

# White City of Tel-Aviv -- the Modern Movement

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

White City of Tel-Aviv – the Modern Movement

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details


### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
White City of Tel-Aviv – the Modern Movement	32.067 / 34.783	140.4	197	337.4	2003
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>140.4</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>337.4</b>	

### Comment

The current requested coordinates are in the center of Tsina Dizengoff Square: 178835.8, 665046.4 E 34 46 27 N 32 04 40

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Tel-Aviv Conservation areas	2002	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- [whitecitycenter.org](http://whitecitycenter.org)
- <https://www.tel-aviv.gov.il/Residents/Development/Pages/Preservation.aspx> <https://www.whitecitycenter.org/h-o-m-e>  
[https://www.facebook.com/lieblinghaus/?eid=ARCzyUxhmqW3KDjvH5KpN4o9KkYwGF9PwxTII-xfP86EU-YUwiczTY9L03\\_UlqcFeOi5e0t8sO2Os9](https://www.facebook.com/lieblinghaus/?eid=ARCzyUxhmqW3KDjvH5KpN4o9KkYwGF9PwxTII-xfP86EU-YUwiczTY9L03_UlqcFeOi5e0t8sO2Os9)  
[https://www.instagram.com/liebling\\_haus/](https://www.instagram.com/liebling_haus/) <https://twitter.com/HausLiebling?s=09>

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

### 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✘
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✘
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✘
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✘
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		✘

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

### 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if

relevant) in the next three years?

No

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

No

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

No

**2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.**

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

**3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

## Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### Brief synthesis

The city of Tel Aviv was founded in 1909 to the immediate north of the walled port city of Jaffa, on the hills along the eastern coast of the Mediterranean Sea. During the era of British rule in Palestine (1917-1948) it developed into a thriving urban centre, becoming Israel's foremost economic and metropolitan nucleus.

The serial property consists of three separate zones, the central White City, Lev Hair and Rothschild Avenue, and the Bialik Area, surrounded by a common buffer zone.

The White City of Tel Aviv can be seen as an outstanding example in a large scale of the innovative town-planning ideas of the first part of the 20th century. The architecture is a synthetic representation of some of the most significant trends of Modern Movement in architecture, as it developed in Europe. The White City is also an outstanding example of the implementation of these trends taking into account local cultural traditions and climatic conditions.

Tel Aviv was founded in 1909 and developed rapidly under the British Mandate in Palestine. The area of the White City forms its central part, and is based on the urban master plan by Sir Patrick Geddes (1925-27), one of the foremost theorists in the early modern period. Tel Aviv is his only large-scale urban realization, not a 'garden city', but an urban entity of physical, economic, social and human needs based on an environmental approach. He developed such innovative notions as 'conurbation' and 'environment', and was pioneer in his insight into the nature of city as an organism constantly changing in time and space, as a homogeneous urban and rural evolving landscape. His scientific principles in town planning, based on a new vision of a 'site' and 'region', influenced urban planning in the 20th century internationally. These are issues that are reflected in his master plan of Tel Aviv.

The buildings were designed by a large number of architects, who had been trained and had practised in various European countries. In their work in Tel Aviv, they represented the plurality of the creative trends of modernism, but they also took into account the local, cultural quality of the site. None of the European or North-Africa realizations exhibit such a synthesis of the modernistic picture nor are they at the same scale. The buildings of Tel Aviv are further enriched by local traditions; the design was adapted to the specific climatic conditions of the site, giving a particular character to the buildings and to the ensemble as a whole.

**Criterion (ii):** The White City of Tel Aviv is a synthesis of outstanding significance of the various trends of the Modern Movement in architecture and town planning in the early part of the 20th century. Such influences were adapted to the cultural and climatic conditions of the place, as well as being integrated with local traditions.

**Criterion (iv):** The White City of Tel Aviv is an outstanding example of new town planning and architecture in the early 20th century, adapted to the requirements of a particular cultural and geographic context.

### Integrity

The spirit of the Geddes plan has been well preserved in the various aspects of urban design (morphology, parcelling, hierarchy and profiles of streets, proportions of open and closed spaces, green areas). The urban infrastructure is intact, with the exception of Dizengoff Circle, where traffic and pedestrian schemes have been changed, although efforts are being made to reinstate the original plan. Incremental changes could affect the integrity of the urban ensemble in the future. There are some visible changes in the buffer zone due to new construction and commercial development in the 1960s-1990s including some office and residential structures that are out of scale. The White City is encapsulated inside a ring of high-rise structures, which has obviously altered the initial relationship with its context. Any further development could impact on its visual integrity.

### Authenticity

The authenticity of architectural design has been fairly well preserved, proven by homogeneous visual perception of urban fabric, the integrity of style, typology, character of streets, relationship of green areas and urban elements, including, fountains, pergolas and gardens. The details of entrance lobbies, staircases, railings, wooden mailboxes, front and apartment doors, window frames have generally not been changed, though there are some losses - as in most historic towns.

The design of some individual buildings has been modified through rooftop additions even in registered buildings. Although within certain limits, such additions could be perceived as part of traditional continuity, to keep Tel Aviv as a vibrant, living city, attention will need to be given to ensure, the quantity of remodelled buildings is not enough to alter the urban profile, the original scale or parameters of the site.

### Protection and management requirements

Management is covered and incorporated in urban and territorial plans. These include the National Master Plan TaMA 35, with the relevant section 58 on the 'Urban Conservation Ensemble in Central Tel Aviv - Jaffa', and the Regional Master Plan TMM 5 providing the main planning instrument for the Tel Aviv conservation area. Management policies include programmes to encourage tourist activities, provide information, and placing an emphasis on conservation. It would be desirable to consider the possibility to provide legal protection at the national level to recent heritage.

Deposited in 2002, Conservation Plan (2650B) was approved in 2008. As the majority of the approximately 1,000 historic buildings identified in this document, and other focused local plans, are privately owned, a strategy allowing the transfer of building rights has been implemented to compensate for the loss of those rights. This specifically includes the stringent conditions applying to 180 buildings to which no changes are allowed. Within defined limitations, the application of permitted additional floors to the other remaining protected buildings has been allowed.

A special process has been established for the evaluation, approval and supervision of building permits and construction within the inscribed area. This is managed and controlled by the Municipality's Conservation Unit that currently employs eight trained architects. With the intention of providing measures to improve the control of changes in existing fabric, in view of existing real estate pressures, development trends are continually monitored by the Municipality.

With reference to the Operational Guidelines Annex 3 (concerning New Towns of the 20th century) it is essential for the city of Tel Aviv to ensure moderated and controlled growth in the historic core area. Accordingly, height limits are to be proposed for the property and its buffer zone.

### 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The urban fabric – the array of streets and paths, their hierarchy and profiles as expressed through the Geddes block.	×			
3.2.2	Urban morphology and its original parcelling of the lots, with the proportions of open and closed spaces	×			
3.2.3	Complex of gardens and public buildings in accordance with the Geddes plan	×			
3.2.4	The yards and the entrances lobby of the houses along with their scenic qualities	×			
3.2.5	Architectural qualities of the historical buildings and their details i.e.: details of entrance lobbies, staircases, railings, wooden mailboxes, front and apartment doors, window frames, balconies	×			

3.2.6	The use of finishing materials and technology aligns with the architectural characterization of the buildings	X			
3.2.7	Zina Dizengoff Square at its original level and in accordance with the original design including the surrounding buildings	X			
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

##### 4.1. Buildings and Development

###### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive X	X		X	X		→	
Negative							

###### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive X	X		X		↘		
Negative X		X	X	X		→	

###### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

###### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive X	X	X	X	X			↗
Negative							

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.1: Due to the quarters plan (TA/3616a TA/3729a approved and TA/4320 in process) its current situation is indicated as stable. 4.1.2: Plan TA/5057 is being prepared for the western borders of the buffer zone, which entails a significant enhancement of the public space, the establishment of a central boulevard leading to the sea in line with Geddes' vision, and the expansion of structural construction. To ensure optimal utilization of the public space, the plan additionally incorporates the construction of a tower at the buffer zone's border and another tower outside the buffer zone. However, it should be noted that the plan has not yet been approved, and its visual impact may be subject to consideration during the approval process. This process will include an assessment of the proposed building's footprint and design. As part of the procedure, a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) was prepared and submitted to the Israeli Committee of UNESCO.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant


Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing

Positive 			X	X				
Negative 								

**4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

Overall, there is a very positive effect as a result of a combination of several factors: reducing the number of vehicles entering the White City center, development of bicycle paths and moving to electric vehicles, which all encourage more sustainable modes of transportation. Additionally, the opening of the new Judith bridge (no.9075), the operation of the light rail (towards commercial operation) and the Metro (in planning) improve connectivity and mobility in the area.

**4.3. Services Infrastructures**

**4.3.1 - Water infrastructure**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

X Relevant	Not relevant						
Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
Positive 	X	X	X				
Negative 							

**4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.3.4 - Localised utilities**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.3.5 - Major linear utilities**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.4. Pollution**

**4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.4.2 - Ground water pollution**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.4.3 - Surface water pollution**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

##### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

##### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

##### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

##### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

##### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

##### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

##### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

##### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

##### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant



#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant  Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative							

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant  Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Negative							

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant  Not relevant

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.1: The focus is on cultural events and festivals rather than religious events, i.e. the yearly White Nights celebrations, celebrating Tel Aviv inscription as the White City, and Open House weekend festival. 4.8.5: In recent years, housing prices increased resulting in a high-income population in the area and less diverse population, of which the municipal policy is to preserve also by planning small units and affordable housing. A positive effect is higher maintenance of the buildings.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.9.4: Due to the armed conflict and the lack of overall reinforcement and secure spaces in the historic buildings, it is necessary to include such measures during their preservation and renovation process.

**4.10. Climate change and severe weather events**

**4.10.1 - Storms**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.2 - Flooding**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.3 - Drought**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.4 - Desertification**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.6 - Temperature change**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events**

**4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.11.2 - Earthquake**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> →	
--	--	-------------------------------------	--	-------------------------------------	--	----------------------------	--

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inside	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outside	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreasing	<input type="checkbox"/> → Stable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/> →	

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11.2: Given the potential for earthquakes in the geographical area of Israel, it is necessary to reinforce the buildings in the White City area in compliance with earthquake resistance guidelines. The strengthening process is being carried out in a manner that preserves the architectural integrity and spaces of the buildings.

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

##### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

##### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

##### 4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

##### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

##### 4.13.6 - Human resources

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive X	X	X	X	X			↗
Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

- Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive X	X	X	X	X			↗
Negative							

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13.1 and 4.13.4: Urban management plans prioritize conservation aspects. Municipal budgets and private capital enhance the effectiveness of the conservation area. 4.13.7 and 4.13.8: Collaboration with the White City Center and the use of external professional help to show a commitment to dedicated studies and research in conservation relating to the White City. These studies are deepening and expanding the preservation issues in the White City, which the Conservation Department and the urban planning division in the municipality are dealing with.

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
<b>4.1 Buildings and Development</b>						
4.1.1 Housing	↕	↗		↕	↗	→
4.1.2 Commercial development	↕	↗		↕		↘
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	↕	↗	↗	↕	↗	↗
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	↕	↗	↗	↕	↗	↗
<b>4.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	↕	↗	↗	↕	↗	↗
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	↕	↗	↗	↕	↗	↗
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	↕		↗	↕		↗
<b>4.3 Services Infrastructures</b>						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	↕	↗	↗	↕		↗

<b>4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage</b>						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	+	Current		+		→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
<b>4.9 Other human activities</b>						
4.9.4 War	-		Potential		Inside	→
<b>4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
4.11.2 Earthquake	-		Potential		Inside	→
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave	-		Potential	+	Inside	→
<b>4.13 Management and institutional factors</b>						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	+	Current		+		→
4.13.2 Legal framework	+	Current		+	Inside	→
4.13.4 Management activities	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	+	Current	Potential	+		→
4.13.6 Human resources	+	Current	Potential	+		→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	+	Current	Potential	+	Inside	→
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

#### 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors










##### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

###### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing	+	Current		+	Inside	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor







	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✘	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✘	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✘	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development	 		
	 	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✘	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✘	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major



Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing







Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major







Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted







	Localised
	Extensive
X	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
X	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
X	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing






Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major






Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	 	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
---	--

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✘	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✘	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing




### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	  		


<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✘	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✘	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant

	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
X	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
X	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
X	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
X	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	 	

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

**Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

**Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

**Impact - Impact on the attributes**





<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

**Management response - Capacity of management to respond**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

**Trend - Development over the last 6 years**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	 		

**Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread





**Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

**Impact - Impact on the attributes**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor

	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
X	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
X	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
X	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
X	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
X	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

#### 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.9.4 War							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent						
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing						

#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

<b>Name</b>		<b>Impact</b>			<b>Origin</b>		<b>Trend</b>
4.11.2 Earthquake							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going						



Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	 		

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

##### Impact - Impact on the attributes




<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major

##### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

##### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	 	 	







##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact





<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing
-------------------------------------	------------

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	  		

**Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

**Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

**Impact - Impact on the attributes**



<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

**Management response - Capacity of management to respond**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

**Trend - Development over the last 6 years**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	  		




**Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor**

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread





**Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	  	 	

**Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor**

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

**Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact**

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

**Impact - Impact on the attributes**

	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major

**Management response - Capacity of management to respond**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

**Trend - Development over the last 6 years**

	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1 The urban fabric – the array of streets and paths, their hierarchy and profiles as expressed through the Geddes block.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.2 Urban morphology and its original parcelling of the lots, with the proportions of open and closed spaces	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.3 The yards and the entrances lobby of the houses along with their scenic qualities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.4 Architectural qualities of the historical buildings and their details i.e.: details of entrance lobbies, staircases, railings, wooden mailboxes, front and apartment doors, window frames	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.5 The use of finishing materials and technology aligns with the architectural characterization of the buildings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

## 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The local master plan of Tel Aviv (TA/500) defines historic areas which are under limitation as conservation areas. It further extends the boundaries of the inscribed World Heritage Site. An extension that is strengthening UNESCO inscription.

### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

In Israel, the State is directly responsible only for those heritage sites that date before 1700 CE. The built heritage of a later date is subject to other types of protection.

##### National level

The Planning and Building Law (1965, amendment 31/1991) and the Planning Code (1965, revised in 1996) have established a hierarchy of levels (national, regional, local and detailed planning schemes) implemented through administrative mechanisms; no government authority is directly responsible for heritage policy. The National Master Plan, TAMA 35, has a section on 'Urban Conservation Ensemble in Central Tel Aviv – Jaffa' (1991-1997), and is in the process of approval.

##### Municipal level

The main responsibility for the protection of historic urban areas lies with the municipal authorities (three grades of protection). The Conservation Plan, now in the process of approval, will be a legal tool, ensuring the protection of the Tel Aviv historic area and registered buildings. Other legal instruments include: Tel Aviv Master Plan (1965), Tel Aviv Ordinance 2659b (2001) with zoning provision, and a series of detailed plans for Tel Aviv and Jaffa with protection orders. The 2650b Conservation Plan that was approved in 2003 ensures the preservation of approximately 1,000 buildings in the White City.

##### Regional level

The Conservation Plan of Tel Aviv requires approval by the Regional Planning Committee. The Regional Master Plan, TMM 5, with 'Zone of Urban Pattern Protection' has passed the first stage of approval, and is the principal tool for protection.

About 90% of the buildings in the nominated area are privately owned; the rest is municipal or mixed. The owners' rights (including development rights) are strong in Israel. Therefore, even registered buildings are open for possible additions, except in the case of stringent protection. The municipality should compensate the loss of property value. The strategy of transfer of development rights applies in Tel Aviv and can help to reduce rooftop additions in the nominated area. There are some 1,000 registered buildings in Tel Aviv; 120 of these are subject to stringent protection, with no changes allowed. Zones A and C are covered by the regulations of historic urban plans (Geddes, 1927/38). The 'Lev Hayir' plan, applying to zone B (approved in the 1990s) allows for additional floors under the condition that the existing buildings be fully preserved.

The quarters plan no. /3616/a /3729/a (quarter 3 and 4 in the northern part of the city center, not yet in-force) will allow additional housing units, and strengthening of buildings against earthquake and missile attacks. In view of these highly important public goals some additional height will be allowed.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

##### Comment

The quarters plan no. TA3616/a & TA3729/a, encompassing quarters 3 & 4 in the northern part of the city center, has been approved. Similarly, the quarters plan no. TA 4320, covering quarters 5 and 6 in the central and southern parts of the city center, has not yet been approved but will allow additional housing units, and strengthening of buildings against earthquake and missile attacks. In view of these highly important public goals some additional height will be allowed. The new plans protect the entire area of the site. These plans, along with the Ibn Gabirol plan TA/ 4562 and the Arlosoroff plan TA/ 4474, were prepared in cooperation with the Israeli Commission for UNESCO and ICOMOS Israel and the quarters plans were reported to the UNESCO World Heritage Center.

#### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2018 / Design guidelines for the declared area TA/ 9077 / Spatial design guidelines for the historic area / [https://gisn.tel-aviv.gov.il/ta\\_raster/9077.pdf](https://gisn.tel-aviv.gov.il/ta_raster/9077.pdf)

2018 / The quarters plan quarter 3 TA/ 3616/a / under the authority of the district committee / [http://gis02/ta\\_raster/3616A.pdf](http://gis02/ta_raster/3616A.pdf)

2018 / The quarters plan quarter no 4 TA/3729/a / under the authority of the district committee / [https://gisn.tel-aviv.gov.il/ta\\_raster/3729A.pdf](https://gisn.tel-aviv.gov.il/ta_raster/3729A.pdf)

2023 / Quarters plan no TA/4320 covering quarters 5 and 6 / under the authority of the local committee- Not yet confirmed /

2016 / Master plan TA/5000 / [https://gisn.tel-aviv.gov.il/ta\\_raster/5000.pdf](https://gisn.tel-aviv.gov.il/ta_raster/5000.pdf)

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The local master plan of Tel Aviv (TA/500) defines historic areas which are under limitation as conservation areas. It further extends the boundaries of the inscribed World Heritage Site. An extension that is strengthening UNESCO inscription.

## 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations


A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.1-5.3.2: The management plan is grounded in statutory plans and policy documents, and it is accessible to both the professional public and the general public that are involved in the development of the inscribed area. The management system is administered by the municipal authorities. However, the majority of the buildings declared for conservation are privately owned. Therefore, it is the property owners or entrepreneurs who are responsible for carrying out the conservation actions.

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
City Conservation Plan	In Force	Available	2002	

#### Comment

The plan was approved in 2003 [http://gis02/taaba\\_raster/5007076.pdf](http://gis02/taaba_raster/5007076.pdf)

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is **fully based** on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

- Collaboration with the local professional community and residents to promote the integration of values and cooperation within the living environment. - Providing grants and financial assistance to buildings owners and entrepreneurs to support conservation activities. - Enhance scenic spaces and improve the adherence to halakhic principles in the White City, as well as enhance accessibility routes and walkability. - Development of new research based on the Historic Urban Landscape approach.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change



**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:**

The conservation department in collaboration with academic researchers initiated a research on "Shadow maps and their use in order to preserve and increase shade in Tel Aviv-Jaffa" in the city center, including the inscribed area. <https://www.lieblinghaus.org/lab-studies/Shadow-Maps-for-Urban-Planning-in-Tel-Aviv-Jaffa>

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

In addressing the potential impact of earthquakes, both historic buildings and non-historic buildings undergo reinforcement while preserving their architectural qualities.

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					✘
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					✘
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					✘
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✘				
5.3.15.5	Women	✘				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					✘
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	Targeted groups of various stakeholders such as the organization of contractors, entrepreneurs, architects, and public participation events according to geographic areas.				

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✘
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✘
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✘				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					✘
5.3.16.5	Women	✘				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✘
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✘
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✘
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✘
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✘
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					✘
5.3.16.12	NGOs					✘



**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	20 %	40 %
6.1.6.2	Women	80 %	60 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

Training and capacity building at this property is **fully based** on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

Liebling Haus - The White City Center develops and strengthens local communities in diverse professional and other topics that emphasize cooperation and community in the built environment. The center organizes a range of activities including lectures, exhibitions, and conferences that promote broad cooperation for the integration of professional and cultural values.

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

The White City Center 'Liebling Haus' initiates theoretical and practical professional courses and workshops such as carpentry, restoration of paint systems, etc. Alongside seminars and exhibitions such as building additions to historic buildings, Geds Gardens and others.

## 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

7.3: The results of the studies are published and accessible to the general public on the municipal website and Liebling Haus website. The studies are translated into municipal policy and serve as a working tool for site management. In addition, at Liebling Haus, as part of the Research Lab, there are a series of online lectures on urbanism, architecture, conservation, and various other topics.

## 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs
Professionals involved in planning and implementation of conservation work

**8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building**

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

**8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

9. Visitor Management

**9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report**

25,000 / 13,000 / 0 / 0 / 0 /

**9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?**

- Entry tickets and registries
- Tourism industry
- Other
- Estimated annual visitor to Liebling House

**9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?**

Two overnight stays

**9.4 - Please provide the source of information**

9.1: The estimated number of visitors is specifically pertaining to visitors in Liebling Haus - The White City Center. 9.2-9.3: The heritage site is a vital component of a bustling city that attracts numerous visitors, making it difficult to quantify their exact numbers. However, an analysis of data from the city planning department indicates a consistent growth in several areas: - Daily visitors to the city - Demand for housing within the city - Interest in hotels located in historic buildings

**9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)**

100 / 20 / 0 / 0 / 30 / 15 /

**9.6 - Please provide the source of information**

This is only an estimation as the price range is very wide.

**9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?**

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

**9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7**

In previous years, the policy of Tel Aviv municipality actively encouraged and approved planning and construction of hotels within the site. However, the private market has taken the lead in promoting and adding hotels. In response to the recent surge in demand, the current policy permits the establishment of hotels within the site, but no longer actively encourages such development.

**9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

**9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?**

Yes, using a different system

**If a different system, please specify**

Tourism management is based on the overall municipal policy. Tourism monitoring in the White City is based, among other things, on a rising demand for adaptable reuse of of historical buildings into unique hotels, with local architectural characteristics.

**9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?**

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and**

increase appreciation

**9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?**

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

**9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?**

In one location and easily visible to visitors

**9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?**

No fees are collected

**9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?**

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

There are private and commercial local initiatives for tours that benefit the local community, as well as cater to tourists, these are facilitated by both private and municipal entities. The development and demand for hotels are driven by private entrepreneurs.

**9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?**

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The tourism industry also serves and benefits the local business owners on a daily basis

**9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property**

10. Monitoring

**10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

**10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?**

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				x
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		x		
10.3.3	Character of governance	x			
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				x
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			x	
10.3.6	Capacity development		x		

**10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property**

There is a synergistic relationship between the development of indicators related to the state of conservation and other conservation goals. This includes the development of tools aimed at promoting sustainable architecture.

**10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:**

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good

NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.6: Tsina Dizengoff Square, located at the center of the property, underwent significant transformations during the 1970s. Upon the nomination of the White City as a World Heritage site, the municipality committed to restoring the square to its original state to preserve the site's integrity. The planning process involved public participation and collaboration with the community to ensure the square's historical design was reinstated. In 2018, following successful restoration efforts, the square was reopened to the public. Today, it stands as a prominent landmark within the city, surrounded by historic buildings. The revitalized space has become a vibrant and bustling hub, attracting a diverse public throughout the day.

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✘
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status</li> </ul>	✘
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	✘
10	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✘
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	✘

Please select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	<b>Buildings and Development</b>						
4.1.2	Commercial development	criterion (II):	To proceed with the design plan until its approval( plan noTA/5057) . A documentation file will be prepared for the site.	Ongoing monitoring on the process of the approval of the plan	Long term	Conservation Department and the City planning Division	The procedure to approve the plan includes several statutory stages that will take several years. The procedure is accompanied by the conservation department and includes giving instructions for the public space and the design of the buildings in accordance with the design instructions for the White City, including instructions for obtaining permits for implementation
4.9	<b>Other human activities</b>						

4.9.4	War	criterion (II) criterion (IV)	To Continue planning and adding shelters in a way that does not harm the appearance of the buildings. Developing design and safety tools to minimize the architectural presence of the shelters	ongoing monitoring to ensure that the planning and execution of shelters align with the requirements and design guidelines of the white city.	Continuous	Conservation Department and the City planning Division and The Homefront Command	The design guidelines refer to the urban fabric and include both buildings for conservation and buildings within the nominated area. The addition of shelters is done regularly in accordance with the directives of the Home Front Command, as part of the process of issuing permits for the preservation of the buildings
-------	-----	----------------------------------	---	---	------------	--	--

**4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events**

4.11.2	Earthquake	criterion (II) criterion (IV)	to continue the practice of strengthening the buildings against earthquakes, and examining modern engineering measures to strengthen the buildings in a way that will reduce the degree of intervention in the historic buildings.	Continuous	Continuous	Conservation Department and the City planning Division in collaboration with the licensing department in the municipality	The strengthening of the buildings is done regularly as part of the process of preserving the buildings, and the renewal of the buildings in the urban fabric for preservation. Buildings for preservation are reinforced in a way that does not harm the facades of the buildings and without harming public spaces such as the entrances of the buildings and the public stairwells.
--------	------------	----------------------------------	--	------------	------------	---	--

4.11.3	Tsunami/Tidal wave	criterion (II): criterion (IV):	To study the phenomena and to conduct a risk assessment	Spatial documentation of potential vulnerable areas.	on going	Conservation Department and the City planning Division in collaboration with the Homefront Command	no comment
--------	--------------------	------------------------------------	---	--	----------	--	------------

**Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed**

**12.2. Summary - Management Needs**

**12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs**

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	• To develop a research that support implementation of recommendation in the inscribed site • Implementation of the conclusions in the	Medium term 6 year assessment •	Professional forums at the state level. The Tel Aviv Jaffa municipality Conservation Department, along with The city architect and the engineering and infrastructure unit	To conduct an in-depth study of the policy document by the specific unit in the municipality
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or	*to strengthen the municipality assistance to enable preservation in the city for a variety of populations The municipality initiates organizational and financial municipal assistance to enable preservation in the city for a variety of populations.	continuous	Tel Aviv Yafo Municipality	The management system provides equal opportunities for individuals of all populations. The assistance is provided by a municipal company associated with the Tel Aviv municipality



other status					
<b>9</b>	<b>Visitor Management</b>				
<b>9.9</b>	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	To collect additional data including mapping of tourism types (domestic and international tourism), and strengthening the relationship with hotels in historic buildings Collecting data regarding tours held in the White City. Mapping types of tourism (domestic and international tourism) Strengthening the relationship with historical hotels, and conducting surveys on the White City.	continuous	Tel-Aviv Yafo Municipality : Conservation Department Liebling House Tel Aviv Global .	The inscribed site is located within a bustling and vibrant city, making it challenging to monitor the exact number of visitors and users in the city center. However, the conservation center at Liebling House diligently tracks the annual number of visitors an
<b>10</b>	<b>Monitoring</b>				
<b>10.1</b>	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	To diagnose the direct and indirect data to strengthen the OUV. Mapping the data according to the boundaries of the White City. To carry out annual and biannual monitoring to examine changes in trends, at the same time as analyzing and drawing conclusions for work plans for the coming years.	Medium term 6 year assessment	Tel-Aviv Yafo Municipality : Conservation Department Liebling House	Regular studies are conducted to analyze a wide range of variables, including the characteristics of residents and visitors, their lifestyle patterns, fluctuations, and economic status. These studies are accompanied by quantitative and qualitative , They include the White City, but do not isolate the data only in its domain
<b>10.2</b>	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>	To create additional tools for analysing the indicators Improving the database, and performing their regular analysis and monitoring according to the values of the heritage assets To prepare a dashboard system that will serve the conservation management in the city in general and the White City in particular	Medium term 6 year assessment	Tel-Aviv Yafo Municipality, Conservation Department	Extensive collaboration with municipal units is undertaken to implement conservation initiatives, fostering a joint definition of additional indicators.

Summary - Management Needs completed

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

#### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive

Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The good practice examples range from the planning aspects to the conservation of private property and include implementation and education. Protection by approving statutory plans, i.e., TA/5000. Preparation of the Quarters Plan to protect the historic urban fabric of the inscribed site. The process included public participation and guidance from the Israel National Commission for UNESCO. Further spatial design guidelines were developed, including for buildings within the historic urban fabric that are not for preservation. Conducting series of lectures regarding the site OUV, open to professionals from the municipality and architects community. Issuing international competition for architect and students in the topic of addition to buildings, summarized by a symposium and an exhibition for varied stakeholders.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good

## 15.2. Use of Data

## 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

## 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

## 15.3. Timing and resources

## 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

UNESCO National Commission

ICOMOS national/regional

## 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

## 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

## 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

60 / 10 / 200 /

## 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✗
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		✗

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

## 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

## 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				✗
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✗	

## 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

## 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

## 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Geographic information table**

Reason for update: The current requested coordinates are in the center of Tsina Dizengoff Square: 178835.8, 665046.4 E 34 46 27 N 32 04 40

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**