27.12.2000) as a substitute for the By-Laws on using space for a special purpose dated 30.10.1996 (Official Gazette no. 47 dated 18.11.1996), and amended in the By-Laws dated 14.08.2003 (Official Gazette no. 35 dated 25.08.2003).

Source: Nomination File

Comment

The laws have all been updated/amended. I provided the current versions in 5.2.2. The old answers can be deleted.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2022 / Federal Building Code Baugesetzbuch – BauGB Issued on 08.10.2022 / https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/bbaug/BauGB.pdf 2022 /

2022 / Federal Law on Nature Conservation & Landscape Protection [Bundesnaturschutzgesetz - BnatSchG] As revised on 08.12.2022 (Changes from article 3 on the 14.12.2022 not yet taken into account) / Bavarian Building Regulations [Bayerische Bauordnung - BayBO] In the version published on 08.11.2022 / https://www.gesetze-im-internet.de/bnatschg_2009/BJNR254210009.html

https://www.gesetze-bayern.de/Content/Document/BayDSchG

2021 / Gesetz zum Schutz und zur Pflege der Denkmäler (Bayerisches Denkmalschutzgesetz – BayDSchG) Vom 25. Juni 1973 (BayRS IV S. 354) BayRS 2242-1-WK Vollzitat nach RedR: Bayerisches Denkmalschutzgesetz (BayDSchG) in der in der Bayerischen Rechtssammlung (BayRS 2242-1-WK) veröffentlichten bereinigten Fassung, das zuletzt durch Gesetz vom 23. April 2021 (GVBI. S. 199) geändert worden ist / Bavarian Law on Nature Conservation, Landscape Protection & Outdoor Recreation (Bayerisches Naturschutzgesetz - BayNatSchG) In the version published on 23.02.2011 (GVBI. P. 82, BayRS 791-1-U), last amended by the laws dated 23.06.2021 (GVBI. p. 352) /

https://www.gesetze-bayern.de/Content/Document/BayNatSchG Gesetz über den Schutz der Natur, die Pflege der Landschaft und die Erholung in der freien Natur (Bayerisches Naturschutzgesetz – BayNatSchG) Vom 23. Februar 2011 (GVBI. S. 82) BayRS 791-1-U Vollzitat nach RedR: Bayerisches Naturschutzgesetz (BayNatSchG) vom 23. Februar 2011 (GVBI. S. 82, BayRS 791-1-U), das zuletzt durch § 1 des Gesetzes vom 23. Juni 2021 (GVBI. S. 352) geändert worden ist /

2023 / By-Laws on Local Building Regulations for Protecting the Old City Centre of Regensburg (Altstadtschutzsatzung) Dated 10.12.2007/Revised 2.1.2023 / Altstadtschutzsatzung / https://www.regensburg.de/sixcms/media.php/140/17.pdf

2018 / By-Laws on Using Public Roads & Spaces in the City of Regensburg for Special Purposes (Sondernutzungssatzung) Adopted by the city council on 18.12.2000 (Official Gazette no. 52 dated 27.12.2000) as a substitute for the By-Laws on using space for a special purpose dated 30.10.1996 (Official Gazette no. 47 dated 18.11.1996), and amended in the By-Laws dated 26.07.2018 (AMBI. Nr. 41 from 08.10.2018) / AMBI. Nr. 52 vom 27. Dezember 2000, geändert durch Satzung vom 14. August 2003, AMBI. Nr. 35 vom 25. August 2003, geändert durch Satzung vom 2. Dezember 2009, AMBI. Nr. 51 vom 14. Dezember 2009, geändert durch Satzung vom 26. April 2017, AMBI. Nr. 23 vom 6. Juni 2017, geändert durch Satzung vom 26. Juli 2018, AMBI. Nr. 41 vom 8. Oktober 2018) /

https://www.regensburg.de/stadtrecht/234001/satzung-ueber-sondernutzungen-an-oeffentlichen-strassen-und-plaetzen-der-stadt-regensburg-sondernutzungssatzung-sns-vom-18-dezember-2000.html

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World

Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Currently, the site is managed by a multi-disciplinary team of seven officers in the department of cultural heritage. The day-to-day and strategic management is centered around four different working areas: 1. Coordination and Project Management; 2. Monitoring; 3. Awareness Raising; 4. Networks and Science. For more detailed information please see: https://www.regensburg.de/welterbe

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan - Regensburg	In Force	Available	2012	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. A study to determine potential future developments has been elaborated. It takes the HUL Recommendation into account.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

There are plans to develop a heritage-related DRM for the site. Activities are ongoing.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented? The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					×
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women					×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	handicapped peo	ple			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The management experiences of Regensburg are published in the following articles/books: Ripp, M. (2022). A Metamodel for Heritage-based Urban Development: Enabling Sustainable Growth Through Urban Cultural Heritage. Springer Nature. For more publications that include the Regensburg Heritage Management Experiences please see: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/367022527_Ripp_Matthias_Publikationsliste.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	90 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good

Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done. not relevant

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training not relevant

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable

Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

There is a great number of educational activities. Please see: Stocker, Karl/Ripp, Matthias (Eds.) (2022): Das Besucherzentrum Welterbe Regensburg. Vermittlungsstrategien einer UNESCO-Welterbestadt. Birkhäuser. Hauer, Susanne/Ripp, Matthias (2017): Communication Model for Built Heritage Assets Going from Knowing to Identification. In: Built Heritage. p. 1-10. Shanghai.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

0/0/0/0/1.085.524/

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

http://www.statistik.regensburg.de/menue/informationen_u_zahlen.php The last data available is from the year 2017

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

150/0/0/0/0/0/0/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The daily amount spent was 2021 for day-trip tourists: 31,40 Euro and for Overnight tourists: 151,90 Euro There are only figures for lodging available; ood and beverage, transportation, admission fees, recreation fees and souvenirs and other retail purchases no data available Total amount for overnight tourists, not detailed figures available! Source: https://tourismuskonzept-regensburg.de/fileadmin/user_upload/dwif-Wirtschaftsfaktor_Tourismus_fuer_Regensburg_2021.pdf

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property? Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Please refer to our tourism organization and the tourism concept. https://tourismuskonzept-regensburg.de

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

https://regensburg-nachhaltig.de

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Citizens benefit from a wide offer of culinary and cultural attractions that would not be possible without tourism.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The state of conservation is monitored through several indicators e.g. the number of listed well-preserved buildings, a number of building permits related to urban regeneration, external funds used to upgrade the public realm but also the number of inhabitants in the world heritage area and the use of retail space. The management system is constantly monitored: A special focus is on the effectiveness of activities for example through feedback and the number of reached participants.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The state of conservation is closely monitored through the local and regional preservation units. The World Heritage Steering Committee that was established ensures the involvement of NGO ICOMOS and the National level in the regular monitoring of the site.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.10		Climate chan	nge and se	evere weather ev	vents			
4.10.2	Flooding	(ii)	riterion i), (iii) nd (IV)	Currently, the attributes are not affected, but if the trend continues there is a serious risk that they will be affected. The city has installed a climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing actions.	The city has installed a climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing actions.	The monitoring is happening constantly and a flood prevention system has been implemented.	Department for planning and building (climate office)	https://www.regensburg.de/leben/umwelt/energie-und-klima/klimaschutz
4.10.3	Drought	(ii	Sriterion i), (iii) ind (IV)	The city has installed a climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing actions.	Currently, the attributes are not affected, but if the trend continues there is a serious risk that they will be affected. The city has installed a climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing actions.	The situation is monitored constantly.	Climate office and department for environment.	https://www.regensburg.de/leben/umwelt/energie-und-klima/klimaschutz
4.10.6	Tempera	(ii)	i), (iii) nd (IV)	The city has installed a climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing actions.	Currently, the attributes are not affected, but if the trend continues there is a serious risk that they will be affected. The city has installed a climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing actions.	The situation is monitored constantly and an action plan to address heat in under implementation.	Climate office and several other departments.	https://www.regensburg.de/leben/umwelt/energie-und-klima/klimaschutz

change impacts (ii), (iii) installed a attributes are not affected, that is not affected, monitoring trend the situation is and there is a implementing actions. If that they will be affected. The city has installed a dimenting the situation and implementing actions. If the situation and implementing actions are situation and implementing actions. If the situation actions are situation actions are situation actions are situation actions. If the situation actions are situation actio	4.10.7	Other climate change impacts		climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing	not affected, but if the trend continues there is a serious risk that they will be affected. The city has installed a climate unit that is monitoring the situation and implementing	monitored	other	https://www.regensburg.de/leben/umwelt/energie-und-klima/klimaschutz
--	--------	---------------------------------	--	---	---	-----------	-------	--

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan									
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment					
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property		2024/2025	World Heritage Coordination	The update will include and adress topics like climate change, disaster risk management and other issues related to urban resilience					
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property		within the next two years	Climate Change Coordination together with Site Manageme						
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	We are working together with our climate office to address climate and heritage-related issues and also risk management	Within the next two years	Climate change officer togeth with site manager	er We recently had an OWHC Workshop with site managers from Europe on this issue and it is high on our agenda.					
6.1	Funding									
6.1.10 No use ha been mad the World Heritage Strategy fr Capacity Developm the World Heritage property			M Within the next two S years	Site Management	We offered our input and resources					
9	Visitor Management									
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	The tourism strategy is to be Within a updated	the next four years Local To	urism Authority	Tourism issues have been addressed in an integrated Tourism Management Plan under the auspices of the local tourism authority.					

9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	We are currently working to include the tourism industry better in our activities mainly those organized in our Visitor Centre.	Ongoing	Site Management of officers working in the Visitor Centre	We have seen first improvements already
Summary - Ma	nagement Needs	completed			

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property. The world heritage property is in good shape

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Very positive

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

no comments

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

In Regensburg heritage has been used for sustainable urban development as is the case in other cities. Please find in this publication a metamodel, that was developed and tested at the Regensburg World Heritage Site and can be used at other sites: https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-031-08238-2. Another good example, that was inspiring many other cities has been the World Heritage Visitor Centre, which was extremely popular with around 300.000 annual visitors (before COVID). Please find more information here: https://www.regensburg.de/welterbe/en/visitor-centre and here:

https://birkhauser.com/de/books/9783035625905. Another good example is how urban heritage - in this case, Regensburg - is not only something to be protected in times of climate change and disasters, but can also be a resource for urban resilience. Please find a conceptual paper here:

https://built-heritage.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s43238-022-00068-8. Another good practice is the involvement and cooperation with young people, especially students. Two examples are: 1. The OWHC Young Traveling Program where young people had the chance to explore WHCs:

https://www.ovpm.org/program/owhc-young-travelling-scholarship/ 2. A constant collaboration with a local university where students are developing exhibitions for the World Heritage Visitor Centre (there is a dedicated space for non-permanent exhibitions) in a seminar. The exhibition was 100% developed by the students and the site manager only managed the process and coached the students. For Example:

https://www.regensburg.de/welterbe/besucherzentrum/zurueckliegende-sonderausstellungen-im-besucherzentrum/donaulimes-ausstellung.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergies Management	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans		
Awareness raising		
Advocacy		

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 / 10 / 40 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			х	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

More time and training in small groups of site managers

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

	•
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? n.a.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Map(s)

Reason for update: Map was provided and is currently reviewed by the WHC.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

It would be great to understand Periodic Reporting even more as a process. With more time (at least 12 months) and early access to the questionnaire, this exercise has great potential to stimulate a valuable dialogue with local stakeholders but also structure the exchange between World Heritage Properties. Some topics are not well represented (e.g. resilience, urban recovery, Disaster Risk Management, etc.).

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.