

# Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historical Centre of the City of Arequipa	-16.398 / -71.537	166.52	165.47	331.99	2000
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>166.52</b>	<b>165.47</b>	<b>331.99</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Boundaries and territorial components; Scale: 1:1 250	1999	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [CIARQ Archaeology of Arequipa Peru \(Center for Archaeological Research in Arequipa\)](#)
2. [Para ti querida Arequipa... \(enrique.rodriquez@interweb.be\)\(spanish only\)](#)
3. [Arequipa, the white city \(InfoPeru\)](#)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Arequipa is not included in Ramsar Convention nor in the Hague Convention, because it does not have wetland nor is located in a war zone.

**2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

In this case not aplicated an indicated list or conventions, because Arequipa not include in these programmes.

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

No

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

No, its know about other characteristics of the intangible Cultural heritage in this moment, about Arequipa

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

Not aware

**2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.**

In this case, about its exist, about other list , our don't know.

**3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

# Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## Brief Synthesis

The historical centre of Arequipa, located in the Province of Arequipa at the foot of three snow-covered volcanoes, represents the integrated response of native hands and building techniques and characteristics with European designs, expressed in the admirable work of colonial masters and native masons. This combination of influences, and the response to an unstable ground due to earthquakes, is illustrated by robust walls, archways, porticos, vaults, courtyards and open spaces, and a strong indigenous influence in the intricate Baroque decoration of its facades.

With its buildings built mostly in white or pink volcanic rock (*sillar*), the historical centre of Arequipa has a distinct character resulting from natural causes and historical context. The pre-existent indigenous populations, the Spanish conquest and the evangelization, the spectacular natural setting and the frequent earthquakes, are all main factors in the definition of Arequipa's identity. The city is the result of its people's endurance against natural processes and the capacity of cultures to overcome crises. Arequipa was founded in 1540 in a valley that had been intensively farmed by pre-Hispanic communities. The layout of an indigenous hamlet has survived close to the Historical Centre in the district of San Lázaro. The World Heritage site consists of 49 original blocks of the Spanish layout. In addition there are 24 blocks from the colonial period and the 19th century.

Major earthquakes have marked the key moments of change in the development of Arequipa architecture. It is thus possible to identify five periods of development: foundation as a village (1540-82), Baroque splendour (1582-1784), introduction of Rococo and neoclassicism (1784-1868), modern empiricism and neoclassical fashion (1868-1960), and contemporary design. The core of the historic town is the Plaza de Armas (Plaza Mayor) with its archways, the municipality, and the cathedral. At one corner of the plaza there are the church and cloisters of La Compañía, the most representative ensemble of the Baroque mestizo period at the end of the 18th century.

The Monasterio de Santa Catalina is a spectacular religious citadel, integrating architectural styles from the 16th to 19th centuries. The complex of San Francisco includes a small square, the main church, the convent, and the cloisters of the third order. The chapels and convents of Santo Domingo date from the 16th to 18th centuries: San Agustín, La Merced and the church of Santa María; Santa Teresa and Santa Rosa; Puente Real (now Puente Bolognesi) and Puente Grau are also built from *sillar*.

The merit of Arequipa architecture is not limited to the grandeur of its religious monuments. It is also in the profusion of dignified *casonas*, characteristic well-proportioned vernacular houses; the centre contains some 500 *casonas*. The urban space penetrates the interior of the city blocks through large doorways and hallways into the courtyards, where the carvings of the facades are reproduced, thus accentuating spatial continuity. Doorways and windows are flanked with pillars and crowned with protruding pediments that blend with the large walls. The ornamental economy of the porches harmonizes with the shape of the vaults, the projecting cornices and the carved corbels. Narrow window openings allow light to enter the semi-circular arches or vaulted roof spaces. Together with the monumental ensembles, streets, and squares the *casonas* ensure the harmony and integrity of the townscape and give the city exceptional urban value.

The historical centre of Arequipa is therefore characterized by its originality and presence, respect for tradition, influence in the settlement region, privileged geography, foundational layout, its urban scheme and its creation, its materials, construction and decoration systems, and the rich social and cultural mixture.

**Criterion (i):** The ornamented architecture in the historical centre of Arequipa represents a masterpiece of the creative integration of European and native characteristics, crucial for the cultural expression of the entire region.

**Criterion (iv):** The historical centre of Arequipa is an outstanding example of a colonial settlement, challenged by the natural conditions, the indigenous influences, the process of conquest and evangelization, as well as the spectacular nature of its setting.

## Integrity

The protection area of the historical centre of Arequipa comprises 166.52 ha, includes all the representative elements and physical characteristics of the urban and architectural compound and its historical evolution, which express the Outstanding Universal Value of the site. The foundational urban layout of the city, its monumental urban environments and religious and civil buildings built between the 17th and the 20th century A.D., make up its historic urban compound character. Likewise, the construction techniques -using volcanic stone and the facade engraving works and others- help preserve original and untouched examples that have survived since the 17th century. The historical centre of Arequipa integrates to the natural and cultural environment of the Chili River valley, crowned by three snow-covered volcanoes and the Pre-Hispanic agricultural terraces in the countryside.

These attributes are still preserved today and maintain a harmonious close relationship without significant alteration. The historical centre of Arequipa is vulnerable to natural phenomena, such as: seismic activity, low intensity volcanic activity and El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). Also, as a result of the many socio-economic pressures, such as: trade, traffic, and lack of an efficient maintenance, urbanism and control policy, the city centre suffers from overpopulation, slumming of its monuments and traffic jams, high pollution due to emission of toxic gases stemming from the poor condition of its motor vehicle fleet, formal and informal trade, demolition of real estate properties to be used as parking lots and loss of the city's agricultural area. All of these factors, as well as others' negligence and mismanagement, cause severe risks in the fabric of history, which has already resulted in the loss of many buildings with historic value and need to be addressed to sustain the conditions of integrity.

## Authenticity

The planning of the foundational urban layout in the historical centre of Arequipa maintains its originality and much of the urban fabric that expresses the city's mixed character and historical identity, and adds an outstanding urban value to the compound. The construction techniques using volcanic stone and the fine sculptural work of porticos and other structures engraved in *sillar* also witness of the technological development and local mixed Baroque art preserving authentic and unaltered examples that have survived since the 17th century. Churches maintain their religious use; however, many manor houses have lost their original use as residences and have been restored and adapted for administrative and cultural activities.

Despite the uncountable natural catastrophes that the historical centre of Arequipa has suffered, most buildings have been repaired many times and rebuilt to endure the geographic environment without losing their typology or their ornamental characteristics, keeping exceptionally coherent and homogeneous characteristics as a result of the integration of such factors. This was accomplished due to the integration of many makings, to the continuation of construction traditions (know-how), to the use of local experienced workforce and to the knowledge of local construction materials, like *sillar*, as well as the several documentary sources and graphic records.

The natural and cultural environment of the historical centre of Arequipa –made up by snow-capped volcanoes and the Chili River valley's countryside with Pre-Hispanic agricultural terraces- give the city a spectacular landscape of remarkable beauty closely and harmoniously linked to it.

## Protection and management requirements

The historical centre of Arequipa is protected by the National Constitution and by Law N° 28296, General Law for National Cultural Heritage, dated 2004. Supreme Resolution N° 2900, dated 1972, declares of the historical centre of Arequipa as a Monumental Area and the most important buildings with a heritage value as Monuments, and defines its boundaries. Supreme Decree N° 012-77-IT/DS dated 1977 defines the boundaries of the monumental area declares it as intangible as "White, Monumental and Tourism Area". Municipal Ordinance N° 13-99 determines the protection of the historical centre of Arequipa and creates the Municipal Management and Control Superintendence of the historical centre of Arequipa.

The site boundaries (166.52 ha) are clearly established and protected by national regulation. The buffer zone has not been defined yet in spite of the fact that it

appears in maps.

Currently, the main religious buildings are generally well preserved. Twenty percent of declared manor houses are completely restored and nearly 30% are in bad condition.

The public areas of the historical centre of Arequipa are the property of the Peruvian Government and are managed by the Provincial Municipality of Arequipa. The Convents and Churches within the historical centre are the property of the Catholic Church and are managed by the Archdiocese of Arequipa and the Religious Orders. The main manor houses classed as Historic Monuments are mainly the property of Public and Private Institutions, a small number are the property of private people.

Managing the entire historical centre of Arequipa is the responsibility of the recently incepted Municipal Management and Control Superintendence, in coordination with the Ministry of Culture. One of its main actions will be to develop and implement a management Master Plan in coordination. However, precise maintenance, management and control policies by agencies involved in preserving and managing the historical centre of Arequipa will need to be developed and enforced to ensure the protection of the World Heritage property.

**3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).**

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Architecture with indigenous influence and baroque decoration.	X			
3.2.2	Buildings built mostly in sillar		X		
3.2.3	Spectacular natural environment		X		
3.2.4	St. Catherine's Monastery	X			
3.2.5	Profusion of mansions and vernacular houses		X		
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

**3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

About these answers, for example items 3.2.4 and 3.2.9 are supported by statistics known from National Institute of Statistics and Gerency of Municipal Historic Center, also consulting Municipal Institute of planning. If necessary and imperative coordinate politics between Local Authority to Ministry of Culture, about protection actions and documents.

**4. Factors Affecting the Property**

**4.1. Buildings and Development**

**4.1.1 - Housing**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.1.2 - Commercial development**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.1.3 - Industrial areas**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively


In Historic Center of Arequipa and influence area, maybe exist a potential risk determined from Urban complex high rise/high density, they are located in interstice or urban perimeter. Also important the non existence from specific regulations, gestion documents about buiding into a patrimonial areas.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

About the urban public transportation in a urban center from Arequipa, is not regulated, not orderate also exist potential risk and conflict in roads, avenues. If necesary modify regulations, planning and control.

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures














#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant		
--	--------------	--	--

	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact
--	--------	--------	-----------------

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The cell towers in center from Arequipa is endemic problem, not regulated and generate affectation urban profile, because a bad custom with hide cell towers in back to false structures above buildings.

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside






Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							

 Negative 							
--	---	--	---	--	--	---	--

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

About of pollution facts are existed in patrimonial area, have for example Household rubbish, also potential risk local effects of emissions from use of fossil fuels, dont exist specific regulations, but principally factor is a poor level educational and civic culture.

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	---

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):









- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

.Principal risk factor is relationed from urban developments, and urban ratings, that replace a agricultural lands, maybe due to lack support, limited support from national economics politics and a few incentives for this traditional and productive job.

### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No apply either all factors descript in 4.6 topic.

### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No apply either all factors descript in 4.7 topic.

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕				📈

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕			✕		➡	

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In pandemic time are increased a risk from inappropriate use to historic building and patrimonial place also important public space and roads. Because don't have many regulation about these theme.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕				📈

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕		📉		

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

They are principal factors from affectation to heritage, these consist an antropic damages and other acts relatinoned so urban inconducts or poor civic culture.

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not apply these factors descript in 4.10 topic.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕			→	

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕				↗

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Arequipa are stay in a geologic zone denominate fire ring from pacific, The seismic events named "terremotos" o "temblores" frequent geologic phenomenon, about these case exist public regulation and safe codes, but people don't interesting or reacquire conscience about this important theme.

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not apply these factors description in 4.12 topic.

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕			➡	

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕		📉		

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕		✕	✕		📉		
🔴 Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕			✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (07/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

About governance it's very important theme, but not considerate common politics and actions both Local authority and Ministry of Culture, if necessary implement new actions, management policies also important is a political decision, and allocate resources human and economic, or focused a resources.






#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

About periodic monitoring if necessary, improve communication both local government, Ministry of Culture and UNESCO, for the pursue of continuous monitoring.

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure					
					
4.3 Services Infrastructures					
4.3.1 Water infrastructure					

4.3.4 Localised utilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						

4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities					
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside  Outside

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
---




	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	⊖	🚧		🏠		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution			
	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste			





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent						
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing						

## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification




Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land conversion						
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised					
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive					
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread					
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>						
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic					
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent					
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going					
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>						

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing


## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major



Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic





	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			
	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major



#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.13 Management and institutional factors



Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan			
	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance						





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
---	--

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
---	--

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

##### 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

In case to Historic Center from Arequipa exist various components about it, for example patrimonial Infrastructure, roads, public spaces (squares), countryside and the River Chili, The Principal factors that affect are: Pollution, volcanic activity, antropic damage, earthquake, illegal activities. The principal incidence is referred to seismic activity, also important antropic damage, unauthorized buildings and illegal constructions.. The incidence is high.

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

##### 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Architecture with indigenous influence and baroque decoration.	✕			
4.18.1.2	Buildings built mostly in sillar		✕		
4.18.1.3	Spectacular natural environment		✕		
4.18.1.4	St. Catherine's Monastery	✕			
4.18.1.5	Profusion of mansions and vernacular houses		✕		

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

##### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

###### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

###### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are not known** by the management authority or local communities/landowners

###### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

**Inadequacies** in the buffer zones **make it difficult** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

###### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

###### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

It is necessary to spread and promote the buffer zone, limits add relations with the population. If necessary protecting it.

## 5.2. Protective Measures

### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

According to the 1972 Supreme Resolution 2900-71 ED and the 1977 Supreme Decree 012-77-IT/DS, the Peruvian state has recognized the Monumental Zone of Arequipa and has designated a series of monuments and monumental urban spaces within this zone.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

In Historic Center of Arequipa, and the buffer zone, exist a lot of regulations, for example municipal orders, laws, mayor decrees. These norms make a stabilized urban planning, local regulations, also considered protection norms, prohibition norms and collateral themes, in theory are effective but in a practice they have a implement deficiencies.

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

If a imperative improve the managements and control systems both local authority and Ministry of culture.

## 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The Municipal Ordenance number 115 - 2001, make up regulations, urbanistic norms, high of the buildings, constructive density, and other restrictive character. The management system apply while don't exist a master plan from historic center, not published yet.

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Plan of action for the Historic Centre of Arequipa	In Force	Available	1999	

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

In actually don't have exist policies or master norms, specific norms, about urban landscape on historic center and the buffer zone.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

In actually don't have exist policies or master norms, specific norms, about urban landscape on historic center and the buffer zone.

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

Local and national authority maked formulation a planes and regulations about deisaster topic, minimize risk, and difussion.

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **little coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **not adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is **not being implemented**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists but few of its activities are being implemented**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities		✗			
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			✗		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✗	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women			✗		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✗	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			✗		
5.3.16.5	Women			✗		
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	✗				
5.3.16.7	Researchers	✗				
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists	✗				
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists	✗				
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			✗		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			✗		
5.3.16.12	NGOs			✗		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			✗		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	✗				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			✗		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			✗		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			✗		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			✗		

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

In present time a management systems don't give a specific mechanism from control, also need create a important instruments for human an economic developments in historic center and buffer zone.

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

The answer is bassed regulations O.M 115-2001, Municipal ordinances, Gerencial documents, the draft of the master plan of the historic center, pending approval. Additionally the answer is bassed a laws, norms an d other documentes from Ministry of Culture, for example law 28296, D.S. 007 2020 MC.

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

Unknown municipal o government resources, budgets about this projects, they are confidentially, no public knoledgement.

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

About this topic, refered to sources o funding don't exist concrete information published from local or national authority. Only they has comunicated qualitative work goals.

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

#### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

About this theme or topic, is true that yes exist politic and municipal strategies, because it exist training and correct use from humanity resources, but if necessary coment about monetary sources, are insuficient as consequence of the pandemic time. The last monitoring and inform has been 2019, previous the pandemic.

#### 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Relatoned that case, is true has been exist multiple programmes, trainings and academic courses inclusive at pandemic time, for example Arequipa Museo Vivo, Arequipa Patrimonio vivo and other programmes too. In present the patrimonial authority so haves a new programmes.

## 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

### 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of research**, but it is not planned

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

In this case it is necessary to make a precision about research or scientific documents relationed to the topic, for example Ambiental Atlas from Arequipa by PHd. Carlos Zevallos, Sacred Place in Arequipa by Phd Gonzalo Rios, Historia de Arequipa by Ramon Gutierrez, and there is a document or ponency named Sillar, mechanic and termic characteristics practical apliccations by Arq. Karina Malaga, also there is other municipal documents.

## 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Interest groups, civil society

### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local/municipal authorities
Researchers
Other specific groups
Interest groups, civil society

### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Not provided but needed
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair

Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	No apply

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

About this topic, they are exist few and limited choices, for trainings to school and university students.

## 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2020 - 61113 visitants, source MINCETUR / 2019 - 884,556 visitants, source MINCETUR / 2018 - 1.9 M arrives to international Airport Rodriguez Ballon, source CORPAC / 2017 - 1.7 M arrives to international Airport Rodriguez Ballon, source CORPAC /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Principal source information be a Ministry of foreing Commerce Industry and Tourism, Peruvian Corporation from Airports an Commercial Aviation CORPAC.

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

\*The amount spent is global, see box comment /

### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The information is provided o extraction ANDINA, oficial press agency from Peruvian State. \*The Foreign tourists spend S/ 835 per day and national tourists spend S/ 632 per day.

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is **not implemented**

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The national and local polici are included norms an regulations about this theme, but they are not complete and there is a lack of management instruments for their correct application.

### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location** and **easily visible** to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected**, but make **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The tourism contribute in a few percent and minimal direct benefits, but the principal apport is indirect in form a taxes.

## 10. Monitoring

### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable monitoring** but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done**

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The norms and regulations about buildings locate on historic center or boundary buffer zone, are existed but is very difficult appliance and control.

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The Peruvian state has been and appliance norms, regulation from World Heritage Committee, but principall problem is control, also important is a poor educational and culture from people.

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The main precisions were made in the previous text box.

## 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property <b>are not known by the management authority or local communities/landowners</b>	
5.1.3	<b>Inadequacies</b> in the buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value</b>	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners</b>	×

5.2	<b>Protective Measures</b>	
5.2.4	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	
5.2.5	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	✗
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>	
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is <b>little coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	✗
5.3.12	The management system/plan is <b>not adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>not being implemented</b>	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan <b>exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented</b>	
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>In a limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to gender equality</b></li> <li><b>In a limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status</b></li> <li><b>In a limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>integrate a human rights-based approach</b></li> <li><b>In a limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood</b></li> <li><b>In a limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property</b></li> </ul>	
6.1	<b>Funding</b>	
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	✗
6.1.10	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	<b>A site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, <b>but most technical work is carried out by external staff</b>	✗
7	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>	
7.2	There is a <b>small amount of research</b> in the World Heritage property <b>but it is not planned</b>	✗
8	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>	
8.2	There is a <b>limited and ad hoc education</b> and awareness programme for children and/or youth	
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.7	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is <b>not implemented</b>	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	✗
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	✗
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	
10	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✗
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done</b>	
Please select 0 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Criterio (i) ground transport infrastructure is a medular problem in historic center of Arequipa.	Planning road and vial control, public transport.	Permanent monitoring from municipal authority	The control in the time frame es relevant, because if a necessary for order a ground transport caotic.	Municipal Gerency of transporting.	No other comments.
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.4	Air pollution	Criterio (i) In Arequipa historic center exist air pollution so consequence a toxic gases, emission an greenhouse effect.	Exist local regulations and law environment, but the lack of human resources makes it difficult to apply them, due to poor control.	About this topic are exist permanent monitoring control of air.	The time frame is a importan variant from control.	The control is apply from municipal agency half environmetal	No other comments.
4.5	Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3	Land conversion	Criterio (iv) a urban land conversion, regulate from gerency of human settlements.	Applied a urban regulation, but is no posible converse new agricola lands to urban lands.	In present topic, ther urban regulations are no precise.	Don't exist time frame, are limmited.	The Gerency of human settleman.	No other comments.
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	Criterio (i) The criteria is affect for evalation from civil society and interest groups.	Difusion and awareness of the population involved.	Control is a competence Gerency Social development.	Time frame in this case is a sustancial.	Control is a competence Gerency Social development.	No other comments.
4.8.4		Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system					
4.9	Other human activities						
4.9.1		Illegal activities					
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Deliberate destruction and damage caused to the built heritage is a not frecuent accion but is a nocive practice. Result always causes irreversible damage.	Prevention, education but in ultimate case aply high monetary fines.	In this case, if a necessary permanent and continuos control.	In this case it's very important is a time of frame and work answer.	Gerency of Urban deveopment and Gerency of Historic Center and Monumental Zone, they are competet institutions, also participed one delegate Ministry of Culture.	No comments.
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.1	Volcanic eruption	Criterio (iv) Is non antropic event, is more dangerous if in a case occurency is a difficult control. Geologic phenomenon.	Essentially is prevention.	Geologic monitoring is essentially.	Drills must be continuous and evacuation exercises.	Geological Peruvian Institute Geological Institute and National Institute of Civil Defense	No comments.
4.11.2	Earthquake	Criterio (iv) Produce from by movement of earth's plates, more destructive, non recurrent.	Essentially prevention and difusion.	Permanent control and monitoring from National Geophysic Institute.	El correcto manejo y difusion de los simulacros es sustancial	National Geophysic Institute and National Institute of Civil Defense.	No comments
4.13	Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1		Management system/Management plan					

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

## 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	<b>Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>				
		<b>Actions</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>Lead agency (and others involved)</b>	<b>More info / comment</b>
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners</b>	Coordination is beginning to make the population aware of the limits and importance of the buffer zone.	There is no time schedule	Management of the Historic Center and Ministry of Culture.	Due to the pandemic, it has not been possible to develop an action plan to counteract this problem.
5.2	<b>Protective Measures</b>				
5.2.5	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	The stage of sensitization and training of personnel begins so that they know the legal framework.	1 year	Management of the Historic Center and Ministry of Culture.	Due to the pandemic, it has not been possible to develop an action plan to counteract this problem. The workload of the staff is great and that makes implementation difficult.
5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>				
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	has not been considered	There is no schedule	Management of the Historic Center and Ministry of Culture.	Due to the pandemic, it has not been possible to develop an action plan to counteract this problem. The workload of the staff is great and that makes implementation difficult.
5.3.11	There is <b>little coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	A greater number of meetings with the entities involved, such as the Management of the Historic Center, IMPLA, is contemplated.	2 years	Management of the Historic Center, Municipal Institute of Urban Planning Arequipa (IMPLA) and Ministry of Culture.	The pandemic has made it difficult to hold coordination meetings
6.1	<b>Funding</b>				
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	There is currently no budget.	There is no scheduled time	Regional Government of Arequipa, Provincial Municipality of Arequipa and Ministry of Culture.	There is some uncertainty regarding the economic issue since in the country the protection of Cultural Heritage is not considered a basic need.

6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, <b>but most technical work is carried out by external staff</b>	There is a workshop school run by the Provincial Municipality of Arequipa, they are in charge of maintaining the historic center of Arequipa as part of their training.	1 - 2 years	Provincial Municipality of Arequipa	The workshop school works with a municipal budget and with the support of the AECID international cooperation, a problem is that later these students do not have a wide labor field, being the responsibility of the state to be able to recruit them an
--------	---	---	-------------	-------------------------------------	---

7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is a <b>small amount of research</b> in the World Heritage property <b>but it is not planned</b>	The research is carried out by private individuals interested in Heritage issues and students for their graduation as professionals.	There is no scheduled time since they are people outside the institutions involved.	Management of the Historic Center	The Ministry of Culture due to lack of personnel has not been able to focus on the subject of the investigation

9	Visitor Management				
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	The blue shield project has been implemented, and the GQ code for buildings declared Historical Monuments	2 years approximately.	Management of the Historic Center, Ministry of Tourism and Ministry of Culture.	It has developed satisfactorimante during the pandemic.
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	There is currently no plan in place to counteract this limited cooperation between World Heritage property managers and the tourism industry to present Outstanding Universal Value.	There is no scheduled time.	Management of the Historic Center, Ministry of Tourism and Ministry of Culture.	On very few occasions, the Ministry of Tourism and the Ministry of Culture coordinate the actions that are taken regarding heritage and the historic center.

10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	No plan has been proposed to counteract this problem.	There is no scheduled time.	Management of the Historic Center and Ministry of Culture.	No work is being done to improve this aspect.

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Although the Historic Center of Arequipa has not undergone changes, it is being affected since the current legal protection of the treatment zones (Buffer Area) are in many cases general. It is recommended to approve the specific plan for the Historic Center and Buffer Area, which already has a favorable opinion from the Ministry of Culture.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The impact of World Heritage status has been seriously affected and minimized in the World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy due to the current pandemic situation, where intervention actions have not been proposed or developed in favor of a positive impact.

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

-Painting of facades of buildings that are part of the Historic Center - World Heritage. -Mercaderes street pedestrianization. -Improvement and implementation of Bolivar Street and Jerusalem Street, burying cables for public lighting, implementation of urban furniture and vegetation. -Coordination for the approval of intervention files on Santa Marta Street and Ibañez Passage that include maintenance of facades and underground public lighting. -Maintenance and upkeep of the property located at 512 Rivero Street. -Maintenance, conservation and practice of the good use of the building (Museum of Contemporary Art) located in Cristales 120 - San Lázaro.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation
Management
Governance

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The <i>World Heritage Convention</i>
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Awareness raising

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

It is considered that the survey has prioritized the issue of strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage, as well as many questions that have allowed raising awareness regarding gender balance and the feelings of the population.

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
---

#### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is **explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

#### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

480 hours / 240 hours / 20 hours /
------------------------------------

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

**Most** required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It is essential to provide more time for prior training to solve the survey and be able to collect the information.

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Not applicable
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

Improve response times and training

## 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

## 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Questions about the participation of gender equality and the local population are highlighted. It is essential to provide more time for prior training to solve the survey and be able to collect the information. Thank you for all.

### 15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.