1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Cathedral and Churches of Echmiatsin and the Archaeological Site of Zvartnots

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mother Cathedral of Echmiatsin and surrounding constructions	40.159 / 44.295	16.4	31	47.4	2000
Church Saint Gayaneh and surrounding buildings	40.159 / 44.295	0.4	31	31.4	2000
Cemetery of Congregation	40.159 / 44.295	11.4	31	42.4	2000
Saint Hripsimeh Church and St. Shoghakat Church - part I	40.165 / 44.307	19.2	?	19.2	2000
Saint Hripsimeh Church and St. Shoghakat Church - part II	40.165 / 44.307	6.2	?	6.2	2000
Archaeological site of Zvartnots with ruins of the Temple, the Royal Palace, and other constructions	40.159 / 44.325	18.8	24	42.8	2000
Total (ha)		72.4	117	189.4	
1.4 - Map(s)					
Title	1	Date Lir	k to source		
Cathedral and Churches of Echmiatsin and the Archaeological Site of Zvartnots - maps of inscribed	:	2000	1		

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. The Holy City of Etchmiadzin (Armenian Enlightment Chronicle)
- 2. Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-Reservations

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years? No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

The property is a rich repository of a unique monument of Armenian and world culture - khachkar, as dozens of khachkars were created and preserved here. The art of creating khachkars was included in the UNESCO Intangible Cultural Heritage List in 2010 under the title 'Armenian cross-stones art. Symbolism and craftsmanship of Khachkars.' There are also many different manifestations of Armenian letter art, cultural expression of which are also included in the mentioned list.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The city of Echmiatsin is located in the Armavir Marz region of Armenia. The settlement has existed since ancient times, as evidenced by Stone, Bronze, and Iron Age archaeological sites located in and near the city. The oldest written information about Echmiatsin refers to the period of the Urartian King Rusa II (685-645 BC). The settlement was mentioned in the Urartian cuneiform inscription by the name of Kuarlini. Life in this Armenian settlement has continued uninterrupted. The town has been called, successively, Artimed, during the rule of Yervandunis (as evidenced by Armenian historian Movses Khorenatsi (5th century AD)), Vardgesavan, and afterwards Vagharshapat, during the age of development under the rule of King Vagharsh I Arshakuni (AD 117-140). The name Echmiatsin was used along with that of Vagharshapat after the adoption of Christianity (AD 301).

The inscribed property is divided into three separate areas: the first area includes the Mother Cathedral of Echmiatsin and St Gayane Church. The area is about 30.2 ha. 18.8 ha belongs to the Mother See of Echmiatsin (the Mother Cathedral and surrounding constructions covering 16.4 ha, the St Gayane Church and surrounding buildings covering 2.0 ha, and the cemetery of the congregation covering 0.4 ha) and 11.4 ha belongs to the community of Echmiatsin City. The second area includes St Hripsime Church and St Shoghakat Church. This area is about 25.3 ha, with 6.2 ha being the territory of St Hripsimeh Church, belonging to the Mother See. The remaining 19.2 ha belongs to the community of Echmiatsin City.

The third area consists of the archaeological site of Zvartnots, with the ruins of the temple, Catholicos Palace and other constructions, and occupies about 18.8 ha.

The first and the second areas together are surrounded with one common buffer zone of approximately 93 ha. The buffer zone of the third area is 24 ha.

The religious buildings of Echmiatsin and the archaeological remains at Zvartnots bear witness to the implantation of Christianity in Armenia and to the evolution of a unique Armenian ecclesiastical architecture, which exerted a profound influence on architectural and artistic development in the region. They graphically illustrate the evolution and flowering of the Armenian central-domed cross-hall type of church.

The earliest domed church is the Cathedral of Echmiatsin, which was built in AD 301-303 by King Trdat III (Tiridates) and St Gregory the Illuminator. Its cruciform plan with four apses and a central dome carried on four pillars is the outstanding contribution of Armenian ecclesiastical architecture to Christian architecture as a whole. This inventive discovery of Armenian architects spread beyond the country to Byzantium, and then to Central and Western Europe. Apart from its architectural qualities, the cathedral is distinguished from other Armenian churches by its original paintings of interior frescoes. From 1712 to 1721 Naghash Hovnatan worked there (the paintings on the upper part of the dome and the Holy Mother of God painted on the internal marble of the main apse are preserved). Hakob and Harutyun Hovnatanyans (first half of the 18th century) and Hovnatan Hovnatanyan (second half of the 18th century) have periodically created paintings for the Echmiatsin Cathedral. Mkrtum and Hakob Hovnatanyans also made paintings for the cathedral in the 19th century.

St Gayane Church (AD 630) is the earliest example in Early Christian and Armenian architecture to combine a three-aisle basilica with a central dome, a form which became widespread in both Armenia and Western Asia. It is a four-column domed basilica with harmonious proportions, a central nave and two sacristies built of well-processed tuff (a stone of volcanic origin). It is considered to be the best example of this type of church. The vaulted sepulcher of St Gayane the Virgin is located under the main apse, which is entered from the southeastern sacristy. The roof and walls of the church were renovated in 1652. A narthex-hall with three bays was built along the western façade of the church in 1683 with chapels at its north and south ends, dedicated to the Apostles Peter and Paul. The narthex-hall also served as a burial place for the Catholicoses.

The rampart of the monastery was added later. From 1866 to 1882, Abbot Vahan Bastamyan renovated the monastery, its arched gate, residential buildings for abbot and congregants, and school, and established a printing house.

Different types of central-domed churches developed in the Early Middle Ages and became widespread in Armenia during the 7th century. Among these, St Hripsime Church is the perfect example of the four-apse church with intermediate niches and corner rooms (AD 618). In the 1970s, archaeological excavations were conducted in the adjacent areas of the church, discovering pre-Christian and early-Christian burials and a one-nave church. Due to the brilliant combination of its construction system to maintain seismic stability, its decoration and its architectural style, St Hripsime Church has crystal lucidity. The structural system is the main expression of its artistic appearance.

St Shoghakat Church was built in 1694 on the place of the 4th-century chapel where the "ray of light was dropped" upon Christian Hripsime's martyrs. The present-day church was built of brown well-processed tuff and is distinguished by its unique architecture.

St. Mariam Astvatsatsin Church was built in 1767 during the rule of Simeon Yerevantsi Catholicos. It is a three-aisled and span-roof basilica with three pairs of pilasters.

The initiative to develop new central domed and cross-shaped plans for churches resulted in Zvartnots Church which was built in the middle of the 7th century. It is considered to be a masterpiece, where the four-apse interior is contained within a three-storey circular structure with a polyhedral exterior. It was ruined in the 10th century, probably by an earthquake.

According to the proposed reconstruction (based on an 11th-century stone model of Gagkashen Church found during the excavations in Ani), the height of the church was about 45 m, which is unusually high for 7th-century construction techniques. Zvartnots has rich bas-reliefs. The bas-reliefs and high-reliefs that illustrate fragments from ecclesiastical and secular life are implemented very skillfully. These decorative elements were widespread in Armenian architecture from the second half of the 7th century. Zvartnots church is truly the apogee of all the achievements of Armenian architecture's Golden Age (Early Middle Ages) in the spheres of construction, sculptural and decorative arts.

Criterion (ii): The developments in ecclesiastical architecture represented in an outstanding manner by the churches at Echmiatsin and the archaeological site of Zvartnots had a profound influence on church design over a wide region.

Criterion (iii): The churches at Echmiatsin and the archaeological site of Zvartnots vividly depict both the spirituality and the innovatory artistic achievement of the Armenian Church from its foundation.

Integrity

The churches within the property clearly bear witness to the implantation of Christianity in Armenia and to the evolution of a unique Armenian ecclesiastical architecture. The property contains sufficient structures to demonstrate the developments of ecclesiastical architecture. All these buildings, as well as the landscape, are sufficiently intact and have not been changed since the time of their inscription on the World Heritage List.

The main threats to the integrity of the site are its location in an active seismic zone, pollution of the surrounding environment, and the pressures of being on an active tourism route.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the ecclesiastical monuments is reasonable, given that they have been in religious use for many centuries, have been subject to changes in liturgy and fashion over that period, and have undergone periodic restoration. The archaeological site is fully authentic, since it consists solely of excavated remains of 31 vanished structures. However, some of the past restoration work is not fully in conformity with the principles of the Venice Charter.

Protection and management requirements

Only the Archaeological Site of Zvartnots is under State ownership and is situated on the territory of the Zvartnots historical and cultural museum-reservation, while the rest of the monuments are the property of the Armenian Apostolic Holy Church as well as being protected by the Law "On protection and usage of the historical and cultural immovable monuments and historical environment" of the Republic of Armenia, and by the regulation "On State registration, study, protection, fortification, restoration, reconstruction and usage of the historical and cultural immovable monuments". Additional articles exist in the Civil, Administrative, Land, and Criminal Codes of the Republic of Armenia for the protection of the monuments.

The Ministry of Culture of Armenia with its specialized unit as the authorized state body and the Armenian Apostolic Holy Church with its specialized units and dioceses as owner, as well as non-governmental, nature protection units and people interested in Armenian heritage conservation, are engaged in the protection of the monastery complex. A consistent policy is carried out in order to present comprehensively the monuments included in the nomination. The permanent exhibitions of the museums at the Mother See and Zvartnots are updated over the course of time.

Issues concerning conservation, rehabilitation and use of the sites inscribed on the World Heritage List are discussed at the specialized councils formed by the Ministry of Culture of Armenia (methodological, architectural councils) and the Mother See of Holy Echmiatsin, where the representatives and professionals of both sides are equally represented. To meet the challenges facing the property over time within the boundaries of the site and in its main buildings, scientific research, renovation, reinforcement, design and preventive measures have been undertaken in order to ensure its authenticity. The lead was removed from the dome of the cathedral in 2000 and replaced by stone slabs.

The budget of the property is formed of the allocations from the State budget, entrepreneur activity, and private donations.

Comment

In the Section of 'Protection and management requirements, we would like to change the names of the following institutions: 1. The Ministry of Culture of Armenia (old name) became The Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports (new name) 2. The Agency for the Protection of the Historical and Cultural Monuments (old name) became the Department of Preservation of Historical and Cultural Monuments (new name).

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The Archaeological Site of Zvartnots		×		
3.2.2	Bathhouse, 7th century		×		
3.2.3	Catholicos Palace, 7th century		×		
3.2.4	Museum building, 1937	×			
3.2.5	Stele with cuneiform inscription, 7th century BC	×			
3.2.6	Church of St Hripsime, 618 AD	×			
3.2.7	The Bell Tower, 1790		×		
3.2.8	Rampart, 1776-1894		×		
3.2.9	Church of St Shoghakat, 1694	×			
3.2.10	Church of St Gayane, 630 AD - 20th century	×			
3.2.11	Narthex, 1688				
3.2.12	Congregation Building, 1880s	×			
3.2.13	The Cathedral, 4th-17th centuries	×			
3.2.14	Printing House, 1771	×			
3.2.15	Cemetery of the Armenian Catholicoi and famous clerics, 18th-20th centuries	×			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The property consists of various components which in turn have many attributes. Some of these attributes are partially damaged and need to be conserved or straightened. According to the new Management plan, there are several actions aiming to reduce or solve these problems.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×							
Negative X		×		×			/	
 4.1.2 - Commercial development Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 								

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative X		×		×			

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin Tr		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×			
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Inbound and outbound tourism has increased significantly during the last few years, as a result of which new infrastructures have been created that contribute to the development of this region. The general trend is positive, but the heavy flow of tourists can also have negative consequences and may lead to the violation of buffer zones. Positive and negative changes are under the permanent state's attention. Corresponding surveys are carried out.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative X		×		×			1

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastruct Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	ture

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			1

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Although the protection zones of all the components of the property are located quite close to the main road, the very components are located deep and are not primarily affected by the passage of vehicles. However, some components of the property have some problems, especially with regard to car parking. Saint Hripsime and Saint Shoghakat churches have the biggest problem. Large tourist buses or heavy traffic cause serious difficulties for visitors.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): • Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside Relevant × Not relevant 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant X Not relevant 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	4 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			
 4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ Not relevant 	2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
4.4.6 - Input of excess ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/							

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The level of air pollution in the region is not so high, and no impact of it is found. Construction activity in neighboring areas, as well as the increase in vehicular traffic, can lead to air pollution. So far, no worrisome problems affecting the property have been documented. Water resources are also mostly clean and safe. Other manifestations related to pollution have no effect on the property and are not relevant.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.6. Physical resource extraction	
4.6.1 - MiningPrevious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - QuarryingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow		

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant	X Relevant					Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X		×		×			1			
 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table) Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside 										
X Relevant				Not relevant						

Trend of impact

Origin

Impact

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			1
4.7.7 - Pests Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	/2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			l	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Climate conditions, especially humidity (in spring and autumn) and dry weather (in summer), have a serious negative impact on the property. In winter, the cold is mild and there is not much snow. There is quite a lot of dust, especially in summer and with the increase in tourist flows. There is also considerable moisture, which also causes the formation of micro-organisms. The new Management plan is focused on the prevention of these issues.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	-									
Relevant			X Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	• •	collecting								
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.8.4 - Changes in tradition Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	-	and knowledge	system							
Relevant			X Not relevant							
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	-	in local populati	on and comn	nunity						
Relevant			× Not relevant							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
🤤 Negative 🗙		×	×	×			

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The ever-growing tourism can cause serious damage to property and cause new problems, which can also lead to difficulties in the operation of the infrastructures. Of course, the increase in the number of tourists has a positive effect on the economic life of the community, but these flows can also disrupt the intangible cultural heritage, particularly the local spiritual life, and rituals.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant			× Not releva	nt						
 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 										
Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant						
 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 										
Relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant						
 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 										
Relevant			× Not releva	nt						
4.10.6 - Temperature chang Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/: • Relevant, Negative, Curre	2014):	ide								
X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×	×		×			-			
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 										
Relevant			× Not releva	nt						

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The property is located in a mild climate zone, sometimes, especially in spring and autumn, there is an increase in humidity, and in summer it is quite dry. These changes have a negative effect on the monuments.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×		→		
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wa	ve							

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

Relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant						
4.11.5 - Erosion and sil Previous answer Cycle 2 (29 • Not relevant	-	I								
Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant						
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (29) Not relevant X Relevant 		Not relevant								
A Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
🤤 Negative 🗙		×	×	×		\rightarrow				

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Earthquakes or tremors are frequent in the region, and a 6-7 magnitude earthquake can cause serious damage to the components of the property. Dry weather can also be a cause of fire. The state and Church take measures to maintain the cleanliness and safety of the area.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside 	

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien mari Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			× Not relevan	t			
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant sp Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevan	t			

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			1
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×		×			
Negative							
4.13.6 - Human resources							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
OPositive X	×	×		×			
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			/
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Although all the components of the property, except the Zvartnots archaeological site, are under the management of the Mother See of Holy Etchmiadzin, it is also under the state's attention, and regular monitoring is carried out, which allows for a quick response in case of problems. Moreover, the problems may refer to various aspects of the monuments, including legal ones. Everything is done to neutralize all possible dangers and preserve the cultural heritage.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing	٢	9				
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	٢	4			Ċ	→
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢		9	٢	Ċ	1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	4			Ċ	→
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	0		9		Ċ	1
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						

	0		9		Ċ	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	9	9	9	٢	Ċ	1
4.7.3 Temperature						
	9	9	9		Ċ	1
4.7.5 Dust						
	9		9		Ċ	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	9		9		Ċ	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	\odot	9		۲	(F	→
				0	~	
	-		7		Ģ	·
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.6 Temperature change			_			
	9	4	9		Ċ	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	9		9		Ċ	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	0		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species						
	9		9		Ċ	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9	9		¢	
4.13.2 Legal framework	0	9	9		¢	→
4.13.3 Governance	\odot	9	9		(F	→
					C.	
4.12.4 Management activities		6	<i>_</i>		112	
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	-1	4		G.	
4.13.5 Financial resources	٥		9		Ċ	
4.13.6 Human resources	0	4	9		Ċ	1
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	9		Ċ	1

Legend Image: Current Image: Potential Image: Negative Image: Positive Image: Image: Negative Image: Image: Negative Image: Image: Negative Image: Negative <t< th=""></t<>

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ing	٢	9				
		0		9		Ċ	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
		_			_		
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Majo	r visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	0	4	~3		۲.	→ -
		0		4		Ċ	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
~	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	apact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
^	Minor					
	Significant					
Managam	Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
wanayem						
~						
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	pretative and visitation facilities	O	9	()	Ċ	~
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	Low capacity No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	٢	9			Ċ	
		٢		9		Ċ	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
~	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurrence of the impact						
remporar	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
^	On-going						
Impact - In	apact on the attributes						
impact - in	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
~	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
Manageni							
~	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
Trank Dr	No capacity and / or resources						
	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	ts arising from use of transportation infrastructure				5. . . .		
		٢		9		Ċ	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact		
	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
×	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - In	spact on the attributes		
×	Insignificant		
	Minor		
	Significant		
	Major		
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond		
	High capacity		
×	Medium capacity		
	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years		
	Decreasing		
	Static		
×	Increasing		

4.4 Pollution

lame		Impac	t		Origin		Tren
4.4.2 Grou	and water pollution						
		٢		9		Ċ	1
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - II	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	0		9		۴	1

opuliai oou	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.7.1 Wind					
	0	9		Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
Restricted					

	Localised
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	0	9	9	۲	Ċ	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.3 Temperature						
	0	9	9		Ċ	1

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.5 Dust							
		• 4		9	Ċ		
							_
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

Widespreed Femporal Femporal Intermittent or sporadic insignificant insignificant <t< th=""><th>×</th><th>Extensive</th></t<>	×	Extensive
Internition of or rare Internition of or rare Internition of sporadic Frequent Internition of sporadic Internition of the attributes		Widespread
itemittent or sporadic itemittent or sporadic ifemittent or sporadic <t< th=""><th>Temporal s</th><th>scale - Occurence of the impact</th></t<>	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
Frequent Frequent On-going Impact-intributes Impact - Intributes Minor Significant Jajor Intributer High capacity of management to respond Impact-intributer Impact-intributer Impact - Intributer		One off or rare
Kan Or-going Impact		Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Impact Autributes Image Impact Autributes Image Impact Autributes Image Impact Autributes Impact Autri		Frequent
Insignificant Insignificant Significant Insignificant Maior Insignificant	×	On-going
Image: Minor Significant Major Image: Minor Maige: Capacity of management to respond Image: Migh capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Image: Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
Significant Major Management to respond Medium capacity of management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trend - Devrement over the last 6 years Decreasing Static		Insignificant
Major Management - Capacity of management to respond Migh capacity High capacity Medium capacity Modium capacity Iow capacity No capacity and / or resources Tend- Derement over the last 6 years Secretaring Static	×	Minor
Hanagemet response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Kedium capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		Significant
High capacity Kedium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		Major
 Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing X Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		High capacity
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing X Static	×	Medium capacity
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static		Low capacity
Decreasing X Static		No capacity and / or resources
X Static	Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
		Decreasing
Increasing	×	Static
inci casing		Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)					
	0	9		Ċ	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0		9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow

•	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
Restricted					

	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
	0		9	۲	Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond

agement response - Capacity of management to respond IVIa

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Tem	4.10.6 Temperature change						
		0	9	9		۲	
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			

Spatial section Restricted by the factor Restricted Coalised Restricted Restrestricted <th></th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>4</th> <th>Ċ</th> <th>→</th>			0	4	Ċ	→
K	Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
Answer American A		Restricted				
Magnead Weispread Temperature of the impact Information of a range Informatio range	×	Localised				
Tenzer		Extensive				
Ne off or rare Internitient or sporadic Internitient or sporadic Pequent Or-going Internitient or sporadic Inspirificant Inspirificant Minor Spirificant Inspirificant Inspirificant <		Widespread				
immitted or spondic immitted or spondic immitted or spondic immitted or spondic import import <td>Tempor</td> <td>al scale - Occurence of the impact</td> <th></th> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
image:	×	One off or rare				
Import - Weightig Import - Weightig <t< td=""><td></td><td>Intermittent or sporadic</td><th></th><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Intermittent or sporadic				
Impact - Low attributes Imaginificant Minor Significant Major Mago		Frequent				
insignificant Miora Significant Major Major Mago <		On-going				
image:	Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
Image: A specific content of the specific conte		Insignificant				
Major Management of respond Major Major Major Major Management of respond Major Major Major Management of respond Major Major Management of respond Major Major Major Major Major Major Major Major Major		Minor				
Hanagement to respond High capacity Modium capacity Modium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Frent - Event to tast 6 years Static Static	×	Significant				
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Tend- Decreasing Decreasing Static		Major				
 Medium capacity Low capacity Aos capacity and / or resources Trend- U-trend to the last 6 years Cereasing Static	Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing & Decreasing Static		High capacity				
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing & Decreasing Static	×	Medium capacity				
Trend - Decreasing X Static		Low capacity				
Decreasing X Static		No capacity and / or resources				
X Static	Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
		Decreasing				
Increasing	×	Static				
		Increasing				

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend	
4.11.6 Fire	4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)							
		0		9	٢	۴	→	
Spatial co	ile - Area affected by the factor							
Spatial Sca								
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - In	apact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.12.3 Inva	4.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species					
		0	9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 M	anagement system/Management plan	٢	9	9		Ċ	
Oracitat							_
Spatial	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 L	agal framework	٢	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	Hinh canacity

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	9		Ċ	→

opullal sol	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	4.13.4 Management activities		4	9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend

Name		Impact				Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢		9		Ċ	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic

×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	9	9		Ċ	

Spatia	scale -	Area	affected	by the	e factor
--------	---------	------	----------	--------	----------

Spatial Sca	ile - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		٢	9	9		Ċ	1
Cratial and	In these offersed by the factor						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The Archaeological Site of Zvartnots	×			
4.18.1.2	Catholicos Palace, 7th century		×		
4.18.1.3	Church of St Hripsime, 618 AD	×			
4.18.1.4	The Bell Tower, 1790	×			
4.18.1.5	Church of St Gayane, 630 AD – 20th century	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The monuments included in the present nomination are protected under the provisions of the National Law on Protection of Historical and Cultural Monuments, and in particular by Articles 19, 21, 22, 36 and 45. Any interventions that may affect the character or significance of monuments and sites require official authorization.

The monuments are also protected by the following:

- Laws on protection and usage of the historical-cultural immovable monuments and historical environment
- Law on immovable monuments of history and the culture, considered as State-owned property of Armenia and not subject to alienation
- Regulation on State registration, study, protection, fortification, restoration, reconstruction and usage of the historical-cultural immovable monuments
- Civil, Administrative, Land, and Criminal Codes of Armenia

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation, Periodic Reporting - Cycle 2 (2012-2014)

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific aroups

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

In recent years, several operations have been carried out, especially restoration and strengthening works, strengthening protection against disasters.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented? An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	×				
-----------	---	---	---	---	---	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry		×			
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries		×			
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	10 %	20 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	50 %	60 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10 %	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	30 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	70 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Not applicable
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Not applicable
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The actions related to property protection are still carried out in a centralized way, thanks to the employees of the regional structures of the monument protection service. Annual training and capacity-building workshops are planned in the new management plan.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

cal communities
cal/municipal authorities
men
uth/children
cal Visitors
ional/international tourists

Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

500.000 / 250.000 / 50.000-60.000 / 350.000 / 200.000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Transportation services

Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Personal and travel agencies' observations

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Up to \$100 / Up to \$30 / Up to \$10 (from Yerevan) / Up to \$5 / \$0 / Up to \$20 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Personal and travel agencies' observations

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The upcoming management plan also includes the tourism strategy, and the possibility of its implementation should be discussed with the relevant authorities.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives? Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Tourism undoubtedly has a positive effect on the economic life of the local communities. It has created opportunities for implementing small and medium business projects. In particular, in the vicinity of the monuments new hotels and guesthouses have been built during the last years, which welcome both local and foreign tourists. Residents of local communities can sell their products to tourists near the components of the property: canned goods, fabrics, handmade souvenirs, etc. Some offer additional tour packages to visit other nearby attractions.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The tourism strategy for the property mentions infrastructure, building adequate parking, improving lighting in the area, as well as creating recreational areas, which are important to manage tourist flows. The possibility of having a ticket system and a related museum, which will allow for generating income, is also being considered.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The property was included in the World Heritage List in 2000. Over the past few years, there have been some changes to the heritage description, which are currently being studied to bring the property in line with the new UNESCO standards. A new management plan is being drawn up, which will specify all actions.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Monitoring is carried out regularly. For this purpose, the Regional Monuments Protection Service operates. All changes or risks are assessed and appropriate actions are taken. The future management plan will complete and specify all the steps.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	×
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
Pleas	e select 0 more issues.	
Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation	Infrastructure
7.2	manapontation	maanucture

4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Currently, there is a certain problem in the vicinity of St. Hripsime and St. Shoghakat churches, where parkin problems arise from tim to time for tourist vehicles. Some difficult are also observed on holidays and other speedays when there are m cars near the churches	a park adjace Churcl g will ha e receiv same es	oposed to establish ing lot in the area ent to Saint Hripsime h or near it, which ve the opportunity to e many cars at the time.	9		Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports Ministry of Territorial Administration and Infrastructure Armenian Apostolic Holy Church Vagharshapat community
4.7	Local condition	ons affecting physical fab	ric				
4.7.1	Wind	There is no major impact this time, but potential contamination could endanger property and visitors.	the Ar Holy C comm carry c	elevant services, rmenian Apostolic Church, and the nunity employees out surveillance in ea of the property	Every year	2024-2029	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Due to rains or snow, mo enters the walls of the property, destroys the mo causes salting, and has on negative consequences. problem is viewed in all th components of the proper	ne planned to have ne mortar, an efficient has other drainage system ces. This and change the all the roof slabs.		Every year.	2024-2029	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
4.7.3	Temperature	The components of the property are located in a mild climate zone, sometimes, especially in spring and autumn, there is an increase in humidity, and in summer it is quite dry. These changes have a negative effect on the property.	to neutral by comple drainage replacing These ac continue Managen	system and the roof tiles. tions should according to the	Every year.	2024-2029	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
4.7.5	Dust	The arid nature of the region and the abundance of tourists and believers create a layer of dust that can endanger the property or its attributes.	actions are manageme mitigate the	Some mid-term, long-term actions are planned in the management plan to mitigate the causes of dust generation.		2024-2029	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Rains have a negative impact and impact on all components of the property. There are drainage systems, but they are mostly ineffective today. In some cases, they should be completely replaced, as well as the covers.	actions an managem	Some mid-term, long-term actions are planned in the management plan to mitigate the causes of water.		2024-2029	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
4.8	Social/Cultura	al uses of heritage					
4.8.6	newproblems which can in progress, w also lead to difficulties in will allow to av the operation of the possible probl infrastructures These flows due to abunda			creation of a tourism strategy is in progress, which will allow to avoid possible problems due to abundant visits in the comin	n S	. 2024-2029.	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
4.10	Climate chan	ge and severe weather ev	ents				

4.10.6	Temperature change	Although drastic weather changes are not characteristic of this region, they are sometimes observed. These changes can damage the property.	There is no data on t possible effects, so it planned to install appropriate devices i the components of th property and check tl observed problems.	n e	y year. 2024-2029		Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
4.11	Sudden ecologi	cal or geological events					
4.11.2	Earthquake	Earthquakes or tremors are frequent in the region, and a 6-7 magnitude earthquake can cause serious damage to church complexes.	Strengthening works have been carried out and a being carried out in the different structures of the property.	re	year.	2024-2029	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
4.12	Invasive/alien s	pecies or hyper-abundant s	pecies				
4.12.3	Invasive/Alien freshwater species	Currently, this issue has no major impact and is fully controllable.	Actions are planned for the coming 5 years to control the level of impact.	Every year	202	24-2029	Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe		Lead agency (a	and others involved)	More info / comment	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	As a result of monitoring in the coming years, it will be possible to clarify the level of climate influence on the property and its components.	2024-2029		Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church			
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Fixing works have been carried out and continue to be carried out in various structures of the property, which can mitigate the consequences of natural disasters. Some restoration works have been done in the Cathedral of Echmiadzin.	2024-2029		'Service for the Environment an SNCO 'Scientifi	ation, Science, Culture and Sports Protection of Historical d Cultural Museum-reservations' c Research Center of Historical ritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic		
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate f basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to capacity to manage the World Heritag property	management, and it is possibl or money only in case of necessa In the new management plan, planned to take measures to s issue.	e to find ary actions. it is	2024-2029		Ministry of Education, Science, Cul 'Service for the Protection of Histor and Cultural Museum-reservations' Research Center of Historical and 0 SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Ch	ical Environment SNCO 'Scientific Cultural Heritage'	
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are n secure	It is planned to create a separ management body with an an approved budget, which will gu funding in the coming years.	nual	2024-2027		Ministry of Education, Science, Cul 'Service for the Protection of Histor and Cultural Museum-reservations' Research Center of Historical and C SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Ch	ical Environment SNCO 'Scientific Cultural Heritage'	

6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	ty there are planned annual capacity-buildir g plan or workshops to improve the knowledge on mme has the property management system. developed is not mented ills are ing		2024-2029, every year.		Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church
8	Education, Informat	ion and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is no heritageThere are many studies on to the property and its attributes, which are generally sufficient to fully awareness2024-2understand the property, and its attributes, which are generally sufficient to fully awarenessunderstand the property, its yrogramme for years, it is necessary to harmonize the results of the studies and an identified share them.2024-2		2024-2029	the Prote Museum		of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for ection of Historical Environment and Cultural -reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of I and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy
9	Visitor Manageme	ent				
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	tegy to tourism strategy that envisages age visitors, long-term steps for regulation of the issues related to the various aspects issues related to the various aspects its derived of tourism. The actions should be acts on the discussed with the stakeholders and Id Heritage then implemented. errty but e are some ciencies in in		2024-2029		istry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service he Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural ieum-reservations' SNCO Tourism Committee Armenian stolic Holy Church
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	In the mid-term actions of the Management plan, it is planned the creation of a special body where all the stakeholders will have their participation.		2024-2026		istry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service he Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural æum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of orical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic / Church
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Thanks to continuous workshops a certain information activities, it is planned to raise awareness of the property and its values.	and 2024-	2024-2029		istry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service he Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural seum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of orical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic / Church
10	Monitoring					
10.1	considerablemonitoring atthe World	Due to the lack of a management plar monitoring of the property was done, i systematic and management-oriented the new action plan, such monitoring i year.	it was not I. According to			Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports 'Service for the Protection of Historical Environment and Cultural Museum-reservations' SNCO 'Scientific Research Center of Historical and Cultural Heritage' SNCO Armenian Apostolic Holy Church

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

In the previous years, a number of activities were carried out with the funds of the state and the Armenian Apostolic Church, which contributed to the preservation and popularization of the property. These actions should be continued in the coming years.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

State of Conservation

Management

Governance

Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Poor
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

UNESCO National Commission

Local communities

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

900 / 250 / 100 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire? Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Reason for update: In the Section of 'Protection and management requirements, we would like to change the names of the following institutions: 1. The Ministry of Culture of Armenia (old name) became The Ministry of Education, Science, Culture and Sports (new name) 2. The Agency for the Protection of the Historical and Cultural Monuments (old name) became the Department of Preservation of Historical and Cultural Monuments (new name).

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.