

University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares	40.481 / -3.368	0	0	0	1998
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares - map of the inscribed property	1997	
University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares - map of inscribed property	2015	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Universidad de Alcalá \(spanish only\)](#)
2. [Ciudades Patrimonio de la Humanidad de España](#)
3. [Alcalá de Henares \(In Spanish only\)](#)
4. [Patrimonio de la Humanidad en España \(in Spanish only\)](#)
5. [Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte \(in Spanish\)](#)

Comment

Up-to-date URLs: <https://www.ourplaceworldheritage.com> <https://www.ciudadespatrimonio.org> <https://www.uah.es> <https://www.turismoalcala.es> Eliminate website n°5 <https://www.culturaydeporte.gob.es/portada.html> Add the following websites: <https://www.ayto-alcaladehenares.es> <https://www.consorcioalcaladehenares.org>

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands

of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares is located in the Autonomous Community of Madrid, 30 km from the capital city of Madrid. The property covers an area of 79 ha and includes a magnificent complex of historic buildings, such as the exceptional Colegio Mayor de San Idelfonso or the Monastery of St Bernard. The University Precinct begins at the Plaza Cervantes (the former Plaza Mayor) and extends to the east of the medieval city. It was enclosed by demolishing part of the earlier medieval walls and prolonging them around the new urban development. The layout is based on humanist planning principles, with two main axes and a central place (nowadays Plaza de San Diego) where the main University buildings are located. The walled medieval precinct has the Iglesia Magistral (Cathedral) at its core, from which the street network radiates, merging into the former Jewish and Arab quarters. To the north-west is the ecclesiastical precinct, surrounded by its own walls; at its heart is the Archbishop's Palace. Within the historic centre there are several protected buildings under the Spanish legislation.

The city has its origins in the Roman town of Complutum. It expanded during the Middle Ages and flourished in the 16th century thanks to the foundation of the University. The concept of this city, its planning and provisions, belong to the project designed by the University's founder, Cardinal Cisneros. He had bought land in the east of the medieval city with the aim of providing the necessary infrastructures to carry out his university project, a project that included colleges, halls of residence, hospitals and printers, all of which contributed to the University of Alcalá's outstanding intellectual achievement for hundreds of years. Juxtaposed with the medieval town, this new city was converted into an exceptional model that embodied the Augustinian model of the City of God, as well as to the way it was planned and the buildings it was endowed with. The dream of the *Civitas Dei* became a reality, reaching the highest levels of intellectual achievement of the era in the sciences, language and literature, personified by its most illustrious son, Miguel de Cervantes through his universal work '*Don Quixote*'.

Alcalá de Henares was designed with the strict purpose of being the seat of a university. It was the first city of this kind in history and it became a University City model for the Americas and Europe. Alcalá exported its prestige and its form of organization: a microcosm where religious orders, the town citizens, the academic world, education and knowledge all lived together. It is also a unique example of the architecture pertaining to the House of Austria, characteristic in the centre of Spain during the Baroque period.

Criterion (ii): Alcalá de Henares was the first city to be designed and built solely as the seat of a university, and was to serve as the model for other centres of learning in Europe and the Americas.

Criterion (iv): The concept of the ideal city, the City of God (*Civitas Dei*), was first given material expression in Alcalá de Henares, from where it was widely diffused throughout the world.

Criterion (vi): The contribution of Alcalá de Henares to the intellectual development of humankind finds expression in its materialization of the *Civitas Dei*, in the advances in linguistics that took place there, not least in the definition of the Spanish language, and through the work of its great son, Miguel de Cervantes Saavedra and his masterpiece *Don Quixote*.

Integrity

The University and Historic Precinct of Alcalá de Henares have maintained the values that made Alcalá a creation to be imitated for centuries.

The grid-like urban layout and design of the university, the medieval city street networks with its Calle Mayor as the main artery, as well as the Baroque archways, are all in an exemplary state of conservation. More importantly, most of the buildings that were constructed when the university was created have maintained or recovered their original uses, whether academic, religious, civil or residential.

The property appropriately reflects the importance of the creation of the first planned University City in history and the representation of the *Civitas Dei* in the Baroque period. In addition, the precinct has a total of 785 buildings of which 465 are protected within the urban plan, that is to say, 60% of the buildings are listed and are declared to be of historic interest.

Therefore, in spite of the closure of the University between 1836 and 1976, the damage suffered during the Civil War, and the lack of protection during part of the 20th century until it was declared by the Spanish state to be of Historic Value in 1968, Alcalá has conserved the integrity of the precinct as a whole.

Authenticity

In spite of the many vicissitudes that it has undergone in the past 160 years the property has retained a substantial degree of authenticity in its urban fabric and in many of its historic buildings, including representative institutional buildings as well as protected residential buildings, authenticity has been retained as far as materials and form are concerned.

When the University was closed in 1836, most of its buildings were used for different purposes such as barracks, prisons and administrative offices. However, as they were used and occupied continuously over the years, these buildings were conserved without suffering major alteration.

The legal protection of the property and the re-opening of the University also triggered an intensive process of recuperation that allowed for the recovery of its authenticity of function after a century and a half. Most of the buildings of historic significance are once again being used to house the academic institutions, for which they were originally built. In addition, the city's convents are still being used for religious purposes, as are certain welfare institutions.

Protection and management requirements

Alcalá's historic city centre has the highest degree of legal protection since it was declared to be of Historic Value in 1968. Both the 1985 Ley de Patrimonio Histórico Español (the Spanish State's Heritage Law), as well as the 1998 Ley de Patrimonio Histórico de la Comunidad de Madrid (the Autonomous Community of Madrid's Heritage Law), protect the historic precinct as a whole, as well as individual buildings that have special listings.

Additionally in 1984, the city council established protection regulations that were complemented in 1991 by the Plan General de Ordenación Urbana (Town Planning Act) and which culminated in the Plan Especial de Protección del Casco Histórico (Special Protection Act for the Historic City Centre) passed in 1998.

The Plan Especial lays down regulations regarding works of consolidation, restoration, refurbishment and re-structuring according to the level of protection of the building: monumental, comprehensive, structural and environmental, and, in each case, the type of construction and materials of the building must be respected. In addition, the regulations regarding the construction of new buildings depend on the historic constructions that are to be recovered, and restrictions regarding height, the given use of the building and aesthetic conditions as well as the position of advertising and other installations on the constructions. Provisions are also included to carry out a methodical archaeological study of every intervention in the historic precinct.

For the financing of interventions in the historic precinct, Alcalá has a Consortium constituted by the City Council, the University and the Autonomous Community of Madrid. It also has its own financial resources as well as those that come from regional administrations and European funds.

The Management Plan for the Historic Precinct will also take into consideration aspects regarding mobility, tourism, amenities, infrastructures and the urban pattern, integrating interventions that have already been carried out. The regulation of these actions aims to prevent the potential threats posed by urban mobility,

overexploitation and the depopulation of the historic precinct. Project proposals are set according to an investment scheme and will be monitored through a programme based on a precise group of indicators. In addition there is a plan to reform many of the inappropriate constructions built in the 1970s.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Historic urbanism, which evolved from the medieval city street network with Calle Mayor as the main artery, to the grid-like urban layout of the university, designed to materialise the concept of the ideal city, the City of God (Civitas Dei).	X			
3.2.2	Complex of historic buildings related to the University, founded in 1499, such as the Colegio Mayor de San Idelfonso or the Monastery of Saint Bernard.	X			
3.2.3	Religious architecture, in particular the Iglesia Magistral (Cathedral) and the ecclesiastical precinct, surrounded by its own walls, with the Archbishop's Palace at its core.	X			
3.2.4	Cultural and intellectual achievements that took place in the city; birthplace of Miguel de Cervantes, author of 'Don Quixote'.	X			
3.2.5	The property has retained a substantial degree of authenticity in its urban fabric and in many of its historic buildings, including representative institutional buildings as well as protected residential buildings.	X			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant					
Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative X	X		X	X		➡	

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✗	✗	✗		✗			

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✘ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing

Positive							
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.13.2 - Legal framework

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative							
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

4.13.5 - Financial resources

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.13.6 - Human resources

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.1 Housing					
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities					
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure					
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure					
4.3 Services Infrastructures					

4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities							
	⊖		⚠	⊕	↺	↻	↗
4.3.5 Major linear utilities							
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕			↗
4.4 Pollution							
4.4.4 Air pollution							
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↺		↗
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)							
	⊖	⚠		⊕	↺		→
4.7.7 Pests							
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕			→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage							
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	⚠		⊕			→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage							
	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊕	↺		↗
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community							
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↺		↗
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation							
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕			↗
4.9 Other human activities							
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage							
	⊖	⚠	⚠				↗
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events							
4.10.1 Storms							
	⊖		⚠	⊕	↺		→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events							
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)							
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕			→
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species							
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species							
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕			↗
4.13 Management and institutional factors							
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan							
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↺		↗
4.13.2 Legal framework							
	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊕	↺		→
4.13.3 Governance							
	⊖	⚠		⊕			→
4.13.4 Management activities							
	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊕			→
4.13.5 Financial resources							

4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development






Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread



	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✘	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✘	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities			
	-	🔊	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact








<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor



	Significant
✘	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution			
	  	 	 

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✘	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✘	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			
	 	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic
- Frequent
- On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes





- Insignificant
- Minor
- Significant
- Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

- High capacity
- Medium capacity
- Low capacity
- No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

- Decreasing
- Static
- Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests			
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





- Restricted
- Localised
- Extensive
- Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

- One off or rare
- Intermittent or sporadic






	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✘	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✘	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
X	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
X	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes






	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

X	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	  	 	





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
X	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic




✘	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing

	Static
✘	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage			
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✘	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✘	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major






Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✘	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events






Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			
	    		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✘	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing







4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			
		<input type="checkbox"/>	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major







Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years






<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan			
			
			





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✘	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✘	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✘	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✘	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance			
			
			


Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
	Significant
	Major






Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted

	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing




Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity

	Medium capacity
✘	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✘	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✘	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes






	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Historic urbanism, which evolved from the medieval city street network with Calle Mayor as the main artery, to the grid-like urban layout of the university, designed to materialise the concept of the ideal city, the City of God (Civitas Dei).	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.2	Complex of historic buildings related to the University, founded in 1499, such as the Colegio Mayor de San Idelfonso or the Monastery of Saint Bernard.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.3	Religious architecture, in particular the Iglesia Magistral (Cathedral) and the ecclesiastical precinct, surrounded by its own walls, with the Archbishop's Palace at its core.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.4	Cultural and intellectual achievements that took place in the city; birthplace of Miguel de Cervantes, author of 'Don Quixote'.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.5	The property has retained a substantial degree of authenticity in its urban fabric and in many of its historic buildings, including representative institutional buildings as well as protected residential buildings.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The property is protected by national and regional legislation. The City of Alcalá de Henares is a National Historic Ensemble since 1968. (decree 1284-May-11-1968). The special protection plan contains an inventory of building and urban site in a different range of protective levels, measures for conservation and rehabilitation and regulations for the new implants controlling use/surface intensity, typologies, heights and uses including aesthetical criteria. The main interventions on institutional lots and buildings may be controlled through special plans for each site. There are also requirements for significant actions on buffer zone. The boundaries are similar to those of the WH property.

The nominated area is fully protected under the provisions of Law No 16/1985 on the Spanish Historic Heritage, and in particular Articles 20 and 21, relating to the protection of historic groups of buildings that have been declared Assets of Cultural Interest. In addition, there are twenty designated Historic Monuments, 55 Unique Historic Buildings of institutional (university or religious) use, and 390 Historic Residential Buildings, covering in total 80% of the nominated area. This requires the relevant Autonomous Community to prepare and implement Special Protection Plans in respect of such areas. For Alcalá de Henares this has been prepared by the Madrid Provincial Government and came into force in 1997.

The Plan Especial de Protección del Casco Histórico de Alcalá de Henares covers every aspect of protection, including the urban layout, public open spaces, property boundaries, and historic buildings. Three Archaeological Zones are designated under this legislation: the Roman town of Complutum, the Roman villas and Visigothic cemeteries of El Val, and the area of the Arab city, with the Neolithic remains. Any work within the historic centre must be preceded by archaeological survey and, where necessary, mitigation by excavation or other means. The 1991 Master Town Plan for Alcalá de Henares (Plan General de Ordenación Urbana de Alcalá de Henares) also contains provisions for safeguarding the historic quality of the city. Any intervention that affects the historic centre or the protected properties requires authorization by the relevant City and Provincial authorities.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation; Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property **is inadequate**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property **is inadequate**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is **fully based** on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

No management system is currently in place

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✗		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women	✗				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✗	
5.3.16.5	Women	✗				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			✗		

5.3.16.7	Researchers					X		
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists						X	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists						X	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry						X	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					X		
5.3.16.12	NGOs	X						
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	X						
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify							

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	X				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	X				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	X				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	X				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	X				
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	X				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	2 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	30 %	10 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	68 %	90 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	55 %	55 %
6.1.6.2	Women	45 %	45 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Non-existent
National/international tourists	Non-existent
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Indigenous peoples
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

154.966 / 118.477 / 69.691 / 198.438 / 183.327 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100 / 40 / 10 / 20 / 0 / 10 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **no monitoring** taking place at the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		X		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		X		
10.3.3	Character of governance		X		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		X		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		X		
10.3.6	Capacity development		X		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	The legal framework for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate	X

5.2.4	The legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate	✘
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	✘
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✘
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.12	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	There is currently no management system in place at the property	✘
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	✘
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	✘
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	✘
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	✘
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	✘

Please select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.1	Housing	The implementation of new buildings in the protected area requires technical control mechanisms since their development could affect the preservation of criteria II and IV of the declaration.	The existence of specific development planning instruments for the designated area and technical committees overseeing the records ensures the adaptation of construction solutions to the traditional built environment.	There is no monitoring regarding this issue.	There is no planned improvement for this aspect.	City Council of Alcalá de Henares, Government of Spain, Community of Madrid	There are specific committees for controlling architectural interventions carried out in the protected areas, ensuring that actions are controlled and conservation and enhancement criteria are applied.
4.3	Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2		Renewable energy facilities					

4.3.5	Major linear utilities	The installation of power lines and telephone lines linked to the internet needs in residential and commercial buildings in the declared World Heritage area could negatively affect criteria II and IV and the integrity of the property.	Currently, no action is being taken to prevent the increase of these lines and infrastructure on facades and buildings.	There is no monitoring regarding this issue.	The effect of these types of installations has particularly developed in recent years with the need to deploy new fiber optic lines by various installation companies without any order.	The involved administrations are the Alcalá de Henares City Council and the Community of Madrid.	There is a serious problem with the installation of uncontrolled fiber optic telephone lines on facades and buildings throughout the declared World Heritage area.
-------	------------------------	--	---	--	--	--	--

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.7	Pests	The monumental environment of the World Heritage city has a particular problem with birds, specifically pigeons and parrots, which could negatively affect criteria II and IV and the integrity of the property.	Significant control efforts are being carried out on pigeons (pests) by the Environmental Department of the City Council.	The Department of Environment conducts constant monitoring of the pest's evolution.	Control measures have been implemented in recent years and are planned to continue in the future.	The responsibility lies with the Alcalá de Henares City Council and the Community of Madrid.	The problem with pigeons mainly focuses on the damage caused by the acidity of their droppings.
-------	-------	--	---	---	---	--	---

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	The uses implemented in the heritage ensure their maintenance in coherence with the preservation of criteria II and IV.	The uses implemented in the protected area allow for the preservation of buildings and balanced coexistence between different residential and non-residential uses. Dissemination strategies and educational resources are also relevant.	There is no monitoring for this issue.	There is no planned timeframe for this aspect.	The responsibility lies with the Alcalá de Henares City Council and the Community of Madrid.	The identity of the heritage city with its citizens is enhanced through dissemination, awareness-raising, and valorization interventions of the city's heritage resources.
-------	--	---	---	--	--	--	--

4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Proper control of tourist resources is essential to ensure the maintenance of criteria II and IV.	The coherent and balanced use of tourist resources in the historic city will be crucial to guarantee the preservation of its values, and if not controlled, it could endanger the criteria of integrity and authenticity of the heritage.	There is no monitoring for this issue.	There is no agenda to determine the tourism impact.	The responsibility lies with the Alcalá de Henares City Council and the Community of Madrid.	In recent years, recreational events in the historic city center have increased. Comprehensive control is required to prevent these events from harming the traditional environment and to help enhance resources.
-------	--	---	---	--	---	--	--

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.2	Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	The monumental environment of the World Heritage city has a problem with Argentine parrots, which negatively affect criteria II and IV and the integrity of the property.	No effective actions are being taken to control this invasive species.	There is no effective monitoring of the presence of this invasive species in the city.	There is no action plan in place.	The responsibility lies with the Alcalá de Henares City Council and the Community of Madrid.	The problem with Argentine parrots mainly focuses on the damage caused by the acidity of their droppings, as well as the damage to urban flora (trees) and the displacement of native birds (sparrows).
--------	------------------------------------	---	--	--	-----------------------------------	--	---

4.13 Management and institutional factors

4.13.1	Management system/Management plan	The absence of a Management Plan negatively affects criteria II, IV, and VI of the World Heritage declaration, endangering the criteria of integrity and authenticity of the property.	Although the study for the development of the Management Plan was initiated, it has not been carried out or implemented.	There is no monitoring plan.	No timeframe has been established for the implementation of the Management Plan.	The Management Plan should be developed by the Alcalá de Henares City Council.	The need to develop a Management Plan is known by the responsible authorities of the City Council.
--------	-----------------------------------	--	--	------------------------------	--	--	--

4.13.3	Governance	An appropriate balance between the state, the autonomous community, and the city is required to promote economic, social, and institutional development, ensuring the maintenance of declaration criteria.	There are coordination mechanisms between the community and the municipality for authorization of interventions.	There is no monitoring for this issue.	There is no action plan in place.	The involved administrations are the Government of Spain, the Community of Madrid, Alcalá de Henares City Council, the University of Alcalá and the Consortium "Alcalá de Henares Patrimonio de la Humanidad".	-
4.13.5	Financial resources	There is a need for financial resources to ensure the proper management and conservation of the declared World Heritage area. This lack of resources could negatively affect criteria II-IV and the integrity and authenticity of the property.	It is necessary to increase the financial commitment from the administrations, especially the central government (Government of Spain) and the regional administration (Community of Madrid).	There are no monitoring actions in this regard.	There is no agenda to determine the financial commitment of the administrations.	The involved administrations are the Government of Spain, the Community of Madrid, Alcalá de Henares City Council, the University of Alcalá and the Consortium "Alcalá de Henares Patrimonio de la Humanidad".	There is a Consortium with the Community of Madrid, the City Council, and the University of Alcalá. This Consortium is funded almost exclusively by a small contribution from the Community of Madrid. It would be necessary to involve the Government of Spain.

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2		Protective Measures				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment	
5.2.3	The legal framework for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate	
5.2.4	The legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding	Although the Special Plan for the Protection of the Historic Center protects the property from a planning perspective, there is an excessive commercialization observed in a large part of the designated area, which jeopardizes its authenticity.	Action must be taken to prevent the effects of hospitality from further impacting the property.	City Council of Alcalá de Henares and Community of Madrid	It is crucial to establish measures that guarantee the conservation of the local population, which is negatively affected by the commercialization, particularly the pressure from hospitality establishments that disrupt family life.	

	Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property				
--	---	--	--	--	--

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
------------	--	--	--	--	--

5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	There is no "Safeguard Plan" that includes all the risks to which the designated World Heritage property could be subjected, and it is necessary to have one.	It is essential to implement a safeguard plan for the entire designated area as soon as possible.	City Council of Alcalá de Henares, Government of Spain, Community of Madrid	The safeguard plan is a necessity in which both the administrations and the property owners and residents must be involved.
--------------	--	---	---	---	---

5.3.13	There is currently no management system in place at the property	There is no management plan of the WH core zone in spite of what is said in operational guidelines.	No timeframe is set.	Ayuntamiento de Alcalá de Henares	The elaboration of a Management Plan started but it was not completed.
---------------	---	---	----------------------	-----------------------------------	--

6.1	Funding				
------------	----------------	--	--	--	--

6.1.3		The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property			
--------------	--	--	--	--	--

9	Visitor Management				
----------	---------------------------	--	--	--	--

9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	There is no strategy to manage visitors, such as establishing alternative routes or mitigating the impact of hospitality on residents.	No measures are being implemented to address this issue.	Alcalá de Henares City Council	Despite the massive influx of visitors and the excessive commercialization of the historic area, no measures are being taken to address the impact of tourism.
------------	---	--	--	--------------------------------	--

9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Although there is information about the city and the designated World Heritage area, there is no interpretation center for the World Heritage area in the city that explains to tourists and citizens the OUV of Alcalá.	There is no planned improvement for this aspect.	Alcalá de Henares City Council.	It would be necessary to have a specific space that clearly explains the Outstanding Universal Value and the criteria of Integrity and Authenticity.
-------------	--	--	--	---------------------------------	--

10	Monitoring				
-----------	-------------------	--	--	--	--

10.1	There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need	Due to the lack of a Management Plan, key indicators for monitoring the property have not been developed.	There is no timeframe planned for the development of monitoring indicators.	Alcalá de Henares City Council	It is necessary to have a Management Plan that includes indicators and monitoring.
-------------	---	---	---	--------------------------------	--

10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	We have sufficient scientific and technical information to define the values of the property through indicators, but without the presence of a Management Plan, this has not been implemented.	There is no timeframe planned to define these indicators.	Alcalá de Henares City Council	It is necessary to have a Management Plan that includes indicators and monitoring
-------------	--	--	---	--------------------------------	---

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Negative
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

In recent years, the pedestrianization of extensive areas within the designated World Heritage site has been gradually promoted.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The <i>World Heritage Convention</i>
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Poor
Site Managers	Poor
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
--

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Other
Gerente del Consorcio Alcalá Patrimonio de la Humanidad

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

30 / 20 / 100 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Poor
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Poor
National Commission for UNESCO	Poor
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.