Early Christian Necropolis of Pécs (Sopianae)

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Early Christian Necropolis of Pécs (Sopianae)

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Early Christian Necropolis of Pécs (Sopianae)	46.074 / 18.228	3.76	4.87	8.63	2000
Total (ha)		**3.76**	**4.87**	**8.63**	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Early Christian Necropolis of Pécs (Sopianae) - map of inscribed property	2000	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [World Heritage Pécs](#)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✕
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✕
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		✕
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks		✕

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
Yes

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
Brief synthesis

In the 4th century A.D. a remarkable series of decorated tombs were constructed in the cemetery in the town of Sopianae, in the Roman Province of Pannonia, the ruins of which survived under the ground and are situated in the current city of Pécs, in South Hungary. The burial chambers, chapels and mausoleum excavated on the site of the Sopianae cemetery form a complex that bears witness to an ancient culture and civilization that had a lasting impact. It is the richest collection of

structural types of sepulchral monuments in the northern and western Roman provinces reflecting a diversity of cultural sources. These monuments are important both structurally and architecturally as they were built above ground and served as both burial chambers and memorial chapels. They are also significant in artistic terms because of their richly decorated murals of outstanding quality depicting Christian themes.

The Roman cemetery was found by archaeological excavations which began two centuries ago. Subsequent excavations revealed that the early Christian complex of monuments provides exceptional evidence of a historical continuity that spanned the turbulent centuries from the decline of the Roman Empire in the 4th century to the conquest of the Frankish Empire in the 8th century. Sixteen structures constitute the World Heritage property, although the cemetery includes over five hundred more modest graves which cluster around the major monuments.

Criterion (iii): The burial chambers and memorial chapels of the Sopianae cemetery bear outstanding testimony to the strength and faith of the Christian communities of the Late Roman Empire.

Criterion (iv): The unique Early Christian sepulchral art and architecture of the northern and western Roman provinces is exceptionally well and fully illustrated by the Sopianae cemetery at Pécs.

Integrity

The property includes a collection of 16 monuments which are part of the Early Christian Necropolis of Sopianae. They have been revealed through archaeological excavations which are ongoing; further delimitation of the property may change as a result of this ongoing research. With regard to the surviving attributes, all of which are under the ground level today, the intactness of the ruins and of their historic interrelations is sustained to the extent possible considering that subsequent urban layers, including the contemporary living city, are sedimented over the property.

Authenticity

Burial chambers, memorial chapels and other sepulchral remains and fragments excavated since the 18th century have been preserved at their original location following scientific research and restoration, using techniques available at the given time as well as technical solutions available today. Modern interventions necessary to conserve and present the remains are distinguished from original fabric.

Protection and management requirements

The property and its buffer zone are situated within a Historic Monuments Area declared in 1966. The Roman cemetery is also protected as an archaeological site. At local level, City Government Order No. 40 of 1994 declared the historic centre of the city and the area of the Roman cemetery a historic zone. The city has also passed several other ordinances in relation to the protection of historical and architectural values within the context of city development. Ownership of the sixteen monuments is varied: two belong to the Hungarian State, thirteen to the City of Pécs, and one to Baranya County.

Based on *National World Heritage Act of 2011* , a new management plan will enter into legal force as a governmental decree and will be reviewed at least every seven years. The management body is the World Heritage Division of Zsolnay Heritage Management Nonprofit Ltd. Once finalized and approved, the Management Plan and the management body will provide clear governance arrangements that involve representatives of different stakeholders. Based on the *World Heritage Act* , the state of the property, as well as threats and preservation measures will be regularly monitored and reported to the National Assembly; the management plan will be reviewed at least every seven years. Balance has to be kept between the preservation of authenticity and contemporary needs of presentation. In order to ensure increased authenticity of the attributes, modernisation of earlier technical solutions is an on-going management task. Ongoing research within the area of the former Necropolis may provide a base for the extension of the property in the future.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Early Christian symbols (Biblical themed wall paintings),	✖			
3.2.2	An outstanding source of late Roman religious history in Pannonia (a large number of Early Christian graves, artifacts related to Early Christian culture)	✖			
3.2.3	Continuation of the spirit of the area (a Christian Bishopric on the site of an Early Christian cemetery, the continuity of the use of Eraly Christian cemetery buldings)	✖			
3.2.4	Architectural historical value (a large number of two-storey burial structures, the unique ground plan design of the unfinished seven-apsed cella septichora)	✖			
3.2.5	Monumental value (intact evidence of underground monuments of the Roman building culture)	✖			
3.2.6	Fine art value (wall paintings made with al secco and al fresco techniques, murals made a uniform Early Christian theme)	✖			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**			
Impact	📄 **Current**	📄 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**	
⊕ Positive ✖		✖		✖		→		
⊖ Negative								

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**			
Impact	📄 **Current**	📄 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**	
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→		
⊖ Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

| ✖ Relevant					Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**			
Impact	🔲 **Current**	🔲 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬚ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✖		✖		✖		→	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**			
Impact	🔲 **Current**	🔲 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	⬚ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✖		✖		✖	↘		

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

| ✖ Relevant						Not relevant	
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏴 **Current**	🏴 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🏴 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.4.5 - Solid waste
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.7.3 - Temperature
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.7.5 - Dust
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.7.7 - Pests
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	🔵 **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖				

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	🔵 **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖			✖			
⊖ Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	🔵 **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖				
⊖ Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	🔵 **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**

🟢 Positive ✖	✖			✖			
🔴 Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant		Not relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬆ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.9.3 - Military training
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding
Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant							
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	🌀 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

	Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖		✖			

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◎ **Inside**	☁ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			
⊖ Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			
⊖ Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			
⊖ Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			
⊖ Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				
⊖ Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏳 **Current**	🏳 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🗺 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				
⊖ Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant		✖ Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕		🚩		☝	→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩		◉		→
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	⊖		🚩		☝	→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	⊖		🚩		☝	↘
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	⊖		🚩		☝	
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖		🚩		☝	
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⊖		🚩		☝	
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	⊖		🚩		☝	
4.7.5 Dust						
	⊖		🚩		☝	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	⊖		🚩		☝	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	⊖		🚩	◉		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	🚩			☝	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	🚩		◉		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	🚩			☝	
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						

Name						
	⊖		🚩		🔧	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		🚩		🔧	
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	⊖		🚩		🔧	
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	🔧	
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	🔧	
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	🔧	
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	🔧	
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	🔧	
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙		
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙		

Legend	🚩 Current	🚩 Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	⊙ Inside	🔧 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕	🚩	🔧	⇒

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant

	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	🟢	🚩		◎		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	⊖		🚩		🔄	→

	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

	Impact - Impact on the attributes
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

	Management response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
	⊖		🚩		🔄	↘

	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

	Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	⊖		◀		↻	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖		⚑		↻	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⊖		⚑		↻	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	🚫		🚩		↪	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

		Impact			Origin		Trend
✖	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust						
	🔴		🚩		🔄	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	🔴		🚩		🔄	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
✖	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	⊖		⚑	◉		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing

✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	🚩			↩	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	🚩		◉		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare

✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	🚩			🍃	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	⊖		⚑		⟳	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		⚑		⟳	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	⊖		🚩		↻	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	◐	⚑	⚑	◉	⟳	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	◐	⚑	⚑	◉	⟳	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised

| | Extensive |
| ✖ | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance		⊕	🚩	🚩	◉	☞	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

| ✖ | High capacity |

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	⚑	⚑	⊙	☞	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	⚑	⚑	⊙	☞	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised

✖	Extensive	
	Widespread	

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
✖	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
✖	High capacity

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✖	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✖	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✖	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost

4.18.1.1	Early Christian symbols (Biblical themed wall paintings),	✕				
4.18.1.2	An outstanding source of late Roman religious history in Pannonia (a large number of Early Christian graves, artifacts related to Early Christian culture)	✕				
4.18.1.3	Continuation of the spirit of the area (a Christian Bishopric on the site of an Early Christian cemetery, the continuity of the use of Eraly Christian cemetery buldings)	✕				
4.18.1.4	Architectural historical value (a large number of two-storey burial structures, the unique ground plan design of the unfinished seven-apsed cella septichora)	✕				
4.18.1.5	Monumental value (intact evidence of underground monuments of the Roman building culture)	✕				

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).
- Act LXIV of 2001 on the Protection of Cultural Heritage
- Act LXXVII of 2011 on World Heritage
- Government's Regulation 315/2011.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

Government's Regulation 315/2011. is no longer in force. New legislation: Government Decree 335/2019. on the National Procedure for Designation of the World Heritage Site, on the Content Requirements of World Heritage Management Plans and the Procedure for their Preparation, on the World Heritage Management Bodies, and on the Right of Pre-emption of the State in World Heritage Sites

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?
The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?
There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property
Traditional management system

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An environmental management framework

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?
Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?
No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property
There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?
The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?
An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✖		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✖	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				✖	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women				✖	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good

5.3.16.1	Local communities					✖
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✖	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✖	
5.3.16.5	Women					✖
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✖
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✖
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✖
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✖
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✖
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			✖		
5.3.16.12	NGOs				✖	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	✖				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	✖				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					✖
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					✖
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✖	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					✖

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	70 %	70 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	27 %	27 %

6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	3 %	3 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is **fully based** on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared** widely **with active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is **a planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

21809 / 24612 / 49 602 / 47 059 / 44 000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?
One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

13 USD (46%) / 5 USD (18%) / 4 USD (16%) / 3 USD (10%) / 2 USD (8%) / 1 USD (2%) /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?
There is a planned and **effective strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?
Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify
Ticket sowtware, visitor survey

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Bicycle path development, Construction and expansion of an e-bike system, Purchase of electric buses, Mecsek-Misina experience forest development.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

There are special free interactive walks and tours, we have volunteers who are proud of the values of the World Heritage sites

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				✖
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			✖	
10.3.3	Character of governance			✖	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	✖			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				✖
10.3.6	Capacity development			✖	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✖
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖

Please select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4	Localised utilities	None	None	None	None	None	None
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	None	None	None	None	None	None
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.4	Air pollution	-	Not relevant	None	None	None	None
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2	Relative humidity	-	Prevention of harmful effects	None	None	None	None
4.7.3	Temperature	-	Prevention of harmful effects	None	None	None	None
4.7.5	Dust	-	Prevention of harmful effects	None	None	None	None
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	-	Prevention of harmful effects	None	None	None	None
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	-	Prevention of harmful effects	None	None	None	None
4.9	Other human activities						
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	No destruction of OUV has occured. The property is situated in closed territories, not accessible to vandalism.	Prevention of harmful effects	The property is under continuous control.	During the involved years of the periodic reporting.	Management body	None
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2	Earthquake	The probability of the event is rather rare.	Continuous prediction of earthquakes with the help of seismologists.	None	During the involved years of the periodic reporting.	Disaster management body	None

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.5	**Some use** has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	- Implementation in Light Festival - Valuable Pécs Project - Sopianae Roman Walk Application	During the involved years of the periodic reporting.	Management body	- Implementing the World Heritage sites in the Zsonay Light Festival during the past years. - Valuable Pécs Project: The goal of the project was to exhibit the historical, architectural and religious variegation.
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	- Research - Prevention of harmful effects caused by climate change in the protection of world heritage values - Underground ventilation system development - Development of drainage and sewer system due to waterlogging caused by heavy rains	During the involved years of the periodic reporting.	Management body	We outpointed To ensure that effective and active measures are taken for the protection, conservation and presentation of the cultural heritage situated on its territory. It is important understanding how traditional materials and practices need to adapt to extreme weather events and a changing climate.
5.3.9	**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Consider the Strategy when necessary.	During the involved years of the periodic reporting.	Management body	None
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	- local, government support - institution's own income - international projects	During the involved years of the periodic reporting.	Management body	Heritage Management body receives both state support and local government support. In addition, it also generates its own income, most of which comes from admission tickets, guided tours, and souvenir sales.
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	- Increase the number of employees - High school and university interns - Volunteers	During the involved years of the periodic reporting.	Heritage Management body	In 2023, the number of employees will increase by 3 people, in addition to this, we plan to employ high school and university interns during the season.

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?
The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?
The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
State of Conservation

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The *World Heritage Convention*
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair

Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?
Gender balance **is explicitly considered and effectively implemented** in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?
No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

48 / 10 / 72 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	✖	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✖	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?
Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✖	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✖	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	No support
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair

National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.