

# My Son Sanctuary

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

My Son Sanctuary

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details


### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
My Son Sanctuary	15.774 / 108.109	142	920	1062	1999
Total (ha)		142	920	1062	

### Comment

The former area of both core and buffer zone is 1,062 ha, of which property is 142 ha, buffer zone is 920 ha. By 2008, according to the 2008 master plan, the total area of the core zone and the buffer zone was expanded to a total area of 1,158ha. In which, the core area is 32.46ha, the buffer zone is 1,125.54. The core area is narrowed, the core area is narrowed because, in the past, the core area was surrounded by all architectural groups, but after that, the relic areas were cleared and excavated and clearly identified each monument group. By the wall of the monument, the area has decreased compared to the original. Expand the buffer zone to serve the service activities and the area to welcome visitors.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map of Confirming the Protective Area of My So'n Relic	1998	

### Comment

The map currently saved from the previous Cycle 2 (27/07/2011) report is just a black and white map. We updated the color map to clarify the legend, clear delimitation of the property as inscribed, clearly labelled coordinate grid, appropriate typology and area of current status of the monument. The area of the core zone was changed. Also, the buffer zone area has been changed to expand the buffer zone to serve the service activities and the visitor reception area.

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Website: http://www.ourplaceworldheritage.com/custom.cfm?action=WHsite&whsiteid=949](http://www.ourplaceworldheritage.com/custom.cfm?action=WHsite&whsiteid=949) Website: [www.mysonsanctuary.com.vn](http://www.mysonsanctuary.com.vn) or [www.disanvanhoamyson.com.vn](http://www.disanvanhoamyson.com.vn) Facebook: [Di sản văn hóa thế giới My Son](#)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

## 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

No further comments

## 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

## 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

## 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

## 2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

No further comments

## 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

## 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

No further comments

## 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

## 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

There are no any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

During the 4th to 13th centuries there was a unique culture on the coast of contemporary Vietnam, owing its spiritual origins to the Hinduism of India. This is graphically illustrated by the remains of a series of impressive tower temples in a dramatic site that was the religious and political capital of the Champa Kingdom for most of its existence.

My Son Sanctuary dates from the 4th to the 13th centuries CE. The property is located in the mountainous border Duy Xuyen District of Quang Nam Province, in central Viet Nam. It is situated within an elevated geological basin surrounded by a ring of mountains, which provides the watershed for the sacred Thu Bon river. The source of the Thu Bon river is here and it flows past the monuments, out of the basin, and through the historic heartland of the Champa Kingdom, draining into the South China Sea at its mouth near the ancient port city of Hoi An. The location gives the sites its strategic significance as it is also easily defensible.

The tower temples were constructed over ten centuries of continuous development in what was the heart of the ancestral homeland of the ruling Dua Clan which unified the Cham clans and established the kingdom of *Champapura* (Sanskrit for City of the Cham people) in 192 CE. During the 4th to 13th centuries CE this unique culture, on the coast of contemporary Viet Nam, owed its spiritual origins to the Hinduism of the Indian sub-continent. Under this influence many temples were built to the Hindu divinities such as Krishna and Vishnu, but above all Shiva. Although Mahayan Buddhist penetrated the Cham culture, probably from the 4th century CE, and became strongly established in the north of the kingdom, Shivite Hinduism remained the established state religion.

The monuments of the My Son sanctuary are the most important constructions of the My Son civilization. The tower temples have a variety of architectural designs symbolizing the greatness and purity of Mount Meru, the mythical sacred mountain home of Hindu gods at the center of the universe, now symbolically reproduced on Earth in the mountainous homeland of the Cham people. They are constructed in fired brick with stone pillars and decorated with sandstone bas-reliefs depicting scenes from Hindu mythology. Their technological sophistication is evidence of Cham engineering skills while the elaborate iconography and symbolism of the tower-temples give insight into the content and evolution of Cham religious and political thought.

The My Son Sanctuary is a remarkable architectural ensemble that developed over a period of ten centuries. It presents a vivid picture of spiritual and political life in an important phase of the history of South-East Asia.

The monuments are unique and without equal in Southeast Asia.

**Criterion (ii):** The My Son Sanctuary is an exceptional example of cultural interchange, with an indigenous society adapting to external cultural influences, notably the Hindu art and architecture of the Indian sub-continent.

**Criterion (iii):** The Champa Kingdom was an important phenomenon in the political and cultural history of South – East Asia, vividly illustrated by the ruins of My Son.

##### Integrity

The Hindu tower temples of the My Son Sanctuary are located within a well-protected property with clearly defined boundaries. Eight groups of 71 standing monuments exist as well as extensive buried archaeology representing the complete historic sequence of construction of tower temples at the site, covering the entire period of the existence of the Champa Kingdom.

Conservation of the My Son monuments began in the early part of the 20th century CE soon after their discovery in modern times by French archaeologists. During World War II, the First Indo-China War and, especially, during the Second Indo-China War, many tower temples were damaged. However, conservation work has been carried out and the remaining tower temples have been maintained and are well-preserved.

The site is at risk from severe climatic conditions such as flooding and high humidity, though stream widening and clearance of surrounding vegetation have minimized these impacts. There remains an enduring issue of the possible presence of unidentified, unexploded ordnance within the boundaries of the property's buffer zone, which has affected the archaeological research of newly-discovered areas, restoration of eight monumental areas, as well as site presentation for visitors.

##### Authenticity

Our understanding of the authenticity of the My Son Sanctuary is underpinned by the work of Henry Parmentier in the early 20th century. Historically, investigation by archaeologists, historians, and other scholars in the 19th and early 20th century has recorded the significance of the site through its monuments, which are masterpieces of brick construction of the period, both in terms of the technology of their construction and because of their intricate carved-brick decorations. The location and the sacred nature of the site ensured that the monuments have remained intact within their original natural setting, although many have suffered some damage over the years. Conservation interventions under French and Polish expert guidance have been relatively minor and do not affect the overall level of authenticity of the site. The authenticity of My Son in terms of design, materials, workmanship, and setting continues to support its Outstanding Universal Value.

##### Protection and management requirements

The property was recognized as a National Site in 1979 by the Culture Ministry and as a Special National site in 2009 by the national government. All local and national authorities must act according to the provisions of the Cultural Heritage Law (2001 amended 2009).

Overall responsibility for the protection of the property rests with the Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism, operating through its Department of Preservation and Museology. This responsibility is devolved to the Quang Nam Provincial Department of Culture, Sport and Tourism which collaborates closely with the People's Committee of Duy Xuyen District, which has established My Son Management Board of Relics and Tourism. Account is taken of the special needs of the historic heritage in the Nation Plan for the Development of Tourism and in the General Plan for the Socio – Economic Development of Duy Xuyen District.

A strategy for the revision of the Conservation Master plan of My Son is being developed as part of the current UNESCO Asia- Pacific World Heritage site project for My Son, and should be integrated within an up to date Management Plan for the site.

After the unification of Viet Nam in 1975, conservation work began again in earnest and now the conservation of the property is of a high standard with both national and international teams working on site.

Although the Vietnamese authorities demined unexploded ordnance at four main monuments since 1975, this is progressing slowly and much de-mining work remains to be carried out.

To further the safeguarding of the property, the Prime-Minister of Viet Nam promulgated Decision 1915/ Q - TTg, which gave formal approval and provided budgetary support for the property's Master Plan (2008 to 2020) for the conservation and tourism promotion of the property.

The management of the forested areas surrounding the site needs to be improved to allow better environmental protection of the property. Detailed monitoring of these areas for the effects of extreme climatic conditions should continue to be addressed, and should be included in the future long-term management of the property.

With significantly increased numbers of tourists visiting the site, managing its carrying-capacity will be increasingly important and should also be addressed as part of a Management Plan as is required for the site.

It is essential to continue with the de-mining work to ensure the safety of people and to allow appropriate access and understanding of the monuments in their setting.

## Comment

Supplementary to the the second periodic reporting in 2011: Since the second periodic reporting in 2011 up to now, the conservation and restoration of architectural groups has been done very well, specifically, the restoration of the G tower group, the H group, the K gate and the A10 temple has been completed. A11, A8, E7 and enclosing walls, drainage system in group A. At the same time, archaeological and relic restoration work is always associated with mine disposal. Until now, the areas for visitors are safe. Implement measures to increase awareness of heritage protection, and landscape forests of heritage areas, with the motto of bringing benefits to communities and communities responsible for heritage protection. Completing the welcoming area for visitors to meet the ability to serve with a large number of guests. Improve infrastructure to improve customer service quality. Researching through ministry-level topic of flora and fauna in My Son Valley. Strengthen forest management and protection, care, multiply and plant new indigenous trees. Plants and animals is protected strictly. Decision No: 2223 / QD-UBND dated August 13, 2020 approving the Project to establish My Son cultural historical relic landscape protection area, Duy Phu commune, Duy Xuyen district, Quang Nam province.

## 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Architecture and sculpture My Son sanctuary is a place that focuses on expressing the most typical and quintessential values with unique architecture, impressive and sophisticated carvings, mysterious materials and construction techniques.	✗			
3.2.2	History of formation and development My Son temple and tower area has the longest history of formation and development, but perhaps in Southeast Asia, nowhere (in the 4th to the 13th centuries).	✗			
3.2.3	Hindu religious center The My Son temple complex is the most important Hindu center of the Champa kingdom.	✗			
3.2.4	Geography, landscape, biodiversity My Son is one of the few monuments that converge all necessary natural elements such as mountains, streams, natural landscapes and the diversity of rare wildlife and fauna. The scenery is both majestic, quiet, and profound, very suitable for the spiritual element of the temple tower.	✗			
3.2.5	Cultural exchange Each architectural style in My Son shows a distinct cultural identity but the process follows a typical process of continuation of the law. Influence cultural factors of India, China and neighboring countries such as Khmer, Dvaravati, Java. In the beginning pure India, then indigenous and finally integration.	✗			
3.2.6	Archaeological research This is the relic in the form of architectural - archaeological ruins that can be identified with the full range of current facilities.	✗			
3.2.7	No comments	✗			
3.2.8	No comments	✗			
3.2.9	No comments	✗			
3.2.10	No comments	✗			
3.2.11	No comments	✗			
3.2.12	No comments	✗			
3.2.13	No comments	✗			
3.2.14	No comments	✗			
3.2.15	No comments	✗			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

No further comments

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕			✕			
 Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

It is necessary to strengthen the system of supporting documents to support heritage illustration

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Traffic infrastructure at My Son Heritage site has been improving since the second periodic reporting 2011 to present is very positive.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕			✕		→	
 Negative							








4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing

Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant



Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant











Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments










#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------











	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

##### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------











	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

##### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------












	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

##### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant



✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments


### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside


✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):


- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant				 Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Fishing and wild animal traps are occasional but not remarkable.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside













✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕				

##### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside


✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):



- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments

### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant







Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							

 Negative 							
--	---	---	---	--	--	--	---

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No further comments

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕			📈
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕			📈
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕		➡	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕	📉		
➖ Negative							








#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕		➡	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The financial resources for conservation activities are still guaranteed, however, the covid-19 pandemic has greatly affected the financial resources devoted to heritage conservation.

































#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No comments

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
						
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production						
4.6 Physical resource extraction						
4.6.4 Water (extraction)						



4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
4.7.3 Temperature						
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
4.7.5 Dust						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
4.7.7 Pests						
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
4.10.2 Flooding						
4.10.3 Drought						
4.10.6 Temperature change						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						

4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	⊖		⚡	⊕		→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	⚡	⚡		↻	↗
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	⚡	⚡		↻	↗
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	⚡	⚡		↻	→
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	⚡	⚡	⊕	↻	↗
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	⚡		⊕	↻	↘
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	⚡	⚡		↻	→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	⚡	⚡	⊕		↗
Legend	⚡ Current	⚡ Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	⊕ Inside	↻ Outside

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors










#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	⚡		↻	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing





## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure		+	🔥		🔍		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities					

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant

	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	+	!		+		→

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production			
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>			
	Restricted		
	Localised		
	Extensive		
	Widespread		
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>			
	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going		
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>			





✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing






## 4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.4 Water (extraction)	+	!		+		→

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing






4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind							
							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity							
							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						











	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing

✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.4 Radiation/Light	 		
	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes




	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.5 Dust	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact









	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing

	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests	  		
	  		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes









✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms	  		
	  		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic





	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses					

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	

✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  		




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread






Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

## 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events






Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
---	--








	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drought						
						

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes






✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change						
						





#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact




	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition			
	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes





✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			
	 		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes





✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years






	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	  		

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	 	 	






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources






<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	  		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread



Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

##### 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

My Son sanctuary is inscribed on the list of the cultural historical monument in 1979 and the specialist national heritage in 2009.

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

##### 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Architecture and sculpture	✕			
4.18.1.2	Archaeological sites	✕			
4.18.1.3	Landscape, biodiversity	✕			
4.18.1.4	Religion centre	✕			
4.18.1.5	History of formation and development	✕			

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

##### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

##### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

##### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

My Son sanctuary is located in the heart of a valley protected by the surrounding natural mountains. Heritage boundaries are clear and buffer zones protected.

##### 5.2. Protective Measures

##### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

###### Comment

Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage 1972 Vietnam Heritage Law in 2001 (Revised 2009, 2013) Vietnam Law on forest protection and development 2004 Inscribed on the list of the cultural historical monument in 1979 and the special national monument in 2009 Master plan for the period of 2008-2020, is being adjusted and supplemented in 2008 Decree No. 109/2017 / ND-CP dated September 21, 2017 providing for the protection and management of World Cultural and Natural Heritage in Vietnam in 2017 The My Son Cultural Heritage Management Plan for the period of 2018-2020 with a vision to 2030 in 2019 Decision Establishing My Son historic relic landscape protection zone in 2020

##### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

##### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

##### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

##### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for

## **maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### **5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

### **5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

My Son heritage is protected by international conventions, laws, regulations, and domestic decisions from central government to local. The legal framework fully applies in heritage management, conservation and promotion. Includes strict protection of the core zone where archaeological sites and architectures are located, protecting the buffer zone of My Son heritage. The buffer zone is an area covered by hills, mountains, streams, and forests. Protect flora and fauna, biodiversity, indigenous flora and fauna. Monitoring, research and conservation activities are always carried out. Commitments in community development assistance. Specifically: In the conservation and restoration of architectural monuments and excavations to strictly comply with the Convention on the Protection of World Cultural and Natural Heritages in 1972, the Vietnam Law of Heritage 2001 (revised Complementary year 2009), master plan (2008-2020) (This master plan is being updated and revised), the My Son World Cultural Heritage Management Plan for the period of 2018-2020, with an orientation to year 2030 and provincial regulations. Activities to preserve and restore architectural monuments and archaeological excavations ensure the integrity, authenticity, and preserve the original element. In addition to the above legal framework, the buffer zone of the heritage is protected by the 2004 Law on Forest Protection and Development, the Decision on Establishing the My Son Historical Relic Landscape Protection Area in 2020. On this basis, to preserve the heritage landscape intact. For example, do not exploit forest resources, change the landscape, conserve flora and fauna, biodiversity. The master plan was approved by the Vietnam Prime Minister in 2008, in which the boundary and buffer zone are clearly defined by documents, authorities and people. Without any dispute or encroachment, the boundary is enough to ensure the protection of the heritage and its outstanding global value. To plan to conserve and promote the value of the My Son relic site with the strategic orientation of preserving the My Son relic site in a long-term sustainable manner. Preserving My Son heritage associated with promoting to contribute to heritage conservation and contribute to the socio-economic development of the locality.

### **5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

No further comments

## **5.3. Management System/Management Plan**

### **5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

No

### **5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

<input type="checkbox"/>	A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
<input type="checkbox"/>	Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups
<input type="checkbox"/>	A management plan
<input type="checkbox"/>	An annual work plan or business plan
<input type="checkbox"/>	A visitor/visitation management plan
<input type="checkbox"/>	An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property
<input type="checkbox"/>	A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage
<input type="checkbox"/>	No

### **5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

The People's Committee of Quang Nam province assigns the People's Committee of Duy Xuyen district to manage the My Son Heritage. Duy Xuyen district People's Committee established the Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage under Decision No. 541 / QD UB on December 15, 1995. Current functions and tasks: Manage, conserve, and promote sustainably My Son cultural heritage. To develop and preserve the ecosystem of special-use forests and landscapes of My Son heritage. The Management Board includes 01 director, 02 deputy directors and 07 specialized departments including: Administration Department, Museum Conservation Department, Tourguide department, Cham Folk Art Department, Research and Development Service Department, Transportation Team, Security and Security Division.

### **5.3.4 - Management Documents**

#### **Comment**

1. Convention on the Protection of Natural and Cultural Property 1972 2. Vietnam Law on Cultural Heritage 2001 (amended and supplemented 2009, 2013) 3. Master plan for the period 2008-2020, which is being adjusted and supplemented for the period of 2021- 2030 with a vision to 2045. 4. Decree No. 109/2017 / ND-CP dated September 21, 2017 providing for the protection and management of World Cultural and Natural Heritage in Vietnam. 5. Heritage management plan 2018-2020 with a vision to 2030 6. Vietnam Law on forest protection and development in 2004 7. Vietnam Law on Forestry 2017

### **5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

The policy for dealing with development proposals is **fully based** on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

### **5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

My Son heritage is located in the heart of the My Son valley, a valley inhabited by people today. The community are living only outside the heritage buffer zone.

Heritage is affected by elements such as climate change, however, monuments monitoring is carried out regularly and conservation measures are taken to minimize impacts.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is **fully based** on the agreed World Heritage policy

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Study on rainfall in Quang Nam area including My Son heritage area. Study on temperature, wind direction, and sunshine. Research on topography of heritage area. Research on organisms harmful to architectural works. The core zone and buffer zone include area I where the structures are located and the archaeological area and the buffer zone includes the mountainous area that is always under the supervision of the impacts of climate change. Interim of relics, monuments are often affected by floods and storms. The buffer zone is a mountainous forest affected by storms, landslides and drought in the dry season. The supervision of monuments and mountainous areas has always been focused. Every year, before the rainy season, there are always measures to prevent impacts such as urgent restoration of partial relics or at-risk relics. Excluding trees at risk of falling on the relic, clearing the flow to avoid stagnation causing flooding in the relic area. Watershed conservation and complementary planting of native trees.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

One of the risks, if any, will greatly affect the My Son heritage is forest fire. Most of the buffer zone of the heritage is forest, so fire prevention and preparation are always focused. Specific measures such as creating white and green banners, preventing people from entering the forest, preparing well for fire fighting in the event of a fire.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone		✗			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			✗		
5.3.15.5	Women			✗		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups			✗		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify	No				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					✗
5.3.16.4	Landowners		✗			
5.3.16.5	Women				✗	

5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	No				

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

My Son World Heritage has played an increasingly important role in the cultural, spiritual, socio-economic life of Duy Xuyen district in particular, and of Vietnam in general. Over the past years, the local community participates well in the restoration and preservation of monuments, benefiting people as well as sharing responsibility to preserve the heritage. Activities to support the community in vocational training, training programs on skills in tourism services, how to create tourism products. Also, Cham community participates in conservation activities, tourism services. However, in general, the development of tourism services in the local community is still limited, the people benefiting from tourism are not high. The Covid-19 epidemic has seriously affected tourism activities in the heritage. This leads to a reduction in community participation in conservation activities as well as tourism development.

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

The Covid-19 epidemic is having a great impact on financial resources to implement relic restoration and development investment plans.

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	80 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	13 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	6 %	91 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1 %	9 %

6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

No further comments

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Funding is needed to continue carrying out archaeological excavations, restoration and clearance of mines and ERW at the remaining war-buried relics.

#### 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	57 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	43 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

#### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

#### 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

Training and capacity building at this property is **fully based** on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

Based on the task of managing, preserving and promoting the value of My Son heritage to have a strategy to train human resources for the heritage. In which, to focus on professional training on management and conservation of monuments and flora and fauna in the buffer zone of the heritage. Also attaching importance to training local people to participate in heritage conservation and local tourism development. Heritage education with the youngest generation is in school done regularly. Training through the recruitment of trained personnel, advanced training, training through UNESCO training courses in Vietnam and the region and other specialized organizations. Form of self-training through short courses at My Son heritage. Collaborate with specialized agencies and national experts to provide training courses to improve professional and research capacity. However, it is necessary to continue with further advanced training to better meet the needs of heritage management and conservation.

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

New recruiting and advanced training suitable for specialties serving the management, conservation and promotion of heritage values. However, the Covid-19 pandemic affects the funding for training.

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects****7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

No further comments

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building****8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	No

## 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

## 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs
Other specific groups
No

## 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	No

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

No further comments

## 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

105053 / 423036 / 392070 / 355331 / 314820 /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
no

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0 / 0.51 / 0 / 6.5 / 0 / 0.37 USD/01 tourist (2019) /



9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage only counts visitor spending in the My Son valley area, where hotels and accommodations are not available. Guests wishing to stay overnight are only available outside the local community.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The number of visitors decreased significantly due to the Covid-19 epidemic

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

No

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected** and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

In order to develop sustainable tourism locally, the local community participate tourism by themselves with the support of the local government, Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and organizations. The tourism activities in the locality on the basis of ensuring factors such as environmental protection, respect and preservation of indigenous cultures. Local tourism associated with local economic and social development activities.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Economic and tourism benefits are firstly creating jobs for communities such as communities involved in the management, restoration and conservation of monuments, tour guides, performing arts technology, or in the hotel industry. Indirect jobs are created through other industries such as agriculture, food manufacturing, creative industries and retail. Local people also benefit through the road system and transportation services that allow for more transactions and better movement of goods and services. Tourism also contributes to preserving indigenous culture by taking pride in the cultural identity of the community, preserving and conserving. Tourism activities bring financial resources for heritage conservation, making the heritage better protected and preserved. Benefits from tourism activities also directly share and support community activities to develop local economy.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

No further comments

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✕

10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

From the second period report in 2011 up to now, the number of visitors increased by an average of 10% / year, management and professional personnel increased by 100%, Archaeological monuments and sites are monitored and better preserved, 5/10 architectural clusters were excavated and restored. Funding to contribute to the community increased by 200%. Many documents were passed to create good conditions in heritage conservation and tourism development. Translation Covid-19 causes the number of visitors to visit My Son heritage in 2020 to decrease by 75% compared to 2019.

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	No

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The World Heritage Committee recommended the clearance of mines and weapons since 2002. During the past time, clearance has been carried out in relic areas such as Area G, Zone H, Zone K, Area L, Zone A, group E and F. And will step by step carry out the rest (areas that are not yet accessible) such as L, M zones. conservation activities. Demining activities at My Son heritage must be associated with archaeological activities, but archeology is often associated with restoration work, so it is necessary to carefully, step by step follow international conventions as well as law and regulations in Vietnam. Another problem is the need for a large budget to carry out this work.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Monuments monitoring is doing well. At My Son heritage, in particular a good monitoring of the deterioration of architectural structures and archaeological sites. Good monitoring of the buffer zone to avoid the risk of forest fire and conserve biodiversity. Annual review to prioritize jobs.

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Not significant impact to World Heritage criteria and attributes. High humidity in winter affects the materials of architectural works, although not much, it reduces the quality of building materials and is a condition for plants to grow and damage	Build drainage systems, regularly clean the plants to damage monuments, clear streams for rapid drainage in winter. Restoration of the roof of the architecture to limit water seepage down to the wall body. Remove trees for ventilation.	Regular monitoring of monuments	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions, cleaning of vegetation in winter are more frequent than summer. Check and maintain the drainage system regularly, especially in the rainy season.	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage	No further comments
4.7.3	Temperature	Not significant impact to World Heritage criteria and attributes, however, the rising temperature affects the ecosystem as the heritage buffer zone.	To reduce the temperature in the dry season (summer), it is necessary to protect watershed forests, plant more native trees, and prevent forest fires.	Regular monitoring of monuments and heritage bufer zone.	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and other professional agencies.	No further comments
4.7.4		Radiation/Light					
4.7.6		Water (rain/water table)					
4.7.7		Pests					
4.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1	Storms	Not significant impact to World Heritage criteria and attributes, however, storms effect the heritage buffer zone is the forest.	Plant more native trees in the buffer zone that can withstand soil erosion and protection. Re-plant the affected area tree.	Regular monitoring of monuments and heritage bufer zone.	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and other professional agencies.	No further comments
4.10.2	Flooding	Not significant impact to World Heritage criteria and attributes	To reduce the flooding rainy season (winter), it is necessary to protect watershed forests, plant more native trees, and prevent forest fires.	Regular monitoring of monuments and heritage bufer zone.	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and other professional agencies.	No further comments
4.10.3	Drought	Not significant impact to World Heritage criteria and attributes	To reduce the drought in the dry season (summer), it is necessary to protect watershed forests, plant more native trees, and prevent forest fires.	Regular monitoring of monuments and heritage bufer zone.	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and other professional agencies.	No further comments
4.10.6		Temperature change					
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.4	Avalanche/Landslide	Landslide is not significant impact to World Heritage criteria and attributes. It only happened in the buffer zone, about 1km from the relic.	To reduce the landslide in the winter (rainy season), it is necessary to protect watershed forests, plant more native trees, and prevent forest fires.	Regular monitoring of monuments and heritage bufer zone.	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and other professional agencies.	No further comments

Question not completed

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Planting native trees in the buffer zone, planting watershed trees, preventing fire and preparing well for fire fighting	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and other professional agencies.	No further comments
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Call for domestic and international financial assistance	Frequent seasonal and periodic actions	Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage and other professional agencies.	No further comments

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

In spite of the present My Son heritage, in particular the architectural ruins and the buffer zone are forests. Architectural ruins are affected by natural factors such as rain, wind, storm, flood, and erosion by plants and animals. The forest has potential risks of fire, hunting and logging. But conservation efforts have minimized that impact.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive

Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	no

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

When My Son sanctuary was added to the list of world heritage since 1999, conservation work has received international and domestic attention. The legacy has had a very positive impact on the local community. Contribute greatly to the development of the community. Currently, however, Covid-19 is making a great impact on tourism activities in the heritage and local community.

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

For example, archaeological work and conservation of groups of monuments such as group E, F, G, H, K, A, L. Efforts to conserve relics within 10 years. Remarkably, 7/10 groups of relics were excavated archeology and restored. 4/7 groups were excavated and restored. Worth seeing as an example because these relic clusters are covered with time and war bombs. Monuments and artifacts were discovered, researched, displayed and introduced to the public. Having this result is a huge effort in terms of the My Son heritage.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The <i>World Heritage Convention</i>
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

## 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy
no

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The data used for the Periodic Report is true, data obtained from the Administration department of The Management Board for My Son Cultural Heritage. However, there has been a big change in the data since the Covid-19 translation occurred. For example, the number of visitors visiting My Son heritage has decreased dramatically, leading to a change in funding for conservation. The My Son Heritage Management Board must request financial support from the government to ensure that it is well maintained for heritage conservation.

## 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities
Indigenous people
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS International
ICOMOS national/regional
ICCROM International/regional
External experts
Donors
no

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance **is explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

280 / 280 / 100 /
-------------------

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No comments

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

#### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

Online training is enough

### 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Geographic information table**

Reason for update: The former area of both core and buffer zone is 1,062 ha, of which property is 142 ha, buffer zone is 920 ha. By 2008, according to the 2008 master plan, the total area of the core zone and the buffer zone was expanded to a total area of 1,158ha. In which, the core area is 32.46ha, the buffer zone is 1,125.54. The core area is narrowed, the core area is narrowed because, in the past, the core area was surrounded by all architectural groups, but after that, the relic areas were cleared and excavated and clearly identified each monument group. By the wall of the monument, the area has decreased compared to the original. Expand the buffer zone to serve the service activities and the area to welcome visitors.

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: The map currently saved from the previous Cycle 2 (27/07/2011) report is just a black and white map. We updated the color map to clarify the legend, clear delimitation of the property as inscribed, clearly labelled coordinate grid, appropriate typology and area of current status of the monument. The area of the core zone was changed. Also, the buffer zone area has been changed to expand the buffer zone to serve the service activities and the visitor reception area.

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: Supplementary to the the second periodic reporting in 2011: Since the second periodic reporting in 2011 up to now, the conservation and restoration of architectural groups has been done very well, specifically, the restoration of the G tower group, the H group, the K gate and the A10 temple has been completed. A11, A8, E7 and enclosing walls, drainage system in group A. At the same time, archaeological and relic restoration work is always associated with mine disposal. Until now, the areas for visitors are safe. Implement measures to increase awareness of heritage protection, and landscape forests of heritage areas, with the motto of bringing benefits to communities and communities responsible for heritage protection. Completing the welcoming area for visitors to meet the ability to serve with a large number of guests. Improve infrastructure to improve customer service quality. Researching through ministry-level topic of flora and fauna in My Son Valley. Strengthen forest management and protection, care, multiply and plant new indigenous trees. Plants and animals is protected strictly. Decision No: 2223 / QD-UBND dated August 13, 2020 approving the Project to establish My Son cultural historical relic landscape protection area, Duy Phu commune, Duy Xuyen district, Quang Nam province.

## 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

For the My Son heritage, periodic reports are needed to evaluate the management, conservation and development of the My Son heritage. Thereby seeing the responsibilities of domestic and international stakeholders. Application of international conventions as well as domestic laws and documents in the implementation of management, conservation and development. The information given by the My Son Heritage Management Board is honest and at the time of reporting.

### 15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.