

Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

| Name | Coordinates | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park | 17.347 / -62.837 | 15.37 | 0 | 15.37 | 1999 |
| Total (ha) | | 15.37 | 0 | 15.37 | |

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|---|------|---|
| Map showing the inscribed property: Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park | 1998 |  |

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- [Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park \(Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park Society\)](#)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

| | | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 2.1.1 | International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) | | × |
| 2.1.2 | List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) | | × |
| 2.1.3 | The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)) | | × |
| 2.1.4 | World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | | × |
| 2.1.5 | Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks | | × |

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 2.7.1 | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention) | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.5 | UNESCO Global Geoparks | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park is a remarkable example of European military engineering dating from the 17th and 18th centuries in a Caribbean context. Located on the Island of St. Christopher (St. Kitts) the country's largest island, the fortress was built to African slave labour to the exacting standards of the British military to protect the coastline from a sea attack and to provide a safe refuge for the island's citizens. The engineers, who designed the fort, made use of the natural

topography of this double-peaked, steep volcanic hill rising 230 metres.

St. Christopher (St. Kitts) as the first West Indian Island to be colonized by Europeans, specifically the French and English, was the scene of many battles in the struggle for dominance in this region. The earliest use of Brimstone Hill for European military purposes was in 1690 when the British installed a canon to drive out the French. The fortress evolved over the next century and served until 1853 when the British military abandoned it and dismantled many of the buildings.

The principal structures of the fortress are situated on different levels of the upper third of the hill and were constructed in dressed stone (basalt) blocks with a rubble core. Local limestone was used as a decorative element for quoins and for facing round doorways and embrasures. Quarries on the middle and lower slopes of the hill provided much of the stone. The heart of the fortress, Fort George also known as the Citadel, dominates one of the twin peaks. Completed towards the end of the 1700s, this is the earliest surviving example of the "Polygonal System" of fortress design. The entire site covers approximately 15 hectares surrounded by a 1.6 km (1 mile) buffer zone.

Criterion (iii): Brimstone Hill is an outstanding British fortress, built by slave labour to exact standards during a peak period of European colonial expansion in the Caribbean.

Criterion (iv): Because of its strategic layout and construction, Brimstone Hill Fortress is an exceptional and well preserved example of 17th and 18th century British military architecture.

Integrity

The property is defined by a boundary at base of the hill and as such includes all the various parts of the fortress. Although some buildings were demolished when the site was abandoned by the British in 1853, most of the significant structures dating from the close of the 18th century are intact and visible. Many others are in ruins and are stabilized. The various structures and infrastructure including bastions, barracks, cisterns, retaining walls, roadways and pathways occupying different levels, form part of an integrated complex which illustrate various periods of fortress design and construction and speak to the historical forces which led to its erection. No threats to integrity have been identified.

Authenticity

As a historic military defensive ensemble, the fortress possesses a high level of authenticity. Its strategic siting on a hill to protect the coastline is still evident. Stabilization, restoration and reconstruction projects, carried out since 1965, have involved the discreet use of modern materials, usually in combination with traditional materials. Portland cement has been used for the preparation of mortars, but mixed with lime in recommended proportions. New stone used in reconstructions has been worked according to traditional techniques. Where wood has been used for reconstructions and original timbers are unavailable, care has been taken to apply authentic dimensions and wood-working techniques.

In recent years some concessions have been made to contemporary technologies, in the interest of strength and durability and the overarching imperative of maintaining structural integrity. Interventions are however not apparent, and great attention is paid to authenticity of form and design.

Some original buildings have been reconstructed for tourist use such as the visitor's centre housed in the reconstructed Commissariat Building (opened in 1992). Other facilities such as the Prince of Wales Bastion Conference and Banquet Centre (1997) have been added to the site.

Protection and management requirements

The National Conservation and Environment Protection Act (1987) of St. Christopher and Nevis declared Brimstone Hill a National Park (hence protected) and gave the Brimstone Hill Fortress National Park Society (BHFNPS) administrative responsibility. The non-governmental BHFNPS is registered as a not-for-profit company with a Council of Management that includes elected representatives of its members and two Government appointees.

The General Manager for the site, appointed by the Council of the BHFNPS, is supported by an Operations Manager, a Park Manager and sixteen other members of staff. A local security firm provides two personnel every day. Experts in conservation and museum development are engaged as needs arise. The BHFNPS enjoys excellent relationships with the Tourism Authority and Ministry, the Hotel and Tourism Association, local tour operators and the St. Christopher National Trust. It also engages with the National Planning Authority, the Public Works Department and the Police.

Protection of the site is further assured by the National Physical Development Act (2000) which also defines a buffer zone of 1.6 km around the base of the hill. Monitoring of the site is an ongoing process. The Management Team, comprising the three managers and administrative supervisor, meets every three months.

A programme of stabilization and restoration is continuous. In fulfilling the responsibility of providing access to the site and information of the its value to all, some structures and spaces have been deployed to facilitate interpretation and provide visitor amenities. Others are used to present or illustrate their original functions. The gradual restoration of various buildings and development have been based on studies and plans such as a 1983 feasibility study supported by the US National Parks Service and two 1989 studies of the restoration of the site and its potential for tourist development.

An overall development plan is augmented from time to time by specific projects as presented by the General Manager and approved by Council, as well as by Recommendations of contracted experts. There are guidelines in place for disaster preparedness and mitigation. A more comprehensive Disaster Plan is contemplated.

There are two significant threats: one social, the other physical. In recent years crime has become a major social problem and Brimstone Hill, like other properties, is vulnerable to attack. Assault upon or injury to visitors could impact disastrously upon the viability of the BHFNPS which depends heavily upon visitation to the site. A strategic plan is being discussed with the local security forces.

Potentially, the most damaging impacts, not only in financial viability, but also on the National Park itself, can result from rock falls and land slippage. Much of the hillside is steep and rocky – including that section from the edge of the citadel overlooking the entrance/exit road below. Sustained heavy rainfall and severe earthquake could send earth or rocks tumbling below. Fortunately, that area is well sheltered and the thick vegetation affords some protection. The long term strategy would be to relocate the road. This would itself affect the integrity of the site. Meanwhile, the area is monitored periodically, especially after sustained heavy rains when, on occasion, the Park is closed to the public.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

| | Brief identification of attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|-------|-----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 3.2.1 | | | | | |
| 3.2.2 | | | | | |
| 3.2.3 | | | | | |
| 3.2.4 | | | | | |
| 3.2.5 | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3.2.6 | | | | | |
| 3.2.7 | | | | | |
| 3.2.8 | | | | | |
| 3.2.9 | | | | | |
| 3.2.10 | | | | | |
| 3.2.11 | | | | | |
| 3.2.12 | | | | | |
| 3.2.13 | | | | | |
| 3.2.14 | | | | | |
| 3.2.15 | | | | | |

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | ➡ | |
| 🔴 Negative | | | | | | | |

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The orientation Center has been in place for decades now, and is an important part of providing introductory visitor information regarding the geological origins of the site, its history and importance












4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | |  | |
|  Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | ✕ | |  | |

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside











| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The access road to the fortress affects positively as it is the only access road to the fortress. However, there is a possible threat of rocks which can fall from the cliff sides onto the road. We are currently researching, with the help of engineers, how this can be stabilized












4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | |  | |
|  Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | |  | |

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | → | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | → | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Fortress still uses the original system of water catchments and cisterns for its water supply, which services the bathrooms on the fortress. During dry season when there is no rain, the water supply may run out but we then have water trucked in to replenish the cisterns. Because of the strategic location and elevation of Brimstone Hill, it is ideal for the placement of communication towers and equipment which have been placed in areas where they are not obtrusively visible

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|------------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | ⇒ | |
| Negative ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | ⇒ | |

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | → | |
| ➖ Negative ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | → | |

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | → | |
| ➖ Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | | | → | |

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Relevant | | | | ✕ Not relevant | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Due to its elevation, winds are relatively high sometimes, but it adds a positive cooling effect, but can blow away visitor hats for example. Not erosive though. Rain provides the water supply for the site but runoff can be erosive, especially loosening rocks that may fall. Plants find their way, naturally, into the wall of the structures and can affect the stability of the stone work. These are cut, removed, controlled as best as possible

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
| Relevant | | | | ✕ Not relevant | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant










4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The site provides jobs for the populations near the fortress, hence a positive impact. Annual cultural concerts/festivals are held at the site which contribute to social outreach, education and entertainment.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | | |

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The annual Hurricane season always pose a threat. However, most of the structures are able to withstand these, as they have been doing for centuries, but can contribute to weakening of structures, ma down electrical and communication lines, etc. These storms may cause minimal flooding, since the physical structure of the hill will allow easy run-off, but this may affect the stability of the hill sides. Drought mostly affects the water supply, but the dry plants and grass may be prone to fires

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 🌐 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | ✕ | | → | |

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 🌐 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| Relevant | | | | ✕ Not relevant | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 🌐 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | | |

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| Relevant | | | | ✕ Not relevant | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 🌐 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Fortress sits right under a potentially active volcano, which has not erupted in perhaps thousands of years, so at present it is stable. The island experiences occasional earth tremors but no serious ones in recent years. These can affect built structures as well as natural structures, the latter can cause potential rock falls. During the dry season, there is the potential for wildfires occurring, but these are usually controlled.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant










| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Monkeys were introduced to the island back in the 17th/18th century and have gone feral. In most parts of the island they are considered agricultural pests. However, since there is no agriculture at the fortress, they are more of an attraction to tourists who love to see the monkeys.












4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |












4.13.2 - Legal framework

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | | | | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.3 - Governance

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|












| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | | | | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):












- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | | | | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |












4.13.5 - Financial resources

| | |
|---|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|---|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | | | | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.6 - Human resources

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|













| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | | | | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| | |
|--|--------------|
|  Relevant | Not relevant |
|--|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  | | | | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (27/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively


















4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table






| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.1 Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.3 Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.3.4 Localised utilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.3.5 Major linear utilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | |
| 4.7.1 Wind | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.7.7 Pests | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events | | | | | | |
| 4.10.1 Storms | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.10.2 Flooding | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.10.3 Drought | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| |  |  | | |  | |
| 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | | | |
| 4.11.1 Volcanic eruption | | | | | | |
| |  | |  | |  |  |
| 4.11.2 Earthquake | | | | | | |
| |  | |  | |  | |
| 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | | |
| 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) | | | | | | |
| |  | |  | |  | |
| 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species | | | | | | |
| 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13 Management and institutional factors | | | | | | |
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan |  |  | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.2 Legal framework |  |  | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.3 Governance |  |  | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.4 Management activities |  |  | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.5 Financial resources |  |  | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.6 Human resources |  |  | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities |  |  | |  | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Legend  Current  Potential  Negative  Positive  Inside  Outside | | | | | | |

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

| Name | | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---|------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities | |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | | |
|  | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |





| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | <div> <div>+</div> <div>🚧</div> <div></div> </div> <div> <div>-</div> <div></div> <div>🚧</div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div>🔄</div> </div> <div> <div></div> <div>🔄</div> </div> | <div> <div>→</div> </div> <div> <div>→</div> </div> |









| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |




| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure |   |  |  |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.3 Services Infrastructures





| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---|---|---|
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure |   |  |  |
| |   |  |  |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | |
| | Restricted | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | Trend |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| 4.3.4 Localised utilities |  |  | |  |  |
| | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.3.5 Major linear utilities |   |  |  |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |








| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------|---|---|---|
| 4.7.1 Wind |   |  |  |
| |   |  |  |








| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) | <div> <div>+</div> <div>⚠</div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div>↺</div> </div> | <div> <div>→</div> </div> |
| | <div> <div>-</div> <div>⚠</div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div>↺</div> </div> | <div> <div>→</div> </div> |




| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------|---|---|---|
| 4.7.7 Pests |   |  |  |
| |   | |  |




| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---|---|---|-------|
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses |   |  | |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|--|--|

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|-------|
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage |  |  | |  | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | High capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Medium capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Static |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Minor |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Significant |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | High capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Medium capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Static |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Increasing |

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events



| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 4.10.1 Storms | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Minor | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Significant | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | High capacity | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Static | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Increasing | | | | | | |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 4.10.2 Flooding | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Minor |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|----------------|---|---|--|--------|---|-------|
| 4.10.3 Drought | | | | | | |
| |  |  | | |  | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------|--------|--------|-------|
|------|--------|--------|-------|

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.11.1 Volcanic eruption | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going |

Impact - Impact on the attributes

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Minor |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Significant |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Major |

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | High capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Medium capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No capacity and / or resources |

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Static |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-------------------|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.11.2 Earthquake | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |




Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going |

Impact - Impact on the attributes

| | |
|--------------------------|---------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant |
|--------------------------|---------------|

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|-------|
| 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Minor | | | | | | |
| | Significant | | | | | | |
| | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | High capacity | | | | | | |
| | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Static | | | | | | |
| | Increasing | | | | | | |

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Restricted | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | |
| ✕ | One off or rare | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.13 Management and institutional factors

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|--------|---|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan | + | 🚩 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |



| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |


| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |



| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------|---|--------|-------|
| 4.13.2 Legal framework |   | | |
| | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------|---|--------|-------|
| 4.13.3 Governance |   | | |
| | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.13.4 Management activities |  |  | | | | |
| | | | | | | |


| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |



| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|----------------------------|---|--------|-------|
| 4.13.5 Financial resources |   | | |
| | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------|---|--------|-------|
| 4.13.6 Human resources |   | | |
| | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|-------|
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities |  |  | |  | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

| | Attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 4.18.1.1 | | | | | |
| 4.18.1.2 | | | | | |
| 4.18.1.3 | | | | | |
| 4.18.1.4 | | | | | |
| 4.18.1.5 | | | | | |

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone** and **does not need one**

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The 15.37ha of the Brimstone Hill Fortress is a National Park as defined in the National Conservation and Environment Act 1987.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has **no buffer zone**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Charitable management (e.g. by NGO)

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**5.3.4 - Management Documents****5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.****5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**The policy for dealing with climate change is **fully based** on the agreed World Heritage policy**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:****5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done****5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved****5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored****5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**An annual work/action plan **exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored****5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

| | | Not applicable | No mechanisms for participation | Some participation | Direct participation | Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes |
|----------|---|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| 5.3.15.1 | Local communities | | | × | | |
| 5.3.15.2 | Local authorities | | | × | | |
| 5.3.15.3 | Landowners in the property and the buffer zone | | | × | | |
| 5.3.15.4 | Indigenous peoples | × | | | | |
| 5.3.15.5 | Women | | | | × | |
| 5.3.15.6 | Other specific groups | × | | | | |
| | If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify | | | | | |

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

| | | Not applicable | Non-existent | Poor | Fair | Good |
|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 5.3.16.1 | Local communities | | | | × | |
| 5.3.16.2 | Local/Municipal authorities | | | | × | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|--|--|---|---|
| 5.3.16.3 | Indigenous peoples | × | | | | |
| 5.3.16.4 | Landowners | | | | × | |
| 5.3.16.5 | Women | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.6 | Youth/Children | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.7 | Researchers | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.8 | Local Visitors/Tourists | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.9 | National/International tourists | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.10 | Tourism Industry | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.11 | Local businesses and industries | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.12 | NGOs | | | | | × |
| 5.3.16.13 | Other specific groups | × | | | | |
| | If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify | | | | | |

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

| | | Not applicable | No contribution | Limited | Significant | Full achievement |
|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| 5.3.17.1 | The management system of the property contributes to gender equality | | | | × | |
| 5.3.17.2 | The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) | × | | | | |
| 5.3.17.3 | The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status | | | | × | |
| 5.3.17.4 | The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach | | | | × | |
| 5.3.17.5 | The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood | | | | × | |
| 5.3.17.6 | The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property | | | | × | |

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

| | | Project costs | Running costs |
|----------|--|---------------|---------------|
| 6.1.1.1 | Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.2 | Bilateral international funding | % | % |
| 6.1.1.3 | World Heritage Fund (International Assistance) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.4 | Contribution from other conventions and programmes | % | % |
| 6.1.1.5 | International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.6 | Governmental (national/federal) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.7 | Governmental (regional/provincial/state) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.8 | Governmental (local/municipal) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.9 | In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.10 | Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.) | % | 70 % |
| 6.1.1.11 | Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.) | % | % |
| 6.1.1.12 | Other | % | 30 % |

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The major source of operating income is from visitor entrance fees. When the COVID-19 pandemic struck in 2020, this source was cut off completely as cruise ships and air traffic was suspended. These were reactivated in a limited/restricted way near the end of 2021, and just as things were picking up, the official tourist on-season ended April 2022. The outlook for visitor traffic for the period May 2022 - October 2022 is uncertain and at best, bleak, adversely affecting our funding capabilities

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

| | | From local communities % | From elsewhere % |
|---------|-------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 6.1.6.1 | Men | 54 % | % |
| 6.1.6.2 | Women | 46 % | % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 0 % |

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|--|------|
| Conservation | Fair |
| Environmental sustainability | Fair |
| Community participation and inclusion | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Capacity development and education | Fair |
| Administration | Fair |
| Research and monitoring | Fair |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion | Fair |
| Interpretation | Fair |
| Visitor management/tourism | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|--|------|
| Conservation | Fair |
| Environmental sustainability | Fair |
| Community participation and inclusion | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Capacity development and education | Fair |
| Administration | Fair |
| Research and monitoring | Fair |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion | Fair |
| Interpretation | Fair |
| Visitor management/tourism | Fair |

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The staffing of the Property was reduced by about 60% when the Pandemic struck. Now that the tourist industry has resumed, it is still not yet up to capacity, so only a skeletal staff is currently engaged handle the current needs. The impending off-season may return us to negative income for the next 6 months at least, therefore it is not recommended that we do any further increase in staffing.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building****8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities | Good |
| Local/municipal authorities | Good |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Good |
| Women | Good |
| Youth/children | Good |
| Researchers | Good |
| Local visitors | Good |
| National/international tourists | Good |
| Tourism industry | Good |
| Local businesses and industries | Good |
| NGOs | Good |
| Other specific groups | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe | |

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

| |
|---------------------------------|
| Local communities |
| Youth/children |
| Local Visitors |
| National/international tourists |

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

| | |
|--|------------|
| Visitor centre | Good |
| Site museum | Good |
| Information booths | Not needed |
| Guided tours | Fair |
| Trails/routes | Not needed |
| Printed information materials | Good |
| Online (website, social media, etc.) | Good |
| Transportation facilities | Not needed |
| Other | Not needed |
| If 'Other' is selected, please specify | |

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

121 / 57,727 / 75950 / 80321 / 77346 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The visitor numbers are given for the organization's financial year of May to April annually

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Not Applicable / Independent contractor / Provided by taxi and tour operators / 8.00 / not applicable / independent contractor /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed** and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to **present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a **substantial contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

By the Ministry of Tourism

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Persons are occupied at all levels of the industry

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

| | Extend of indicators | Not applicable | No indicators | Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use | Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle |
|--------|--|----------------|---------------|---|--|
| 10.3.1 | State of conservation | | | | × |
| 10.3.2 | Effectiveness of the management system | | | | × |
| 10.3.3 | Character of governance | | | | × |
| 10.3.4 | Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations | | | | × |
| 10.3.5 | Contribution to sustainable development | | | | × |
| 10.3.6 | Capacity development | | | | × |

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff | Good |
| Local/municipal authorities | Not applicable |
| Local communities | Not applicable |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Not applicable |
| Women | Not applicable |
| Researchers | Not applicable |
| Tourism industry | Fair |
| Local businesses and industry | Not applicable |
| NGOs | Fair |
| Other specific groups | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify | |

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 5.1 | Boundaries and Buffer Zones | |
| 5.1.2 | The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners | ✕ |
| 5.1.3 | The property has no buffer zone | ✕ |
| 5.1.4 | The property has no known and recognised buffer zone | ✕ |
| 5.2 | Protective Measures | |
| 5.2.4 | The property has no buffer zone | ✕ |
| 5.3 | Management System/Management Plan | |
| 5.3.11 | There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved | ✕ |
| 6.1 | Funding | |
| 6.1.4 | Existing sources of funding are not secure | ✕ |
| 6.1.7 | Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property | ✕ |
| 6.1.10 | No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property | ✕ |
| 8 | Education, Information and Awareness Building | |
| 8.2 | There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs | ✕ |

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| | | | | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|---|---|----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| 4.2 | Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | | |
| 4.2.1 | Ground transport infrastructure | Ground transportation to and from the property are not provided by the property, but by independent taxi and tour operators | Not applicable | Not applicable | not applivable | Not applicable | See Criteria | |
| 4.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | | | |
| 4.3.1 | Water infrastructure | The services of food and beverage and souvenirs and gifts are provided by independent operators | Not applicable | Not applicable | Not applicable | Not applicable | See Criteria | |
| 4.7 | Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | | |
| 4.7.1 | Wind | | | | | | | |
| 4.7.6 | Water (rain/water table) | The property is reliant of rain for the collection of water | Water is stored in cisterns, the original authentic cisterns from the original fort | The cisterns are monitored to ensure water levels are adequate and when low, water is trucked in from outside | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| 4.10 | Climate change and severe weather events | | | | | | | |
| 4.10.1 | Storms | | | | | | | |
| 4.10.2 | Flooding | | | | | | | |
| 4.10.3 | Drought | Climate change and weather events are regular occurrences | Necessary precautions taken as applicable and possible | Ongoing | N/A | N/A | N/a | |
| 4.11 | Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------|---|---|---------|---------|-----|-----|
| 4.11.2 | Earthquake | Built infrastructure can be affected as well as cliff sides | research being done to determine what actions can be taken to mitigate the effect on cliff sides. | Ongoing | 2 years | N/a | N/a |
| 4.11.4 | Avalanche/Landslide | | | | | | |
| 4.11.6 | Fire (wildfire) | The flanks of the Hill are subject to wildfires during dry seasons. | Once a fire is detected on the slopes, it is monitored as it approaches. Vegetation gaps may be opened as necessary | Ongoing | Ongoing | N/a | N/A |

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

| | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|
| 5.1 | Boundaries and Buffer Zones | | | | |
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
| 5.1.2 | The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners | The general boundaries are known but the specific surveyed boundaries have not been shared | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5.1.3 | The property has no buffer zone | The area is small (as is the island). There are and have always been agricultural lands surrounding the property, along with residential (villages and towns) and business areas. The sea is only a few hundred yards beyond the property boundaries. | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5.1.4 | The property has no known and recognised buffer zone | Not as far as we know | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 5.2 | | Protective Measures | | | |
| 5.2.4 | | The property has no buffer zone | See above | N/A | N/A |
| 5.3 | | Management System/Management Plan | | | |
| 5.3.11 | | There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved | There is always room for improvement | Ongoing | N/A |
| 6.1 | | Funding | | | |
| 6.1.4 | | Existing sources of funding are not secure | Our main source of funding is by visitor fees. The COVID-19 Pandemic reduced this to almost zero and we have been slowly trying to get back yo normal during the last 5-6 months, but the prospects for the off-season are very bleak | N/A | N/A |
| 6.1.7 | | Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property | Because of the pandemic, over 60% of the staff had to be laid off to reduce the level of losses to continue to run and maintain the operation. It is therefore still being operated at a skeletal staffing level, which is adequate for the time being | Ongoing | N/A |

| | | | | | |
|--------|---|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 6.1.10 | No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property | Not aware of this strategy | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|--------|---|----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|

| | | | | | |
|-----|--|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 8 | Education, Information and Awareness Building | | | | |
| 8.2 | There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs | With limited staffing and financial resources this is in abeyance | N/A | N/A | N/A |

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Conservation | Positive |
| Research and monitoring | Positive |
| Management effectiveness | Positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | Positive |
| Recognition | Positive |
| Education | Positive |
| Infrastructure development | No impact |
| Funding for the property | No impact |
| International cooperation | Positive |
| Political support for conservation | Positive |
| Legal/Policy framework | Positive |
| Advocacy | Positive |
| Institutional coordination | No impact |
| Security | Positive |
| Gender equality | Positive |
| Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities | Positive |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status | Positive |
| Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood | No impact |
| Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties | No impact |
| Other | Not applicable |
| If 'Other', please specify | |

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

| |
|-----------------------|
| State of Conservation |
| Management |
| Governance |
| Capacity Building |

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

| |
|--|
| The <i>World Heritage Convention</i> |
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value |
| The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity |
| The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity |
| Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting |

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|--|------|
| State Party | Fair |
| Site Managers | Good |
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Fair |
| Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM) | Fair |

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

| |
|--|
| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
| Update of management plans |
| Fundraising |
| Awareness raising |

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

| |
|--|
| Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff |
|--|

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

Didn't record time / Didn't record time / Didn't record time /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

| | Additional resources | No | Yes |
|----------|--|----|-----|
| 15.3.5.1 | Human resources | | × |
| 15.3.5.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training | × | |

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report**15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

| | | Very Difficult | Difficult | Easy | Very easy |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| 15.4.2.1 | Ease of use of questionnaire | | | × | |
| 15.4.2.2 | Clarity of questions | | | × | |

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**15.5. Training and Guidance****15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Fair |
| UNESCO (other sectors/field offices) | Fair |
| UNESCO National Commission | Fair |
| ICOMOS International | Fair |
| IUCN International | Fair |
| ICCROM international/regional | Fair |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Fair |
| IUCN national/regional | Fair |

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|---|------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Fair |
| State Party Representative (national Focal Point) | Fair |
| UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office) | Fair |
| National Commission for UNESCO | Fair |
| ICOMOS International | Fair |
| ICCROM International/regional | Fair |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Fair |
| IUCN national/regional | Fair |
| IUCN International | Fair |

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.