

# Blaenavon Industrial Landscape

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


Blaenavon Industrial Landscape

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Blaenavon Industrial Landscape	51.776 / -3.088	3290	0	3290	2000
Total (ha)		3290	0	3290	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
World Heritage Site boundary	1999	

### Comment

The World Heritage Centre identified in December 2022 that they did not hold an up-to-date clear map which showed the delimitation of the property. We are in the process of producing the requested map in line with the World Heritage Centre's technical requirements, with support from Historic England. It will be submitted for the approval of the World Heritage Committee in advance of 46COM along with others from the UK State Party.

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [World Heritage Nomination](#)
2. [Blaenavon Town Council](#)
3. [Blaenavon Tourist Information Centre](#)
4. [Blaenavon.biz](#)
5. [Torfaen Borough Council](#)
6. [Big Pit \(Wales Tourist Board\)](#)
7. [Blaenavon](#)
8. [Visit Blaenavon](#)

### Comment

Visit Blaenavon: <https://www.visitblaenavon.co.uk/> Cadw: <https://cadw.gov.wales/visit/places-to-visit/blaenavon-ironworks> Big Pit: <https://museum.wales/bigpit/> Torfaen CBC: <https://www.torfaen.gov.uk/intro-splash.aspx> Blaenavon Town Council: <https://www.blaenavontowncouncil.co.uk/> WH Nomination: <https://www.visitblaenavon.co.uk/assets/documents/world-heritage-site/NominationDocument.pdf>

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

### 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

## 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

### 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

### 2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

### 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

### 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

## Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

### 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

### 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

The landscape of Blaenavon, at the upper end of the Avon Llwyd valley in South Wales, provides exceptional testimony to the area's international importance in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and the early 19th century. The parallel development of these industries was one of the principal dynamic forces of the Industrial Revolution.

The major preserved sites of Blaenavon Ironworks and Big Pit, together with the outstanding relict landscape of mineral exploitation, manufacturing, transport, and settlement which surrounds them, provide an extraordinarily comprehensive picture of all the crucial elements of the industrialisation process: coal and ore mines, quarries, a primitive railway system and canal, furnaces, workers' homes, and the social infrastructure of the early industrial community. The area reflects the pre-eminence of South Wales in the production of iron, steel and coal in the 19th century.

The Blaenavon Ironworks (*circa* 1789) provided the main impetus for mineral workings and settlement. The remains of the late 18th century furnaces, together with later 19th century furnaces, are the best preserved of its period in the United Kingdom. Beside the furnaces, two of the original casting houses can still be seen. Above the furnaces is a range of ruined kilns in which iron ore was calcined or roasted. The remains of the original workers' housing provided on site can still be seen around the original base of the massive chimney to the blowing engine house, and the cast-iron pillars and brackets which carried blast pipes to the furnaces still survive. The iconic water balance tower of 1839 is an excellent example of lift technology using water to counter-balance loads.

The Big Pit was the last deep coal mine to work in the Blaenavon area, and the surface buildings, including the winding gear, remain almost exactly as they were when coal production ceased in 1980. The underground workings are still in excellent condition and can be seen on guided tours.

The Blaenavon landscape reflects ways in which all the raw materials necessary for making iron were obtained. The landscape includes coal, iron ore, fireclay and limestone workings and transport systems including a primitive iron-railed railway, leading to the canal and later steam railway tracks which were used for the import and export of materials.

The landscape also reflects the development of early industrial society. Close to the Ironworks and Big Pit is the town of Blaenavon, the best preserved iron town of its period in the United Kingdom. Here can be seen the terraced housing of the workers. Overall the town reflects powerfully the distinctive culture that had developed in ironworking and coal-mining areas of the South Wales Valleys and provides a complete picture of patronage and the social structure of the community. Notable buildings include St. Peter's Church, built by the ironmasters in 1804; the Blaenavon Workmen's Hall, built by workers' subscriptions in 1894; and St. Peter's School, built by the ironmaster's sister, Sarah Hopkins, in 1816. The school has been restored as the United Kingdom's first dedicated World Heritage Interpretation Centre.

Taking all these elements together, the property provides one of the prime areas in the world where the full social, economic and technological process of industrialisation through iron and coal production can be studied and understood.

**Criterion (iii):** The Blaenavon Landscape constitutes an exceptional illustration in material form of the social and economic structure of 19th century industry.

**Criterion (iv):** The components of the Blaenavon Industrial Landscape together make up an outstanding and remarkably complete example of a 19th century industrial landscape.

##### Integrity

The boundary of the World Heritage property encompasses the major monuments, the mining settlement as well as the surrounding valley landscape with its extensive remains of coal and ore mining, quarrying, primitive iron railways, and canals and thus includes all the key attributes of this early industrial period during the formative years of the Industrial Revolution.

Many of the attributes were vulnerable as a result due to the lack of conservation at the time of inscription. Extensive conservation work has since been undertaken at the Ironworks, Big Pit, the settlement of Blaenavon and in the landscape. All work has been undertaken with the benefit of research and in the context of conservation plans. A programme of continuing conservation of the wider landscape is now being undertaken.

The landscape includes new settlements surrounding the mining town and this is highly visible from higher ground surrounding the town. Therefore any further new development needs to be controlled so as to ensure that the essential values and the important views of the property are not diminished. There is no buffer zone and the setting could be vulnerable to the re-use of spoil heaps, open-cast mining proposals, wind farms and other interventions. However, to date, such proposals have been successfully resisted in accordance with agreed planning policy.

##### Authenticity

The key attributes are clearly visible. The relationship between the main monuments (the Blaenavon Ironworks and Big Pit), the historic transportation infrastructure, the settlement pattern and the extensive derelict mineral workings can be appreciated, studied and understood. The main heritage features remain in a remarkably complete condition. These substantial and interrelated remains provide opportunities to comprehend the complex process of industrialisation through iron and coal production and the development of industrial society during the early formative years of the Industrial Revolution. Nevertheless the overall ensemble is vulnerable to development that might intrude upon its readability.

To ensure the effective after use and sustainable future for monuments and buildings and to make the presentation and interpretation of the property effective it has been necessary in some situations to provide additional structures or to make minor adaptation to the historic fabric. In such cases the work has been carried out in accordance with agreed conservation plans and the changes and additions can be clearly identified.

##### Protection and management requirements

A comprehensive system of statutory control operates under the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Act (1980) and the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas Act, 1990). A network of strategic policies is also in place to protect the property in the Local Development Plans of the Torfaen County Borough Council, the Brecon Beacons National Park Authority and the Monmouthshire County Council. These are the local authorities with statutory planning responsibility for

their respective areas within the property.

There are 24 Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAM) and 82 buildings or structures on the national List of Buildings of Special Architectural or Historic Interest (Listed Buildings). There are two conservation areas within the property, the Blaenavon Town Centre and Cwmavon, and a further conservation area is currently proposed for Forgeside and Glantorfaen. These provide local protection. The main monuments and buildings in the site are within public ownership.

Property management is guided by a Management Plan. The original Plan has been completed (in terms of projects) and has been superseded by a periodically revised Plan. This plan contains the programme of continuing conservation and protection, including a proposed buffer zone which is expected to be considered within the plan period.

There is a need to promote the wider understanding of the scope and extent of the property, and its inter-related attributes. A World Heritage Centre was opened in 2008 which enables visitors to access and understand the World Heritage property both intellectually and physically.

Tourism and visitor management is directed by the Management Plan. This plan contains key management objectives for the promotion, appropriate access and visitor management.

Overall management responsibility for the Property and for delivering the Plan is through the Blaenavon Partnership which brings together a number of local authorities, Welsh Assembly Government Agencies and other bodies under the leadership of Torfaen County Borough Council.

The partnership engages with the wider community, maintaining regular contact with Blaenavon Town Council, voluntary groups, business leaders, residents and the local tourist association. To ensure effective stakeholder participation within the open landscape, a Commons Forum has been established.

There is a need to ensure continuing effective development control within the property and its setting in order that any development does not impact adversely on the relationship between attributes and the surrounding landscape in terms of the integrity of the property and its ability, as a cultural landscape, to convey its Outstanding Universal Value.

Comment

Replace year stated in Town & Country Planning Act (1980) with "(1990)". Add following legislation to Protection and Management Requirements - "Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004", "Planning Act 2008" and "Planning (Wales) Act 2015." Add "Brecon Beacons National Park Management Plan" to Protection and Management Requirements. Delete "...and a further Conservation Area is currently proposed for Forgeside and Glantorfaen" from Protection and Management Requirements.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Evidence of tangible and intangible heritage of the development of early industrial society.	✗			
3.2.2	Outstanding relict landscape - combined efforts of nature and man.	✗			
3.2.3	Evidence of the area's international importance in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and early 19th century.	✗			
3.2.4	Development of transport systems in the late 18th and 19th centuries.	✗			
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
















Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact
--	--------	--------	-----------------

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant











#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant















#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

##### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant





















Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative 							

##### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

##### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant



4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
🔴 Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):








- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

##### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							

##### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							

##### 4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							

##### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively





#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.11.6 - Accidental wildfire: Negative. Potential. Inside. Outside. Stable.

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.5 Hyper-abundant species						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						

4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
<b>Legend</b>	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

#### 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

##### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development






Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure			







Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure					

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------










4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent						
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity						
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing						

## 4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going						

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	 	 	
	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static

Increasing





#### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing						

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage








Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
						




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources





Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
---	--

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing




Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources



Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities			
			



Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources





Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing






#### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.5 Hyper-abundant species						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	  	 	

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes






	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years





	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  	 	

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





	Restricted
	Localised

	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance					

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	  	 	






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major




<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	 	 	






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	  		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Evidence of tangible and intangible heritage of the development of early industrial society.	✕			
4.18.1.2	Outstanding relict landscape - combined efforts of nature and man.	✕			

4.18.1.3	Evidence of the area's international importance in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and early 19th century.	×			
4.18.1.4	Development of transport systems in the late 18th and 19th centuries.	×			
4.18.1.5					

## 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone** and **does not need one**

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

Blaenavon WHS was inscribed without a Buffer Zone. Buffer Zone scoping study undertaken in 2014. Outcome was to consider alternative option for protecting WHS setting e.g. Supplementary Planning Guidance.

### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The site is protected through planning policies set out in development plans, including the Gwent Structure Plan, the Torfaen Local Plan, the Monmouth Borough-Wide Local Plan, and the Brecon Beacons National Park Plan. The United Kingdom planning system operates on the basis of regulating the development and use of land in the public interest and protecting interests of acknowledged importance. Within the area there are twelve Scheduled Ancient Monuments of national importance protected under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979. There are 82 buildings within the World Heritage area listed by the Welsh Office under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 as being of special architectural or historic interest. In and around the town of Blaenavon 54 buildings have been listed as being of special architectural or historic merit. There are also 28 Listed Buildings near the Brecknock and Abergavenny Canal. Damaging or carrying out unauthorized work to any of these protected sites is a criminal offence punishable by a fine or imprisonment.

Within the site there are four Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs), designated by the Countryside Council for Wales (CCW), under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, as amended. The CCW monitor these sites and have powers to ensure that their special conservation interest is being properly managed. Damaging SSSIs is a criminal offence which may be punishable by a fine. Cwmavon and the town centre of Blaenavon were declared Conservation Areas in 1984 under the Town and Country Planning Act 1971, now replaced by the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. The Act requires planning permission for any development in the town or open landscape. Planning applications are determined by the relevant Local Planning Authorities, in the light of government guidance and development plan policies. Planning Authorities can take enforcement action against development without planning permission. Much of the site is included on the Register of Landscapes of Outstanding Historic Interest in Wales, published jointly by the Countryside Council for Wales, Cadw, and ICOMOS UK. While the Register does not entail any statutory controls over development within the site, it is anticipated that it will be taken into account in the Development Plan process.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

#### Comment

Please refer to the State Party's Section I questionnaire for a list of national legislation relevant to UK World Heritage Sites. Delete Gwent Structure Plan. Replace Monmouthshire Borough Plan with Monmouthshire County Council Local Development Plan. Insert "Cadw is a statutory consultee in the planning system commenting on all applications impacting the setting of designated historic assets". Replace 24 Scheduled Monuments with 25. Replace 4 SSSI with 5. Change Countryside Council for Wales to Natural Resources Wales. Add 1 Special Area of Conservation. Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979, as amended by the Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2016.

#### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2017 / Managing Change in World Heritage Sites in Wales / Yes /

[https://cadw.gov.wales/sites/default/files/2019-05/20170531Managing%20Change%20in%20World%20Heritage%20Sites%20in%20Wales%2031146%20EN\\_0.pdf](https://cadw.gov.wales/sites/default/files/2019-05/20170531Managing%20Change%20in%20World%20Heritage%20Sites%20in%20Wales%2031146%20EN_0.pdf)

2011 / Blaenavon Industrial Landscape World Heritage Site Design Guide / Supplementary Planning Guidance. /

<https://www.visitblaenavon.co.uk/assets/documents/world-heritage-site/Blaenavon-WHS-Design-Guide-2011.pdf>

2017 / Blaenavon Town Centre Conservation Area Conservation Area Appraisal & Management Plan / Yes /

<https://www.visitblaenavon.co.uk/assets/documents/world-heritage-site/Blaenavon-Conservation-Area-Appraisal-Management-Plan-2017-2022.pdf>

2021 / Planning Policy Wales, Edition 11 / Yes /

[https://www.gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2021-02/planning-policy-wales-edition-11\\_0.pdf](https://www.gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2021-02/planning-policy-wales-edition-11_0.pdf)

2017 / Technical Advice Note 24: The Historic Environment / Yes /

<https://www.gov.wales/sites/default/files/publications/2018-09/tan24-historic-environment.pdf>

2019 / Monmouthshire Green Infrastructure Strategy / Non statutory policy statement /

<https://www.monlife.co.uk/outdoor/green-infrastructure/green-infrastructure-strategyguidance/>

1995 / The Environment Act 1995 / Yes / Environment Act 1995 (legislation.gov.uk) /



**5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**  
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**  
The property has **no buffer zone**

**5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**  
The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis** for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

**5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**  
There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

**5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

**5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

**5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**  
Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

**5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)


A management plan

Other (please specify below)

Individual partners make their own provision for managing individual elements of OUV.

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**  
Management of the Blaenavon WHS (BWHS) is undertaken by the BWHS Partnership under the leadership of Torfaen County Borough Council who as lead partner undertake the Co-ordination role. The partnership is comprised of 11 public sector partners, each of which have a role/stake in the WHS. The BWHS Board oversees and endorses delivery of the Management Plan by the Steering Group comprising senior officers of each partner. 3 thematic Working Groups deliver specific management/project actions.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan for the Nominated World Heritage Site Blaenavon Industrial Landscape	In Force	Available	1999	

**Comment**  
The current Management Plan was approved in 2018 and runs for five years to the end of 2023. Link to Plan below:  
<https://www.visitblaenavon.co.uk/assets/documents/world-heritage-site/Management-Plan-2018-2023.pdf>

**5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**  
**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**  
Whilst no specific reference is made to the Recommendation, the existing WHS Management Plan and management actions embody wider UK/Welsh historic environment and planning policy direction which largely incorporate the principles of the Recommendation.

**5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**  
**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:**  
Whilst no specific reference is made in the existing Management Plan, WHS partners recognise the significance of the issue and the need for climate action - most have declared Climate Emergencies and set out Plans to address the issue within their own sites/organisations. Climate change impacts on OUV will be considered in the new Management Plan and actions to manage/address impacts identified.

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**  
**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

Whilst no specific reference is made to the Strategy, quarterly risk management of the WHS's OUV is undertaken by Torfaen Council as lead WHS partner. Partners operate site specific risk registers/means of managing risks and planning for disasters.

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	Young people.				

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	World Heritage UK				

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	70 %	89 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	20 %	6 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	10 %	1 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	1 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	3 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	49 %	56 %
6.1.6.2	Women	51 %	44 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

#### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Whilst no specific reference is made to the Strategy, many of the Strategies goals/actions are being implemented by the BWHS Partnership with the support of the State Party.

#### 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

**A site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

#### 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

#### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of research, but it is not planned**

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

## 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

### 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good

Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

From formal education and volunteering to interpretation both physical and digital throughout the landscape, we provide a holistic and connected approach to educating and raising awareness of our OUV. The work undertaken has resulted in evidential outcomes for people - not only in understanding and awareness of the value of the WHS, but supporting positive life outcomes, economic regeneration, reduction of inequalities, and achievement against UNESCO Sustainable development goals.

## 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

149980 / 69932 / 32877 / 215339 / 227010 /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys
Other
Footfall counters

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor numbers are presented in calendar years. Figures are collated directly from each of the principle visitor attractions based on entry numbers. Question 9.3 - We have no methodology at a WHS level for determining the average length of stay for visitors to the property. Question 9.3.2 is selected on the basis of assumed local knowledge.

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 0 / 0 /

### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Information to answer Question 9.5 is not currently available.

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a **strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Tourism management is actively managed through quarterly Steering Group Meetings.

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected**, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The Valleys Regional Park Initiative.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Job creation and expenditure within the local community. Support of local businesses and community facilities.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

A pending review of the WHS Management Plan in 2023 will consider issues arising from this section of the Review.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable monitoring** but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate** and **key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones		
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>		✕
5.1.4	The property has <b>no known and recognised buffer zone</b>		
5.2	Protective Measures		
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>		
5.3	Management System/Management Plan		
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property		✕
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property		✕
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property		✕
6.1	Funding		
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is acceptable</b> but <b>could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property		✕
6.1.10	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property		✕
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects		
7.2	There is a <b>small amount of research</b> in the World Heritage property <b>but it is not planned</b>		✕
7.3	Research <b>results are shared</b> with local communities and partners <b>but there is no active outreach</b> to national or international agencies		
9	Visitor Management		
9.7	There is <b>a strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>		✕
10	Monitoring		
10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value		✕
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined</b> but <b>monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>		✕
Please select 0 more issues.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes			

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	<b>Services Infrastructures</b>						
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Criteria iv. Attributes 2: Outstanding relict landscape - combined efforts of nature and man. 3: Evidence of the areas international importance - in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and early 19th century.	Sensitivity & Capacity Study for Renewable Energy Development undertaken to assist assessment of proposals. Torfaen Council to respond to Developments of National Significance (DNS) visible from the WHS boundary as required.	An annual monitoring report reviewing trends on key development proposals is undertaken.	Ongoing.	Welsh Government is determining body for DNS's. Relevant Local Planning Authorities e.g. Torfaen Council, Blaenau Gwent Council, Brecon Beacons National Park Authority and Blaenavon WHS Partnership to be consulted.	Concern relating to the potential for a cumulative visual impact on upland area of WHS of proposed DNS proposing wind energy development visible from the WHS.
4.4	<b>Pollution</b>						
4.4.2	Ground water pollution	Criteria iv. Attributes – 3: Evidence of the area's international importance in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and early 19th century.	Pulverised fuel ash was laid in the Blaenavon Ironworks lower yard; it reacts with rainwater to form a weak acid that corrodes the railway lines. It will be removed and replaced with bound resin gravel. The	The Ironworks is subject to multiple tiers of monitoring, principally the quinquennial review, and weekly/monthly inspections for H&S, Facilities Management and heritage purposes.	Scheduled Monument Consent has been granted; the works are proposed to be completed during 2023-24.	Cadw as site owner and statutory body for heritage. Torfaen Council was consulted as lead partner for the BILWHS, and did not raise concerns.	Adverse physical impact on the ferrous structures relating to transport in the lower yard of Blaenavon Ironworks was the main area of concern.



			rails will be conserved.				
4.5	Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4	Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	Criteria iv. Attributes - 2: Outstanding relict landscape - combined efforts of nature and man. 3: Evidence of the areas international importance- in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and early 19th century.	Hill farming is supported by the constituted Blaenavon World Heritage Site Commons Management Group (BWHSCMG) which receives annual funding from Torfaen Council through the 'Dragon's Teeth' micro hydro scheme and other sources.	Regular communication with BWHSCMG through the WHS Steering Group / working groups and direct communication between the BWHSCMG and Officers.	Ongoing with regular reviews.	The BWHSCMG supported by Torfaen Council and the Blaenavon WHS Partnership.	Relates to the importance of common land grazing to retaining the open landscape character of the BILWHS. Common land management is principally undertaken by the BWHSCMG, local authorities and the Brecon Beacons National Park Authority.
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criteria IV. Attributes – 4. Development of transport systems in the late 18th and 19th centuries	Drainage improvements planned at some key sites (including Dyne Steel Incline). Further work required.	Monitoring is in place.	Monitoring of sites is ongoing – further resources required.	CADW supported by volunteers and the Blaenavon Partnership.	Erosion damage caused by surface water run off at key archaeological sites. The Blaenavon Partnership continues to identify resources for further drainage improvements.
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Criteria iv. Attributes - 2: Outstanding relict landscape - combined efforts of nature and man. 3: Evidence of the areas international importance- in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and early 19th century.	Long-term management plans in place to enhance WHS common land for all. Both BWHSCMG & Blaenavon World Heritage Environment Group receive financial support from Torfaen Council via micro hydro revenues & technical support from partner organisation.	Regular communication through the BILWHS Steering Group and ongoing collaboration with relevant Torfaen Council officers.	Ongoing with regular reviews.	BWHSCMG with the support of Torfaen Council Officers and the Blaenavon WHS Partnership.	Work undertaken by the BWHSCMG includes the mitigation of landscape crime, habitat management, access management and common land infrastructure repairs.
4.9	Other human activities						
4.9.1	Illegal activities	Criteria iv. Attributes - 3: Evidence of the areas international importance- in iron making and coal mining in the late 18th and early 19th century. 4: Development of transport systems in the late 18th and 19th centuries.	Landscape crime & wildfire plans are in place & external funding has been secured for a seconded police officer to coordinate illegal off roading operations. Permanent fly tipping & rural land manager posts have been created within Torfaen Council.	Intelligence led information gathering and appropriate response by the police with the support of WHS partner organisations.	Shared Prosperity Funding from UK Government has been secured to support funding for Landscape Crime Officer for 2 years from April 2023.	Gwent Police supported by Blaenavon WHS Partnership.	Illegal vehicle off roading, arson and fly tipping threaten heritage features and negatively impact landscape value. The Blaenavon WHS Partnership is continually seeking resources to tackle these issues.
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Criteria IV Attributes 2,3 and 4.	Regional Wildfire Plan prepared in partnership with fire service to address problem identifying high-risk habitats, firebreak locations, water sources, access points and other information. Fire breaks created; other vegetation management undertaken.	Regular communication with local commoners, the police and fire service. Additional information provided by volunteers monitoring the efficacy of fire prevention measures.	Ongoing.	Fire service, police and local commoners supported by Blaenavon Partnership.	Fire caused by arson risks damaging heritage features including coal spoil heaps and scourings, scheduled and listed structures. Negatively impacts landscape value. Continue to identify future resources for crime prevention within the site.
4.12	Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						

4.12.5	Hyper-abundant species	Criteria iv Attributes 2, 3 and 4.	Updated management plans identify priority areas for ongoing bracken control by land managers and volunteers within the BWHS. Revenues from the 'Dragon's Teeth' micro hydro scheme located in Blaenavon support upland management activities.	As indicated in relevant Management Plans. Volunteers have historically helped to monitor and control bracken on promoted trails in the WHS landscape.	Ongoing – Blaenavon WHS Partnership continue to identify further resources for bracken management. While the BWHS commons have benefitted from external funding over the past 10 years, bracken management costs exceed currently available resources.	Blaenavon Partnership supported by BILWHS partners and working groups.	Root damage to built structures caused by bracken. Bracken encroachment reduces ability to understand and interpret WHS landscape. Dead bracken is also highly combustible and increases fire risk in the landscape.
--------	------------------------	------------------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

#### Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	Buffer Zone scoping study undertaken in 2014. Outcome was to consider alternative option for protecting WHS setting e.g. Supplementary Planning Guidance.	Ongoing.	Blaenavon World Heritage Site Partnership and relevant Local Planning Authorities.	Blaenavon WHS was inscribed without a Buffer Zone.
5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	WHS Management Plan (MP) to be reviewed in 2023. New Management Plan will consider and incorporate the principles of the Recommendation.	New 10-year WHS Management Plan to be developed and agreed late 2023/early 2024.	Blaenavon World Heritage Site Partnership.	Whilst no specific reference is made to the Recommendation, the existing WHS MP and management actions embody wider UK/Welsh historic environment and planning policy direction which largely incorporate the principles of the Recommendation.
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	WHS Management Plan (MP) to be reviewed in 2023. New Management Plan will consider and incorporate the policy document and its direction.	New 10-year WHS Management Plan to be developed and agreed late 2023/early 2024.	Blaenavon World Heritage Site Partnership.	Whilst no specific reference is made in the existing MP, partners recognise the significance of the issue & the need for climate action. Climate change impacts on OUV will be considered in the new MP & actions to manage/address impacts identified.
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	WHS Management Plan to be reviewed in 2023. New Plan will consider and incorporate the principles of the Strategy.	New 10-year WHS Management Plan to be developed and agreed late 2023/early 2024.	Blaenavon World Heritage Site Partnership.	Whilst no specific reference is made to the Strategy, quarterly risk management of the WHS's OUV is undertaken by Torfaen Council as lead WHS partner. Partners operate site specific risk registers/means of managing risks and planning for disasters.
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is acceptable</b> but <b>could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Management budget is acceptable and supports management activities. External funding opportunities are utilised to support projects/additional management actions.	Ongoing.	BWHS Partnership and individual WHS partners.	The BWHS Partnership is comprised of public bodies who retain financial responsibility for managing their own components of the WHS.

6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	WHS Management Plan to be reviewed in 2023. New Plan will consider and incorporate relevant and locally applicable goals/actions of the Strategy.	New 10-year WHS Management Plan to be developed and agreed late 2023/early 2024.	Blaenavon World Heritage Site Partnership.	Whilst no specific reference is made to the Strategy, many of the Strategy's goals/actions are being implemented by the BWHS Partnership with the support of the State Party.
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is a <b>small amount of research</b> in the World Heritage property <b>but it is not planned</b>	Research is carried out by many organisations and students who share their findings with the BWHS Partnership. Research is also undertaken by the Partnership when required on a specific project/issue and when resources allow.	Ongoing.	Blaenavon WHS Partnership.	None.
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	Destination Management Plan update required. This action will be considered as part of the MP review.	New 10-year WHS Management Plan to be developed and agreed late 2023/early 2024.	Blaenavon WHS Partnership.	Destination focus emerging as part of wider local and regional tourism initiatives.
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	WHS Management Plan to be reviewed in 2023. New Plan will review all monitoring indicators in place and identify effective indicators that support management and understanding of OUV.	New 10-year WHS Management Plan to be developed and agreed late 2023/early 2024.	Blaenavon WHS Partnership.	Widespread monitoring is already undertaken by individual WHS partners throughout the WHS. Outcomes need to be better integrated into management actions.
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>	WHS Management Plan to be reviewed in 2023. New Plan will review all monitoring indicators in place and identify effective indicators that support management and understanding of OUV.	WHS Management Plan to be reviewed in 2023. New 10-year Plan to be agreed late 2023/early 2024.	Blaenavon WHS Partnership.	Widespread monitoring is already undertaken by individual WHS partners throughout the WHS. Outcomes need to be better integrated into management actions.

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Blaenavon WHS Youth Ambassadors Programme The vision of the multi-award-winning World Heritage (WH) Youth Ambassador programme is to empower young people to learn about and have an active and powerful voice in their own lives, their local communities and WH. This program supports Young People aged 10-25 to engage with WH for the purposes of learning, personal development, employability and advancing WH both locally and nationally for the sector and for other young people. The Young People who engage with the programme are: Empowered: have the confidence to independently voice what they want and think, and make decisions for WH and other young people. Active: Regularly volunteer for the purposes of learning, personal development, fun and the management of WH. Participative: give their time and participate in activities that support the advancement of WH. Influential: Have their voice listened to and acted upon for the benefit of WH and other young people. Supported: Adults in the heritage sector are trained and able to use the techniques of the youth work principals to engage young people appropriately. Since its inception in 2014, the programme, based in Blaenavon WHS, has connected over 1000 young people and their communities not only to their local WHS but to other UK WHS's and to a global citizenship. Using the power of the world's most outstanding heritage, engagement in the program creates long term enhanced health and wellbeing, belief in the power of a youth voice, improved life prospects and employability skills, and creates a sense of belonging and pride in their own identity and culture, in an increasingly diverse and complex world. The program leads the UK WHS sector in youth and WH engagement and is well recognised both nationally and internationally as a lead expert in youth and WH.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Management
Governance
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The <i>World Heritage Convention</i>
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Local communities
Other specific groups
Representatives of all our WHS partner organisations.
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS national/regional

#### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

#### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

37 / 22.5 / 74 /
------------------

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✗
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✗	

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Questions could be better tailored to UK heritage context.

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

## 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The World Heritage Centre identified in December 2022 that they did not hold an up-to-date clear map which showed the delimitation of the property. We are in the process of producing the requested map in line with the World Heritage Centre's technical requirements, with support from Historic England. It will be submitted for the approval of the World Heritage Committee in advance of 46COM along with others from the UK State Party.

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: Replace year stated in Town & Country Planning Act (1980) with "(1990)". Add following legislation to Protection and Management Requirements - "Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004", "Planning Act 2008" and "Planning (Wales) Act 2015." Add "Brecon Beacons National Park Management Plan" to Protection and Management Requirements. Delete "...and a further Conservation Area is currently proposed for Forgeside and Glantorfaen" from Protection and Management Requirements.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**