

# Hoi An Ancient Town

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Hoi An Ancient Town

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details


### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Hoi An Ancient Town	15.883 / 108.333	30	280	310	1999
Total (ha)		30	280	310	

### Comment

Please update Buffer zone: changing from 280.0000 ha to 100.0000 ha. According to the map made by Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation in 2020 and sent to the Vietnam National Commission for UNESCO, the actual area of Buffer zone recognized in 1999 was incorrect due to the technical problem at that time. This is a small modification that does not affect the zoning of the property. The zoning of the property remains the same as when it was recognized.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Protection Plan for the Historic Town of HỒI AN	1998	

### Comment

Please update the new map was set up in 2020. The new map established in 2020 is still identical zoning and shape of the map in 1998, only with specific coordinates and accuracy of the actual area.

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 
2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

### 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

no comments

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands

of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?**

No

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

Collaborate with related parties in administrative management and promotion of Cham Island – Hoi An World Biosphere Reserve

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

Yes

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

Folklore performance art: The art of Bài Chòi in Central Vietnam

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

Not aware

## 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

Not aware

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

Hoi An Ancient town is located in Viet Nam's central Quang Nam Province, on the north bank near the mouth of the Thu Bon River. The inscribed property comprises 30 ha and it has a buffer zone of 280 ha. It is an exceptionally well-preserved example of a small-scale trading port active the 15th to 19th centuries which traded widely, both with the countries of Southeast and East Asia and with the rest of the world. Its decline in the later 19th century ensured that it has retained its traditional urban tissue to a remarkable degree.

The town reflects a fusion of indigenous and foreign cultures (principally Chinese and Japanese with later European influences) that combined to produce this unique survival.

The town comprises a well-preserved complex of 1,107 timber frame buildings, with brick or wooden walls, which include architectural monuments, commercial and domestic vernacular structures, notably an open market and a ferry quay, and religious buildings such as pagodas and family cult houses. The houses are tiled and the wooden components are carved with traditional motifs. They are arranged side-by-side in tight, unbroken rows along narrow pedestrian streets. There is also the fine wooden Japanese bridge, with a pagoda on it, dating from the 18th century. The original street plan, which developed as the town became a port, remains. It comprises a grid of streets with one axis parallel to the river and the other axis of streets and alleys set at right angles to it. Typically, the buildings front the streets for convenient customer access while the backs of the buildings open to the river allowing easy loading and off-loading of goods from boats.

The surviving wooden structures and street plan are original and intact and together present a traditional townscape of the 17th and 18th centuries, the survival of which is unique in the region. The town continues to this day to be occupied and function as a trading port and centre of commerce. The living heritage reflecting the diverse communities of the indigenous inhabitants of the town, as well as foreigners, has also been preserved and continues to be passed on. Hoi An Ancient Town remains an exceptionally well-preserved example of a Far Eastern port.

**Criterion (ii):** Hoi An is an outstanding material manifestation of the fusion of cultures over time in an international commercial port.

**Criterion (v):** Hoi An is an exceptionally well-preserved example of a traditional Asian trading port.

##### Integrity

Hoi An Ancient Town has retained its original form and function as an outstanding example of a well-preserved traditional South East Asian trading port and commercial centre. It remains complete as a homogenous complex of traditional wooden buildings, with the original organically developed street plan, within the town's original river/seacoast setting.

These original cultural and historic features demonstrate the town's outstanding universal value and are present, well-preserved, and evident within the boundary of the inscribed property, even while it continues to be occupied and function as a trading port, as well as a popular tourism destination. As a result of this economic stagnation since the 19<sup>th</sup> century, it has not suffered from development and there has not been pressure to replace the older wooden buildings with new ones in modern materials. This has ensured that the town has retained its traditional urban tissue and is preserved in a remarkably intact state.

##### Authenticity

Hoi An Ancient Town has retained its traditional wooden architecture and townscape in terms of plot size, materials, façade and roof line. Its original street plan, with buildings backing on to the river, with its infrastructure of quays, canals and bridges in its original setting, also remains. The historic landscape setting is also intact, consisting of a coastal environment of river, seashore, dunes and islands.

Because most of the buildings were constructed in wood it is necessary for them to be repaired at intervals, and so many buildings with basic structures from the 17th and 18th centuries were renewed in the 19th century, using traditional methods of repair. There is currently no pressure to replace older buildings with new ones in modern materials such as concrete and corrugated iron.

##### Protection and management requirements

Hoi An Ancient Town was classified as a National Cultural Heritage Site in 1985 and subsequently as a Special National Cultural Heritage Site under the Cultural Heritage Law of 2001 amended in 2009. The entire town is State property and is effectively protected by a number of relevant national laws and governmental decisions, such as: the Cultural Heritage Law (2001, amended 2009) and the Tourism Law (2005). The 1997 Hoi An Town Statute defines in regulations that are implemented by the Hoi An Center for Monuments Management and Preservation, the responsible agency of the People's Committee for the management of the property. Day-to-day management involves collaboration with various stakeholders, to maintain the authenticity and integrity of the property and to monitor socio-economic activities within and adjacent to the property. The capacity of the professional staff has been and continues to be developed by many domestic and international training courses. Revenue from entrance tickets is invested directly in the management, preservation and promotion of the property. Management and preservation are further strengthened through master planning and action plans at the local level. There are also regular restoration and conservation programmes.

Multi-disciplinary research conducted by teams of international and national scholars has informed the conservation and interpretation of the town's heritage. This research is on-going. Within the property boundary, the landscape, the townscape, the architecture and all material cultural artifacts are preserved.

A Management Plan was implemented at the time of nomination of the property, and is being kept up to date and reviewed as required by UNESCO to ensure that it remains effective.

The buffer zone is managed to protect the property from external threats. The potential adverse effects to the property caused by annual flooding and urbanization are being effectively controlled with the active participation of all authorities and the local community.

The Master Plan for the Hoi An Ancient town conservation, restoration and promotion together with the city and tourism development was approved by Prime Minister on 12 January 2012, covered the period until 2025.

Long-term management should aim to promote improvement in the living conditions for local residents. As tourism increases a strategy to manage it within the parameters of the site will be required. Strategies to deal with adverse effects of the climate are being developed and should be included in the Management Plan.

In the future, it is an aim to link the Hoi An Ancient Town with the adjacent UNESCO Cu Lao Cham Biosphere Reserve and to build Hoi An into a community integrating ecology, culture and tourism.

#### Comment

- Please update the Buffer zone area from 280.0000 ha to 100.0000 ha. - Protection and management requirements: Please update the new Law, Regulation, Plan on management and protection of the World Cultural Heritage Site - Hoi An Ancient Town; Update the name of Hoi An Center for Monuments Management and Preservation to Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation; Update the name of Cu Lao Cham Biosphere Reserve to Cu Lao Cham Biosphere Reserve - Hoi An.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Integrity	✕			
3.2.2	Authenticity	✕			
3.2.3					
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Integrity: Hoi An Ancient Town has retained its original form and function as an outstanding example of a well-preserved traditional South East Asian trading port. It remains complete as a homogenous complex of traditional wooden buildings, with the original organically developed street plan, within the town's original river/seacoast setting. Authenticity: Hoi An Ancient Town has retained its traditional wooden architecture and townscape in terms of plot size, materials, façade and roof line.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant







Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------






	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				↗
 Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting positively the property. These factors have distributed the local socio-economic development.










### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant










Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕			✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕			✕		→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕			✕			↗

#### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting positively the property. These factors have distributed the local socio-economic development.

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting positively the property. These factors have distributed the local socio-economic development.

#### 4.4. Pollution

##### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors in 4.4 are not relevant to the property.

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

##### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors in 4.5 are not relevant to the property.

### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors in 4.6 are not relevant to the property.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕		✕	✕				↗

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕		✕				↗

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕		✕				↗

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting negatively the property. Hoi An Relics are mostly made of wood. The wood has been rotted by relative humidity, destroyed by termites.

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside















✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕			↗

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside




✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
------------	--	--	--	--------------	--	--	--

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting positively the property for the local socio-economic development, other way negatively for changes in traditional ways of life.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors in 4.9 are not relevant to the property.

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	⚡ Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕		✕		✕			↗

#### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	⚡ Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕		✕		✕			↗

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	⚡ Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕		✕		✕			↗

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting negatively the property. These factors can destroy the relics of the property.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕	✕				

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors in 4.11 are not relevant to the property.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------










#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors in 4.12 are not relevant to the property.

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors








#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							










#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				↗
 Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------









	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):










- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
 Negative							










#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2011):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting positively the property for the management and preservation of heritage site.





































#### 4.14. Other factor(s)



























































































##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

There are not other factors affecting the property outside the list above

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table




Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
4.1.2 Commercial development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure						
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure						
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						

						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
4.7.7 Pests						
						
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
						
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
						
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
						
4.10.2 Flooding						
						
4.10.6 Temperature change						
						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
<b>Legend</b>  Current  Potential  Negative  Positive  Inside  Outside						




## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors


### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development




Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development						



Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources





Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure					

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	 		


<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources




<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing




### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity			
			



<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major



<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised

	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity

✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	⊖	🚩		🕒		↗

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>						
	Restricted					
✕	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>						
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
✕	On-going					
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>						
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
✕	Significant					
	Major					
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>						
	High capacity					
✕	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>						
	Decreasing					
	Static					
✕	Increasing					

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage






Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	🚩		🕒	🔄	→
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>						
	Restricted					

✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage			
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	




	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			
			  

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going




<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community			
			  

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted





	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			
			 

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

##### Impact - Impact on the attributes





	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

##### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources




##### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding			
			 

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor




✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework						

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread




#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes



	Insignificant
--	---------------

	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing






Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
X	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
X	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
X	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
X	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
X	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
X	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
X	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

##### 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

The property is not a serial inscription.

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

##### 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Integrity	✗			
4.18.1.2	Authenticity	✗			
4.18.1.3					
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

##### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

##### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

##### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

##### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

##### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

no comments

##### 5.2. Protective Measures

##### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

State Council Decree No 14 for "Protecting and using cultural-historical relics and landscapes" was promulgated in 1984. In the following year Hoi An ancient town was designated a National Cultural-Historical Site by Resolution 506/VH-QD of the Ministry of Culture and Information. This was followed successively by Statute 1796/QD-UB of the People's Committee of Quang Nam-Da Nang Province (1987) and Statute 161/QD-UB of the People's Committee of Hoi An Town (1997). These legislative instruments imposed strict controls over all actions that might have adverse impacts on the cultural and historical qualities of Hoi An.

The Law on Cultural Heritage was promulgated in 2001 and adjusted in 2009. The Statute 161/QD-UB of the People's Committee of Hoi An was adjusted in 2006 by the Statute 2337.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

##### Comment

The information in the Second cycle of Periodic Reporting expired, need to update the new Law, Decision for protection of the property.

##### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2020 / Regulation on protection of the World Cultural Heritage-Hoi An Ancient Town / Decision No.19/2020/QD-UBND dated December 18th, 2020 issued by Quang Nam Province People's Committee / 2010 /

Stipulation on detailed implementation of some articles of the Law on Cultural Heritage and its amendments/supplements / Decree No.98/2010/N -CP dated September 21st, 2010 by the Government / 2017 / Stipulation on the protection and management of world's cultural and natural heritage in Vietnam /

Decree No.109/2017/N -CP date September 21st, 2017 by the Government / 2019 / Regulation on management, protection and promotion of cultural and historic monuments and places of scenic beauty in Quang Nam province / Decision No.08/2019/Q -UBND dated May 02nd, 2019 /

2020 / Management Plan of the World Cultural Heritage Site-Hoi An Ancient Town for period 2020-2025, with the orientation towards 2030 / Decision No.351/Q -UBND dated February 11st, 2020 issued by Quang Nam province People's Committee /

**5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

**5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

**5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

**5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

Regulation of Protection of the World Cultural Heritage Site – Hoi An Ancient Town supports the management of restoration and construction in the core zone and buffer zone including height, materials, colours of buildings... There is a funding support mechanism contributing the restoration of privately-owned and collectively-owned relics every year.

**5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

The measures, legal framework taken are adequate for effective management and protection of the World Heritage property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and Integrity.

**5.3. Management System/Management Plan**

**5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**

Public management system at provincial/regional level

If 'Other', please specify

**5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

Alongside the community members, there are responsible parties at the state, provincial and local levels overseeing the conservation process in Hoi An.

**5.3.4 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

Management Plan of the World Cultural Heritage Site of Hoi An Ancient Town for the period 2020-2025, with the orientation towards 2030 under Decision No.351/Q -UBND dated February 11st, 2020 issued by Quang Nam province People's Committee

**5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

The architectural complex of Hoi An Ancient Town is considered as a "living heritage" because Hoi An people have been living their normal lives in the town, had a strong attachment with every architectural structure, the traditional cultural activities and living style. The preservation of heritage site is going together with the development of tourism and the increase of people's income. Hoi An Municipal Government has established a general orientation that considers the preservation as a basis and foundation for the local socio-economic development, ensure safeguards for the tangible and intangible heritage to well preserve and sustainably promote Hoi An Cultural Heritage Site. The preservation must go closely together with the benefits of the local communities and provide benefits to them. Hoi An has

implemented this principle through the mechanism of assisting people in renovation of the relics, facilitating all local residents to benefit from relics through activities, etc.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

The Plan of Risk Managemnet is building. Organizing the seminar “Focus Group on Adapting to a Changing Climate” aims to review the risks that a changing climate is posing to Hoi An World Heritage Site on the basis of recent consultations with community members and experts working on cultural heritage preservation.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Participate in disaster risk preparedness and management training under the professional guidance of ICOMOS experts and UNESCO Hanoi Office. Implementation of Natural disaster prevention Plan, flood and storm; Fire prevention Plan; Termite prevention Plan (annually).

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✗	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				✗	
5.3.15.5	Women			✗		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				✗	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✗	
5.3.16.5	Women					✗
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✗
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✗
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✗
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✗

5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

The management system of the property has not specifically mentioned gender equality factor, but it is based on human rights, everyone is equal, has the same obligations and rights.

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

no comments

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	20 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	95 %	80 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	5 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

Other sources: Sources of socialization contributed by the local people

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

no comments

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	45 %	20 %
6.1.6.2	Women	55 %	80 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Focusing on providing professional training for staff management, conservation and promotion of Hoi An cultural heritage annually; facilitating for staff to participate in professional training courses of UNESCO in Vietnam and region, attend workshops to learn from other heritage sites around the world. School heritage education is done regularly through the compilation of textbooks, organizing classes for students to visit the relics of Hoi An Ancient Town.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of

### skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, **but most technical work is carried out by external staff**

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

no comments

### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

#### 7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

#### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

#### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

no comments

### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

#### 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

#### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

#### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

no comments

### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

391960 / 2569795 / 2399771 / 1959328 / 1569053 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The source of information: Bureau of Statistics of Hoi An City

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

35 / 12 / 8 / 9 / 5 / 22 /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The source of information: Bureau of Statistics of Hoi An City

#### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Local government has been devising strategies for the management of tourists, tourism activities as well as its impact on the heritage. However, there are also a number of difficulties and shortcomings in issues such as limited human resources for tourism, the transport system and infrastructure for tourism and public facilities that have not been simultaneously improved. There are some negative issues in the tourism such as pestering tourists, robbery, environmental pollution, ...

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Local accommodation management system, Local tourism management system

#### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Restoring traditional craft villages, developing experiential travel, traditonal craft village tourism, creating more attractions, creating new jobs, attracting a source of young workers participate in preserving local traditional occupations such as Thanh Ha pottery village, Bay Mau Coconut Forest, Tra Que Vegetable Village, lantern making... Implementing the program "Tourism without plastic waste" combining green tourism product development, creating a safe and sustainable tourism.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The benefits of tourism are shared with local communities and facilitate local people to enhance their livelihoods and increase income.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

no comments

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

From 2011 to 2020, visitor numbers have increased about 5 times; restoring 240 buildings of privately-owned and collectively-owned relics; facilitating more 20 staff participating in training courses on cultural heritage management, preservation and promotion; Hoi An cultural heritage is not only perfectly preserved but also effectively promoted, becoming an important resource for the local economy, culture and society development.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair

NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is complete

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

When the World Heritage Committee made recommendations, local government has thoroughly adjusted the inadequacies.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

no comments

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan						
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property						✕
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property						✕
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property						✕
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality</li> </ul>						✕
6.1	Funding						
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property						
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property						✕
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff						✕
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building						
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs						✕
9	Visitor Management						
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation						✕
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made						
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made						✕

Please select 1 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

### 12. Summary and Conclusions

#### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

##### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.5		Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2	Relative humidity	High relative humidity should make wooden houses downgraded.	Periodic checking relics every quarter.	the current state and the downgrading status	every quarter	Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation	no comments

4.7.7	Pests	Pests (insects) harmed the wooden relics.	Periodic checking relics every quarter.	the current state and the downgrading status	every quarter	Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation coordinate with the company which has ability to inspect and handle harmful insects.	no comments
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	Micro-organisms harmed the relics	Periodic checking relics every quarter.	the current state and the downgrading status	every quarter	Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation	no comments
4.8	<b>Social/Cultural uses of heritage</b>						
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	A few ancient houses have been changed the owners which lead to the changes in traditional ways of lifestyle.	trying to control	the status of changes in the homeowners.	frequent	local government	no comments
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	In many cases, the historic owners lease the house for commercial purposes and move to peripheral areas to live. Thus, the houses are well conserved, but the functions of buildings and living environments have radically changed.	trying to control	the status of changes in the homeowners and their business.	frequent	local government	no comments
4.10	<b>Climate change and severe weather events</b>						
4.10.1	Storms	Storms are getting stronger and more difficult to predict	suggest a natural disaster prevention plan; building the Risk Management Plan	checking weather forecast, survey research on impacts of storm	annually	local government	no comments
4.10.2	Flooding	Floodings usually happen along with strong typhoons	suggest a natural disaster prevention plan; building the Risk Management Plan	checking weather forecast; survey research on impacts of flooding	annually	local government	no comments
4.10.6	Temperature change	Temperature change should make some negative impacts to the relics	Building the Risk Management Plan	survey research on impacts of Temperature change	annually	local government	no comments
4.11	<b>Sudden ecological or geological events</b>						
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Almost relics in the ancient town are wooden houses which have high fire risk.	Fire prevention project in the ancient town is being implemented.	checking Fire prevention system	every quarter	local government	no comments

Question not completed

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>				
		<b>Actions</b>	<b>Timeframe</b>	<b>Lead agency (and others involved)</b>	<b>More info / comment</b>
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Thoroughly adjusted the inadequacies.	After the World Heritage Committee made recommendations	Local government	no comments

5.3.7	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Building the Plan of Risk Management.	annually	local government	no comments
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Implementation of Natural disaster prevention Plan, flood and storm; Fire prevention Plan; Termite prevention Plan	annually	local government	no comments
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>In a limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to gender equality</b></li> </ul>	The management system of the property has not specifically mentioned gender equality factor, but it is based on human rights, everyone is equal, has the same obligations and rights.	annually	local government	no comments

6.1	<b>Funding</b>				
6.1.10	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Facilitating for staff to participate in professional training courses of UNESCO in Vietnam and abroad, attend workshops to learn from other heritage sites around the world.	annually	local government	no comments
6.1.12	<b>A site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, <b>but most technical work is carried out by external staff</b>	Local government built the Heritage Homeowner's Preservation Manual; manage and supervise during construction activities, but most technical work is carried out by workerman of the private company.	annually	local government	no comments

8	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>				
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth <b>but it only partly meets the needs</b>	Currently, School Heritage Education Programme has been applying to the students of primary school and secondary school.	annually	Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation coordinate with Hoi An Education and Training Department	no comments

9	<b>Visitor Management</b>				
9.7	There is <b>a strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	Establishing effective legislation, regulation and economic incentives to minimize the negative impacts of tourism on the local resources.	annually	local government	no comments

9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>				
------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Summary - Management Needs completed

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

#### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

no comments

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

no comments

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

About the protection: The People's Committee of Hoi An city promulgated Decision No. 3302 / QD-UBND date November 26th, 2020 on approving the Plan for Building Network of collaborators on heritage preservation and management to enhance coordination and assistance in the management, conservation and promotion of the value of the World Cultural Heritage-Hoi An Ancient Town on the basis of supplementing and adjusting the Plan for Building Network of collaborators on heritage management and conservation in 2011. In addition, Hoi An Municipality People's Committee also promulgated mechanism on supporting a budget for the protection of relics owned by the community and the State at the rate of 120,000 to 200,000 VND per month. About the management: Regarding the management of intangible cultural heritages, over the past years, the inventory, identity and preparation of documentation of intangible cultural heritages in Hoi An have been focused under Circular No.04/2010/TT-BVHTTDL dated June 30th, 2010 of the Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism. Currently, Hoi An city has 4 national intangible cultural heritages including Kim Bong carpentry, Thanh Chau bird's nest exploitation, Thanh Ha pottery (currently continuing to submit documents of the Mid-Autumn Festival).

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

Management

Governance

Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The *World Heritage Convention*

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

no comments

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been **given limited consideration** and implementation is in process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

3600 / 720 / 96 /

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

**Most** required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

no suggestion

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

no comments

**15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

- **Geographic information table**

Reason for update: Please update Buffer zone: changing from 280.0000 ha to 100.0000 ha. According to the map made by Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation in 2020 and sent to the Vietnam National Commission for UNESCO, the actual area of Buffer zone recognized in 1999 was incorrect due to the technical problem at that time. This is a small modification that does not affect the zoning of the property. The zoning of the property remains the same as when it was recognized.

- **Map(s)**

Reason for update: Please update the new map was set up in 2020. The new map established in 2020 is still identical zoning and shape of the map in 1998, only with specific coordinates and accuracy of the actual area.

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: - Please update the Buffer zone area from 280.0000 ha to 100.0000 ha. - Protection and management requirements: + Please update the new Law, Regulation, Plan on management and protection of the World Cultural Heritage Site - Hoi An Ancient Town; + Update the name of Hoi An Center for Monuments Management and Preservation to Hoi An Center for Cultural Heritage Management and Preservation; + Update the name of Cu Lao Cham Biosphere Reserve to Cu Lao Cham Biosphere Reserve - Hoi An.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

**15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

no comments

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**