Flemish Béguinages

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Flemish Béguinages

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Béguinage de Hoogstraten	51.404 / 4.763	1.7	0	1.7	1998
Béguinage de Lier (Lierre)	51.13 / 4.568	3.4	0	3.4	1998
Grand Béguinage de Mechelen (Malines)	51.031 / 4.474	5.4	0	5.4	1998
Béguinage de Turnhout	51.327 / 4.943	1.5	0	1.5	1998
Beguinage de Sint-Truiden (Saint Trond)	50.821 / 5.193	22.8	0	22.8	1998
Béguinage de Tongeren (Tongres)	50.779 / 5.469	2.5	0	2.5	1998
Béguinage de Dendermonde (Termonde)	51.027 / 4.097	2.5	0	2.5	1998
Petit Béguinage de Gent (Gand)	51.046 / 3.736	4.5	0	4.5	1998
Béguinage de Sint-Amandsberg / Gent (Mont-Saint-Amand-lez-Gand)	51.057 / 3.747	0	0	0	1998
Béguinage de Diest	50.987 / 5.06	4.5	0	4.5	1998
Grand Béguinage of Leuven (Louvain)	50.871 / 4.696	4.2	0	4.2	1998
Béguinage de Bruges (Brugge)	51.201 / 3.223	0.55	0	0.55	1998
Béguinage de Kortrijk (Courtrail)	50.828 / 3.267	0.7	0	0.7	1998
Total (ha)		54.25	0	54.25	

Comment

some buffer zones were mentioned in the nomination file. We will take a review of limits & surfaces up with the World Heritage Centre within the framework of the GIS-system that is being established

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Hoogstraten – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Lier/Lierre – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Mechelen/Malines – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :3,000	2008	
Turnhout – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Sint-Truiden/Saint-Trond – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :8,000	2008	
Tongeren/Tongres – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, 1 :2,500	2008	
Dendermonde/Termonde – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Gent/Gand – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Sint-Amandsberg/Mont-Saint-Amand-lez-Gand – Béguinage de Sainte-Elisabeth: limites du bien du patrimoine mondial », A4, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Diest – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial », A4, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Leuven/Louvain – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Brugge/Bruges – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	
Kortrijk/Coutrai – Béguinage : limites du bien du patrimoine mondial, scale 1 :2,500	2008	

Flemish Béguinages 1 of 48

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
 - 1. 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

 Not applicable
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×

Flemish Béguinages 2 of 48

2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

WH focal point for WH is also the focal point for the 1954 Convention & 2nd Protocol. As there is regular contact between component part managers & WH focal point, one could argue that there is also contact with the 1954 focal point (as it is the same person). Bit since these exchanges never deal with 1954, we decided to give 'no contact' as an answer

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Safeguarding the carillon culture: preservation, transmission, exchange and awareness-raising (2014)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Flemish béguinages are a series of 13 sites in the Flanders Region of Belgium. They bear extraordinary witness to the cultural tradition of the Beguines that developed in north-western Europe in the Middle Ages.

These Beguines were either unmarried or widowed women who entered into a life dedicated to God, but without retiring from the world. In the 13th century they founded the *béguinages*, enclosed communities designed to meet their spiritual and material needs.

The Flemish béguinages formed architectural ensembles, enclosed by walls or surrounded by ditches, with gates opening to the outside world during the day. Inside, they were composed of houses, churches, ancillary buildings, and green spaces organized in a spatial conception of urban or rural origin, and built in styles specific to the Flemish cultural region.

Criterion (ii): The Flemish béguinages demonstrate outstanding physical characteristics of urban and rural planning and a combination of religious and traditional architecture in styles specific to the Flemish cultural region.

Criterion (iii): The béguinages bear exceptional witness to the cultural tradition of independent religious women in north-western Europe in the Middle Ages.

Criterion (iv): The béguinages constitute an outstanding example of an architectural ensemble associated with a religious movement characteristic of the Middle Ages, associating both secular and conventual values.

Integrity

The inscribed sites are the most representative béguinages of the Beguine tradition, identified on the basis of their historic and architectural development and their state of conservation. These 13 béguinages testify to their original function, even though many suffered damage during World Wars I and II. They have maintained their residential character as well as the configuration with church or chapel, streets or squares with community and individual houses etc. Today, most béguinages are still clearly defined components of the urban fabric, and considered havens of tranquillity, as they were in the past. In some places, the enclosed character is preserved, although many béguinages lost their enclosed aspect during the French period and the gates were removed. The boundaries of the inscribed areas are sufficient to include the attributes of Outstanding Universal Value, but many of the components have no buffer zone. The béguinages are generally in good condition.

Authenticity

Flemish Béguinages 3 of 48

The Beguine movement in Flanders is extinct, but most of the béguinages continue to be sought after as havens of peace and settings appropriate to a lifestyle that is a blend of community and private.

Removed from the lively historic centres, the *béguinages* preserved a respect for habitat as an essential function and have thus retained, apart from certain generally superficial modifications, the characteristic organization and simple functional architecture that gives them their particular atmosphere of a utopian setting, in which a sense of community and respect for individuality are finely balanced.

No complete construction remains from the Middle Ages, except for certain churches. The earliest Beguine houses were replaced by municipal ordinance by buildings of brick or stone in the 16th and, particularly, 17th centuries, although they generally followed the original layout and area. In the 17th century, the rising numbers of Beguines dictated further construction within the space originally available. In the 18th century, the number of Beguines declined and houses were demolished. New houses or buildings were incorporated into some *béquinages* in the 19th and 20th centuries.

Protection and Management Requirements

The 13 Flemish béguinages are listed as monuments, sites or urban sites. In a number of cases, singular buildings such as the church or chapel have been listed separately.

Ownership of the *béguinages* is quite diverse: in some cases, there is a single owner (generally a local public welfare authority). Elsewhere, the ownership has fragmented to the point where almost every house has a different private owner, which complicates the development of a common management strategy. Nevertheless, as a consequence of the listed character of the *béguinages*, any intervention on a *béguinage* and its components has to be approved by the regional monuments and sites administration.

This is not the case for the surroundings of the béguinages, most of which are not protected. Still, the béguinages and their surroundings are generally situated as a whole or in part in planning zones of special cultural, historical, and/or aesthetic interest (CHE Zones), whose main objective is the conservation and development of cultural heritage. However, most béguinages lack a buffer zone. If a buffer zone was identified at the time of inscription, these are now mostly considered insufficient. Therefore, an evaluation is in order, which should suggest a correction and/or extension of the buffer zones of the béguinages and the development of appropriate protection for their settings.

A common management strategy and management system for the whole of the World Heritage property of the Flemish béguinages and for individual béguinages will need to be developed.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Elements referring to the tradition of béguines	×			
3.2.2	islands within the city	×			
3.2.3	urban ensemble	×			
3.2.4	green character	×			
3.2.5	specific multifunctional character	×			
3.2.6	tranquility	×			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							

Flemish Béguinages 4 of 48

Negative	×	×	⇒	
----------	---	---	---	--

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
	7. 16. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×					-
Negative X		×				→	

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			•	
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The situation differs from one béguinage to another. But in general, it is moving in a positive direction.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×					7
Negative X		×				\rightarrow	

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
TOO Tan	** Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Flemish Béguinages 5 of 48

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The situation differs from one béguinage to another. But in general, it is moving in a positive direction.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.4. Pollution

positively

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		→	

Flemish Béguinages 6 of 48

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

¥ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×			×		→			
Negative									

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				7
		×	×			→	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The situation differs from one béguinage to another. But in general, it is moving in a positive direction.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

Flemish Béguinages 7 of 48

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Flemish Béguinages 8 of 48

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

| Not relevant | Not relevant | Not relevant | Not relevant | Impact | Origin | Trend of impact | Origin | Trend of impact | Outside |

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant



4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Not relevant

× Relevant Not relevant Impact Origin Trend of impact Impact Current Potential Outside Inside > Decreasing → Stable Increasing Positive Negative X ×

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		• Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Flemish Béguinages 9 of 48

Positive				
	×	×	→	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Potential effects are related to the impact of climate change

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			,
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×				\rightarrow	
Negative X		×				→	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×			,
		×		×		\Rightarrow	

Flemish Béguinages 10 of 48

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The situation differs from one béguinage to another. But in general, it is moving in a positive direction. In some cases, finding or maintaining a balance between the residential function and welcoming large(r) numbers of visitors is not easy.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×				>		

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×				→	
Negative X	×				•		

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The situation differs from one béguinage to another. But in general, it is moving in a positive direction.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×		×			7

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
11-1-1-1-1	** Not lockant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant
--------------	----------	----------------

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

was a second of the second of	
X Not relevant	Not relevant
** Not relevant	** Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

Flemish Béguinages 12 of 48

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X No	relevant
---------------	----------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.44.7. Planes comment as massacram, on how the factors	colored as relevant in 4.44 are effective the manager sith as nearth above

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Delevent	V N
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

evant	X Not relevant
-------	----------------

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	K Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

Not relevant

positively

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

≭ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing

O Positive X	×	×	×		7
Negative					

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×				→	
Negative	×	×				⇒	

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×				→	
○ Negative X	×	×				\Rightarrow	

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×					\rightarrow	
Negative X		×				\rightarrow	

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X						\rightarrow	
○ Negative X		×			>		

4.13.6 - Human resources

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive						→	
Negative X		×				→	

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×						7
		×				\Rightarrow	

Flemish Béguinages 14 of 48

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (16/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶		×				\rightarrow	
○ Negative X		×				→	

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Individual management plans are becoming the standard; financing is in some cases less certain than it used to be

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

social structure of béguinage; specific ownership structure of individual béguinage houses

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name 44 Politicary and Providence of the Control o	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
			9		F	\rightarrow
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	4	9			/
			9			\rightarrow
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9	9	•	Œ	1
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	4	9			1
			9			\rightarrow
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
			9		G	→
4.4.4 Air pollution	O	q			C	→
4.4.5 Solid waste	©			•		,
		,	<i></i> 3	<u>0</u>		
			-1			
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
			9		F	\rightarrow
4.7.3 Temperature						
			9			→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			q			\rightarrow
4.7.7 Pests						

Flemish Béguinages 15 of 48

							9		F	\rightarrow
4.8 Social/Cultural	uses of heritage									
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritu	al/Religious and associa	tive uses			③	9	9	•	Œ	1
4.8.4 Changes in to	raditional ways of life and	d knowledge system			•	ø	a			→
C.i.a.i.gee iii a						-0	- I			→
4.8.6 Impacts of to	urism/Visitation/Recreati	on			•	9	9		F	1
							q		G	→
4.9 Other human a	ctivities									
4.9.1 Illegal activiti	ies									
						9				S
4.9.2 Deliberate de	struction of heritage				O	9	9			→
						9				•
4.10 Climate chang	ge and severe weather ev	vents								
4.10.1 Storms										
						9	9		F	1
4.10.2 Flooding						~~	~~			
4 13 Management	and institutional factors					4	9		G.	→
	nt system/Management pl	an			•	q	q	•		,
4. TO. T Managemen	is system/munugement pr	u.i				-0	-,	3		•
4.13.2 Legal frame	work				①	q	q			→
						q	q			→
4.13.3 Governance	•				O	9	q			→
						9	9			→
4.13.4 Managemen	t activities				•	9				→
							9			\rightarrow
4.13.5 Financial re	sources				•					→
							9			•
4.13.6 Human reso	ources				•					→
							9			\rightarrow
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	ivities			•	9				1
							9			→
4.13.8 High impact	research/monitoring act	ivities			•		9			→
							9			→
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	Insi	de		Outside	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			

Flemish Béguinages 16 of 48

				9		Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name					0-1-1-		Torrid
Name 4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Impact	q	9	Origin		Trend
			•	a			· →
				•			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Flemish Béguinages 17 of 48

×

Minor

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~~	Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	②	q	9	•	E	-
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

4.2.1 Groun	.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9	9			-	
				9			→	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
×	Frequent							
	On-going On-going							
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend			
4.4.2 Groun	nd water pollution							
				9		C	\rightarrow	
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							

Flemish Béguinages 19 of 48

Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	lame			Origin		Trend
4.4.3 Sur	face water pollution					
			9		(\rightarrow
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact -	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Flemish Béguinages 20 of 48

Increasing

4.4.4 Air po	llution	•	9			G	→			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
×	Localised									
	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare									
×	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes									
×	Insignificant									
	Minor									
	Significant									
	Major									
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									
Name 4.4.5 Solid	wasta	Impact	q		Origin		Trend			
4.4.3 30llu	wasie		-1	q	@		_			
				-1	Q					
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
×	Localised									
	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare									
	Intermittent or sporadic									

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

×

Frequent
On-going

Flemish Béguinages 21 of 48

Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.7.2 Relat	ive humidity					
			9		G	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Flemish Béguinages 22 of 48

In	CI	œ:	ลร	ar	าต

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.3 Temperature				
		9		\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	ppact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Origin			
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)								
			9			\rightarrow		

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

Flemish Béguinages 23 of 48

	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
			9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
. opo.a.	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
~	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
~	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
managente	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend Da	velopement over the last 6 years					
nena • De	Decreasing					
×	Static					
~						

Flemish Béguinages 24 of 48

Name

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Intermittent or sporadic

4.8.1 Ritual	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9	9	•	F	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact	<u> </u>		Origin		Trend
	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	q	q	- · · J · ·		→
				q			→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
Tompossi	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact						
remporal S	One off or rare						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Flemish Béguinages 25 of 48

×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		e-78	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.6.6 Impa	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	4	9		(G	
				4		G.	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Flemish Béguinages 26 of 48

×	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name				Origin	Tre	nd
4.9.1 Illega	lactivities					
			q		5	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Impact		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	O	o 9			→
		9			•

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

Flemish Béguinages 27 of 48

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.10.1 Stor	ms						
				9		F	/
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
**	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
~	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
.nanayeine	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
^							
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Flemish Béguinages 28 of 48

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Floor	ding		~~	-79			
			9	9		G	⇒
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		O	9	•	•	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					

Flemish Béguinages 29 of 48

	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
		1			Orinin	-	
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	al framework	©	9	9	Origin		Frend ⇒
	al framework			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega	al framework le - Area affected by the factor			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega				9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor			q	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted			q	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			q	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread icale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Grale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes			9	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant			व	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			व	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			व	Origin		
4.13.2 Lega Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cacle - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major			व	Origin		

Flemish Béguinages 30 of 48

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

	act		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	9	q		\rightarrow		
	q	q		→		

		-1		
Spatial scal	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
×	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.4 Management activities	•	q				\rightarrow
						\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive

Flemish Béguinages 31 of 48

	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	ncial resources	•		Origin	→
	ncial resources		9	Origin	
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources le - Area affected by the factor	•	Я	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina		•	9	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina	le - Area affected by the factor	•	9	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread icale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare	•	9	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurrence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	•	9	Origin	→
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Final Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Sicale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	•	q	Origin	→
4.13.5 Fina Spatial sca X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major	•	q	Origin	→

Flemish Béguinages 32 of 48

	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	O					\rightarrow	

Name		Impact	t		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources		O				\rightarrow
				9		\rightarrow
Custial a	cale - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial S						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		q				1
			9			\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive

Flemish Béguinages 33 of 48

	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact	<i></i> 3	Origin	Trend
4. 13.6 High	impact research/monitoring activities	O	9		_
			-1		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact				
Temporal s					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare				
	One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent				
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going				
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes				
×	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant				
X Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor				
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant				
Impact - Im	Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major				

Flemish Béguinages 34 of 48

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Elements referring to the tradition of béguines	×			
4.18.1.2	islands within the city	×			
4.18.1.3	green character	×			
4.18.1.4	specific multifunctional character	×			
4.18.1.5	tranquility	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Depuis l'adoption de la loi nationale du 7 août 1931 qui protège les béguinages, en tout ou en partie, comme Monument, Site ou Monument et Site, le décret du Gouvernement flamand du 3 mars 1976 modifié par le décret du 22 février 1995 règle la protection, l'entretien et la restauration des béguinages en tant que Monument et/ou Site urbain ou rural. Ces décrets témoignent d'une évolution dans la reconnaissance de la valeur des béguinages en tant qu'ensembles. Il convient de souligner que pour plusieurs béguinages, la protection d'abord limitée à l'église n'a été étendue à l'ensemble que ces trente dernières années.

L'arrêté de protection légale stipule des conditions générales et d'éventuelles prescriptions spécifiques à chaque béguinage en matière de conservation et d'entretien (interdiction de démolir, d'altérer l'aspect ou de transformer les bâtiments, selon le cas possibilité de nouvelles constructions, indications sur la nature des installations électriques, de la voirie, des plantations, etc.).

Le décret du 3 mars 1976 établit un lien direct avec la législation concernant l'Aménagement du Territoire et de l'Urbanisme (26 mars 1962) dans la mesure où toute demande de permis concernant un bien protégé est soumise à l'avis impératif de la Division des Monuments et Sites.

Par ailleurs, l'arrêté du Gouvernement flamand du 17 novembre 1993 définit les règles générales en matière de conservation et d'entretien des monuments et des sites urbains. Ces prescriptions concernent tant l'extérieur que l'intérieur des biens protégés légalement.

La protection, la conservation et la restauration des béguinages est placée sous le contrôle de l'agence du Patrimoine de Flandres.

Tous les béguinages sont repris dans les Plans de secteur imposés par la loi sur l'Aménagement du Territoire et de l'Urbanisme, au sein des Zones d'habitat, comme Zones et Sites d'intérêt culturel, historique et/ou esthétique (ou zones C.H.E.) dont l'objectif majeur est la conservation du patrimoine culturel et sa mise en valeur. Ces zones C.H.E. sont discontinues autour des béguinages de Louvain (grand béguinage), de Diest et d'Hoogstraten.

Source: Evaluation des Organisations consultatives, Rapport périodique cycle 2

Comment

A new immovable heritage decree was approved on July 12, 2013. It was complemented by an immovable heritage order on May 16, 2014

Flemish Béguinages 35 of 48

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2013 / Immovable Heritage Decree / X / X /

https://www.ejustice.just.fgov.be/cgi_loi/change_lg.pl?language=fr&la=F&cn=2013071244&table_name=loi

2014 / Immovable Heritage Order / X / X /

https://www.ejustice.just.fgov.be/cgi_loi/change_lg_2.pl?language=fr&nm=2014036539&la=F

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Private ownership/management

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

A management plan

- 5.3.3 Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
- 5.3.4 Management Documents
- 5.3.5 Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Flemish Béguinages 36 of 48

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry		×			
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries		×			
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	

Flemish Béguinages 37 of 48

5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The situation differs from one béguinage to another. Most will have a recent management system, but the level of execution differs. Some have an action plan, but the need for such a plan is recognized by most of the béguinages. Only a few have a risk preparedness/ disaster plan. There is a difference in participation between parties within the property, and parties in the buffer zone.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	%
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	25 %	25 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	15 %	15 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1 %	1 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	1 %	1 %
6.1.1.12	Other	58 %	58 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

It is impossible to give an idea of funding, as the situation differs strongly from one béguinage to another. In general, maintenance and projects are mainly funded by owners/inhabitants, with subsidies from the Flemish government for works related to preservation of heritage elements (40%). Local authorities take care of the public domain. Entrance fees and revenues from commercial activities contribute in a very limited way to the overall budget.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

 $\label{prop:linear} \textit{Human resources are } \textbf{inadequate} \textit{ for management needs}$

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Not available

Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Not applicable
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good

Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Landowners	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
NGOs	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The situation differs from one béguinage to another. Few, for example, have a visitor centre/desk.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

60000 / 22500 / 15000 / 55000 / 60000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Tourism industry

Flemish Béguinages 40 of 48

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

1111111111111

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Nc

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Visitor numbers are only available for a few béguinages. They are open to the public for free, with some having a small museum that is not free. There are limited to no commercial activities within a béguinage.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		×		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		ж		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		

10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×	
10.3.6	Capacity development	×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

although no OUV-related indicators have been defined, monitoring is happening at over 50% of all béguinages, with a focus on state of conservation of the béguinage

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

the situation differs from one béguinage to another

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	

Flemish Béguinages 42 of 48

6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.1	Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
☑ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

	-											
4.4	Pollution	Pollution										
4.4.5	Solid waste	homogeneous & green of	haracter	this differs from to béguinage	n béguinage	· /	/	ongoing		lo	ocal manager & municipality	/
4.8	Social/Cultural us	es of heritage										
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	béguinage way of life		differs from bégui uinage	nage to	/	ongo	oing			ner(s), local manager & nicipality	1
4.10	Climate change ar	nd severe weather even	ts									
4.10.1	Storms	integrity of béguina	ge	exchange of go	od practice	s,	/	short te	erm		manager, municipality & ders Heritage	1
4.10.2	Flooding	integrity	exchange of practices	of good	specific fol that are im impact on	plemer			short term		local manager, municipality & Flanders Heritage	1
4.13	Management and	institutional factors										
4.13.2	Legal framework	conservation, visual in	1	this differs from be béguinage set up between béguinag	collaboration		/	medium	term	col	anders Heritage in Ilaboration with béguinages & unicipalities	/
4.13.3	Governance	Conservation, social fa	abric,	increased colla exchange of go		s	/	medi	um term		local manager(s)	/
4.13.4	Management activities		reased collabo od practices	ration - exchange	of /	r	medium	term		local m	manager(s)	/

Flemish Béguinages 43 of 48

4.13.5	Financial resources	integrity		increased collaboration - exchange of good practices - look for sustainable & sufficient funding	/	mediu	um/long term	local manager(s) in collaboration with Flanders Heritage & municipalities	/
4.13.6	Human resources	1	increase good pr	ed collaboration - exchange of actices	1	medi	um term	local manager(s)	/
4.13.8	High impact research/monito activities	all		increased collaboration - exchange good practices	of	/	longer term	local manager(s)	/

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zo	ones			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	look at current buffer zones & how they can be improved	medium term	Flanders heritage (& municipalities, local managers,)	I
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.3		ssess current framework & cons nere/how it could be improved	ider medium term	Flanders Heritage	will require political approval (both local & regional)
5.2.4		ssess current framework & cons here/how it could be improved	ider medium term	Flanders Heritage	will require political approval (both local & regional)
5.2.5	·	ssess current framework & cons here/how it could be improved	ider medium term	Flanders Heritage	will require political approval (both local & regional)

Flemish Béguinages 44 of 48

	the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property				
5.3	Management Sy	stem/Management Plan			
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	share policy document & discuss where/how it could be used	short/medium term	Flanders Heritage (with local managers, municipalities,)	1
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	share policy document & discuss where/how it could be used	short/medium term	Flanders Heritage (with local managers, municipalities,)	1
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	set up a platform of 'Flemish béguinages'	medium term	Flanders Heritage, local managers & municipalities	1
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	support full implementation	medium/longer term	Local managers, municipalities & Flanders Heritage	/
8	Education, Informa	tion and Awareness Building			
8.2		increased collaboration - exchange of good practices	medium term	local managers	1
9	Visitor Managemer	nt			
9.7		increased collaboration - exchange of good practices	medium term	local managers	1
Summary - Man	agement Needs c	ompleted			

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

Flemish Béguinages 45 of 48

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Research and monitoring	Positive
	1 ostave
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Not applicable
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

community-led management (Hoogstraten, Ghent, ...) master plan to enable integration of contemporary housing needs - and associated new interventions - in historic fabric (Lier, Sint-Truiden, ...) increased focus on resilience: energy efficiency & changing inhabitants' way of living, additional greening programmes (Leuven, ...) looking at ways to reduce car traffic & parking (Leuven, Sint-Truiden, Turnhout, ...)

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
State of Conservation
Management

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 15 / 8 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

This format really does not work for serial sites. For individual questions, where a number of possible answers are provided, just ticking a box does not make sense - and reduces the result of the exercise. Instead, it should be possible for each provided to indicate how many component parts meet that answer. e.g. 8 béguinages 'good', 3 'fair', 2 'bad'. Also, pre-defined answers not always allow for sufficient nuance, or combine things, where 1 aspect may be true, but the other not.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair

ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Not applicable
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Geographic information table

Reason for update: some buffer zones were mentioned in the nomination file. We will take a review of limits & surfaces up with the World Heritage Centre within the framework of the GIS-system that is being established

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Flemish Béguinages 48 of 48