Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto (South-Eastern Sicily)

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto (South-Eastern Sicily)

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Caltagirone	37.24 / 14.512	22.9	47.86	70.76	2002
Catania	37.502 / 15.087	38.5	80.13	118.63	2002
Militello Val di Catania	37.276 / 14.792	1.43	27.48	28.91	2002
Modica	36.86 / 14.761	9	34	43	2002
Noto	36.893 / 15.069	21.38	48.09	69.47	2002
Palazzolo Acreide	37.063 / 14.903	1.37	33.74	35.11	2002
Ragusa	36.925 / 14.733	17.39	29.32	46.71	2002
Scicli	36.792 / 14.706	0.82	5.18	6	2002
Total (ha)		**112.79**	**305.8**	**418.59**	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto (South-Eastern Sicily) - maps of inscribed property	2002	

Comment
The update of the maps showing the boundaries and buffer zone is almost completed. New maps will be ready before the end of 2023

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
1. Allakatalla: Turismo e Cultura in Val di Noto (only in Italian)
2. Comune di Noto
3. Soprintendenza beni culturali ed ambientali (only in Italian)
4. Officine Culturali, per le visite guidate al Monastero dei Benedettini di Catania
5. unesco.beniculturali.it

Comment
https://www.tardobaroccosicilia.com/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✖

| 2.1.4 | **World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | | ✖ | |
| 2.1.5 | **Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks | | ✖ | |

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	**1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	**Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	**Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	**Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	**UNESCO Global Geoparks**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	✖

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
Art of dry stone walling, knowledge and techniques

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
Brief synthesis

The Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto is comprised of components of eight towns located in south-eastern Sicily (Caltagirone, Militello Val di Catania, Catania, Modica, Noto, Palazzolo Acreide, Ragusa andScicli). These historic centres and urban environments reflect the great, post-seismic rebuilding achievement of the decades following the catastrophic earthquake of 1693, which ravaged towns across south-eastern Sicily. The rebuilding, restoration and reconstruction of these communities resulted in the creation of an exceptional group of towns, all reflecting the late Baroque architecture of the 17th century in all its forms and applications.

The eight components of the property differ in size and represent a range of responses to the rebuilding needs. They include the entire old town of Caltagirone, Noto and Ragusa; specific urban areas of Catania and Scicli; and isolated monuments in the historic town centres of Modica, Palazzolo Acreide and Militello Val di Catania. Catania was rebuilt on the site of the original town while others, such as Noto, were rebuilt on new sites. At Ragusa and Palazzolo Acreide, new urban centres were created next to the ancient ones. The centres of Scicli and Modica were moved and rebuilt in adjoining areas already partially urbanized, and Caltagirone was simply repaired.

The towns exhibit a plethora of late Baroque art and architecture of high quality and of a remarkable homogeneity as a result of the circumstances of time, place, and social context in which they were created. However, they also display distinctive innovations in the town planning and urban rebuilding. The property also represents a considerable collective undertaking in response to a catastrophic seismic event.

Criterion (i): The Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto in south-eastern Sicily provide outstanding testimony to the exuberant genius of late Baroque art and architecture.

Criterion (ii): The Late Baroque towns of the Val di Noto represent the culmination and final flowering of Baroque art in Europe.

Criterion (iv): The exceptional quality of the late Baroque art and architecture in the Val di Noto lies in its geographical and chronological homogeneity, and is the result of the 1693 earthquake in this region.

Criterion (v): The eight Late Baroque Towns of the Val di Noto in south-eastern Sicily are characteristic of the settlement pattern and urban form of this region, are permanently at risk from earthquakes and eruptions of Mount Etna.

Integrity

The property includes all the attributes required to express its Outstanding Universal Value, as it encompasses the most representative centres of the late Baroque period in the Val di Noto. The eight components of the property reflect the range of architectural and town-planning developments resulting from the post-seismic reconstruction in the Val di Noto after the 1693 earthquake. This earthquake created an opportunity for an enormous artistic, architectural, and anti-seismic renewal of the cities. The centres retain their residential function, along with a lively society of inhabitants.

Authenticity

The eight components of the property continue to demonstrate with remarkable homogeneity the late Baroque art and architectural style of south-eastern Sicily in individual buildings and town planning. In particular, the almost completely preserved town plans, which have seen only few alterations, express a variety of reactions to the destruction caused by the earthquake.

Although the property meets the requirements for authenticity, it has been affected by further seismic activity as well as long-term degradation, and a great many buildings and monumental complexes require major restoration, consolidation, and maintenance interventions.

Protection and management requirements

The majority of the properties in all eight components are in private ownership. Other properties are owned by the church, the Italian State and local Government authorities. The Regional Provinces of Catania, Ragusa, and Syracuse, as well as the Municipalities of the eight towns have the responsibility for looking after the urban and architectural heritage in their respective territories. The eight towns are identified in the respective town plans as Homogeneous Territorial Zones or Historic Centres, where the existing urban and architectural heritage can be submitted only to rehabilitation and maintenance works that fully respect the historic and cultural vocation of each town.

The main legal protection and conservation measures are provided by the national and regional legislation for the protection of the artistic, monumental, landscape, naturalistic, seismic, hydro-geological and forestry heritage, in particular by Acts 1089/39, 1497/39, 64/74, 431/85, and Regional Acts 61/81 and 15/91. Numerous buildings (109) falling within the core-zones are bound according to the DL 42/2004 (pursuant to Law 1089/39) because of historical monuments. The historical towns of Ragusa Iblea and Noto and Modica, Scicli, Palazzolo Acreide are subject to landscape protection (under Law 1497/39). All goods falling within urban areas classified as Zone A (historic centre) from the general zoning and applicable planning legislation, are regulated by national and regional laws. The old town of Ragusa Ibla also benefits from a detailed plan and a special law (L.R. 61/81) that promote recovery for restoration of public and private buildings. All work on the property must be approved in advance by local Superintendents and Municipal Administration. The norms that protect the site are dictated by state laws (legislative decree 22 January 2004, Code for cultural heritage and landscape), as well as regional and municipal laws.

At the time of inscription, a Management Plan was developed to coordinate the management of the eight components of the property. The Management Structure is regularly revised.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Outstanding example of late Baroque art and architecture	✖			
3.2.2	Geographical and chronological homogeneity	✖			
3.2.3	Characteristic settlememt patterns and urban forms	✖			
3.2.4	The almost completely preserved town plans express a variety of reactions to the destruction caused by the earthquake	✖			
3.2.5	Towns retain their residential function, along with a lively society of inhabitants	✖			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			↗

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🏳 Current	🏳 Potential	◉ Inside	🏴 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🏳 Current	🏳 Potential	◉ Inside	🏴 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖			✖			↗

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🏳 Current	🏳 Potential	◉ Inside	🏴 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖		✖	✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🏳 Current	🏳 Potential	◉ Inside	🏴 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖		→	
⊖ Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🏳 Current	🏳 Potential	◉ Inside	🏴 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖			↗
⊖ Negative							

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Origin	Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
✚ Positive ✖	✖			✖			↗
⛔ Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
✚ Positive							
⛔ Negative ✖		✖		✖			↗

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
✚ Positive ✖	✖			✖			↗
⛔ Negative ✖	✖			✖			↗

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
✚ Positive							
⛔ Negative ✖	✖			✖		→	

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⟲ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	➡ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖			➡	

4.7.3 - Temperature
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌗ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌗ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖				↗
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖				↗

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌗ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖		✖			→	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬡ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.11.2 - Earthquake
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬡ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖			→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬡ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material
Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬭ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖						↗
⊖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬭ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖					→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬭ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖	✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✖ Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖				→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✖ Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖	✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.1 Housing					
	⊖	🏳	⊙		→
4.1.2 Commercial development					
	⊖	🏳		⌕	↗
4.1.3 Industrial areas					
	⊖	🏳		⌕	→
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
	⊖	🏳		⌕	↗
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities					
	⊕	🏳	⊙		↗
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure					

4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			👆	→
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			👆	↗
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			👆	↗
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	⊖		🚩		👆	↗

4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	⊕	🚩			👆	↗
	⊖	🚩			👆	↗
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities	⊖	🚩			👆	→

4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.3 Oil and gas	⊖		🚩		👆	→

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity	⊖	🚩		◉		→

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	🚩		◉		→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	🚩		◉		↗
	⊖	🚩		◉		↗

4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	⊖	🚩		◉		→

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption	⊖		🚩		👆	→
4.11.2 Earthquake	⊖		🚩	◉		→
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave	⊖		🚩		👆	→

4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩				↗
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩				→
4.13.3 Governance	⊕		🚩	◉		→
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕		🚩			→

4.13.6 Human resources	🟢	⚑	◉	→

Legend	⚑ Current	⚑ Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	◉ Inside	⚑ Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing					
	⊖	⚑	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development					
	⊖	⚑		⚑	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted

	Localised	✖
	Extensive	
	Widespread	

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
	On-going	✖

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant	
	Minor	✖
	Significant	
	Major	

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity	
	Medium capacity	✖
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing	
	Static	
	Increasing	✖

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
	⊖		🚩		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted	
	Localised	✖
	Extensive	
	Widespread	

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	✖
	Frequent	
	On-going	

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant	
	Minor	✖
	Significant	
	Major	

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
	⊖	🚩			🔄	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕		🚩	◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted

	Localised
✖	
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.2 Underground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩			☞	➚

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	

	Restricted
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure						
	🚫		📢		↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	⊕	🚩			↻	↗
	⊖	🚩			↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities				

		⊖	⚑			↪	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.3 Oil and gas						
	⊖		⚑		↪	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖	🚩		◎		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	⚑		◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	⚑		◉		↗
	⊖	⚑		◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	⊖	🚩		⊙		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name			Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption								
			⊖		⚑		☞	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name			Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake								
			⊖		⚑	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave						
	⊖		🚩		↻	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩				↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩				→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
✖	On-going	

Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant	
	Minor	
✖	Significant	
	Major	

Management response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity	
✖	Medium capacity	
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing	
✖	Static	
	Increasing	

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	●		◀	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor		
	Restricted	
	Localised	
✖	Extensive	
	Widespread	

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare	
✖	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
	On-going	

Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant	
	Minor	
✖	Significant	
	Major	

Management response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity	
	Medium capacity	
✖	Low capacity	

	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕		⚑			→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕		⚑	◉		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✖	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Outstanding example of late Baroque art and architecture	✖			
4.18.1.2	Geographic and chronological homogeneity	✖			
4.18.1.3	Characteristic settlememt patterns and urban forms	✖			
4.18.1.4	The almost completely preserved town plans express a variety of reactions to the destruction caused by the earthquake	✖			
4.18.1.5	Towns retain their residential function, along with a lively society of inhabitants	✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
There is a wide interest in becoming part of the World Heritage Site by other towns that share a late baroque architecture concentrated in their historical districts.

They also share the same origin, related to the reconstruction after the 1693 earthquake and they consider themselves as part of the same cultural district .

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The majority of the properties in all eight of the towns are in private ownership. The religious buildings open to worship are mostly owned by the Diocesan Curias; some are owned by the Italian State through its Ministry for Internal Affairs. Most of the monumental buildings of architectural value are owned by the Local Authorities. All such are public bodies, and the assets for which they are responsible are considered as public property.

The main protection and conservation measures are provided by the national and regional legislation for the protection of the artistic, monumental, landscape, naturalistic, seismic, hydro-geological and forestry heritage, in particular by Acts 1089/39, 1497/39, 64/74, 431/85, and Regional Acts 61/81 and 15/91. Within the bureaucratic infrastructure to implement these provisions, the various Sicilian sections of the Soprintendenza ai Beni Architettonici e Ambientali report directly to the Regional Department for Cultural Heritage. Because of the seismic factor, the Civil Engineer's Office plays an important role in monitoring the safety of buildings and therefore in the maintenance of fabric; it reports direct to the Regional Public Works Department.

Source: Adisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property
Public management system at provincial/regional level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?
Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?
No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?
No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists **despite an identified need**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✖		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✖	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		✖			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women		✖			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				✖	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✖	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			✖		
5.3.16.5	Women				✖	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			✖		
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✖
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✖
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✖
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✖	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			✖		
5.3.16.12	NGOs	✖				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement

5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	✕				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	✕				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	✕				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	✕				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	✕				
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	✕				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	40 %	45 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	20 %	15 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	15 %	20 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	5 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair

Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Non-existent
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Youth/children

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1.900.000 (estimated) / 1.203.929 / 878.903 / 2.155.188 / 2.214.541 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other
Regional department for Toiurism

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?
Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

40.00 / 23.00 / 25.00 / 2.00 / 15.00 / 10.00 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information
These data are estimated on the basis of the average prices in the different towns that are involved in the property. No officila data are available and a monitoring plan would be needed

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?
There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
The lack of a strategy to manage visitors and tourism activity is also reflected by the delay of the Regional Department to elaborate and publish data about visitors. 2022 data are still missing and probably will be available only by the end of 2023

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?
Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed despite an identified need**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?
No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
There **is contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this **is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
Fees are collected, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?
No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?
Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			✖	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		✖		
10.3.3	Character of governance		✖		

10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		✖		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		✖		
10.3.6	Capacity development		✖		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Poor
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	**Boundaries and Buffer Zones**	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property **are known** by the management **authority but are not known** by local communities/landowners	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners**	✖
5.2	**Protective Measures**	
5.2.3	An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	
5.2.4	An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	✖
5.2.5	An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**	
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	**No use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is **only being partially implemented**	
5.3.14	There is **no existing annual work/action plan** for the property despite an identified need	

6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are **not secure**	
6.1.7	Human resources **are inadequate** for the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.10	**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	✖
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is **considerable research** in the World Heritage property but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**	
7.3	Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	✖
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	✖
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed despite an identified need**	
9.11	There **is contact** but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this **is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately presented and interpreted**	✖
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a **small amount of monitoring** at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	✖
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	

> **Please select 2 more issues.**

> ◪ **Please save this question to reflect changes**

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.1	Housing	Urban sprawl can have a negative impact on the landscape. Integrity of the urban centres could be affected as a consequence of new buildings	The site is protected by national, regional and municipal laws and regulations	Monitoring is continuos	Ongoing	State, Region, Municipalities and site management	No comments
4.1.2	Commercial development	Commercial development can have both a positive and negative impact on the site. A larger offer of services for visitors is desirable, but an excessive growth of these can alter the historical centers	A management plan and a regulations framework should be prepared and implemented	Monitoring is not sistematic and should be implemented	Next five years	Municipalities and site management	No comments
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	New visitor accomodations and infrastructure will improve visitors experience, but they should be monitored and regulated	The subject will be part of the touristic management plan still to be prepared	There is a partial monitoring of the process, but it should be implemented	Next five years	Municipalities and site management	No comments
4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						

4.2.4	Marine transport infrastructure	New marine trasportation infrastructure can positively impact on visitors presence and experience	A control and monitoring of the spreading or improvement of the infrastructures is needed	Monitoring exists by the main agencis involved	Ongoing	Region and municipalities	The increasing of large cruise ships arrival could be a medium/long term concern
4.3	**Services Infrastructures**						
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Even if renewable energy facilities have a positive impact reducing Co2 emissions their potential negative impact on the landscape in the surroundings of the site should considered	The site is protected by the legal framework, regulating the placement of new installations	Monitoring is continous	Ongoing	Regional departments, municipalities	No comments
4.7	**Local conditions affecting physical fabric**						
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Mnuments and buildings can be affected by the climate change	Conservative actions were implemented in the last years. New studies about the impact of relative humidity, heavy rains and changing climate conditions should be planned	Monitoring is continous, but it needs a re-evaluation according to the new climatic conditions	Ongoing	Municipalities, Superintendencies for the cultural heritage, site management	No comments
4.8	**Social/Cultural uses of heritage**						
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	The increasing number of vistors (even if the Covid pandemy stopped it in the last years) can generate an excessive pressure on small towns, expecially in the historical centers (e.g. crowding, raise in waste production and vehicle traffic)	A touristic management plan is needed and should be prepared	An effective system of monitoring is not ready and should be prepared	next five years	Municipalities, site management	No comments
4.9	**Other human activities**						
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	In some locations there is still an amount of vandalism that affects part of the property	In the last years CCTV systems have been implemented and local police improved the effectiveness of controls and interventions	Monitoring is continous and will be implented	Next five years	Municipalities	No comments
4.11	**Sudden ecological or geological events**						
4.11.1	Volcanic eruption	Volcanic eruption is a concern for part of the property that is located at the foot of Mount Etna but there is not a plan of risk management	A risk management plan should be studied and implemented in the future	Monitoring is active through the National Institute for Geology and Vulcanology (INGV)	Next five years	Region, municipatlities, site management, universities	No comments
4.11.2	Earthquake	High energy earthquakes occurr in southeastern Sicily and are a potential concern	A risk management plan is needed and the use of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties should be carefully considered	Earthquake risk is monitored by the National Institute for Geology and Vulcanology (INGV)	Next five years	Regional departments, municipalities, site management, universities	No comments

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	**Timeframe**	**Lead agency (and others involved)**	**More info / comment**
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners**	The communication and cooperation between the site management and local communities should be implemented. Periodic meetings with the stakeholders involved in the buffer zone should be planned	Next five years	Municipalities, site management	No comments

5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.4	An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**	Legal framework is adequate and consistent but reinforcement of monitoring is needed	Next five years	Municipalities, local Superintendencies for Cultural heritage	No comments

6.1	Funding				
6.1.7	Human resources **are inadequate** for the management needs of the World Heritage property	Site management needs the creation of a permanent working group of experts in different fields and with different knowledges to afford the needs of an effective management	Next five years	Site management, municipalities	No comments
6.1.12	There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	As stated above it necessary to create an internal staff that could prepare a site based capacity plan. A stable working group is needed and the staff should be involved in the dissemination of skills and expertises	Next five years	Site management, municipalities	No comments

8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**	The implementation of planned education can be improved only coordinating the actions and spreading knolewdge through the creation of a working group that will actively conceive new initiatives, also by exploiting new languages and media	Next five years	Site management, municipalities	No comments

9	Visitor Management				

9.7	There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	A specific working group coordinating the different bodies involved in the site will ensure the preparation of a consistent and effective strategy to manage visitors, according to UNESCO guidelines	Next five years	Site management, municipalities	No comments
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately presented and interpreted**	Site management should be provided of a working group/bureau that should plan a systematic plan of activities (meeting, conferences, courses), also gathering and presenting the data about the site	Next five years	Site management	No comments
10	**Monitoring**				
10.1	There is a **small amount of monitoring** at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	The creation of a dedicated staff and bureau will ensure data collection, management and publication	Next five years	Site management, municipalities	No comments

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact

Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Indigenous people
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.**

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

48 / 12 / 4 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✖
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✖	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				✖
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions				✖

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM international/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Poor

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair
IUCN International	Fair

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Map(s)**
  Reason for update: The update of the maps showing the boundaries and buffer zone is almost completed. New maps will be ready before the end of 2023

> Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.