Town Hall and Roland on the Marketplace of Bremen

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Town Hall and Roland on the Marketplace of Bremen

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total	(ha)	Inscription year
Town Hall and Roland on the Marketplace of Bremen	53.076 / 8.807	0.287	376	376.28	37	2004
Total (ha)		0.287	376	376.28	37	
1.4 - Map(s)						
Title				Date	Link to	o source
Town Hall and Roland on the Marketplace of Bremen - inscribed property			2004			

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The Town Hall and Roland on the marketplace of Bremen in north-west Germany are an outstanding representation of the civic autonomy and market rights as they developed in the Holy Roman Empire in Europe. The Old Town Hall was built as a Gothic hall structure in the early 15th century, and renovated in the so-called Weser Renaissance style in the early 17th century. A New Town Hall was built next to the old one in the early 20th century as part of an ensemble that survived the bombardments during the Second World War.

The Old Town Hall is a two-storey hall building with a rectangular floor plan, 41.5 m by 15.8 m. It is described as a transverse rectangular Saalgeschossbau (i.e. a multi-storey construction built to contain a large hall). The ground floor is formed of one large hall with oak pillars; it served for merchants and theatrical performances. The upper floor has the main festivity hall of the same dimensions. Between the windows, there are stone statues representing the emperor and prince electors, which date from the original Gothic period, integrated with late-Renaissance sculptural decoration symbolising civic autonomy. Underground, the town hall has a large wine cellar with one hall in the dimensions of the ground floor with stone pillars, which was later extended to the west and is now used as a restaurant.

In the 17th century, the Town Hall was renovated, and out of the eleven axes of the colonnade the three middle ones were accentuated by a bay construction with large rectangular windows and a high gable, an example of the so-called Weser Renaissance. An elaborate sculptural decoration in sandstone was added to the façade, representing allegorical and emblematic depictions.

The New Town Hall was the result of an architectural competition, designed by Gabriel von Seidl from Munich, and built between 1909 and 1913. The stone statue of Roland was initially erected in 1404 in representation of the rights and privileges of the free and imperial city of Bremen. The statue of Roland is associated with the Margrave of Brittany, a paladin of Charlemagne.

Criterion (iii): The Bremen Town Hall and Roland bear an exceptional testimony to the civic autonomy and sovereignty, as these developed in the Holy Roman Empire.

Criterion (iv): The Bremen Town Hall and Roland are an outstanding ensemble representing civic autonomy and market freedom. The town hall represents the medieval Saalgeschossbau-type of hall construction, as well as being an outstanding example of the so-called Weser Renaissance in Northern Germany. The Bremen Roland is the most representative and one of the oldest of Roland statues erected as a symbol of market rights and freedom.

Criterion (vi): The ensemble of the Town Hall and Roland of Bremen with its symbolism is directly associated with the development of the ideas of civic autonomy and market freedom in the Holy Roman Empire. The Bremen Roland is referred to a historical figure, paladin of Charlemagne, who became the source for the French 'chanson de geste' and other medieval and Renaissance epic poetry.

Integrity

While the immediate surroundings of the Town Hall have survived reasonably well, the rest of the historic town of Bremen suffered serious destruction during the Second World War, and was rebuilt in new forms after the war. The Town Hall contains all elements necessary to express the property's Outstanding Universal Value and the size is adequate to ensure complete representation. There are no adverse impacts of development and/or neglect.

Authenticity

The Town Hall of Bremen has had various phases in its history, starting with the first construction in Gothic style, in the early 15th century, and the substantial renovation in the Baroque period in the early 17th century. Furthermore, there have been various transformations and additions in the subsequent centuries, including the construction of the New Town Hall in the early 20th century. Taking into account this historical evolution, the Town Hall can be conceived as having historical authenticity in its form and material in respect to the various periods. It has also retained its historically established spatial relationship with the neighbouring historic buildings and market squares.

The Bremen Roland is considered to be one of the oldest and most representative still standing of such statues. It has been repaired and restored numerous times, and some of the original material has been replaced, therefore losing part of its authenticity.

Protection and management requirements

The Town Hall and the Roland are under the protection of the Law for the care and protection of cultural monuments (Denkmalschutzgesetz, DSchG, 1975/ 1989)) of the Federal Land of Bremen, and are listed as historic monuments. The property has been under preservation order since 1909 (Old Town Hall) and 1973 (New Town Hall). A buffer zone has been defined to ensure the effective protection of the important views of the property. The owner of the Town Hall and the Roland is the municipality of the Free Hanseatic City of Bremen.

In the city-state of Bremen the Bremen Monuments Office (Landesamt für Denkmalpflege) is the executing agency of the aforementioned law. It functions as a specialised monument authority and has the power to decide on the approval of applications submitted by monument owners in agreement with these owners. Once an agreement is reached, the superior authority, Senator for Culture (Senator für Kultur), takes the final decision. In accordance with international conservation principles, the Town Hall has repeatedly undergone repair and maintenance. There was a comprehensive restoration of the exterior from 2001 to 2006, including re-pointing the joints and consolidating the stone parts on the façades and repair of the copper roof.

The Management Plan of the property is regularly reviewed and updated when required.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Best preserved gothic town hall (Old Town Hall)	×			
3.2.2	Medieval Saalgeschossbau-type of hall construction	×			
3.2.3	Outstanding representative of so-called Weser Renaissance in Northern German	×			
3.2.4	New town hall (1903-1913) as a clever addition in the sense of modern monument preservation	×			
3.2.5	Most representative and oldest of Roland statues	×			
3.2.6	Directly associated with the development of the ideas of civic autonomy and market freedom in the Holy Roman Empire	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					

3.2.12	
3.2.13	
3.2.14	
3.2.15	

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

X Not relevant
X Not relevant
X Not relevant
tructure
X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

- X	Not relevant

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.3. Services Infrastructures	
 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.4 - Localised utilitiesPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.4. Pollution	
4.4.1 - Pollution of marine watersPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.5. Biological resource use/modification	
 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively 4.6. Physical resource extraction 4.6.1 - Mining Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.2 - Quarrying Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

× Not relevant

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

 Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.7.3 - Temperature Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.7.5 - Dust Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.7 - PestsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.8 - Micro-organismsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage	
 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge s Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	system
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	on and community
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.9. Other human activities	
 4.9.1 - Illegal activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	

× Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military trainingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	elected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.10. Climate change and severe weather events	
4.10.1 - StormsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events	
 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - EarthquakePrevious answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	3
 4.12.1 - Translocated species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	

× Not relevant

Relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.13.2 - Legal framework	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.13.3 - Governance	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.13.4 - Management activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant
4.13.5 - Financial resources	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.13.6 - Human resources	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (11/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.14. Other factor(s)	
4.14.1 - Other factor(s)	
4.15. Factors Summary Table	

Origin

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Impact

Trend

Legend	4 Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	 Inside 	Contraction of the contraction o
			u	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Best preserved gothic town hall (Old Town Hall)	×			
4.18.1.2	Medieval Saalgeschossbau-type of hall construction	×			
4.18.1.3	Outstanding representative of so-called Weser Renaissance in Northern Germany	×			
4.18.1.4	New town hall (1903-1913) as a clever addition in the sense of modern monument preservation	×			
4.18.1.5	Most representative and oldest of Roland statues	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The owner of the town hall and the Roland is the municipality of the Free Hanseatic City of Bremen. The town hall and the Roland are under the protection of the Denkmalschutzgesetz (DSchG, 1975/1989, law for the care and protection of cultural monuments) of the Federal Land of Bremen, and are listed as historical monuments. The Lands of the Federal Republic of Germany act independently in educational and cultural matters, a principle which also applies to monument protection. All laws and regulations concerning the protection of cultural monuments are passed by the Burgers haft (Land parliament) of Bremen. Almost all buildings within the inner buffer zone are under preservation order individually, and the DSchG law applies to the Markt as a whole.

Source: ICOMOS Evaluation

Comment

The law for the care and protection of cultural monuments (Denkmalschutzgesetz - DSchG) has been amended and improved in 2018.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2018 / Bremisches Denkmalschutzgesetz - DSchG. (Law for the care and protection of cultural monuments) / Law / https://www.transparenz.bremen.de/metainformationen/bremisches-gesetz-zur-pflege-und-zum-schutz-der-kulturdenkmaeler-bremisches-denk malschutzgesetz-bremdschg-vom-18-dezember-2018-124518?asl=bremen203_tpgesetz.c.55340.de&template=20_gp_ifg_meta_detail_d

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for

maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at provincial/regional level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×

5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		×		
5.3.15.5	Women				×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

Project costs

Running costs

6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	50 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	50 %	100 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so? The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good

Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is $\ensuremath{\text{adequate}}$

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
	0000
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
	0000
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
	0000
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
	0000
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
	0000
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
Other shecking Brooks	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better

understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

ocal communities
ocal/municipal authorities
digenous peoples
andowners
/omen
outh/children
esearchers
ocal Visitors
ational/international tourists

Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

35000 / 12000 / 10000 / 51000 / 61000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

160 / 80 / 5 / 80 / 40 / 50 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts? There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property? Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×			
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Fair

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

Management System/Management Plan	
No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
Funding	
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
Education, Information and Awareness Building	
There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
Visitor Management	
There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	×
The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
se select 0 more issues.	
pase save this question to reflect changes	
	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property Funding Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth Visitor Management There is a limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and Increase appreciation The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

You have not marked any factor as current and negative/positive, therefore this assessment table is empty. Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management Syste	em/Management Plan			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable

5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Not applicable	Not applicable		Not applicable	Not applicable
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Not applicable	Not applicable		Not applicable	Not applicable
6.1	Funding					
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	More actions	Soon		Managing of the property	Not applicable
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	1	Not applicable		Not applicable	Not applicable
8	Education, Information	and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a Impr Iimited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	ovements are sought	Soon	Administ	ration	Not applicable
9	Visitor Management					
9.11	cooperationrebetween thosep	ooperation between those asponsible for the World Heritage roperty and the tourism industry is ready being improved.	Already	Adm	inistration	Not applicable

could be made

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Management

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

2/5/8/

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.