Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery	59.95 / 38.567	2.1	20	22.1	2000
Total (ha)		2.1	20	22.1	

Comment

When the Site was inscribed on the WHList in 2000, there was a factual error when counting the Site's area and its buffer zone. The WHSite boundaries are as follows: an area of 1.36 ha, a buffer zone of 158 ha. The latest maps for the WHSite and its buffer zone in the form in which they were originally included in the WHList were sent to the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation (on January 24, 2023) in response to a letter from the WHCenter No. SLT/WHC/COM/22/161 of December 16, 2022

1.4 - Map(s)

Comment

The latest maps for the World Heritage Site and its buffer zone in the form in which they were originally included in the World Heritage List were sent to the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation (on January 24, 2023) in response to a letter from the World Heritage Center No. SLT/WHC/COM/22/161 of December 16, 2022

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Kirmuseum

Comment

https://kirmuseum.org/ru

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

No

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Ensemble of Ferrapontov Monastery is situated in the Vologda region, in the north-western part of the Russian Federation on a small hill, between Borodaevskoe and Paskoe lakes, 120 km northwest of the city of Vologda.

The Moscow monk Ferrapont founded the monastery in 1398. The Ensemble of the Monastery was formed in the 15th-17th centuries. The core of the Ensemble is the Cathedral of the Nativity of the Virgin (1490), which is especially remarkable among the six surviving buildings of the Monastery. The others are the Church of the Annunciation, with a refectory chamber, the Treasury Chamber, the St. Martinian Church, the Churches of Epiphany and St. Pherapont above the Holy Gate, and the Bell Tower. In the 19th century the monastery territory was enclosed with a stone fence.

The history of Ferrapontov Monastery was linked with important events at some crucial points during the conformation of the centralized Russian state, such as the approval authority of the first "Emperor of All Russia" Ivan III, the reign of the first Russian tsar Ivan IV and the exile of Patriarch Nikon. In the 15th-16th centuries, Ferrapontov Monastery became a major cultural and ideological centre of the region, and was one of the main monasteries that considerably influenced the policy of Muscovv.

The architecture of the monastery, a remarkable example of the Rostov architectural style, is outstanding in its inventiveness and purity. The buildings of the monastery retained all the characteristic features and interior decoration. The Ensemble of the Ferrapontov Monastery is also a vivid example of the harmonious unity with the natural surrounding landscape that has changed little from the 17th century, emphasizing the unique spiritual system of northern monks, while at the same time revealing features of economic structure of northern peasantry.

The murals of the Cathedral of the Nativity of the Virgin have a special significance for Russian culture and other cultures worldwide. The murals of the Cathedral are the only paintings of the greatest Russian master Dionisy the Wise, which have been entirely preserved to this day in their original form. The Ensemble of the Ferrapontov Monastery, with the most valuable and completely preserved frescos of Dionisy, is a unique example of the integrity and unity of the Russian style of the northern monastery ensemble of the 15th-17th centuries.

Criterion (i): The wall paintings of Dionisy in the Cathedral of the Nativity of the Virgin at Ferrapontov Monastery are the highest expression of Russian mural art in the 15th-16th centuries.

Criterion (iv): The complex of Ferrapontov Monastery is the purest and most complete example of an Orthodox monastic community from the 15th-17th centuries, a crucial period in the cultural and spiritual development of Russia.

Integrity

The inscribed property encompasses 2.10 ha, with a buffer zone of 20 ha and contains all the attributes that convey its Outstanding Universal Value. The integrity of the Ensemble of Ferrapontov Monastery and the good conservation of all its attributes are ensured by the boundaries of the property, which have remained unchanged and are clearly delineated by a the stone wall that surrounds all the architectural monuments and constructions of the Monastery. None of the attributes are threatened by contemporary development, deterioration or neglect

The landscape around the monastery has remained almost unchanged for centuries, and has preserved its harmonious unity. Thanks to its location and compactness, the expressive silhouette of the monastery can easily be viewed from different directions, and all natural and anthropogenic impacts on the property have been avoided.

Authenticity

The Ensemble, erected in the 15th-17th centuries, has preserved a high level of authenticity in terms of its original layout, form, materials and techniques, its design, as well as its old architectural appearance, notably with regard to the interiors. Given its good preservation, the Monastery has not required large-scale reconstruction. Certain original architectural forms and structures were reconstructed on the basis of scientific studies and using traditional materials: large size brick stones for walls, wood for joints, fillings and for roof constructions. There are no modern buildings or constructions on the territory of the monastery.

Conservation works on the wall paintings have been especially commendable, being restricted to consolidation and cleaning. The authenticity of the frescos by Dionisy is determined on the basis of the absence of any interference in structure. Unique methods of conservation of the layers of the cathedral's paintings ensured that there would be only negligible interferences with the artist's paintings and no violation of their structure or aesthetic perception.

Today, the monastery houses the state museum. However, changes in the authenticity of function are part of the history of the property, and the addition of the museum plays an indispensable role in the protection of the authenticity of the ensemble. The Russian Orthodox Church uses the Churches of St. Pherapont and St. Epiphany as parish churches, and services are also foreseen at the Church of St. Martinian.

Protection and management requirements

According to the Decree of the President of the Russian Federation of 02.04.1997, No. 275, the Ensemble of the Ferrapontov Monastery is declared as a monument of history and culture of federal significance. The Museum of the Frescoes of Dionisy in the Ferrapontov Monastery is a branch of the Kirillo-Belozersky historical architectural and art museum reserve. Since 1998, the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation is responsible for financing and running the museum. At present, the Museum is under control of the Department of Cultural Heritage of the Ministry and the Department of Control, Supervision and Licensing in the Sphere of Cultural Heritage. In 2011, the Conciliatory Commission, consisting of representatives of Vologda Eparchy of the Russian Orthodox Church and the Museum, was created to address the management and conservation of the cultural heritage monuments of the Monastery. The state of conservation of the property is also under monitoring by the National Commission of the Russian Federation for UNESCO.

Conservation challenges to be addressed include the continued preservation of the unique frescoes by Dionisy, the preservation of the ensemble of the monastery, as well as the sustainable monitoring of the state of conservation of the property and the monitoring and enforcement of buffer zone regulations.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Dionisy's Frescoes of 1502 in the Virgin Nativity Cathedral of the Ferapontov Monastery as the most outstanding example of embodiment of a creative genius of mankind of the 15th–16th centuries	×			

3.2.2	Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery as a complete and well-preserved example of a northern Russian Orthodox monastery of the 15th-17th centuries	×		
3.2.3	Frescoes of Dionisy as one of the best examples of restoration practice	×		
3.2.4	Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery in inseparable unity with the surrounding natural landscape as a phenomenon of harmonious unity	×		
3.2.5	Artistic and architectural monuments of the Ferapontov Monastery as an example of museum storage	×		
3.2.6				
3.2.7				
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			1
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

Positive X	×	×	⇒	
Negative				

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.4 The comfortable recreation center with a ski resort, a hotel and a restaurant is located on Mount Tsypina 5 km from the Site. 4.1.5 In 2022 new information signboards were made. In 2015 the improvement of the monastery territory was carried out and the art night-time lighting was made.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			1
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			/
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2.1 In 2017-2019 the roads connecting the village of Ferapontovo with the city of Vologda, a regional center and the town of Kirillov, a district center were overhauled completely. This has a positive influence on the development of tourism. 4.2.4 In the Second cycle of Periodic Reporting there was an error in assessing the relevance of the factor Maritime Transport Infrastructure. There is no maritime transport infrastructure in the village of Ferapontovo.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive X	×			×		\Rightarrow	
Negative							
4.3.2 - Renewable energy f Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	/2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.3.1 The river dams near the m	onastery were rena	aired in 2008-2015	It has a positive	impact on the sta	bilization of a water leve	el in the lakes a	nd the surroundina
 4.3.1 The river dams near the m nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 	e landscape.	aired in 2008-2015.	It has a positive	e impact on the sta	bilization of a water lev	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
nature and creates a picturesque4.4. Pollution4.4.1 - Pollution of marinePrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/	e landscape.	aired in 2008-2015.	It has a positive		bilization of a water lev	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
 nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 	waters /2014):	aired in 2008-2015.			bilization of a water lev	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/	waters /2014):	aired in 2008-2015.			bilization of a water lev	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	waters /2014): tion /2014):	aired in 2008-2015.	✗ Not relevant		bilization of a water lev	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Relevant 4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/	waters /2014): tion /2014):	aired in 2008-2015.	✗ Not relevant		bilization of a water lev	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Relevant 4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Not relevant	waters /2014): tion /2014):	aired in 2008-2015.	✗ Not relevant ✗ Not relevant		bilization of a water leve	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Relevant 4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Relevant 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	waters /2014): tion /2014):	aired in 2008-2015.	✗ Not relevant ✗ Not relevant		bilization of a water leve	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding
nature and creates a picturesque 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Relevant 4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant Relevant 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	waters /2014): tion /2014): /2014):	aired in 2008-2015.	✗ Not relevant✗ Not relevant✗ Not relevant		bilization of a water leve	el in the lakes a	nd the surrounding

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative							

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative							

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×			×	S		
Negative							

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×	S		
Negative							

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive	×			×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5.7 In the Second cycle of Periodic Reporting there was an error in assessing the relevance of the factor Subsistence wild plant collection. There are no indigenous communities in the Ferapontovo village, but local communities do gather wild plants.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7.8 In the Second cycle of Periodic Reporting there was an error in assessing the relevance of the factor for Microorganisms. Microorganisms do not affect the Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant	Trend of impact				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×				7
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×			×			/		
Negative									

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	× Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×			×			1
Negative							

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

≭ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×			×			7
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			1
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.3 In the Second cycle of Periodic Reporting there was an error in assessing the relevance of the factor Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting. There are no indigenous communities in the Ferapontovo village.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							

○ Negative X	×			×		
4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 Not relevant	2014):					
Relevant			× Not relevant			
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 Not relevant	2014):					
Relevant			× Not relevant			
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 Not relevant	2014):					
Relevant			× Not relevant			
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant						
Relevant			× Not relevant			
4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07// • Relevant, Negative, Curre	2014):					
Relevant			× Not relevant			
4.10.7 - Other climate chan Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/						
Not relevant	,					
	·		X Not relevant			
Not relevant	s necessary on h riodic Reporting ther ole of the Ferapontov or geological eve	e was an error in Monastery.	selected as	relevant in 4.1		
• Not relevant Relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as positively 4.10.6 In the Second cycle of Pechange do not affect the Ensemble 4.11. Sudden ecological of the cological of th	s necessary on h riodic Reporting ther ole of the Ferapontov or geological eve	e was an error in Monastery.	selected as	relevant in 4.1 elevance of the fa		
Relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as positively 4.10.6 In the Second cycle of Pechange do not affect the Ensemb 4.11. Sudden ecological of the cological of t	s necessary on heriodic Reporting therole of the Ferapontovor geological even	e was an error in Monastery.	s selected as	relevant in 4.1 elevance of the fa		
• Not relevant Relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as positively 4.10.6 In the Second cycle of Perchange do not affect the Ensemb 4.11. Sudden ecological of the ecological of	s necessary on heriodic Reporting therole of the Ferapontovor geological even	e was an error in Monastery.	s selected as	relevant in 4.1		
• Not relevant Relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as positively 4.10.6 In the Second cycle of Perchange do not affect the Ensemb 4.11. Sudden ecological of the ecological of	s necessary on h riodic Reporting ther ple of the Ferapontov or geological eve 2014):	e was an error in Monastery.	assessing the re	relevant in 4.1		
• Not relevant Relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as positively 4.10.6 In the Second cycle of Perchange do not affect the Ensemble 4.11. Sudden ecological of 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/20) • Not relevant Relevant 4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/20) • Not relevant Relevant 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/20)	s necessary on h riodic Reporting ther ple of the Ferapontov or geological eve 2014):	e was an error in Monastery.	assessing the re	relevant in 4.1		
• Not relevant Relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as positively 4.10.6 In the Second cycle of Perchange do not affect the Ensemble 4.11. Sudden ecological of the ecological o	s necessary on h riodic Reporting ther ble of the Ferapontov or geological eve 2014): e 2014):	e was an error in Monastery.	Selected as assessing the reasonable with the	relevant in 4.1		
• Not relevant Relevant 4.10.8 - Please comment as positively 4.10.6 In the Second cycle of Perchange do not affect the Ensemble 4.11. Sudden ecological of 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/20) • Not relevant Relevant 4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/20) • Not relevant Relevant 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/20) • Not relevant Relevant 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslice Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/20)	s necessary on h riodic Reporting ther ble of the Ferapontov or geological eve 2014): e 2014):	e was an error in Monastery.	Selected as assessing the reasonable with the	relevant in 4.1		

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			!	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×	S		

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12.2 In the Second cycle of Periodic Reporting there was an error in assessing the relevance of the factor for Invasive terrestrial species. Invasive terrestrial species do not affect the Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×		×				7
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×			7
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				/
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				*
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant	Not relevant						
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			\rightarrow	

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×				1
Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	q		Œ	1
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	9	•		→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	q		Œ	1
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	9		G	7
4.3 Services Infrastructures					
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	•	4		F	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification					
		-28		-	
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	②	9		G	→
4.5.3 Land conversion	O	q		Œ	→
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	O	q		Œ	S
4.5.5 Crop production	•	9		Œ	•
4.5.8 Commercial hunting	•	9		F	→
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting	•	q		G	→
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	O	q		Œ	→

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
4-7-1 Willu		-~				
		<u>e</u> -j			Œ.	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		4			(\rightarrow
4.7.3 Temperature						
		9			(\rightarrow
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	A		•		7
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	A			G	7
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	•	A			(E	7
		•			9	
4.0.5 Identify, posini orbanian about a in local negotiation and community.		em .			100	2
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	•	4			G	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9			(/
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
		9			Œ	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events		9			F	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)		9			F	
		9	9		E	•
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	•	9	9		9	`
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors		q	9	(0)	9	`
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	•	9	9	•	9	`
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	③	•	9	•	·	>
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors		9	9	•	9	` /
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework	③	•	9		·	\ /
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	③	•	9	0	·	,
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework	⊙	9	9		·	,
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework	⊙	9	4		·	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance	•	9	4	•	·	,
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance	•	9	9	•	·	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
4.13. Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities	•	9	4	•	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.13. Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities	•	9	9	•	·	> / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
4.13.4 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities	•	9	9	0	·	> > →
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources	•	9	4	0	·	
4.13.4 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities		9 9		•	·	> / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources 4.13.6 Human resources		9 9 9	4	0 0	·	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan 4.13.2 Legal framework 4.13.3 Governance 4.13.4 Management activities 4.13.5 Financial resources		9 9		•	·	> / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /

Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside
--------	---------	-----------	----------	----------------------------	--------------------------	---------

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	q			Œ	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.1.5 Intern	pretative and visitation facilities	Impact	q		Origin		Trend
			·				
Spatial sca	ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	o •			F	/	
0 11 1							
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		0	9		(1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impac	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	•	4			G	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						

	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.1 Fishii	.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources				Œ	\rightarrow
Custial ass	le. Area offered by the feeter					
Spatial Sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion	O	9		E	⇒
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Livest	ock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	O	9		F	7
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name 4.5.5 Crop	production	Impact	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
			·		G.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	and the state of t	Impact		Origin	m#	Trend
4.5.8 Comn	nercial hunting	O	9		G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
No				0		.
Name 4.5.9 Subsi	stence hunting	Impact	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Origin	Œ	Trend
3430					,	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					

×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Fore	stry/Wood production	O	9		F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managama	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - Dev	Decreasing
Trend - Dev	

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind							
			9			G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
^	Widespread						
Tamparal							
remporars	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity					
		9		(\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temp	erature Control of the Control of th				-4	
			9		ઉ	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					

Spotial of	and Area offerted by the factor
Spatial St	cale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermitent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Minor Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Docreasing	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
Restricted Localised Extensive X Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant X Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	4.8.1 Ritua	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	9		•		1	
Restricted Localised Extensive X Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant X Mejor Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years								
Localised Extensive X Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant X Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor						
Extensive X Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant X Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Restricted						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Localised						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Extensive						
One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	Widespread						
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent X On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant X Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity on resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		One off or rare						
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Intermittent or sporadic						
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Frequent						
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	On-going						
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond ** High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources **Trend - Developement over the last 6 years**		Significant						
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	Major						
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Medium capacity						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Low capacity						
		No capacity and / or resources						
Decreasing	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
		Decreasing						
Static		Static						
× Increasing	×	Increasing						

4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage

Name

Trend

Origin

Impact

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chan	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	9		F	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O	q		F	-
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Namo		Impact		Origin		Trond

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Stor	ms					
			q		Œ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)						
				9		F	•
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
	lanagement system/Management plan		A	()		,
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 L	egal framework	O	9		G	-
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	•	9	•	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				

	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	O	4	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name	ncial resources	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	O	9	•	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				

	Frequent				
×	On-going .				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	O	9	•	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				

	Charita				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	O	q	•	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High	n impact research/monitoring activities	•	9	•	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				

	Frequent			
×	On-going On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
×	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Dionisy's Frescoes of 1502 in the Virgin Nativity Cathedral of the Ferapontov Monastery as the most outstanding example of embodiment of a creative genius of mankind of the 15th–16th centuries	×			
4.18.1.2	Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery as a complete and well-preserved example of a northern Russian Orthodox monastery of the 15th-17th centuries	×			
4.18.1.3	Frescoes of Dionisy as one of the best examples of restoration practice	×			
4.18.1.4	Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery in inseparable unity with the surrounding natural landscape as a phenomenon of harmonious unity	×			
4.18.1.5	Artistic and architectural monuments of the Ferapontov Monastery as an example of museum storage	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

When the Site was inscribed on the WHList in 2000, there was a factual error when counting the Site's area and its buffer zone. The WHSite boundaries are as follows: an area of 1.36 ha, a buffer zone of 158 ha. The latest maps for the WHSite and its buffer zone in the form in which they were originally included in the WHList were sent to the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation (on January 24, 2023) in response to a letter from the WHCenter No. SLT/WHC/COM/22/161 of December 16, 2022

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Four methods of legislative protection apply to the Ensemble of Ferrapontov Monastery: protection as an Historical and Cultural Monument of federal significance under the provisions of the 1978 Law; protection of a series of zones in the surrounding area (monument protection zone, controlled building zone, and protected landscape) established under a 1986 directive; protection as a Specially Important Cultural Monument, as the buildings complex of the Kirillo- Belozerskii Museum-Reserve, in accordance with a 1997 Presidential Decree; and protection of the surrounding area as part of the Rouskii Sever National Park (166.6ha) under the 1991 Law.

Lois et ordonnances au niveau fédéral:

- La Loi fédérale sur le patrimoine culturel (monument de l'histoire et de la culture) des peuples de la Fédération de Russie (2002, la dernière version est de 2010). La loi se substitue à l'ancienne Loi de 1978, sans changer en principe la protection juridique du bien en tant qu'Ensemble, avec la catégorie d'importance fédérale. La nouvelle loi propose une nouvelle alternative du statut juridique du bien. Elle définit trois types de patrimoine culturel: les monuments, les ensembles et les sites (en russe, littéralement: «lieux remarquables»).
- La loi qui transmet aux organisations religieuses les biens à vocation religieuse qui étaient une propriété de l'Etat ou de la municipalité (novembre 2010). Au gré de cette loi les biens sont transmis de manière désintéressée et illimitée aux organisations religieuses. La loi vise également les biens qui sont patrimoine culturel, et dans ce cas, les organisations religieuses prennent l'obligation de suivre les mesures de leur préservation, «y compris les exigences quant à la procédure et aux délais des travaux de restauration, de réparation et autres» (art. 5, point 1). La Loi prévoit que la jouissance, l'utilisation et la disposition du patrimoine culturel soient «conformes aux exigences de la Législation de la Fédération de Russie dans le domaine de la conservation, de l'utilisation, de la promotion et de la protection d'Etat des ouvrages du patrimoine culturel» (art. 10, point 2).
- Le Code d'urbanisme (2002), qui règle les questions de l'activité urbanistique dans la Fédération de Russie.
- La Loi de modification du Code d'urbanisme et de certains actes législatifs de la Fédération russe (2006). Les modifications sont essentielles, puisqu'elles suppriment les fonctions de concertation que remplissaient les organes de protection pour ce qui est de la documentation d'urbanisme et des projets d'architecture, et elles ont un impact direct sur l'efficacité du système de gestion.
- L'Arrêté N° 315 du 26/04/2008 de la Fédération de Russie relatif aux Dispositions dans les zones de protection du patrimoine culturel déterminant la procédure de réglementation des zones de protection et leur approbation par l'organe fédéral central.
- Amendement à la Loi fédérale sur le patrimoine culturel (163864 -5/2010).

Lois et ordonnances au niveau régional:

Le bien étant, selon la législation fédérale, géré au niveau fédéral, il ne fait pas l'objet de législations au niveau régional. Seule la zone tampon du bien est contrôlée et gérée à ce niveau sur la base du document suivant:

• Une ordonnance du Gouvernement de la Région de Vologda (N° 583 du 14 mai 2007) relative aux limites des zones protégées et au régime d'utilisation du sol à l'intérieur des zones protégées de l'ensemble du monastère de Ferapontov.

Sur la base des législations ci-dessus, la protection juridique du bien se fait à trois niveaux:

- Au niveau du bien en tant qu'«ensemble» ayant la catégorie d'«importance fédérale» (selon la Loi fédérale de 2002);
- Au niveau du territoire immédiat autour du bien, grâce au système des zones protégées (Ordonnance de la Région de Vologda de 2007);
- Au niveau du territoire protégé du Parc national «Ruski sever» (aux termes d'une loi de 1991).

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation; 2010 RMM mission report (in French)

Comment

The Site is under state protection as a monument of architecture of state significance with the name «Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery of the 15th -17th centuries with frescoes of the 16th century» since the 30th August, 1960, when the Resolution of the Council of Ministers of the RSFSR Improvement of Preservation of the Monuments of Culture in the Russian Soviet Federated Socialist Republic» was adopted. Since 1968, the territory of the monastery with its monuments has become a reserve. «The Museum of Dionisy's Frescoes» as a branch of the Kirillo-Belozersky Historical Architectural and Art Museum-Reserve was opened in 1975. The status of the Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery as the site of historical and cultural heritage of federal (all-Russian) significance was provided by the Decree of the President of the Russian Federation 176 of 20 February, 1995. The Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery was included into the Government List of the Most Valuable Objects of Cultural Heritage of the Peoples of the Russian Federation by the Decree of the President of the Russian Federation 275 of 2 April 1997. Since 1998 the Site has been financed and managed by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation. The corresponding subdivision of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation carries out the coordination of the museum activity and the state control of preservation, use, popularization and state protection of the monuments. The name of the Site was changed to «Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery, the late 15th-17th centuries, the late 18th century, the 19th century, the early 20th century» by the Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation September 2014. In addition the address reference was specified (according to the mentioned Decree it is the following: the Vologda region, the Kirillov district, the village of Ferapontovo). The list of the monuments included into the Site was also determined. The Site «Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery, the late 15th-17th centuries, the late 18th century, the 19th century, the early 20th century» was registered in the National Registry of the Cultural Heritage Sites with number 531420022730006 by the Decree of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation of 8 October 2014. The monuments included into the Site were registered in the Register with the number assignment and the subject of preservation of the ensemble was confirmed. The borders of the «Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery, the late 15th–17th centuries, the late 18th century, the early 20th century» were determined by the Decree of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation 1117 of 23 April 2015. The borders of the protective zones, land use provisions and requirements of architectural regulations within the actual borders were determined by the Decree of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation 3206 of 23 December 2015. The protective zones project was developed by the FSUE «Central Scientific-Restoration and Project Workshops» under the guidance of S.B. Kulikov in 2012. The subject of preservation of 8 objects situated within the borders of the Site was determined by the Decree of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation 499-506 of 5 April 2015. To manage and preserve the monuments of the cultural heritage of the monastery the Conciliation Commission between the Vologda Diocese and the museum was established in 2011. The decision to create a new Conciliation Commission was adopted by the Protocol of 19 October 2018 between the Vologda Diocese and the museum-reserve with the participation of the Ministry of Culture of Russia. The Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery is in the federal property. It is under the supervision of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation. In accordance with the Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation 2005 the operative management of the Site is held by the Federal State Budget Institution of Culture «Kirillo-Belozersky Historical Architectural and Art Museum-Reserve». The general director of the museum-reserve is M.N. Sharomazov (Tel. 8 (81757) 3-17-35). The Department of Museums and External Relations of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation under the guidance of E.M. Kharlamova (Tel. (495) 629 10 10 ext. 1488) carries out the management of the museum regarding the basic activity including the state support providing and creating conditions for use, preservation and recharge of funds, organization and development activity of the museum. The Department of State Preservation of the Cultural Heritage of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation under the

guidance of R.A. Rybalo (Tel. (495) 629 10 10 ext. 1221) carries out the state control and supervision over the preservation of the Cultural Heritage Site of federal significance «Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery, the late 15th–17th centuries, the late 18th century, the 19th century, the early 20th century».

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1993 / Constitution of the Russian Federation / Constitution /
1996 / On the Museum Fund of the Russian Federation and Museums in the Russian Federation / Federal Law W 54-FL /
2002 / On the World Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of Peoples of the Russian Federation / Federal Law 73-FL /
2004 / Town Planning Code of the Russian Federation / 190-FL /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

The Management Plan of the World Heritage Site "Ensemble of the Ferapontov Monastery" was sent by the member-country to the World Heritage Centre in 2023

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					×
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women					×
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	The Russian O	rthodox church			

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	The Russian Orth	nodox church			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	70 %	70 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	20 %	20 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

 ${\bf No}\ {\bf use}$ has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	The Russian Orthodox Church

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

79844 / 73510 / 45681 / 82675 / 85619 /

411 / 169 / 137 / 1069 / 1137 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

66 / 26 / 13 / 16 / 13 / 13 /

- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

recreation centers

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

jobs

- 9.17 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property
- 10. Monitoring
- 10.1 Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in

monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Russian Orthodox Church

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

Management System/Management Plan			
No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×		
No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×		
Funding			
No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×		
Please select 0 more issues.			
e save this question to reflect changes			
	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property Funding No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property select 0 more issues.		

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local condi	tions affecting physical	fabric				
4.7.1	Wind	Criterion (iv). ttribute 3.2.3. The northern climate adversely affects a technical state of preservation of the exteriors of architectural monuments.	The program of reactive monitoring of the technical state of architectural monuments has been developed.	The monitoring of a state of foundations and blind areas, protective surface of facades, roofs and gutters, wooden fillings of windows and drainage system is carried out. The timely refuse collection and snow removal and the maintenance of the blind area are fulfilled.	The monitoring is carried out 4 times a year.	Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Architectural Art Museum-Reserve	The fulfillment of the regular control and monitoring of the monument, their main building elements, architectural forms and details facilitates to make an assessement of the current state and not to allow for structural changes which could impair
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion (iv). ttribute 3.2.3. The northern climate adversely affects a technical state of preservation of the exteriors of architectural monuments.	The program of reactive monitoring of the technical state of architectural monuments has been developed.	The monitoring of a state of foundations and blind areas, protective surface of facades, roofs and gutters, wooden fillings of windows and drainage system is carried out. The timely refuse collection and snow removal and the maintenance of the blind area are fulfilled.	The monitoring is carried out 4 times a year.	Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Architectural Art Museum-Reserve	The fulfillment of the regular control and monitoring of the monument, their main building elements, architectural forms and details facilitates to make an assessement of the current state and not to allow for structural changes which could impair
4.7.3	Temperature	Criterion (iv). ttribute 3.2.3. The northern climate adversely affects a technical state of preservation of the exteriors of architectural monuments.	The program of reactive monitoring of the technical state of architectural monuments has been developed.	The monitoring of a state of foundations and blind areas, protective surface of facades, roofs and gutters, wooden fillings of windows and drainage system is carried out. The timely refuse collection and snow removal and the maintenance of the blind area are fulfilled.	The monitoring is carried out 4 times a year.	Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Architectural Art Museum-Reserve	The fulfillment of the regular control and monitoring of the monument, their main building elements, architectural forms and details facilitates to make an assessement of the current state and not to allow for structural changes which could impair
4.10	Climate cha	nge and severe weathe	r events				
4.10.1	Storms	Criterion (iv). ttribute 3.2.3. The northern climate adversely affects a technical state of preservation of the exteriors of architectural monuments.	The program of preventive measures and comprehensive preventive maintenance of the constructions of the monuments and the territory of the Ferapontov Monastery has been developed		within the framework of this program, once after a storm	Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Architectural Art Museum-Reserve	the program includes the comprehensive measures to maintain the monument in proper condition after a storm
4.11	Sudden eco	ological or geological ev	ents				
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Criterion (iv). ttribute 3.2.3. The northern climate adversely affects a technical state of preservation of the exteriors of architectural monuments.	the Ensemble is equip with the modern syste to ensure the safety of monuments and the modern technical mea for round-the-clock protection of monume	observation of the Site with the hele modern security equipment	p of clock	Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Architectural Art Museum-Reserve	the program of measures to ensure the safety of the Site is being developed and implemented

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management Syste	em/Management Plan			
Actions		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involv	More info / comment
5.3.7 No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property		In compliance with the Policy Document on the Impact of Climate Change on World Heritage properties it is planned to conduct a study on the impact of climate change at the Site	2024 year	Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Architectural Art Museum-Reserve	the forecasting and management of the effects of climate change will be carried out in compliance with the planned study
5.3.9	been made of Strategy for Reducing Risks Heritage From Disasters planned at World Heritage Properties at the property		2024 year	Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Architectural Art Museum-Reserve	Natural disasters will be predicted and prevented at the World Heritage Site in accordance with the planned risk reduction program
6.1	Funding				
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	It is planned to develop a p of management of the Site basis of the World Heritage Capacity Building Strategy	on the	ar Kirillo-Belozerski State Historical Arch Art Museum-Reserve	The application of the World Heritage Strategy to build the capacity of the Site is planned from 2026, it will allow to achieve new successes in the development and management of the facility

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive

Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

All the works fulfilled in Dionisy's frescoes of 1502 in the Nativity Cathedral aim to the extension of opportunities to visit the unique monument for all the categories of visitors with the framework of maximum ensuring of its preservation with the priority of preventative conservation. In connection with it the monitoring system of temperature and humidity conditions has been developed and the measures demanding daily control of the state of the monument are carried out uninterruptedly. Monitoring of the state of the frescoes is held every year. The effective cooperation of the museum with the leading scientific restoration organizations of Russia has been built up. All the researches and projects under development went through a consideration and endorsement process at the federal state authorities of monument protection.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

UNESCO National Commission

Local communities

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

10 / 5 / 30 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
------------------------------	------

State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Poor
IUCN International	Poor

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Geographic information table

Reason for update: When the Site was inscribed on the WHList in 2000, there was a factual error when counting the Site's area and its buffer zone. The WHSite boundaries are as follows: an area of 1.36 ha, a buffer zone of 158 ha. The latest maps for the WHSite and its buffer zone in the form in which they were originally included in the WHList were sent to the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation (on January 24, 2023) in response to a letter from the WHCenter No. SLT/WHC/COM/22/161 of December 16, 2022

• Map(s)

Reason for update: The latest maps for the World Heritage Site and its buffer zone in the form in which they were originally included in the World Heritage List were sent to the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation (on January 24, 2023) in response to a letter from the World Heritage Center No. SLT/WHC/COM/22/161 of December 16, 2022

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.