Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park with the Archeological Sites of Paestum and Velia, and the Certosa di Padula

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property
Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park with the Archeological Sites of Paestum and Velia, and the Certosa di Padula

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Paestum, Velia, the Certosa di Padula, Mount Cervati and the Vallo di Diano	40.283 / 15.483	1371	?	1371	1998
Punta Licosa and the Mount Stella region	40.248 / 14.928	111.29	?	111.29	1998
Capo Palinuro, Punta d. Infreschi and the Mount Bulgheria region	40.067 / 15.433	126.41	?	126.41	1998
Total (ha)		**1608.7**	**0**	**1608.7**	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park with the Archaeological Sites of Paestum and Velia, and the Certosa di Padula	2009	🖼

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Il Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano
2. Informazioni turistiche e commerciali sul Cilento (only in Italian)
3. Parco Nazionale del Cilento / Vallo di Diano e Alburni (in Italian)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	**International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection** (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.2	**List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection** (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		✖
2.1.3	**The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)** (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		✖
2.1.4	**World Network of Biosphere Reserves** Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	✖	
2.1.5	**Global Geoparks Network** UNESCO Global Geoparks	✖	

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	**1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	**Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	**Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	**Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	✖
2.7.5	**UNESCO Global Geoparks**	
2.7.1	There is **no contact** with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager **occasionally** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager **regularly** communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager **also manages** this designation/programme.	✖

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?
Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Cilento is a cultural landscape of outstanding value that has evidence of human occupation dating from 250,000 years ago. It has been successively occupied over time by farmers during the Neolithic period, by Bronze and Iron Age societies, Etruscans, Greek colonists, Lucanians, and was eventually incorporated into the Roman territory inthe 3rd century BC. Roman road networks replaced the earlier tracks, but after the collapse of the Western Roman Empire, these roads fell into disrepair and the ancient network was revived during the Middle Ages, as is evident in the feudal castles and religious establishments built along routes.

The site contains dramatic groups of sanctuaries and settlements extending across three different east-west mountain ridges in the province of Salerno, covering quite a vast area, 159,110ha, including part of the National Park Cilento e Vallo di Diano, the two archaeological sites of Paestum and Velia, and the monumental Certosa di Padula.

The National Park, essentially a mountainous region divided by several river valleys sloping down to the Tyrrhenian Sea, is defined by natural features: the Tyrrhenian Sea on the east, and the Sele and Tanagro rivers, with the broad sweep of the Vallo di Diano in its upper reaches.

Communication routes were established during pre-historic times along the crests of the mountain ranges, and while they fell into decline during the Roman era, they came back into use in the Middle Ages. Evidence of this use is visible in the many prehistoric and proto-historic sites discovered, and in the medieval towns and castles. The most noteworthy archaeological site is that of Paestum, the Greek city of Poseidonia, founded at the end of 7th century BC.

Another site of great importance is the archaeological area of Velia, which preserves the monumental remains of the colony of Elea, founded by the Phocaeans in the second half of the 6th century.

The Certosa di San Lorenzo at Padula in the Vallo di Diano is one of the most impressive monastic structures in the world. Its construction began in 1306, but its present Baroque form is the result of the transformations carried out in the 17th and 18th centuries. Today it is home to the Archaeological Museum of Lucanian Antiquities.

The Cilento presents an outstanding cultural landscape. The dramatic groups of sanctuaries and settlements along its three east–west mountain ridges vividly portray the area's historical evolution: it was a major route not only for trade, but also for cultural and political interaction during the prehistoric and medieval periods. The Cilento was also the boundary between the Greek colonies of Magna Graecia and the indigenous Etruscan and Lucanian peoples. The remains of two major cities from classical times, Paestum and Velia, can be found there.

Criterion (iii): During the prehistoric period, and again in the Middle Ages, the Cilento region served as a key route for cultural, political, and commercial communications in an exceptional manner, utilizing the crests of the mountain chains running east-west and thereby creating a cultural landscape of outstanding significance and quality.

Criterion (iv): In two key episodes in the development of human societies in the Mediterranean region, the Cilento area provided the only viable means of communication between the Adriatic and the Tyrrhenian seas in the central Mediterranean region, and this is vividly illustrated by the cultural landscape of today.

Integrity

The integrity of the property is intact. Within the National Park Cilento e Vallo di Diano one finds the two archaeological sites from the Greek cities of Paestum and Velia (called the Great Attractor); the monumental complex of the ancient monastery Certosa di Padula; and many sites of great archaeological and artistic relevance, such as the Lucanian settlements of Moio della Civitella, Roccagloriosa and Caselle in Pittari. The vast site also contains seaside landscapes (Punta Licosa, Palinuro, and Punta degli Infreschi) as well as inland landscapes, such as the Bulgheria mountains.

This ample stretch of land, located within a natural protected area of national importance, ensures the integrity of the site. In fact, despite the inevitable transformations in susch a vast territory, the property conserves its features as a cultural landscape, deriving from the age-old interaction between humans and nature.

Threats to the property are primarily related to natural disasters such as landslides and flooding. There is a possible threat to the integrity of the site due to illegally constructed buildings within the National Park.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the cultural elements within the park is high, providing an example of a cultural landscape of outstanding significance and quality on the Tyrrhenian Sea, with traces of human occupation dating back to pre-historic times. Vestiges of ancient mountain trail networks are still visible in the landscape, as are many of the religious sanctuaries. Villages and hamlets along the route have survived with little change impacting their authenticity.

Much restoration work has been completed in the archaeological sites of Paestum and Velia and the Certosa di San Lorenzo. In Paestum, in addition to the restoration of the three Doric temples, the restoration of the house three blocks from the Roman and the eastern sector of the city walls has been completed. Furthermore, a new section dedicated to the Museum of Prehistory and Protohistory has been opened to the public. In Velia the Roman baths and the monumental Porta Rosa have been completely restored and conserved, together with the medieval tower on the acropolis. The Certosa di Padula has been superbly restored by the competent *Soprintendenza*.

Protection and management requirements

The property benefits from three different levels of protection: national, regional and local.

At the national level, the site is covered by Italian Legislative Decree no 42/2004 "Code for the Cultural Heritage and Landscape", which offers protection for natural and panoramic beauty. As a result, all interventions require the approval of the relevant national heritage organizations (municipalities and *Soprintendenza* for Architectonic Heritage and Landscape, a peripheral office of the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities). The archaeological sites and several individual buildings in the area are also covered by Decree 42/04 as cultural heritage. This is effectively a safeguarding measure, which ensures any activity on the site must be authorized by the relevant *Soprintendenza*.

The archaeological site of Paestum is also under the protection regime of L. 220/1957, which established a protected landscape area extending one kilometer outside the city walls.

Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano is also protected by Italian Law no 394/1991, which covers the natural areas and enforces strict controls over designated areas. A Presidential Decree of June 1995 established the Park and guaranteed the protection of both the natural environment and the heritage buildings; it also encouraged the preservation of the cultural landscape within a programme of sustainable development. It is also worth noting that much of the park is a National Forest, which comes under the strict control of the Italian Forest Law. In June 2010, the programme of the Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park took effect, classifying a good part of the areas included in the World Heritage property as zones of "integral reserve", within which the natural environment is conserved and its integrity ensured. The authorization of the "Ente Parco" (Park Authority) is required for all activities within the park.

The Italian catalogue of Natura 2000 sites highlights the World Heritage property within the Park, as well as vast Sites of Community Importance (S.I.C.) and Zones of Special Protection (Z.P.S.), whose safeguarding is subject to a further procedure to assess its effects, ending with the issue of a Regional Authorization, after approval by the Ente Parco.

Ownership of properties within the property is both private and public. The overall supervision for the landscape and monumental buildings is the responsibility of the above-mentioned *Soprintendenza* and of the Italian government's *Ministero per i Beni e le Attività Culturali*.

The archaeological sites of Paestum and Elea-Velia are managed by the *Soprintendenza* for the Archaeological Heritage of Salerno, Avellino, Benevento and Caserta, a peripheral office of the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities. The Certosa di Padula is managed by the *Soprintendenza* for the Architectonic and Landscape Heritage of Salerno and Avellino, another peripheral office of the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities.

For the preparation of the management system, the relevant authority appointed jointly with the peripheral offices of the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities (*Soprintendenze*) is the Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano.

The World Heritage property within the park is under the park's direct administration (in accordance with the law), under the responsibility of the Parco Nazionale del Cilento e Vallo di Diano. This is a public body, with a full-time President, Director General and professional staff; the work is supervised by a governing council, with representatives of national, regional, and local institutions and authorities, as well as a management group consisting of senior staff, consultants, and other specialists. The park is under the authority of the Ministry of the Environment. It works closely and harmoniously with the authorities of those communes that are entirely or partially within the park, which are very supportive of its work, as is the Provincial Administration of Salerno.

Each of the three distinct areas of this property is surrounded by a defined buffer zone. The total area of the three buffer zones is 178,101 hectares.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Paestum archeological site	✖			
3.2.2	Velia archeological site	✖			
3.2.3	Certosa di Padula	✖			
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

| Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬠ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬠ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬠ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖		✖			→	
⊖ Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬠ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖					
⊖ Negative							

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
⊖ Negative ✕		✕					

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✕		✕					

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant							

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	⚑ **Current**	⚑ **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖					

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant							

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	⚑ **Current**	⚑ **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖				→	

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✖ Relevant							

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	⚑ **Current**	⚑ **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖					
⊖ Negative							

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant							

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	⚑ **Current**	⚑ **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	⚑ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✎ **Increasing**

⊕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
⊖ Negative							

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🏴 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕						→	
⊖ Negative ✕		✕					

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⦿ **Inside**	🏴 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	✏ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
⊖ Negative ✕		✕					

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014)

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🔄 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖						
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖			

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🔄 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖					

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant						Not relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖		→	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant						Not relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖						↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant						Not relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⬈ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖		→	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌕ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				↗
⊖ Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖				

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖					

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	⌖ **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖			

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏴 **Current**	🏴 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🌍 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖	✖				

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏴 **Current**	🏴 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🌍 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖	✖	✖	✖				

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant					Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**		
Impact	🏴 **Current**	🏴 **Potential**	◉ **Inside**	🌍 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive							
⊖ Negative ✖		✖	✖	✖			

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖		✖					
Negative							

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖		✖	✖				
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖		✖	✖				
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		
	Impact		**Origin**		**Trend of impact**	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			✎
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✖ Relevant				Not relevant		

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖					
⊖ Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖					
⊖ Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖				
⊖ Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2014):
- Not relevant

✖ Relevant			Not relevant				

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🚩 **Current**	🚩 **Potential**	⊙ **Inside**	🌐 **Outside**	↘ **Decreasing**	→ **Stable**	↗ **Increasing**
⊕ Positive ✖		✖					
⊖ Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙		↗
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙		↗
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩		⊙		→
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	⊕		🚩			

4.3 Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 Water infrastructure

4.3.4 Localised utilities

4.4 Pollution

4.4.5 Solid waste

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

4.5.2 Aquaculture

4.5.3 Land conversion

4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production

4.6 Physical resource extraction

4.6.4 Water (extraction)

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 Wind

4.7.7 Pests

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage

4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.2 Flooding

4.10.6 Temperature change

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.2 Earthquake

Factor	Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside		
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition	Negative	Current	Potential	Inside		
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	Negative		Potential	Inside	Outside	
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.5 Hyper-abundant species	Positive		Potential			
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	Positive		Potential	Inside		
4.13.2 Legal framework	Positive		Potential	Inside		
4.13.3 Governance	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→
4.13.4 Management activities	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	↗
4.13.5 Financial resources	Positive	Current	Potential			
4.13.6 Human resources	Positive		Potential			
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside		
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	Positive		Potential			

Legend					
Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Positive	Current	Potential	Inside		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✗	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	🚩	🚩	◎		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

	Static	
✖	Increasing	

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊕	🚩		⊙		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✖	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	⊕		🚩			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	🟢	🚩		🔘	🏳	
	🔴		🚩			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	⊖		⚐			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	⊖		⚐			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
	⊖		⚑			→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.2 Aquaculture	⊕		⚑			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land conversion	⊕	⚑	⚑	⊙		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised

| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	🟢					➡
	⛔		🚩			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

| | High capacity |

✖	Medium capacity	
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	⊕	🚩		◉	☞	→
	⊖		🚩			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.6.4 Water (extraction)	⊕	🚩				
	⊖		🚩	◉	☞	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted

✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
	⊖		⚑			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity		
✖	Medium capacity	●	⚑
	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests						
	⊖		⚑	◉	🖙	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	⚑		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	⊖		🚩	◉	↻	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor

	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	🚩	🚩	◉		↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.10.2 Flooding

●

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change				
	●	🚩		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant

✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	🚫		🚩	◎	↩	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
	⊖	⚑	⚑	⊙		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✖	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✖	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
✖	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	⊖	⚑	⚑	⊙		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	🔴		🚩	⊙	🍃	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.5 Hyper-abundant species	⊕		⚑			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
✖	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕		⚑	⦿		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✖	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	🟢		🚩	◉		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

✖	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	🐾	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes
✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond
✖	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩	🚩	⊙	🐾	↗

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	⊕	🚩	🚩			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	⊕		⚑			
						38 of 53

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	⚑	⚑	◉		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✖	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✖	Intermittent or sporadic

	⊕		⚑			

	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✖	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✖	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	⊕		⚑			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✖	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

✖	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

✖	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✖	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing

✖	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1		✖			
4.18.1.2		✖			
4.18.1.3		✖			
4.18.1.4		✖			
4.18.1.5		✖			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?
The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?
The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).
The Cilento and Vallo di Diano National Park are protected by two legislations;
- The natural areas are covered by Law No 394/1991, which applies stringent controls over designated areas. A Presidential Decree (DPR) of 5 June 1995 established the Park and guaranteed the protection of both the natural environment and the built heritage; it also encouraged the preservation of the cultural landscape within a programme of sustainable development. All developement must receive prior acceptance by the Park Authority and must be formally check by the two Soprintendenze.
- The archaeological sites are covered by the basic Italian Law No 1089/1939 covering the protection of the cultural heritage. Heavy penalties are prescribed for breaches of its strict provisions.

The greatest part of Cilento's territory is also under the 'PTP - PianoTerritoriale Paesistico' (territorial plan for landscape) of the Ministry of Cultural Heritage and Activities. The archaeological sites of Paestum and Velia and the Certosa of Padula are State property, protected by state protection laws (Legislative Decree 22nd January 2004, n. 42 -Cultural Heritage and Landscape Code). Paestum archaeological site is furthermore protected for a distance of 1 km from its walls by Special Law n. 220 of 1957. Velia is protected by the Regional Law 8th February 2005, n.5 for the Contitution of a landcape-environmental area around the ancient town of Velia. The Certosa di S.Lorenzo at Padula is protected under the Legislative Decree of 22nd January 2004, n. 42, beeing a monument of interest. A buffer zone has been created by Ministerial Decree around the Certosa protecting a vast area.

In addition to the above protective designation, in 2010 the master plan of the park was approved. In particular, the Park has divided the areas of natural quality into four levels based on the biogeographic value, maturity (stability) of biotic communities, sensibility of the hydrological equilibrium, in addition to biological or geological emergencies (Areas A integral reserves and B oriented general reserves, C Protection areas and D economic and social promotion area).

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation, Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, PeriodicReporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is **not relevant** to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **little coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is **not being implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists but few of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities	✖				
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			✖		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	✖				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.15.5	Women			✖		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups			✖		
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			✖		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				✖	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✖				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			✖		
5.3.16.5	Women				✖	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✖	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✖	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			✖		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			✖		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✖	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✖	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			✖		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✖				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	associations				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			✖		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			✖		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✖	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			✖		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✖	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				✖	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	80 %	60 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	00 %
6.1.1.12	Other	20 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?
Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Not available
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Not available

Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?
Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?
There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **small amount of research, but it is not planned**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Poor

National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	associations

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Youth/children
Researchers

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Fair
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	social media

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1.000.000 / 1.000.000 / covid / covid / no data /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

no data / no data / no data / no data / no data / no data /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is **not implemented**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

by management of single site

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?
There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?
The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?
In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?
Fees are collected, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?
Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?
Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?
There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?
Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			✖	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			✖	
10.3.3	Character of governance		✖		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				✖
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			✖	
10.3.6	Capacity development			✖	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Poor
Researchers	Poor

Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Fair
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	associations

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	**Boundaries and Buffer Zones**	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property **are known** by the management **authority but are not known** by local communities/landowners	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners**	✖
5.3	**Management System/Management Plan**	
5.3.7	**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.9	**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✖
5.3.11	There is **little coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is **not being implemented**	
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan **exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented**	✖
5.3.17	**In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **contribute to gender equality** **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)** **In a limited manner**, the management system of the World Heritage property does **integrate a human rights-based approach**	
6.1	**Funding**	
6.1.3	The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.10	**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	✖
6.1.12	There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	**Scientific Studies and Research Projects**	
7.2	There is a **small amount of research** in the World Heritage property **but it is not planned**	
7.3	Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies	
8	**Education, Information and Awareness Building**	
8.2	There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth	✖
9	**Visitor Management**	
9.7	There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is **not implemented**	
9.11	There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**	✖
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	✖
10	**Monitoring**	
10.1	There is a **small amount of monitoring** at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	✖

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	**Services Infrastructures**					
4.3.1	Water infrastructure	no impact	no actions need	improved the monitoring of water infrastructure	in the nex five years	society that manage water infrastructure	no comment

4.5	**Biological resource use/modification**					
4.5.4	Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals is widespread	it is important to improve a plan to manage these activities and the national Park of Cilento vallo di Diano and Alburni is implementing the master palane of Nature 2000	in this plan the biological resources were monitoring	next five years	National park and Camapnaia Region	no comment
4.5.10	Forestry/Wood production	The manage of forestry aqnd wood prodution are regulated by national and regional laws in force	the national Park of Cilento vallo di Diano and Alburni is implementing the master palane of Nature 2000 and has control over the management of the forests	there is continuous monitoring of forest management because the National park must authorize any cutting	no commento	National park and Camapnaia Region	no comment

4.6	**Physical resource extraction**					
4.6.4	Water (extraction)	The manage of water extration is regulated by national laws	the national Park of Cilento vallo di Diano and Alburni have a control of every water extraction	there is continuous monitoring of forest management because the National park must authorize any water extraction	no	National park and others authorities	no comment

4.10	**Climate change and severe weather events**					
4.10.2	Flooding	there is a flooding master plan	Campania region and national authoirity manage the flooding	Campania region and national authoirity monitoring the flooding	no	Campania region and national authoirity	no comments
4.10.6	Temperature change	The National Park carry out several actions agsinst the climate change like sustainable mobility, energy efficiency	The National Park carry out several actions agsinst the climate change like sustainable mobility, energy efficiency	no	no	national park and national Authority	no comments

4.11	**Sudden ecological or geological events**						
4.11.2		Earthquake	-	-	-	-	-	-
4.11.4		Avalanche/Landslide	-	-	-	-	-	-
4.11.5		Erosion and siltation/Deposition	-	-	-	-	-	-
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	The National park drafted the fire plan	-	-	every year	-	-

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	**Boundaries and Buffer Zones**

			Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4		The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners**	we need to disseminate this information through peridic meeting with local communities	3 time per year	local stakeholder, the manager of sigle site and Campania region	nothingh
5.3		**Management System/Management Plan**				
5.3.7		**Some use** has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	a master plane was made and all positive and negative impacts of climate change was been undreline. A vulnerability map has also been drawn up	a five-year action plan has been prepared	site manager was been involved	nothing
5.3.9		**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	some conservation interventions have been carried out on the sites against hydrogeological instability due to climate change (for example Velia archeological site)	every time there is necessity	site manager was been involved	nothing
5.3.14		An annual work/action plan **exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented**	a master plane was made and now we monitoring the actions to implement it	every year we monitor the master plan actions	site manager was been involved	nothing
6.1		**Funding**				
6.1.7		Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property	we need more funds to create a staff with specific and multidisciplinary figures	in the next five years	we must involve the manager of sigle site	nothing
6.1.10		**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development has been made to valorize and protect the the value of some sites	in the last year	site manager was been involved	nothing
8		**Education, Information and Awareness Building**				
8.2		There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth	we must create a specific educational programme on the value of world heritage and sustainable development through it	evry year in the next five years	we must involve the manager of sigle site	nothing
9		**Visitor Management**				

9.11	There **is limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**	we need to create a forum with Campania Region and Tourism Ministry	in the next two years	Campania Region site manager and Tourism Ministry	nothing
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**	of course it is necessary to improve the presentation and intepretation of Outstanding Universal Value through the panels, brochures and and video	in the next five years	we must involve the site managers	nothing
10	**Monitoring**				
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage **property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**	we must improve the key indicators to better monitor the actions that we carried out to promote the World heritage property	in the next year	we must involve the site manager	nothing

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?
The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?
The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?
The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?
Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive

Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Positive
If 'Other', please specify	local stakeholders

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
we have drawn up an integrated management plan that affects the world heritage, MAB and Geopark. This is the only pilot example in the world because the National Park of Cilento vallo d Diano and Alburni is the managing body of all of these awards. Obviously the actions implemented are often transversal to all unesco recognitions.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
Management
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.**

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 hours / 50 hours / 30 hours /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	✖	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	✖	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			✖	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			✖	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM international/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor
IUCN International	Poor

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?
Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.