Historic Centre of Riga

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Centre of Riga

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Riga	56.954 / 24.117	438.3	1574.2	2012.5	1997
Total (ha)		438.3	1574.2	2012.5	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
The map of Riga – the scheme shows how according to the demands of cultural heritage preservation, the territory of the Historic Centre of Riga and its buffer zone is differentiated	2008	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Panorama and silhouette of Riga
- 2. Live Riga
- 3. Historic Centre Riga
- 4. Riga City Council, Urban Planning

Comment

Changes in municipal organizational and management structure since last elections. Updated web sites: 1. The museum Riga Art Nouveau Centre: https://jugendstils.riga.lv//eng/ 3. Historic Centre of Riga (LV): https://www.rdpad.lv/par-rvc/ 4. Riga City Council, Urban planning, Territorial Plan of the Historic Centre of Riga and its Protection Zone (LV):

https://www.rdpad.lv/par-rvc/speka-esosie-planojumi-rvc-un-ta-aizsardzibas-zona/speka-esosais-teritorijas-planojums-rvc-un-ta-aizsardzibas-zona/ 5. Wooden buildings' renovation centre "Koka R ga" (LV): https://www.kokariga.lv/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×	
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

Historic Centre of Riga 1 of 54

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

WH property is associated with the Baltic Song and Dance Celebrations inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity (nomination file No.00087). The first Overall Latvian Singing Festival took place in the center of Riga in 1873, organized by Riga Latvian Society seat of which is situated in property. A special venue for the initial festival was built in one of parks. 150th anniversary of Latvian Song Festival tradition was celebrated widely in 2023.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Historic Centre of Riga 2 of 54

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

1. "Dainu Skapis - Cabinet of Folksongs". This "treasure chest" stores over 350,000 hand-written paper slips with recordings of Latvian folk songs. Made in 1880 as a working tool, now it has become a cultural symbol. It is now stored in a building of Latvian National Library, situated in the buffer zone of WH property. 2. "The Baltic Way - Human Chain Linking Three States in Their Drive for Freedom". Central part of 600 km long human chain on 23 of August 1989 went through the WH property.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Historic Centre of Riga is a living illustration of European history. Through centuries, Riga has been the centre of many historic events and a meeting point for European nations, and it has managed to preserve evidence of European influence on its historical development, borders between the West and the East, and intersection of trading and cultural routes. Riga has always been a modern city keeping up with the current trends in architecture and urban planning, and at the same time, preserving the city's integrity in the course of development.

Riga, which was founded as a port town in 1201, was one of the key centres of the Hanseatic League in Eastern Europe from the 13th to the 15th century. The urban fabric of its medieval core reflects the prosperity of those times, though most of the earliest buildings were rebuilt for actual needs or lost by fire or war. In the 17th century, Riga became the largest provincial town of Sweden. In the 19th century, it experienced rapid industrial development. It is in this period that the suburbs surrounding the medieval town were laid out, first, with imposing wooden buildings in neoclassical style, and later, when permanent stone buildings were allowed instead, in the Art Nouveau style. In the early 1900's Riga became the European city with the highest concentration of Art Nouveau architecture with around 50 Art Nouveau buildings of high architectural value in the medieval part and more than 300 in the rest of the Historic Centre. The site reflects various architectural styles, which provide valuable insight into the stages of development of Riga as a city. The Historic Centre of Riga is comprised of three different urban landscapes – the relatively well-preserved medieval core, the 19th century semi-circle of boulevards with a green belt on both sides of the City Canal, and the former suburban quarters surrounding the boulevards with dense built-up areas with a rectangular network of streets and wooden architecture of the 18th and 19th centuries. Each of these parts has its characteristic relationship of buildings and public outdoor spaces.

The Outstanding Universal Value to be preserved also resides in the spacious panorama of the Historic Centre of Riga with an expressive skyline. The medieval core of Riga is located on the right bank of the River Daugava, allowing a picturesque view on the skyline saturated with numerous church towers from the different perspectives of the left bank. Historic buildings are relatively low, with only church towers creating vertical dominance.

Riga always has had a role in the cultural, scientific, social, artistic, industrial and educational development of the region, being one of the biggest harbour cities and trade centres in the Baltic Sea Region, and thus, providing the exchange of the achievements of Western and Eastern civilizations. Riga Polytechnic, being the only higher architecture education institution until World War I in the Baltic States, promoted the dissemination of the patterns of its own architecture to Tallinn, Vilnius and other towns of the western part of Tsarist Russia.

Criterion (i): The medieval and later-period urban planning structure of the Historic Centre of Riga, as well as the quantity and quality of Art Nouveau architecture, which is unparalleled anywhere in the world, and the 19th century wooden architecture make it of Outstanding Universal Value. The Historic Centre of Riga has the finest concentration of Art Nouveau architecture in the world.

Criterion (ii): Riga has exerted considerable influence within the cultural area of the Baltic Sea on the developments in architecture, monumental sculpture and garden design.

Integrity

The property is the whole central part of the capital city of Riga. Its boundaries and its buffer zone are specified in accordance with the integrity of the urban fabric and the effective protection of the important views of the site. It contains all elements necessary to express Outstanding Universal Value, namely the architectural monuments of respective historical styles of the medieval core; the semicircle of boulevards, dominated by harmonically balanced 19th century and early 20th century eclectic architecture and Art Nouveau; and the territory of former suburbs with buildings from the 18th to the 20th century, especially in wood. The outstanding panorama and visual perspectives of the Historic Centre of Riga reflect the effective protection of the important views of the property.

The integrity of the site is challenged by the loss of original substance and authenticity of the site attributes, and the low-quality new developments in the Historic Centre of Riga not respecting the scale, character and pattern of the historic environment. The overall coherence of the site is also vulnerable to the possible adverse impact of new developments in and outside of the buffer zone.

Authenticity

The Historic Centre of Riga is a spatially harmonic urban environment with relatively few destructive transformations. The Historic Centre of Riga and its buffer zone include a set of authentic cultural and historical attributes significant to its Outstanding Universal Value: structure of historic urban pattern with high-quality transformations of later periods, panorama and skyline, visual perspectives, historic structure, (particularly groups of buildings of the Middle Ages, Art Nouveau and wooden architecture and the scale and character thereof), archaeological cultural layer, public outdoor space, system of greeneries and green areas, historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies, historic ground surfacing, and historic elements of improvements.

Protection and management requirements

The preservation of the Historic Centre of Riga is ensured by a strong system of legal acts – seven international conventions on heritage protection, which the Republic of Latvia has joined, the Law on Protection of Cultural Monuments, the Law on Preservation and Protection of the Historic Centre of Riga, 23 other laws, 27 Cabinet regulations and orders, and the Plan for Preservation and Development of the Historic Centre of Riga and its protection zone (adopted in 2006 by the Riga City Council) including binding regulations (by-laws) specific for this territory.

The management system is based on the framework mentioned above and institutional collaboration between state and local municipality institutions. The responsible institutions that are stable in the long term are the State Inspection for Heritage Protection and the Riga City Council with its respective institutions (City Development Department of Riga, Riga City Construction Board and Riga City Architect's Office with its Collegium). In order to ensure broader regular involvement of all interested parties and a more holistic approach towards the preservation, protection and development matters of the Historic Centre of Riga, the Council for Preservation and Development of the Historic Centre of Riga was established in 2003. The Council meets regularly, and its sittings and decision making process is open to the public.

The comprehensive model of the protection and preservation of the Historic Centre of Riga strives to sustain the authenticity and integrity of the site and to ensure the prevention of potential threats. The main threats of the property include the following areas. Firstly, the planning of urban development that is insufficiently based on balanced long-term development and low public participation in planning processes poses a threat to the property. Secondly, extremities in economic

Historic Centre of Riga 3 of 54

development, excessively fast growth or crisis could dramatically affect the property. Insufficient understanding and appreciation of heritage values in the society could threaten the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. Thirdly, trends of losing original substance and authenticity that must be continuously overcome, for example, demolition of historic buildings and constructions, transformation of historic planning structure, low-quality changes of spatial composition or roof shape of historic buildings and repairs of historic buildings using unsuitable methods and/or materials, and falsification of history with replicas of historical buildings or imitations of styles may pose a threat to the property. Similarly, trends of low-quality new buildings, including construction of new, large buildings that do not match the scale and character of the historic building pattern, large facilities that attract traffic in the historic city centre and the construction of new cheap buildings of low architectural value in valuable locations of the historic urban environment. Finally, insufficient financial resources for heritage preservation activities may also have an adverse impact on the development of the property.

The preservation and development framework for the Historic Centre of Riga is constantly being elaborated e.g. by data base improvement, further elaboration of detailed plans and local plans for certain areas, overall visual impact studies and their requirements, procedures for heritage impact assessment as well as diversification of local community involvement. The municipality develops legal frameworks and provisions to deal with these challenges in a holistic and participatory manner. The planning approach is based on a new system of planning in Latvia, introduced in 2011. The new long-term Development Strategy and mid-term Development Programme as well as the Spatial Plan according to new principles are in their initial stages of development. The municipality has issued binding regulations concerning building and land-use, which will prevent the appearance of over-scaled new constructions affecting the site and the demolition of historic buildings. These initiatives are being strengthened by state-level binding regulations, which demand the evaluation of every intended change related to heritage or the original structure, based on an assessment of certain cultural and historical values; evaluation and open discussion of changes in inter-institutional Councils; open architectural competitions in every case a new construction is planned for a public outdoor space. These initiatives are being enhanced by changing attitudes towards heritage values in the society thanks to extensive campaigns for tourist attraction (Live Riga, managed by the municipal Tourism Development office), public discussions organized by NGOs and state and municipal institutions.

The Basic Statements of Tourism Development in Riga is updated by the Municipality. Every historic building designated for public use has its own instructions for cases of emergency. An overall system of disaster management is implemented according to the Civil Protection Law. The relative proximity of the Riga Free Port transhipment zone to the Historic Centre of Riga and hence the transportation and reloading of hazardous and polluting substances through the Historic Centre of Riga and its buffer zone may be considered as a potential threat, although port activities are planned to be moved from their present location.

Financial instruments for the World Heritage property are formed by the state and municipality budget, tax system, international financial instruments, and private funding. The joint cooperation of all stakeholders has been established by inclusion, information and incentives over time.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

3.2.1 medieval and later-period urban planning structure 3.2.2 Art Nouveau architecture 3.2.3 wooden architecture of the 18th and 19th centuries 3.2.4 outstanding panorama and skyline 3.2.5 scale, character and pattern of the historic environment 3.2.6 system of greeneries and green areas 3.2.7 historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies 3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements		
3.2.2 Art Nouveau architecture 3.2.3 wooden architecture of the 18th and 19th centuries 3.2.4 outstanding panorama and skyline 3.2.5 scale, character and pattern of the historic environment 3.2.6 system of greeneries and green areas 3.2.7 historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies 3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements	mpromised	Lost
3.2.3 wooden architecture of the 18th and 19th centuries 3.2.4 outstanding panorama and skyline 3.2.5 scale, character and pattern of the historic environment 3.2.6 system of greeneries and green areas 3.2.7 historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies 3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements		
3.2.4 outstanding panorama and skyline 3.2.5 scale, character and pattern of the historic environment 3.2.6 system of greeneries and green areas 3.2.7 historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies 3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements		
3.2.5 scale, character and pattern of the historic environment 3.2.6 system of greeneries and green areas 3.2.7 historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies 3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements		
3.2.6 system of greeneries and green areas 3.2.7 historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies 3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements		
3.2.7 historic water courses, waterfronts and water bodies 3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements		
3.2.8 historic ground surfacing with cobblestone pavements		
3.2.9		
3.2.10		
3.2.11		
3.2.12		
3.2.13		
3.2.14		
3.2.15		

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

OUV attributes are explained, detailed and their protection and development is ensured by system of specific law, governmental regulations and elaborated municipal spatial/territorial plan and binding regulations on construction and land-use specifically for the World Heritage Site and its buffer-zone territory.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Historic Centre of Riga 4 of 54

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★		×	×		S		
○ Negative X	×	×		×			7

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×		S		
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶		×	×			→		
Negative								

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶		×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

All development processes, plans and projects are controlled by responsible authorities. Positive impact, mainly inside the property is because of positive impact on development of local community and economic development. Negative impact from outside is considered because of sprawl of potentials outside property while number of inhabitants in the property and its buffer-zone territory slightly stabilizes after long period of decreasing.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶		×	×		S		
○ Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

Historic Centre of Riga 5 of 54

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive ★	×			×		→	
Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×			×	S		
Negative X		×		×			7

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×		×	×		→	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Maritime transport such as regular passenger ferry connection to Stockholm was stopped during Covid crisis period, it is not reestablished, less visitors coming to the Property. Cruise ships' routes are stabile, which brings significant amount of visitors. Air traffic to and from Riga airport is recovered after Covid crisis and gives positive impact on visitor flows. Important international fast train line Rail Baltica and Central station is under construction close to Property border.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×		×		→	
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant Not relevant	
Relevant	

Historic Centre of Riga 6 of 54

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				,

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				/

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Renewable energy facilities like solar panels previously was not allowed to use in the Property territory, but it is now revised in international and national level. Special guidelines and best practice examples will be necessary for successful integration of such facilities in historical environment and buildings. Better thermo-insulation of historic buildings will become more actual. Modern telecommunications like 5G networks will be necessary to introduce in streets and buildings.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

★ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×	×		→	

Historic Centre of Riga 7 of 54

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

televant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

elevant	✗ Not relevant
---------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Historic Centre of Riga 8 of 54

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
Neievant	" NULTERVALL

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	★ Not relevant
Neievani	Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
I C.F. Diagram and an accessory on bourths footone	released as relevant in A.C. are offertion the manager either monetively or

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×				7

Historic Centre of Riga 9 of 54

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				7

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact Current Po		Potential	Inside	G Outside	side Decreasing → Stable A In		Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×	×				P

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Area of paved and asphalt surfaces slowly increasing with new developments and creates "heat island" effects. Rainfalls and their intensity slowly increase affecting buildings' their outer finishing as well as causes problems with rainwater management, overloading of common sewage networks and local flooding. Dust and chemical air pollution from heating and car engines as well as anti-skid materials that are sprinkled on the road in winter (salt, sand) cause health issues and impacts buildings.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×		×			→		
Negative								

Historic Centre of Riga 10 of 54

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	!	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×			,
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
	×		×			→	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×	×	×	×	S		

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact				Trend of impact	rend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×				7	
Negative								

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Question 4.8.4 is answered as relevant because of one specific position of lack of good stone pavement laying skills which is lost significantly due to long interruption of using this material for street covering and lack of regular maintenance of historical pavement of streets and squares in Property and city as a whole. Question 4.8.5 takes in account effects of shrinking city and migration to green suburbs as a result of different factors, like rising cost of living, increasing of mobility.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Delevent	
Relevant	X Not relevant

Historic Centre of Riga 11 of 54

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×		×			P

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×			7

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Historic Centre of Riga 12 of 54

Relevant	X Not relevant
rolovani	Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×				7

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Area of paved surfaces slowly increasing with new developments and creates more "heat island" effects and rising of temperature. Rainfalls and their intensity slowly increase affecting buildings' their outer finishing as well as causes problems with rainwater management, overloading of common sewage networks and local flooding of streets, cellars of the buildings etc. Episodic impacts from flooding from river Daugava enforced with specific winds from Baltic sea, no significant changes in time

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Historic Centre of Riga 13 of 54

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

Historic Centre of Riga 14 of 54

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
② Positive X	×	×	ж				1
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				•
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶		×	×	×			1
Negative X	×		×		S		

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×			/
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×				,
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Notovani	Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

ame	Impact	Origin	Trend	
-----	--------	--------	-------	--

Historic Centre of Riga 15 of 54

4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing	O		9	@		S
		q	9		F	7
4.1.2 Commercial development	©	q		()		\
			9		G	→
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	©		9	()		→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	©		9	()	F	7
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O		q	@		S
		q	•		Œ	→
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	0	q			<i>₹</i>	→
		•			3	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	©	A			(G	
The state of the s		-1			G.	
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			7		G	
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure		A			re.	_
		4		•	G	→
4.3 Services Infrastructures			~		ord.	
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	O		E -1		G	→
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
			9	•		
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
			9	•		7
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		q		•	C	\rightarrow
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		q			G	\rightarrow
4.7.3 Temperature						
			9	•		1
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
			9	•		7
4.7.5 Dust						
		q	9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			9	•		1
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	q		•		\Rightarrow
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	0		9	•	G	7

Historic Centre of Riga 16 of 54

4.8.4 Changes in tra	aditional ways of life and	d knowledge system								
						9		②		\rightarrow
4.8.5 Identity, socia	I cohesion, changes in I	ocal population and commu	ınity							
						9	9	•	C	\$
4.8.6 Impacts of tou	rism/Visitation/Recreati	on				9	9	•		-
4.10 Climate change	e and severe weather ev	vents								
4.10.1 Storms										
							9		Œ	/
4.10.2 Flooding										
							9	•	C	<i>P</i>
4.10.6 Temperature	change									
							q	•		<i>P</i>
4.13 Management a	nd institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management	system/Management pl	an			O	9	q	•		→
4.13.2 Legal framew	vork					q		②		→
4.13.3 Governance						A	q	()		<i>></i>
4.13.4 Management	activities						q	©		<u> </u>
4.13.5 Financial res	ources						q	@	F	<i>></i>
						A		@		\$
4.13.6 Human resou	ırces				•		q	•	G	<i>></i>
						9		•		→
4.13.7 Low impact r	esearch/monitoring acti	ivities			©	4	9	•		_
, <u></u>					J			9		
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	Insi	de		Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Name				Origin	Trend	
4.1.1 Hous	4.1.1 Housing			9	•		\$
			9	9		Œ	7
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

Historic Centre of Riga 17 of 54

	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	nercial development	Impact	9		Origin		Trend
	nercial development			9		©	
4.1.2 Comn	ercial development e - Area affected by the factor			9		Œ	
4.1.2 Comn				9		G	
4.1.2 Comm	e - Area affected by the factor			q		Œ	
4.1.2 Comm	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted			q		Œ	
4.1.2 Comm	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			q		Œ	
4.1.2 Comm	Restricted Localised Extensive			4		હ	
4.1.2 Comm	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			व		G	
4.1.2 Comm	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact			4		Œ	
4.1.2 Comm	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			4		©	
4.1.2 Comm	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic			q		©	
4.1.2 Comm	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			व		©	
4.1.2 Comm	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			4		G	
4.1.2 Comm	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes			4		©	
4.1.2 Comm Spatial sca X Temporal s	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant			व		©	
4.1.2 Comm Spatial sca X Temporal s	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			व		©	
4.1.2 Comm Spatial sca X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			4		(
4.1.2 Comm Spatial sca X Temporal s X	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major			4		©	

Historic Centre of Riga 18 of 54

×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Impact	9	Origin		Trend
4. 1.4 IVIAJOI	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	7	②		
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin	100	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	oretative and visitation facilities	•	9	Q	F	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					

Historic Centre of Riga 19 of 54

Extensive

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groui	nd transport infrastructure	O		9	•		\$
			q			C	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

Historic Centre of Riga 20 of 54

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin	net.	Trend
4.2.3 AIT TO	Insport infrastructure	O	9			G	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
**	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marin	e transport infrastructure	•	q			F	•
				q		F	-
Spetial ac-	lo - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted						
^	Nosinoleu						
	Localised						

Historic Centre of Riga 21 of 54

	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
		9	•	C	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

22 of 54 Historic Centre of Riga

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.1 Water	infrastructure	•		9		Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impac	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
			9	•		7

Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Historic Centre of Riga 23 of 54

	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities																														
		q	•	-																										

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond

Historic Centre of Riga 24 of 54

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.4.4 Air po	llution						
			q		•	F	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact	Origin		rigin Trer	
4.7.2 Relative humidity					

Historic Centre of Riga 25 of 54

			q		Œ	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
						_
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend

Name	Impact		Origin	Irend
4.7.3 Temperature				
		9	•	7

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					

Historic Centre of Riga 26 of 54

	Significant				
	Major				
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.4 Radiat	ion/Light				
			9	•	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend

Historic Centre of Riga 27 of 54

4.7.5 Dust

			4	4	(e)	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	(rain/water table)	Шрасі			Origin		Henu
				ø	•		1
				•			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Historic Centre of Riga 28 of 54

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact	t	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	al/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	•	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

Historic Centre of Riga 29 of 54

4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage		•		9	•	Œ.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.0.4 Chan	ges in traditional ways of the and knowledge system		q		@		_
			4		(d)		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Historic Centre of Riga 30 of 54

×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		~~			Œ	
			9	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	A	•		1

Historic Centre of Riga 31 of 54

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Stor	4.10.1 Storms						
				9		G	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						

Historic Centre of Riga 32 of 54

	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Floo	4.10.2 Flooding					
			9	•	C	-

Name	e I		Impact			Origin		
4.10.2 F	4.10.2 Flooding							
				9	•	G	1	
Snatial	scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×								
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Tempoi	al scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going On-going							
Impact	Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
×	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

Historic Centre of Riga 33 of 54

4.10.6 Temperature change					
			9	•	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		q	9	•		\Rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						

Historic Centre of Riga 34 of 54

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
· Insignificant							
	Minor						
~							
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	•	9		•		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Do	relopement over the last 6 years						
Trong - De	Decreasing						
~							
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Historic Centre of Riga 35 of 54

Name		Impac	t		Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	•	9	9	•	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	agement activities	Impact	l	9	Origin	Trend
	-g			•	3	Ť
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					

On-going

Historic Centre of Riga 36 of 54

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		<i>-</i> 21	Origin	··	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	•	<i>-</i>	9	•	F	
			4		•		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Historic Centre of Riga 37 of 54

4.13.6 Human resources		O		9	•	Œ	1
			P		•		→
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opana. oo	Restricted						
×	Localised						
~	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
remporars	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
^							
Impost Im	On-going						
impact - im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	Impac	q	q	Origin		Trend
			·	•	4		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

Name

On-going

Origin

Trend

Impact

Historic Centre of Riga 38 of 54

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	medieval and later-period urban planning structure	×			
4.18.1.2	Art Nouveau architecture	×			
4.18.1.3	wooden architecture of the 18th and 19th centuries		×		
4.18.1.4	outstanding panorama and skyline	×			
4.18.1.5	scale, character and pattern of the historic environment		×		

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The law on Preservation and Protection of the Historic Centre of Riga was adopted by the Parliament of the Republic of Latvia in 29 May 2003 and it came into force in 25 June 2003.

The law states:

- Precise area of the Historic Centre of Riga and its protection zone (buffer zone) borders.
- Procedure, that the regulations for the Historic Centre of Riga and its buffer zone are determined by the Cabinet of Ministers. Procedure for ensuring
 qualitative and joint decisions, forming consultative expert council.
- Procedure, that new construction in public outer space is allowed only according to the results of open architectural project competitions.
- Procedure, that any new building, reconstruction or demolition that causes essential changes in culture historical environment is prohibited until plan of

Historic Centre of Riga 39 of 54

the Historical Centre of Riga comes into force.

Procedure, that land-use, restrictions for property use, requirements for preservation of culture and historic environmental and historical values etc. have
to be determined by Spatial Plan for the Historic Centre of Riga and its buffer zone.

The Riga City Council shall develop and approve the Spatial Plan of the Historic Centre of Riga in the form of binding regulations.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2006/2013 / Land Use and Building Regulations of the Historic Centre of Riga and its Protection (buffer) Zone / Yes. Special law and governmental regulations / Yes. Spatial plan of the Historic Centre of Riga and its Protection Zone /

Law: https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/76001 Governmental regulations: https://likumi.lv/ta/en/en/id/85432

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Other (please specify below)

Sustainable Development Strategy of Riga City - Riga 2030. Development Program of Riga City. Guidelines for development of street space.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management system is based on the legal framework (Q3.1) and collaboration between state and local municipality institutions. The responsible institutions are the National Heritage Board of Latvia and the Riga City Council with its respective institutions (City Development Department of Riga). In order to ensure broader regular involvement of all interested parties and a more holistic approach towards the preservation, protection, and development special Council is established.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Planning of the Riga Historical Center and Its Protection Zone Territory	N/A	Available	2006	

Comment

Title of the main document varies in different sources. City of Riga uses the title "Territorial Plan of Historic Centre of Riga and its protection zone". It is approved on 2006 and amended on 2013. Link to the source (in Latvian):

https://www.rdpad.lv/par-rvc/speka-esosie-planojumi-rvc-un-ta-aizsardzibas-zona/speka-esosais-teritorijas-planojums-rvc-un-ta-aizsardzibas-zona/

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

Historic Centre of Riga 40 of 54

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape as the document to consider has been used in presentations and initial discussions in the process of elaboration of new Territorial Plan of Historic Centre of Riga and its protection zone, which is started at 2022.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups			×		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups of society, like disabled peoples' organizations, neighborhood associations, elderly people, young families with children groups' please specify etc.					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists		×			
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists		×			
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		

Historic Centre of Riga 41 of 54

5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups				×	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Specific groups of society, like di etc.	sabled peoples' organizations, r	neighborhood as	sociations, e	lderly people

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	20 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	80 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage

Historic Centre of Riga 42 of 54

properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	30 %	20 %
6.1.6.2	Women	70 %	80 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Historic Centre of Riga 43 of 54

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Poor
Information booths	Not provided but needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Not provided but needed

Historic Centre of Riga 44 of 54

Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

805 832 / 319 711 / 476 905 / 1 459 804 / 1 484 152 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

Central Statistical Bureau of Latvia

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

2,1 days; Central Statistical Bureau of Latvia

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

105.00 / 70.00 / 1.66 / 4.00-15.00 / 0.00 / 25.00 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Local store express interviews. There is no information regarding recreation fees gathered, as this varies from visitors' specific.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Riga's World Heritage sights are publicly available, and they have no limitations to access. Tourism Authority of Riga City advises local travel agencies to distribute tourism flow to the World Heritage sights so it is not making large gatherings or crowds. Tourism Authority is not regulating individual travellers' flow and relies on travellers' common sense during their visit to the sights. In the case of heavy tourist traffic to one or another World Historical Heritage site, city authorities can imply regulations on visitor amounts/flow and durations.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Effectiveness is monitored in collaboration with the local tourism industry bodies/associations.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

Historic Centre of Riga 45 of 54

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		×		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	Organizations of people with disabilities and special needs; neighborhoods' residents associations etc.

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is complete

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Municipality of Riga as responsible management authority has worked out the amendments to the Spatial/Territorial Plan of the Historic Centre of Riga and its Protection (buffer) Zone. Recommendations from the ICOMOS comments (April 2011) were implemented during the planning process. The elaboration of the new version of the plan has begun in 2023.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Data collection for establishment of monitoring system on the values and the results of development process in the World Heritage property since 2006, when the Plan became into force, is started in 2013 as planned activity for future. In the new planning process started in 2023 it is planned to work out monitoring system on regular basis and it also will be used as background for future planning in the HC of Riga.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

Historic Centre of Riga 46 of 54

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	×
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood 	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	×
Pleas	e select 1 more issues.	
☑ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.4	Pollution						
4.4.4	Air pollution	Historical buildings' outlook is affected from air pollution with dust.	Establishment of Low Emission Zone for motorized traffic including WH property. Elimination of new sources of heating using fossil fuels.	Not established yet.	Low Emission Zone until 2027.	City Council of Riga.	No.

Historic Centre of Riga 47 of 54

4.7	Local condit	ions affecting physical fa	abric									
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Historical buildings, for ex. wooden and metal are envisaged. constructions, are affected from humidity.		ons	Not established yet. No specific timeframe.			Owners of the buildings.		Impact of humidity becomes greater with factors connected with climate changes.		
4.7.4	Radiation/Light	Character of the historic townscape is affected by light pollution.	No specific actions are planned.	actions are enterprise I		Not specified. Municipality. Municipal enterprise Riga Light. Property owners.		t.	Light pollution tendency as properties of the facade. Ne street lighting of more lighting, swith non charalight temperature of the facade. The facade is the facade is the facade is the facade in the facade in the facade is the facade in the facade in the facade is the facade in the facade in the facade in the facade is the facade in the	operty tax uildings e lighting of w LED creates sometimes cteristic		
4.7.5	Dust	Historical buildings' outlook is affected from air pollution with dust.	Elimination of new heating using fossi Establishment of L Zone for motorized Elimination of use treatment of street anti-slip materials i	il fuels. ow Emission I traffic. of salt for s with		olished yet		ow Emissio ntil 2027.	n Zone	City Co Riga.	ouncil of	No.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Impact on constructions of historical buildings and public open spaces.	-	ment er using operties	Not established	d yet.	No specific timeframe.		City Cour Riga.	ncil of	More frequen rains have mo on construction historical build rainwater coll- systems in str public spaces	ore impact ons of dings and ection reets and
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of heritage										
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	authenticity of mpublic open spaces. p. n. p. cc reference of in W	istablishment of regular naintenance of historic avement. Using of atural stone avements and onnected elements in enovation and public pen space mprovement projects in VH property and utside its limits.		stablished yet.	Perman	ent.	Traffic		and	Traditional sl quality of lay natural stone in streets and is significantl time due to e of such mate development of regular materialition of his pavement.	ing of pavement d squares y lost by eliminating rial for new and lost aintenance
4.10	Climate char	nge and severe weather e	events									
4.10.1	Storms	Technical condition of historical buildings.	No specific actions planned. Better maintenance of bu quality of restoratic renovation work ar construction mater used.	ildings, on and nd	Not establishe	d yet.	Permane	ently.		Owners		No.
4.10.2	Flooding		Sustainable rainwater nanagement.	Calcu project severa urban and ra	stablished yet. lations and titions are done in al sustainable drainage systen ainwater gement pilot tts.	n	established y	Dev	nicipality. relopers. P ners.	roperty	Flooding du level chang river Dauga combinatior specific win in Baltic Se: more heavy Not regular have occas impacts on undergroun historical bu	es in the va in n with d direction a as well as rainfalls. but can ional streets and d parts of
4.13	Managemen	t and institutional factors	,									

Historic Centre of Riga 48 of 54

4.13.5	Financial resources	Urban planning structure. Scale, character and pattern of historical urban environment.	Statutory urban planning - elaboration of Spatial Plan for Historic Center of Riga and its Protection (buffer) zone. Development of WH management system.	Spatial Plan exists ar results of its implementation are evaluated and analyz in new Spatial Plan elaboration process.		New Spatial Plan for WH property and its protection (buffer) zone: 2028.	City Development	Spatial Plan exists and new Spatial Plan is in elaboration process.
4.13.6	Human resources	Integrity and authenticity.	Ensuring of elaboration and management of Spatial Plan of the Historic Center and its Protection (buffer) Zone.	Not applicable.	Spati	oration of new ial Plan is in ess. Deadline:	City Council of Riga. City Development department.	Management system of WH property is under permanent development and its scope of practical measures is extended steadily.

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management	ent Plan			
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Elaboration and implementation of the Spatial/Territorial Plan of Historic Centre of Riga and its protection zone. New planning cycle is started in 2023.	2028.	City Council of Riga. City Development department.	Spatial or Territorial Plan is one of the key elements of established Management System for Historic Center of Riga.
5.3.11		Decision making using inter-institutional Preservation and Development Council of the Historic Centre of Riga.	Permanent.	National Heritage Administration together with City Council of Riga, UNESCO Latvian National Commission, Ministry of Culture, Ministry of Environment Protection and Regional Development, Union of Latvian Architects.	City Council of Riga works in close collaboration with National Heritage Administration. Good management is based on transparent decision making in special inter-institutional Preservation and Development Council of the Historic Centre of Riga.
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	To extend scope of practical measures of Management System.	2028.	City Council of Riga and its institutions, departments and municipal enterprises.	During the process of elaboration of new Spatial Plan for the WH property and its buffer zone all necessary practical fields of management will be analyzed and management issues addressed for relevant development planning documents and institutions.
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	Improvement of action planning for WH property management needs by inclusion of those needs in form of projects in Action Plan of City Development Program which is yearly updated.	2028.	City Council of Riga and its institutions.	Annual work/action plan specifically for the WH property is not a traditional action and investment planning for City of Riga. Separate actions are usually included on overall city budget planning.
5.3.17	In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage	Extension and development of scope of practical measures of Management System.	2028.	City Council of Riga and its institutions and municipal enterprises. NGO's and residents' neighborhood associations. Private entrepreneurs.	During the process of elaboration of new Spatial Plan for the WH property and its buffer zone all necessary practical fields of management will be analyzed, and management issues addressed for relevant development planning documents and institutions

Historic Centre of Riga 49 of 54

	property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood									
7	Scientific Studies	and Research Pr	ojects							
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	mall Establishment of regular research program for WH property. the age		2024-2028.			Development department of City Council.	are planned planning for its buffer zor preservation historical val	ot of thematic research projects re planned in process of new lanning for the WH property and s buffer zone, including status of reservation of main cultural and istorical values and attributes of VH. Planned research needs will e outlined.	
8	Education, Inform	nation and Aware	ness Building							
8.2	There is a Study of relevant heritage education and awareness issues for children and youth for development of WH Management System. Study of relevant heritage education and awareness issues for children and youth for development of WH Management System.		2024-2028			evelopment department of ity Council.	development and awarenes children and y and outlined o preservations	s and topics for of heritage education ss program for routh will be identified during research of status of main cultural values and attributes ty.		
9	Visitor Manage	ement								
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made		City Development departm Riga City Council. Investm Tourism Development Age Riga City. Museums and professional associations of guides, tourism and hospite businesses.		City Council. Investment and m Development Agency of City. Museums and sional associations of s, tourism and hospitality	and presentation and interpretation of values of Riga Historic Center be identified and outlined durin research of preservation status				
10	Monitoring									
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	system propo of Manageme Historic Cente	regular monitoring sal for improvement nt System of Riga er.	2028			elopment department of y Council.	new Spatial Plan and its buffer zon of management w issues addressed	is of elaboration of for the WH property e all practical fields vill be analyzed, and for creation and f regular monitoring	

Historic Centre of Riga 50 of 54

10.2	Information on	Development of system and	2024-2028	City Development department of	During the process of elaboration of
	the values of	elaboration of key indicators for		Riga City Council.	new Spatial Plan for the WH property
	the World	evaluation of status of			and its buffer zone all fields of
	Heritage	preservation and development of			management will be analyzed, and
	property is	Riga Historic Center.			indicators discussed to create and
	sufficient to				implement regular monitoring for
	define key				ensuring of effective Management
	indicators, but				System.
	this has not				
	been done				

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Regarding answer on 12.3.4.3.: it is mostly a problem of conflict between street greenery, which always has been characteristic feature for Historic Center of Riga, and special legislation requirements and practical need for development of technical utilities/infrastructure, basically situated underground, which leads to loss of greenery and impossibility of landscaped streets with new trees as less priority in legislation.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

Historic Centre of Riga 51 of 54

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

It is significantly strengthening the position of municipal institution responsible for WH management planning - City Development department of Riga City Council in its undertakings for improvement of urban environment quality.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

For proper management reasons, ensuring high quality in all construction, architectural as well as other significant activities and multilateral discussion of all kinds of development plans and projects within WH site and its buffer-zone area there is the Council for Preservation and Development of the Historic Centre of Riga (established in 2003). It is composed by 10 members appointed by institutions and approved by the Minister of Culture. Institutions represented in the council are: UNESCO Latvian National Commission (1 member), National Heritage Board (3 members), City Council of Riga (3 members), Ministry of Environment protection and Regional Development (1), Latvian Union of Architects (1) and 1 representative appointed by the Minister of Culture. The Council meets regularly (once in 2 weeks in average), and its sittings and decision-making process is open to the public. The decisions of the Council are binding to both state and municipal responsible authorities dealing with heritage preservation, construction and development planning.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value				
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity				
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value				
Monitoring and reporting				

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
UNESCO National Commission
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

Historic Centre of Riga 52 of 54

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Questionnaire was very good technically composed. Problematic situation was, for example, when all questions of chapter 12.1 were "Completed", but question as a whole was not completed and no clarification was available, what was the problem.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

Historic Centre of Riga 53 of 54

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Historic Centre of Riga 54 of 54