Holašovice Historic Village

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Holašovice Historic Village

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Holašovice Historic Village	48.97 / 14.273	11.4	367.5	378.9	1998
Total (ha)		11.4	367.5	378.9	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Holašovice Historical Village Reservation	2009	
Holašovice Historical Village Reservation Inscribed Property and Buffer Zone	2009	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. <u>Špejchar U Vojty</u>
- 2. Vesnice Holašovice (in Czech)

Comment

http://www.holasovice.eu/en/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Holašovice Historical Village is situated in the South Bohemian Region of the Czech Republic, 17 km west of eské Bud jovice and 24 km north of eský Krumlov.

The village includes twenty-three farmsteads which are placed around a rectangular village green, with the chapel of St. John of Nepomuk, a cross, a forge and a small fish-pond

Holašovice is an exceptionally complete and well preserved example of a traditional central European village, containing a number of high-quality vernacular buildings from the 18th and 19th centuries.

Almost all the farms are built according to the same pattern; usually, they are U-shaped with a farmyard in the middle. The gables facing the village green and their stucco decoration are in a style known as South Bohemian "Folk Baroque". Almost always, they feature the year of foundation of the house as well as some decorative elements; all of it is painted in a variety of colours. In fact, on the facades, Holašovice master-builders replicated decorations inspired by manorial buildings of Bohemia and Austria. In addition to large farmsteads, the Holašovice Historic Village includes several farming houses which are much smaller.

The small chapel of St. John of Nepomuk features a high bell-shaped facade. It has a gable roof and a hip roof on one side, as well as a lantern-turret on four pillars with a bell. The interior is vaulted and closed by two lunettes. The village forge and the blacksmith's house are single-storey buildings with a gable roof. The forge features a typical arched opening overlooking the village green (now closed since the building is presently inhabited).

Criterion (ii): Holašovice is of special significance in that it represents the fusion of two vernacular building traditions to create an exceptional and enduring style, known as South Bohemian "Folk Baroque".

Criterion (iv): The exceptional completeness and excellent preservation of Holašovice and its buildings make it an outstanding example of traditional rural settlement in central Europe.

Integrity

All the key elements which the Outstanding Universal Value of the Holašovice Historic Village is based upon are situated within its boundaries. The boundaries and the size of the property are appropriate. The historic village has a stabilised structure inside which no change is planned. Partial improvements that have been made in various buildings have had only small impact on them. As regards to the volumes and details of the new buildings, there was a consistent respect for tradition. No planned pressure exists concerning new constructions that could jeopardize the visual integrity of the conservation area, within its boundaries. The buffer zone is delimited but subject to threats coming from potential urban development that might have a serious impact on the visual context of the property and that could also jeopardize the visual integrity of the protected area as a whole.

Authenticity

The village of Holašovice is a perfectly preserved and exceptionally complete example of a central European village built on a traditional ground that includes a large number of 18th and 19th century highly valuable vernacular buildings. The village has kept its original medieval layout, land parcelling and its historical appearance. Hence, the authenticity of the layout and of the land parcelling of the village, which have been stabilized in the 19th century, is very high. This assertion is documented by the early maps produced by cadastral surveys.

A number of the individual farmsteads have preserved a substantial measure of authenticity in their internal layouts and external features. However, others have undergone radical changes, especially to their interiors, which have severely reduced their overall authenticity; this is especially applicable to the buildings used as retirement homes.

Protection and management requirements

The Holašovice Historic Village is designated a village heritage reservation under Act No. 20/1987 Coll. on State Heritage Preservation as amended. Twenty-one farmsteads around the central village green and the forge and the blacksmith's house in the centre of the village green are designated cultural heritage assets and protected under the Act mentioned above.

Most buildings that are part of the property are privately owned. In municipal ownership there are: farmstead No 18 (village pub), the forge and the blacksmith's house No 23 and house No 43), the chapel and other religious objects (crosses, sanctuaries).

The management plan of the property is in effect and is updated regularly. The property management is a responsibility of the municipality of Jankov whose administrative powers cover Holašovice. This municipality provides the maintenance, functioning and development of the property. Because of the size of the size and of the complex structure of the properties, individual maintenance schedules have been set.

The buffer zone has been defined in accordance with applicable regulations. It is identical to the protective zone of the village heritage reservation. However, the potential urban development might have an impact on the visual context of the property with the surrounding landscape. Several measures, which are integrated in the actual development plan, were taken to mitigate this risk. Precondition for new construction in major development areas is the establishment of regulatory plans, zoning plans and studies, which will determine detailed regulations in these localities.

Financial instruments for the conservation of the property namely include grant schemes and funding through the programme of the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic allocated to the maintenance and conservation of the immovable cultural heritage, as well as financial resources allocated from other public budgets.

Since 2000, annual monitoring reports have been prepared at the national level to serve World Heritage property manager, the Ministry of Culture, the National Heritage Institute and other agencies involved.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	urban structure	×			
3.2.2	preserved layout and architectural structure of farmsteads	×			
3.2.3	specific decorations of facades	×			
3.2.4	materials and historic structures		×		
3.2.5	panorama and visual values	×			
3.2.6					

3.2.7			
3.2.8			
3.2.9			
3.2.10			
3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×	N			
 4.1.2 - Commercial development Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside 								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.1.4 - Major visitor accomm Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 	2014):	issociated infras	structure					
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside 								
X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow				
4.2.2 - Underground transp Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant		ure								
Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant						
4.2.3 - Air transport infrasti Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2 • Not relevant										
Relevant			× Not releva	ant						
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infi Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03// • Relevant, Positive, Curren 	2014):									
Relevant			× Not releva	ant						
4.2.5 - Effects arising from Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant		ortation infrastru	cture							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant						
4.2.6 - Please comment as positively	necessary on I	how the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.2 a	are affecting the pro	operty either r	negatively or			
4.3. Services Infrastructur	res									
4.3.1 - Water infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant										
Relevant			X Not relevant							
 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside 										
X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X		×	×	×						

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		-
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							
4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively Primarily all engineering network (electricity, water, telephone, sewage) is placed underground without direct impact on the visual or urban value of the monument.							
 4.4. Pollution 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
 4.4.2 - Ground water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 							
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 	(2014):	le					
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 		le					
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
 4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 							
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Relevant, Negative, Potergy 	(2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.4.7 - Please comment as positively	necessary on h	now the factors	selected as	relevant in 4.4 a	re affecting the pro	operty either r	negatively or
4.5. Biological resource u	se/modificatio	n					
 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting a Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Not relevant 		9S					

Relevant

× Not relevant

 4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevan	ıt			
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside 							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): • Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside X Relevant Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside 							
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Impact	Potential	Origin Inside	C Outside	Trend of impact	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Impact		9 Potential	-	Outside		→ Stable →	Increasing

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): • Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.2 - Quarrying Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	

Relevant	× Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact	Trend of impact	
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	
4.7.2 - Relative humidity Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03) • Not relevant	/2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			
4.7.3 - Temperature Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03 • Not relevant	/2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant	t			
4.7.4 - Radiation/Light Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03)	/2014):						

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant			× Not relevant	i			
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water tal Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			\rightarrow	
4.7.7 - Pests Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	/2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not relevant	t			

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								
 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Relevant, Negative, Poter 	2014):							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ Not relevant 		collecting						
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 								

× Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×		N		

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

	Previous answer	Cycle 2	(06/03/2014):
--	-----------------	---------	---------------

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant	
 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 		
Relevant	X Not relevant	
4.9.3 - Military trainingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):Not relevant		
Relevant	X Not relevant	
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):Not relevant		
Relevant	X Not relevant	
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 		
Relevant	X Not relevant	
4.9.6 - Civil unrestPrevious answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):Not relevant		
Relevant	X Not relevant	

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.10.2 - FloodingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):Not relevant								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): • Not relevant								
X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.10.5 - Changes to oceani Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
 4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 								
Relevant			× Not relevant					
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 								
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively								
4.11. Sudden ecological o	or geological e	events						
4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	2014):							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
4.11.2 - Earthquake	2014)							

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside 	
× Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors	s selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant		Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact

positively

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×			1
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact Origi				Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×	×		→				
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development							
4.1.1 Housing							
	0		9	۲	۴	\$	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٥	9		۹		→	
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure							
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
	0	9		٢		→	
4.3 Services Infrastructures							
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities							
	9		9	٢	Ċ		
4.3.4 Localised utilities	\odot	9		٢	Ċ	→	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification							
4.5.3 Land conversion	•	4		٢	œ	→	
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→	
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	4		۲	1 CE	→	
	-			4	4		
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
4.7.1 Wind							
	9		9	٢	æ		
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			-1	Q	Ģ	-	
		1					
4.9. Seeiel/Culturel uses of heritage	-	-1		Q		-	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage	0	~~~					
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	4		٢		→	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢	4		٢		→	
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation							

4.10 Clinate change and severe weather events i <td< th=""><th></th><th>0</th><th>9</th><th></th><th>٢</th><th></th><th>\$</th></td<>		0	9		٢		\$
All Sudden ecological eventsIIIIII4.11 Sudden ecological eventsIIIIIIIII4.13 Fire (wildfire)IIIIIIIIIII4.13 Management and institutional factorsIII <td< td=""><td>4.10 Climate change and severe weather events</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events I	4.10.3 Drought						
A.11.6 Fire (wildfire) I		0		9	٢	Ċ	→
A 13 Management and institutional factorsII	4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.13 Management and institutional factors 9 </td <td>4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		0		9	۲	Ċ	→
A13.2 Legal framework image in the second secon	4.13 Management and institutional factors						
A.13.3 Governance 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٥	9		٢	Ċ	
A.13.3 Governance 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1							
4.13.4 Management activities i <td< td=""><td>4.13.2 Legal framework</td><td>٢</td><td>9</td><td></td><td></td><td>Ċ</td><td>→</td></td<>	4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9			Ċ	→
4.13.4 Management activities i <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>							
A.13.5 Financial resources Image: space of the sp	4.13.3 Governance	٢	9		۹	Ċ	→
A.13.5 Financial resources Image: space of the sp							
A.13.6 Human resources Image: Constraint of the second of the secon	4.13.4 Management activities	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
A.13.6 Human resources Image: Constraint of the second of the secon							
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9		٢	Ċ	→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities							
	4.13.6 Human resources	٢	9		٢		→
	4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	0	4		٢		→
	Legend Current Potential O Negative O Positive	Ins	ide		C Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Nama		Imment		Oninia		Trend
Name		Impact		Origin		Trena
4.1.1 Housi	ing					
		9	9	٢	Ċ	\$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					

	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years

frend - De	
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

me		Impact			Origin	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		۲		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Groun	d transport infrastructure				
		0	9	۲	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities					
		0	9	۲	Ċ	
Spatial sca	ile - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
^						
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare					

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→	

Spatial scale - Area affected I	by the	factor
---------------------------------	--------	--------

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.5.3 Land conversion		0	9		٢	Ċ	→
Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Lives	tock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9		۹	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop	production	٢	9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sc	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	r response - Capacity of management to respond					
.						
	High capacity					
×	High capacity Medium capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
×						

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind	7.1 Wind						
		0		9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	(rain/water table)	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.0 Water		0	a		٩		→
		-					
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		o 4			→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going .					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name 4.8.5 Identit	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Impact	9	Origin	Trend →
		-	•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
		0	4		٢		\$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.10.3 Drou	4.10.3 Drought						
		0		9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
	e (wildfire)	mpuot			ongin		Trend
		0		9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•		۲	Ċ	1	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework	•			Ċ	→	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

•	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant

×	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

				_			
Name				Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Gov	4.13.3 Governance		4		0	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.4 Management activities	•		٢	Ċ	→	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

Static

Increasing

×

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted
Localised
Extensive
X Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
X On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
Significant

×	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	an resources	0	9		→
			-		
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	٢	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	urban structure	×			
4.18.1.2	preserved layout and architectural structure of farmsteads	×			
4.18.1.3	specific decorations of facades	×			
4.18.1.4	materials and historic structures		×		
4.18.1.5	panorama and visual values	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- Under the provisions of Law No 20/87 on State Care of Historical Monuments, implemented by Decree No 66 of the Ministry of Culture of 26 April 1988, 23 buildings around the village square of Holasovice are designated as protected monuments. The whole village was designated by State Decree No 127/1995 as an historic preservation site, in accordance with Article 5 of the 1987 Law. All interventions must be authorized by the relevant authority. The District Committee in Ceské Budejovice declared the area round the village a conservation zone in September 1996. This means that all proposals for building, reconstruction, or other forms of intervention must be submitted to the District authorities forapproval.
- On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011
- Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage reservation and heritage zone protection plans
- Act No. 183/2006 Coll., on Land Use Planning and on the Building Procedure Code
- Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development No. 500/2006 Coll., on supporting analytical zoning data
- Decree of the Ministry of Culture No. 187/2007 Coll., stipulating the content and essential elements of zoning plans for areas with archaeological finds

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation; SP 2012 SOC report (SOC Prague), Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

In order to unify the terminology of some of the laws currently still in force, we use the opportunity to add the corrected translations to section 5.2.2.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1987(2021) / Act No. 20/1987 Sb., on the State Heritage Protection (The Heritage Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1987-20

1988 (2002) / Decree No. 66/1988 Sb., For the Implementation of the Act on the State Heritage Protection / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1988-66

2007 / Decree No. 187/2007 Sb., stipulating the content and essential requirements of zoning plans concerning areas with archaeological finds / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2007-187

2008 (2023) / Decree No. 420/2008 Sb., which establishes the requirements and content of the plan for the protection of heritage reserves and heritage zones / Decree of the Ministry of Culture /

https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2008-420

2006 (2020) / Act No. 183/2006 Sb., on Spatial Planning and Building Rules (The Building Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-183

2006 (2022) / Decree No. 500/2006 Sb., on Supporting Analytical Zoning Data, Zoning Documentation and Zoning Records / Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-500

1992 (2021) / Act No. 114/1992 Sb., on nature conservation and landscape protection / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1992-114

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Site manager and Steering group The site manager of the property simultaneously serves as the mayor of the municipality.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

I., II., III. phase of Management Plan was created in 2008 - 2012. An update of the document is currently planned.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×				
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	3 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	60 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	15 %	25 %

6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	22 %	65 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	10 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are inadequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following

•	
Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair

disciplines

Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Landowners	

Women		
Youth/children		
Researchers		
Local Visitors		
National/international tourists		
Tourism industry		

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Not needed
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

45000 / 55000 / 20000 / 65000 / 70000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other			

local tourist information centre

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Empirical, real statistics data

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/14/0/0/0/2/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property? In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives? Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Urban planning, Panorama of the whole property, Authenticity, Historical structures, Roof landscape, Functional use of buildings and public spaces and their changes, New buildings, State of greenery, Demographic structure and sociological changes, Number of visitors

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8 8.2	Education, Information and Awareness Building There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
		×
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
8.2 9	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs Visitor Management	
8.2 9 9.7	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs Visitor Management There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented	×
8.2 9 9.7 9.11 9.12	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs Visitor Management There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is not implemented Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	×

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings ar	nd Development						
4.1.1	Housing	(ii), (iv) Attributes: • urban structure • preserved layout and architectural structure of farmsteads • specific decorations of facades • materials and historic structures	heritage preservation	continuously	continuously	The National Heritage Institute, Regional Office , Site Manager	to find agre development the municip necessary t and preserv	lopment Plan ement on the nt areas of ality. It is to conserve we the lt-up area of ality to
4.2	Transportati	on Infrastructure						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure transport infrastructure transport infrastructure transport infrastructure transport transport or is very marginal. However, this factor has impacts on public spaces, furniture, services, greenery, and vegetation.		additional P+R for tourists, traffic signs, information panels	continuously	continuously	Site Manage municipality		none

4.3		Services	s Infra	structure	es												
4.3.2	Renewal energy fa		(iv) Pano visua value		A community photovoltaic project is being prepared.	conti		Project work begins in 2023. The end of the project's realizatior is open.	 	Site Manager, municipality	devic https:	ne aim is to cover the roof of a building outside the WH avices. For general methodology by the NHI see tps://www.npu.cz/cs/pamatkova-pece/o-pamatkove-pe aika (ENG version).					
4.7		Local co	onditio	ons affec	ting physical f	abric											
4.7.1	Win	Wind (ii), (iv) Attributes: • materials and historic structures		and p	oroperty	tenance of the continuou erty in the best ible condition		ıtinuously		·		uously Site Manager, Institutions of the heritage care		cha still con plac of r buil pro cau (da	he context of climate nge, this topic will be a very closely trolled criterion - cement and fastening bof coverings to the dings, secondary perty damage sed by wind gusts mage to cars, iture, deterioration)		
4.7.6		ater in/water tal	er (ii), (iv) Attributes: • measures against vater from torrential rains - water drains into separate sewers, anti-flood measures - drainage, etc.		usly		continuously, partial Site Manager, realization in 2023 National Heritag Institute		lational Heritage	In the context of climate change, this topic will still be a closely observed criterion - water retention in the landscape, torrential rains, the retention capacity of the soil around the monument, etc.							
4.8		Social/C	ultura	I uses of	f heritage												
4.8.6		Social/Cultural uses of heritage Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation This factor do not apply to th monuments themselves or very marginal. impacts of this factor are on p spaces, furnitu services, gree and vegetation		e is The public ure, nery,	traffic signs, tourist information panels, active management and strategy of tourism bblic e,					continuously			inicipality, gional Office	de ha To ha the to ina ex de	atimal way how to al with this issue s been sought. urism does not ve an impact on e site as such but e behavior of some urists is uppropriate (for ample: they stroy the newly talled furniture)		
4.10		Climate	chang	je and se	evere weather	events											
4.10.3	D	Drought (ii), (iv) Real estate (groundwater as a binder of historical building materials), deterioration of buildings, the life of local residents, for more informations see the comment.		w (f a w p d d ree ree la c v v o	connection to rater supply high-quality is bundant sour adter for sup opulation wir rinking wate atention in th undscape - onstruction (retlands, ma f natural floo prests	system and urces of plying the th r), water ne of ponds, intenance	tem s of ng the vater onds, inance				continuously (connection to the water system until 2025)		Site Manager, water managers, urban planners, and landscape architects		Holašovice is connected to its own water sources (spring pits and a well) and for these reasons, fluctuating levels occur throughout the year.		
4.11		Sudden	ecolo	gical or g	geological eve	nts											
4.11.6	F	ire (wildfire	9)	preser archite of farm specifi		pre ger for Bol a fi	ollow safety vention The neral crisis p the South nemia Regic re regulatior municipality 19.	re is a lan on and n of	conti	inuously		cont	tinuously	of bri fire So Re	e Manager, owners the monuments, firr gade of Holašovice b brigade of the buth Bohemia egion, Integrated escue System	е	It is exceptional but is very important to pay attention to the prevention and fire protection of the site.

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Manage	ment Syste	em/Manag	gement Plan					
	Actions						Lead ag (and ot involve	hers	More info / comment
5.3.7	No use has There is a common national strategy and policy for reducing the impacts of climate been made of change (National emmission reduction programme). The municipality is thinking about the the Policy installation of the common photovoltaic source for whole village. Document on climate Change the Impacts of climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property						Municip Region State	ality, al Office,	none
5.3.9	No use has There is a general crisis plan for the South Bohemia Region. For more details: been made of https://www.hzscr.cz/clanek/krizovy-plan-jihoceskeho-kraje.aspx the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property Image: Strategy for						Municip Regiona	ality, al Office	A special strategy for reducing risks from disasters is not planned. The Holašovice site is a small village where the houses are privately owned.
6.1		Funding	I						
6.1.3	1.3 The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property		s blebut e d to et the ment the	Prioritization of needs, preparation of projects	Ad hoc, continuously	Site manager, municipality, itizens,		none	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property		ate for ment the eritage	Implementation of the management plan, and possible involvement of volunteers.	nd possible involvement of NGO sector		ality,	and has a The mayor	is a small village restricted budget. is at the same e manager without port.
6.1.10	No use hasThe sitebeen made ofwith thisthe Worldto work withHeritagethe futureStrategy forin the pro-		de of d for ment at d	The site manager hasn't been familiar with this document yet. The premise is to work with this policy document in the future and apply its key principles in the preparation of the actualization of the Management plan.	s document yet. The premise is s with this policy document in ure and apply its key principles preparation of the actualization		Steering group		
6.1.12	.12 A site-based C capacity o building plan or tt programme is in p		property Deepening cooperation with the owners of heritage assets. Enhancing the knowledge of an importance of programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical Continuously Main of the knowledge of an importance of programme is in the integer owners. Continuously Main of the integer owners is in programme is in the integer owners. partially Main owners is integer owners. Main owners is integer owners. partially Main owners. Main owners. Main owners.		Municipality, Site manag National Heritage Institu		Heritage In the manual how to prop repair the h	n with the National stitute prepares I for the owners on perly restore and nistorical houses, pe published at the	

		out b staff	oy external						
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building								
awaren progran		hedmaterials forcation andchildren (firstrenessgrade oframme forprimaryren and/orschools) wereh but itcreated. Thepartlylectures andts thevisits to the		Continuously	Municipality National Heritage Institute,	Λ,	Educational materials have been created only for children of Primary schools and k (https://www.npu.cz/cs/uop-ceske-budejovice/vzdelavani/pro-ms-zs-a-ss/zivot-na-v		
9		Visitor M	anagement						
9.7				Implementation of the management plan		The realization depends on human and financial resources		Municipality, Regional Office	The current strategy of tourism needs to be updated.
9.11		Although tourism ir is active i property, is no col between to operators those responsib the World Heritage property	tustry regional level the tere tact purism and		Conti	inuously	regional and national tourism agencies, site manager	none	
9.12		The to improve eg. the guided tours (tour operators), educational routes to propose other measures in the Management Plan to establish cooperation with scientific institutions and present further results to the public is acceptable but improvements could be made		tes to the lish nstitutions	conti	nuousiy	Site manager, National Heritage Institute, tourism agencies on the regional and national level	The OUV is published on the municipality's website, one the website of National Heritage Institute.	

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Elaboration and updating of the Management plan (surveys, constructional-historical research, and others). In 2017, educational programme for schoolchildren "Holašovice - build your own village" was created: https://www.npu.cz/cs/uop-ceske-budejovice/vzdelavani/pro-ms-zs-a-ss/zivot-na-vsi-holasovice The significant activities, which contributed to higher integrity and authenticity of the property, such as the general renovation of the blacksmith in 2018 and of the chapel of St. Jan Nepomucký in 2020 were made. Actions aimed at preserving the authenticity and integrity of the property included also a manual "Recommendations for property owners in Holašovice" prepared in 2023. The new manual is intended to enhance the protection of architectural heritage. An important national opera The Bartered Bride is annually held as favourite open-air event in the centre of Holašovice Historic Village.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise	

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of	Integrity and/or Authenticity
----------------	-------------------------------

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

30 / 10 / 40 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable

ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.