

# Tugendhat Villa in Brno

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Tugendhat Villa in Brno

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Tugendhat Villa in Brno	49.207 / 16.616	0.73	2824.9	2825.63	2001
Total (ha)		0.73	2824.9	2825.63	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Site Map - Tugendhat Villa in Brno Czech Republic	2001	
Tugendhat Villa in Brno - map of inscribed property	2015	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Villa Tugendhat](#)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	✕	
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	✕	
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

**2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?**

No

**2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?**

No

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?**

No

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global**

## Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

### 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

### 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

### 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

### 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief Synthesis

The Tugendhat Villa is situated in Brno, in the district of *erná Pole*, in the south of South Moravia in the Czech Republic. The villa was designed by the architect

Ludwig Mies van der Rohe and built on a commission from Grete and Frits Tugendhat, members of rich industrial families of Brno, in 1929–1930. The prominent German architect Ludwig Mies van der Rohe designed not only the villa but also its furniture and the adjacent garden. Moreover, Mies van der Rohe closely supervised the execution of the building project to achieve perfection.

The Tugendhat Villa in Brno is a pioneering work of modern 20th century residential architecture. It embodies innovative spatial and aesthetic concepts that were developed in housing at that time to meet the new needs arising from the modern way of life, by taking advantage of the opportunities afforded by modern industrial production. Designing the interior residential area as a space without limits determines the architecture of the Tugendhat Villa. The villa also reflects the desire of Mies van der Rohe to create an architecture concentrating on the essential and aiming at the purest expression in each detail as well as in the whole. A winter garden occupies almost two-thirds of the entire floor space of the main floor. Subtle divisions made of rosewood and onyx separate spaces of this same floor, such as the reception hall, the music corner and the library. The living area has large windows and is directly joined to the terrace, which has a wide stairway leading down to the garden. The main structure of the house is made of reinforced concrete slabs supported by steel beams, some of them being polished. The basement includes mechanical equipment of the house, in particular for the central heating and air conditioning, as well as for the electrically operated large windows.

The Tugendhat Villa in Brno is one of the most original projects completed by Mies van der Rohe. He was able to fully implement his design in accordance with his intentions due to the ideal cooperation with the highly cultured Tugendhat family. The furniture was designed by the architect and some pieces were intended for specific locations. There is no other similar architectural work of the European production by Mies van der Rohe that has been preserved with such integrity.

**Criterion (ii):** The German architect Mies van der Rohe applied the radical new concepts of the Modern Movement triumphantly to the Tugendhat Villa to the design of residential buildings.

**Criterion (iv):** Architecture was revolutionized by the Modern Movement in the 1920s and the work of Mies van der Rohe, epitomized by the Tugendhat Villa, played a major role in its worldwide diffusion and acceptance.

Integrity

The main components of the property, namely the house and the garden, are still present and are located within the boundaries of the property. The protective zone of the urban heritage reservation serves as the buffer zone of the Tugendhat Villa. The views of the villa and those from the villa of the town have been preserved. All risks of the erection of buildings that could compromise the visual field of the villa are kept under control by the bodies responsible for heritage preservation.

Authenticity

The Tugendhat Villa in Brno meets the criteria of authenticity. In spite of various alterations in the past and the loss of its original function, the present form of the villa, the materials and items of technical equipment of the villa are the same as in the design of the architect. The authenticity is underlined by the fact that the villa serves as a house-museum. The villa was used as a monument of modern architecture. In addition to regular maintenance over the years, the work done between 2010 and 2012 helped to restore its original appearance of 1930, the year when it was finished; this was achieved by the restoration of the finishes, plaster, wood, stone and metal, as well as by the repair of structural elements such as the slabs and the concrete walls. The restoration work has been carried out in accordance with the strict international conservation criteria with the use of period assembly and building techniques. The restoration was based on detailed research that has deepened the knowledge of its original architectural details.

Protection and management requirements

The Tugendhat Villa in Brno is protected under Act No. 20/1987 Coll. on State Heritage Preservation as amended and it is designated a national cultural heritage property. Hence, it enjoys the highest degree of legal protection as regards heritage preservation. The protective zone of the urban heritage reservation of Brno has been delimited as a buffer zone of World Heritage property and its preservation provisions protect the surrounding area of the property. Any actions that may affect these both types of conservation areas must be authorized by the appropriate state or local heritage preservation authorities. The villa has been proposed as a viewpoint in the Brno Land Use Plan.

The City of Brno is the owner and administrator of the Tugendhat Villa, and is responsible for the maintenance, protection, and promotion of the property. The villa is open to the public for guided tours. The site also hosts various cultural events. Financial resources for maintenance, conservation and the presentation of the site are allocated from the town budget. In 2006, the Tugendhat Villa Foundation has been established with the aim to support the conservation and the presentation of the property.

The management plan of the property is in place and it is scheduled to be updated regularly. In this document, there are monitored potential risks for the property. Since the inscription of the villa on the World Heritage List, annual monitoring reports have been prepared at the national level to serve the property manager, the Ministry of Culture, the National Heritage Institute and other agencies involved as well.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	layout, structural and volume concept of the building	✗			
3.2.2	architectural details and craftsmanship of the exterior of the villa	✗			
3.2.3	living space and its concept including the winter garden	✗			
3.2.4	interior equipment	✗			
3.2.5	technical equipment of the building	✗			
3.2.6	relation between the interior and exterior	✗			
3.2.7	garden and its connection to the villa	✗			
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					

3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside











Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Design of visitor interpretive facilities in the house: there is a visitor centre in the ground floor with permanent and short term exhibitions and a bookshop.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

##### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

##### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation












Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant



	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

**4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

**4.12.1 - Translocated species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.12.6 - Modified genetic material**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.13. Management and institutional factors

**4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan**

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							











**4.13.2 - Legal framework**

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕		✕				📈
🔴 Negative							

**4.13.3 - Governance**

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							
































































**4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.14. Other factor(s)

**4.14.1 - Other factor(s)**

4.15. Factors Summary Table






**4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table**

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities						
<b>Legend</b>	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors




**4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors**

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						









✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant

	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
X	Widespread								
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
X	On-going								
Impact - Impact on the attributes									
	Insignificant								
	Minor								
	Significant								
X	Major								
Management response - Capacity of management to respond									
X	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing								
	Static								
X	Increasing								

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework						





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
X	Widespread								
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
X	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Impact on the attributes									
	Insignificant								
	Minor								








	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	 	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
X	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
X	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
X	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
X	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
X	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
X	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
X	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	<div>+</div> <div>!</div> <div></div>	<div>+</div> <div></div> <div></div>	<div>↑</div> <div></div> <div></div>

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	<div>+</div> <div>!</div> <div></div>	<div>+</div> <div></div> <div></div>	<div>↑</div> <div></div> <div></div>

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor

	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	layout, structural and volume concept of the building	✕			
4.18.1.2	architectural details and craftsmanship of the exterior of the villa	✕			
4.18.1.3	living space and its concept including the winter garden	✕			
4.18.1.4	interior equipment	✕			
4.18.1.5	technical equipment of the building	✕			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?**

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

**5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).**

- The owner of the Tugendhat Villa is the City of Brno, represented by the Brno City Municipality. The administrator and user is the Brno City Museum. The Tugendhat Villa is classified as cultural heritage, and as a national cultural heritage property by Czech Republic Governmental Statute No 262/1995 Coll. on 16.8.1995. It is thus subject to protection under relevant legislation (No 20/1987, concerning the state conservation of cultural heritage and Decree No 66/1988; Construction Act No. 50/1976). A buffer zone has been designated for the property.
- Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code;
- Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records;
- Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 187/2007 Coll., stipulating the content and essential elements of zoning plans for areas with archaeological finds
- On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.
- The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes

relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation; SP 2012 SOC report (SOC Prague), Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

## Comment

In order to unify the terminology of some of the laws currently still in force, we use the opportunity to add the corrected translations to section 5.2.2.

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1987(2021) / Act No. 20/1987 Sb., on the State Heritage Protection (The Heritage Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / <https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1987-20>

1988 (2002) / Decree No. 66/1988 Sb., For the Implementation of the Act on the State Heritage Protection / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / <https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1988-66>

2007 / Decree No. 187/2007 Sb., stipulating the content and essential requirements of zoning plans concerning areas with archaeological finds / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / <https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2007-187>

2008 (2023) / Decree No. 420/2008 Sb., which establishes the requirements and content of the plan for the protection of heritage reserves and heritage zones / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / <https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2008-420>

2006 (2020) / Act No. 183/2006 Sb., on Spatial Planning and Building Rules (The Building Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / <https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-183>

2006 (2022) / Decree No. 500/2006 Sb., on Supporting Analytical Zoning Data, Zoning Documentation and Zoning Records / Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development / <https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-500>

1992 (2021) / Act No. 114/1992 Sb., on nature conservation and landscape protection / Law of the Czech Republic / <https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1992-114>

2021 / Protection zone/Buffer Zone declared for protection / Decision on the delimitation of the protection zone / <https://pamatkovykatalog.cz/pravni-ochrana/ochranne-pasmo-pro-vilu-tugendhat-24337236>

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

## 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan


A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The owner of the building "Villa Tugendhat" is the City of Brno. The operational administration is entrusted to the Brno City Museum, a contributory organization. Villa Tugendhat is an independent department within the structure of the museum.

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan for Tugendhat Villa in Brno Czech Republic	N/A	Available	2000	

### Comment

The Villa Tugendhat Management Plan was created in 2012–2013, the Brno City Museum received a financial subsidy from the Subsidy programme for the World Heritage Sites operated by the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic. In 2019, the whole project underwent a complete update, which was also financed from the Subsidy programme for the World Heritage Sites.

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is **fully based** on the agreed World Heritage policy

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored**

### 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities	✗				
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✗	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women				✗	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

### 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
--	--	----------------	--------------	------	------	------

5.3.16.1	Local communities	×				
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	×				
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

**6. Financial and Human Resources**

**6.1. Funding**

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	5 %	5 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	85 %	85 %



6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	40 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	60 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is **fully based** on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

#### 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

#### 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

#### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

#### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Management plan of Villa Tugendhat was included as a model document in the International Course on Conservation of Modern Heritage in Getty Conservation Institute in Los Angeles, USA

### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

#### 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

#### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists

#### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

66149 / 36207 / 38086 / 58972 / 48479 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0 / 0 / 0 / 18 / 0 / 5 /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

#### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and **does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

#### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location** and **easily visible** to visitors

#### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected** and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

TIC Brno (professional city-funded tourist information agency)

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

TIC Brno (professional city-funded tourist information agency)

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✗
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				✗
10.3.3	Character of governance				✗
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			✗	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✗
10.3.6	Capacity development				✗

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

The answers you provided have not outlined any serious management need, therefore this table is empty. Please **Save** to complete the question.

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	(iv) 3.2.2, 3.2.4, 3.2.7	to continue in application of tools for regulating visitor numbers (limited number within a group thanks to ticket registration system)	number of tickets sold	continuously	Museum of the City of Brno	none

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

The answers you provided have not outlined any serious management need, therefore this table is empty. Please **Save** to complete the question.

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

#### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Not applicable
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive

Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

- Cooperation with Conserving Modern Architecture Initiative (CMAI) at the Getty Conservation Institute. Los Angeles, USA in the project of International Course of Mid-career Professionals. - Villa Tugendhat was selected as a project of good practice and Case Studies within the above-mentioned cooperation. - Director and Site Manager of the property, Iveta Perná, was a lector of Course on the Conservation of Modern Heritage (MAC). There were 82 applications from 42 countries across the globe. - Main topics of the Course were: Applications & Case Studies, Advocacy & Engagement, Policies, Procedures & Protection, Environmental Impacts/Concerns. - Projects asked by Getty Institute: "The Role of Maintenance in Conservation The Case of Villa Tugendhat in Brno", "Restoration of Modern Movement Architecture on the Example of VILLA TUGENDHAT / 2010–2012"

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

#### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

#### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 / 10 / 40 /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✗
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		✗

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✗	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✗	

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

Regular trainings

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**