## Viñales Valley

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### **1.1 - Name of World Heritage property** Viñales Valley

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

## 1.3 - Geographic information table

| Name                           | Coordinates                          | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Viñales Valley                 | 22.617 / -83.717                     | 13200         | 0                | 13200      | 1999             |
| Total (ha)                     |                                      | 13200         | 0                | 13200      |                  |
| 1.4 - Map(s)                   |                                      |               |                  |            |                  |
| Title                          |                                      |               |                  | Date       | Link to source   |
| Viñales Valley: boundary of th | ne inscribed property; Scale: 1:50 ( | 000           |                  | 1998       |                  |

## 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

<sup>1.</sup> 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

| 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the |
|---|
| Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.                         |

|       |   | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u><br>designated and/or protected under this<br>convention/programme | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u><br>designated and/or protected under this<br>convention/programme |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 2.1.1 | International Register of Cultural Property<br>under Special Protection<br>(1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of<br>Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)          | ×   |   |
| 2.1.2 | List of Cultural Property under Enhanced<br>Protection<br>(Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention<br>for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event<br>of Armed Conflict) |   | ×   |
| 2.1.3 | The List of Wetlands of International<br>Importance (The Ramsar List)<br>(Convention on Wetlands of International<br>Importance (Ramsar Convention))                                  |   | ×   |
| 2.1.4 | World Network of Biosphere Reserves<br>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme  |   | ×   |
| 2.1.5 | Global Geoparks Network<br>UNESCO Global Geoparks   |   | ×   |

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years? Yes

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

| 2.7 - 1 10 |  |   |
|------------|--|---|
| 2.7.1      | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict                         |   |
| 2.7.1      | There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.                                    |   |
| 2.7.2      | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.     | × |
| 2.7.3      | The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. |   |
| 2.7.4      | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.   |   |
| 2.7.2      | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict  |   |
| 2.7.1      | There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.                                    | × |
| 2.7.2      | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.     |   |
| 2.7.3      | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.        |   |
| 2.7.4      | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.   |   |
| 2.7.3      | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)   |   |
| 2.7.1      | There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.                                    | × |
| 2.7.2      | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.     |   |
| 2.7.3      | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.        |   |
| 2.7.4      | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.   |   |
| 2.7.4      | Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme  |   |
| 2.7.1      | There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.                                    | × |
| 2.7.2      | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.     |   |
| 2.7.3      | The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. |   |
| 2.7.4      | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.   |   |
| 2.7.5      | UNESCO Global Geoparks   |   |
| 2.7.1      | There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.                                    |   |
| 2.7.2      | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.     | × |
| 2.7.3      | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.        |   |
| 2.7.4      | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.   |   |
|            |  |   |

#### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware The Cuban "Punto"

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

The Viñales Valley in the Sierra de los Organos near the western end of the island of Cuba is an outstanding karst landscape encircled by mountains and dotted with spectacular dome-like limestone outcrops (mogotes) that rise as high as 300 m. Colonised at the beginning of the 19th century, the valley has fertile soil and a climate conducive to the development of stock-raising and the cultivation of fodder and food crops. Traditional methods of agriculture have survived largely

unchanged on this plain for several centuries, particularly for growing tobacco. The quality of this cultural landscape is enhanced by the vernacular architecture of its farms and villages, where a rich multi-cultural society survives, its architecture, crafts and music illustrating the cultural development of Cuba and the islands of the Caribbean.

The striking karst landscape of the Viñales Valley is notable for its *mogotes*, a series of tall, rounded hills that rise abruptly from the flat plain of the valley. It is also significant for its cultural associations, particularly its traditional agricultural practices related to growing tobacco. Because mechanical methods of cultivation and harvesting lower the quality of tobacco, time-honoured methods such as animal traction are still used. The lush landscape is largely rural in character. Most of the buildings scattered over the plain are simple, built of local and natural materials and used as homes or family farms. The village of Viñales, strung out along its main street, has retained its original layout and many interesting examples of colonial architecture, mostly one-storey wooden houses with porches. The valley is home to an original culture, a synthesis of contributions from indigenous peoples, Spanish conquerors and African slaves who once worked the tobacco plantations. An excellent illustration is the musical expression of the field worker (*veguero*), of which Benito Hernández Cabrera (known as the Viñalero) was the main interpreter. Traditional crafts also flourish here. Cubans identify strongly with the Viñales Valley because of the beauty of the site and its historical and cultural importance. In the visual arts, the valley has been transformed into a symbol of the Caribbean landscape by various artists.

Criterion (iv): The Viñales Valley is an outstanding karst landscape in which traditional methods of agriculture (notably tobacco growing) have survived unchanged for several centuries. The region also preserves a rich vernacular tradition in its architecture, its crafts, and its music.

#### Integrity

Within the boundaries of the Viñales Valley cultural landscape are located all the natural and cultural elements necessary to express its Outstanding Universal Value, including the karst landscape's defining features, the agricultural usage patterns and the vernacular architecture, as well as the land tenure, traditional agricultural methods of farming and associated infrastructure that support the cultural landscape's related intangible heritage. The 132-kn<sup>2</sup> property is of sufficient size to adequately ensure the complete representation of the features and processes that convey the property's significance, and it does not suffer from adverse effects of development and/or neglect. Tourism development is expected to represent a future threat to the integrity of the property.

#### Authenticity

Viñales Valley is a "living landscape" with a high degree of authenticity in terms of location and setting, forms and designs, materials and substances, uses and functions, traditions and techniques, and spirit and feeling. It has been able to preserve its specific character, while adapting to modern conditions of life and receiving flows of visitors. The property's attributes thus express its Outstanding Universal Value truthfully and credibly.

There are risks that can threaten the integrity and authenticity of the property as a cultural landscape, including factors such as frequent natural disasters affecting the country (hurricanes), reduction of the source of water supply due to climate change and the increasing pressures for urban socioeconomic development needs.

#### Protection and management requirements

About 92 percent of the property is in the hands of private owners, with 30 percent owned by individual farmers and the rest by the Asociación Nacional de Agricultores Pequeños (National Association of Small Farmers). The Viñales Valley is protected by provisions in the Constitución de la República de Cuba (Constitution of the Republic of Cuba) of 24 February 1976 and by the Declaration of 27 March 1979 designating it as a National Monument, in application of the *Ley de Protección al Patrimonio Cultural* (Law on the Protection of Cultural Property, Law No. 1 of 4 August 1977), and the *Ley de Monumentos Nacionales y Locales* (Law on National and Local Monuments, Law No. 2 of 4 August 1977). The karst landscape of the Viñales Valley is also part of Viñales National Park. The high authority responsible for management is the *Consejo Nacional de Patrimonio Cultural* (National Council of Cultural Heritage). Local supervision is ensured by the Provincial Centre for Cultural Heritage of Pinar del Río, the provincial branch of the Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment, the provincial branch of the Ministry of Tourism and the provincial branch of the Ministry of Agriculture, all of them as part of the Council of Provincial Administration.

The Management Plan for the property was approved in 1999 by the *Consejos de la Administración Provincial y Municipal* (Councils of Municipal and Provincial Administration). The National Monuments Commission is the institutional entity responsible for the review and approval of all Management Plans and projects developed for the property. The Management Plan contains 67 projects through nine sub-programs: administration; training; construction; protection; agricultural resource management; research, monitoring and scientific cooperation; public use; interpretation and environmental education; and institutional cooperation and collaboration. There is a scarcity of financial and material resources for implementing part of the plans.

Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the property over time will require controlling the effects of increased tourism by devising and executing appropriate management strategies in this regard; developing and implementing an emergency action plan to eliminate or mitigate the harmful effects of hurricanes and other natural disasters; developing and implementing strategies to address any negative consequences arising from climate change; addressing the increasing pressures associated with urban socioeconomic development needs; and establishing monitoring indicators related to these and other actions that may have an impact on the Outstanding Universal Value, authenticity and integrity of the property

## 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

|        | Brief identification of attribute                                  | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|--------|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 3.2.1  | karst landscape  | ×         |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.2  | traditional methods of agriculture related with of tobacco farming | ×         |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.3  | vernacular architecture  |           |             | ×                     |      |
| 3.2.4  | Traditional and vernacular handicrafts                             |           | ×           |                       |      |
| 3.2.5  | musical expression of the field worker (veguero)                   | ×         |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.6  |  |           |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.7  |  |           |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.8  |  |           |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.9  |  |           |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.10 |  |           |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.11 |  |           |             |                       |      |
| 3.2.12 |  |           |             |                       |      |

| 3.2.13 |  |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 3.2.14 |  |  |  |
| 3.2.15 |  |  |  |

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

"The wooden and tiles roofs houses of a great part of vernacular architecture have been changed for tin or concrete roofs. Traditional handicraft is undergoing a process of homogenization and trivialization"

### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| X Relevant   |           |                  |                            | Not relevant    |                 |          |            |
|--|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
|  | Impact    |                  | Origin                     | Trend of impact |                 |          |            |
| Impact   | 4 Current | 9 Potential      | Inside                     | Cutside         | > Decreasing    | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |
| O Positive   |           |                  |                            |                 |                 |          |            |
| Negative X   | ×         |                  | ×                          |                 |                 |          | 1          |
| 4.1.2 - Commercial develop<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant  |           |                  |                            |                 |                 |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | × Not relevan              | t               |                 |          |            |
| <ul> <li>4.1.3 - Industrial areas</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>                                  |           |                  |                            |                 |                 |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | × Not relevan              | t               |                 |          |            |
| <ul> <li>4.1.4 - Major visitor accom</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>                                     |           | associated infra | structure                  |                 |                 |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | X Not relevant             |                 |                 |          |            |
| <ul> <li>4.1.5 - Interpretative and vi</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li> <li>Relevant, Positive, Currer</li> <li>✗ Relevant</li> </ul> | 2012):    | 25               |                            | Not relevant    |                 |          |            |
|  | Impact    |                  | Origin                     |                 | Trend of impact |          |            |
| Impact   | 4 Current | 9 Potential      | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | C Outside       | > Decreasing    | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |
| O Positive X   | ×         |                  | ×                          | ×               |                 | <b>→</b> |            |
| Negative   |           |                  |                            |                 |                 |          |            |

## 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.1 /urban sprawl of the village. 4.1.5/ There are visitor centre, signage ,trail markers and information booths.

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

## 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

| Relevant  | X Not relevant |
|---|----------------|
| <ul><li>4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>                               |                |
| Relevant  | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>                        |                |
| Relevant  | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastruct</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul> | cture          |
| Relevant  | X Not relevant |
|   |                |

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### not apliccable

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

| × Relevant   |         |             |                            | Not relevant |                 |          |            |
|--|---------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|------------|
|  | Impact  |             | Origin                     |              | Trend of impact |          |            |
| Impact   | Gurrent | 9 Potential | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | C Outside    | > Decreasing    | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |
| O Positive   |         |             |                            |              |                 |          |            |
| Negative X   | ×       |             | ×                          |              |                 |          | 1          |
| <ul> <li>4.3.2 - Renewable energy fractional fractional structure</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li> <li>Relevant, Positive, Current</li> </ul> | (2012): |             |                            |              |                 |          |            |
| Relevant   |         |             | X Not relevan              | t            |                 |          |            |
| <ul> <li>4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>  |         |             |                            |              |                 |          |            |
| Relevant   |         |             | X Not relevan              | t            |                 |          |            |
| <ul><li>4.3.4 - Localised utilities</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>   | (2012): |             |                            |              |                 |          |            |
| Relevant   |         |             | X Not relevan              | t            |                 |          |            |
| <ul> <li>4.3.5 - Major linear utilities</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Poter</li> </ul>                             | (2012): |             |                            |              |                 |          |            |
| Relevant   |         |             | X Not relevan              | t            |                 |          |            |

## 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3.1 / Visual impact provoked by the water tanks installed on the roofs of the houses

### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):
  - Not relevant

| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
|--|----------------|
| <ul> <li>4.4.2 - Ground water pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>  |                |
| Relevant   | × Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.4.3 - Surface water pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul> |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li><b>4.4.4 - Air pollution</b></li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>    |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
|  |                |

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| × Relevant |         |           | l      | Not relevant |                     |          |            |
|------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|---------------------|----------|------------|
|            | Impact  |           | Origin |              | Trend of impact     |          |            |
| Impact     | Gurrent | Potential | Inside | Cutside      | Solution Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |
| O Positive |         |           |        |              |                     |          |            |
| Negative X | ×       |           | ×      |              |                     |          | 1          |

### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4.5 / Deficient system of solid waste management

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

#### Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

| Relevant   |           |                  |                            | Not relevant |                     |          |            |
|--|-----------|------------------|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------|------------|
|  | Impact    |                  | Origin                     |              | Trend of impact     |          |            |
| npact  | 4 Current | 9 Potential      | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | Cutside      | Solution Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |
| Positive X   | ×         |                  | ×                          | ×            |                     | →        |            |
| Negative   |           |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| .4 - Livestock farming/G<br>vious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Relevant, Positive, Curren                             | 2012):    | nesticated anima | als                        |              |                     |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | × Not releva               | nt           |                     |          |            |
| <ul> <li>5.5 - Crop production</li> <li>vious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/.</li> <li>Relevant, Positive, Negati</li> </ul> |           | de               |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  |                            | Not relevant |                     |          |            |
|  | Impact    |                  | Origin                     |              | Trend of impact     |          |            |
| mpact  | 4 Current | 9 Potential      | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | Cutside      | Solution Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |
| Positive X   | ×         |                  | ×                          |              |                     |          |            |
| Negative   |           |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| 5.6 - Commercial wild pla<br>evious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant   |           |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | × Not releva               | nt           |                     |          |            |
| 5.7 - Subsistence wild pla<br>evious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant  |           |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | × Not releva               | nt           |                     |          |            |
| 5.8 - Commercial hunting<br>evious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant  | •         |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | × Not releva               | nt           |                     |          |            |
| 5.9 - Subsistence hunting<br>evious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant   | -         |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| Relevant   |           |                  | × Not releva               | nt           |                     |          |            |
| 5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro<br>evious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2   | 2012):    |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |
| <ul> <li>Relevant, Positive, Curren</li> </ul>   |           |                  |                            |              |                     |          |            |

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5.3 / Forestry and traditional crops, mainly tobacco plantations remain in the area

### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012): • Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

| <ul> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>   |                                     |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|--|--|
| Relevant   |                                     |             | X Not relevant |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| 4.6.3 - Oil and gas<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant   | 2012):                              |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |             | X Not relevant |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant  | 2012):                              |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |             | X Not relevant |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.6.5 - Please comment as positively</li> <li>not applicable</li> <li>4.7. Local conditions affect</li> </ul> |                                     |             | selected as r  | elevant in 4.6 a       | re affecting the pro | operty either r | negatively or |  |  |  |
| 4.7.1 - Wind   |                                     |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant  | 2012):                              |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |             | X Not relevant |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>4.7.2 - Relative humidity</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>               | 2012):                              |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| 4.7.3 - Temperature<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant   | evious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012): |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |             | X Not relevant |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant   | 2012):                              |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |             | X Not relevant |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| 4.7.5 - Dust<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant  | 2012):                              |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |             | X Not relevant |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water tal<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/<br>• Not relevant                                     | -                                   |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Relevant   | Relevant                            |             |                | X Not relevant         |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.7.7 - Pests</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Curre</li> </ul>          | -                                   | e, Outside  |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| X Relevant   | X Relevant                          |             |                |                        | Not relevant         |                 |               |  |  |  |
|  | Impact                              |             |                | Origin Trend of impact |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |
| Impact   | 4 Current                           | 9 Potential | Inside         | Cutside                | Secreasing           | ⇒ Stable        | Increasing    |  |  |  |
| O Positive   |                                     |             |                |                        |                      |                 |               |  |  |  |

| Negative X   | ×              |                   | ×                          |                   |                           | <b>→</b>           |                 |  |  |
|--|----------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| <ul> <li>4.7.8 - Micro-organisms</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>  | 2012):         |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Relevant   |                |                   | X Not relevant             | t                 |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| .7.9 - Please comment as   | necessary on I | now the factors   | selected as r              | elevant in 4.7 a  | re affecting the pro      | operty either n    | egatively or    |  |  |
| ositively<br>.7.7 / The plantations are destro<br>ermites and fungi affecting trefr  |                | -                 | sides the differe          | ent wooden elemen | its which are part of the | e buildings, suffe | r the attack of |  |  |
| .8. Social/Cultural uses of  | of heritage    |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>   |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Relevant   |                |                   | × Not relevant             | t                 |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside</li> </ul>  |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Relevant   |                |                   | X Not relevant             | t                 |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>  |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Relevant X Not relevant  |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>8.4 - Changes in tradition</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Curre</li> </ul>  | 2012):         | and knowledge     | system                     |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| X Relevant   |                |                   |                            | Not relevant      |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
|  | Impact         |                   | Origin                     |                   | Trend of impact           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Impact   | 4 Current      | 9 Potential       | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | I Outside         | Solution Decreasing       | ⇒ Stable           | Increasing      |  |  |
| O Positive   |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Negative X   | ×              |                   | ×                          |                   |                           | <b>→</b>           |                 |  |  |
| <ul> <li>.8.5 - Identity, social cohe<br/>revious answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2<br/>• Relevant, Negative, Curre</li> </ul>   | 2012):         | in local populati | ion and com                | munity            |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| X Relevant   |                |                   |                            | Not relevant      |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
|  | Impact         |                   | Origin                     |                   | Trend of impact           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Impact   | 4 Current      | 9 Potential       | Inside                     | Cutside           | Secreasing                | ⇒ Stable           | Increasing      |  |  |
| Positive   |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| Negative X   | ×              |                   | ×                          |                   |                           |                    | 1               |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012): <ul> <li>Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
| X Relevant   |                |                   |                            | Not relevant      |                           |                    |                 |  |  |
|  |                |                   |                            |                   |                           |                    |                 |  |  |

|             | Impact    |           | Origin                     |         | Trend of impact |               |            |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
| Impact      | 4 Current | Potential | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | Cutside | > Decreasing    | ⇒ Stable      | Increasing |
| OPositive X | ×         |           | ×                          | ×       |                 | $\rightarrow$ |            |
| Negative X  | ×         |           | ×                          | ×       |                 | $\rightarrow$ |            |

## 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.4/Loss of the traditional knowledge and practices linked to heritage 4.8.5/ Migration to the site. Gentrification 4.8.6/ negative: land speculation / positive: improvement of the way of life of the community

### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| X Relevant  |           |           |        | Not relevant |                 |          |            |  |
|---|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|----------|------------|--|
|   | Impact    |           | Origin |              | Trend of impact |          |            |  |
| Impact  | 4 Current | Potential | Inside | Cutside      | Secreasing      | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |
| O Positive  |           |           |        |              |                 |          |            |  |
| Negative X  | ×         |           | ×      |              |                 |          | 1          |  |
| 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012): |           |           |        |              |                 |          |            |  |

Not relevant

| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
|--|----------------|
| <ul><li>4.9.3 - Military training</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul> |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul><li><b>4.9.4 - War</b></li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>        |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul><li>4.9.5 - Terrorism</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>         |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.9.6 - Civil unrest</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>  |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9.1/Illegal occupation of space as well as illegal constructions.

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 4.10.1 - Storms

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):
  - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

| × Relevant |           |           | I                          | Not relevant |                 |          |            |  |  |
|------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------|------------|--|--|
|            | Impact C  |           | Origin                     |              | Trend of impact |          |            |  |  |
| Impact     | 4 Current | Potential | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | Cutside      | > Decreasing    | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |  |
| O Positive |           |           |                            |              |                 |          |            |  |  |
| Negative X | ×         |           | ×                          | ×            |                 |          | 1          |  |  |

## 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

| X Relevant   |                                     |                        | Not relevant               |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|--|
|  | Impact                              |                        | Origin                     |         | Trend of impact   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Impact   | 4 Current                           | Potential              | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | Cutside | Source Decreasing | ⇒ Stable       | Increasing       |  |  |  |
| O Positive   |                                     |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Negative X   | ×                                   |                        | ×                          |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| 4.10.3 - Drought<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,<br>• Not relevant  |                                     |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | X Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| 4.10.4 - Desertification<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,<br>• Not relevant  | /2012):                             |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | × Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| 4.10.5 - Changes to oceani<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,<br>• Not relevant  |                                     |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | × Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| 4.10.6 - Temperature chan<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,<br>• Not relevant   |                                     |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | × Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| 4.10.7 - Other climate char<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,<br>• Not relevant   |                                     |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | X Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.10.8 - Please comment a positively</li> <li>4.10.1/ Increasing of Hurricanes</li> <li>4.11. Sudden ecological of</li> <li>4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,  <ul> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul> </li> </ul> | and Cyclones 4.1<br>or geological e | 0.2. Increasing of flo |                            |         |                   | property eithe | er negatively or |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | X Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>4.11.2 - Earthquake</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>   | /2012):                             |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | × Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,<br>• Not relevant  |                                     |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| Relevant   |                                     |                        | X Not relevant             |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |
| 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landsli<br>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08,  |                                     |                        |                            |         |                   |                |                  |  |  |  |

Not relevant

× Not relevant

## 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| X Relevant   |         |           |        | Not relevant |                     |          |            |  |
|--|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|---------------------|----------|------------|--|
|  | Impact  |           | Origin |              | Trend of impact     |          |            |  |
| Impact   | Current | Potential | Inside | C Outside    | Solution Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |
| O Positive   |         |           |        |              |                     |          |            |  |
| Negative X   | ×       |           | ×      |              |                     |          | 1          |  |
| 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)         Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):         • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside |         |           |        |              |                     |          |            |  |

| X Relevant |           |             | I      | Not relevant |                 |               |            |
|------------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|------------|
|            | Impact C  |             | Origin |              | Trend of impact |               |            |
| Impact     | 4 Current | 9 Potential | Inside | Cutside      | > Decreasing    | ⇒ Stable      | Increasing |
| O Positive |           |             |        |              |                 |               |            |
| Negative X |           | ×           | ×      |              |                 | $\rightarrow$ |            |

## 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11.5. less productivity of the soil because of the erosion processes 4.11.6. Fragility of the vernacular architecture and the forestry of the area

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
|--|----------------|
| <ul> <li>4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside</li> </ul> |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>                                    |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>  |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>   |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |
| <ul> <li>4.12.6 - Modified genetic material</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>  |                |
| Relevant   | X Not relevant |

## 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

not applicable

## 4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

| X Relevant               |           |             |        |              | Not relevant        |          |            |  |  |
|--------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------|--------------|---------------------|----------|------------|--|--|
|                          | Impact    |             | Origin |              | Trend of impact     |          |            |  |  |
| Impact                   | 4 Current | 9 Potential | Inside | C Outside    | Solution Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |  |
| O Positive X             | ×         |             | ×      |              |                     |          | /          |  |  |
| Negative                 |           |             |        |              |                     |          |            |  |  |
| 4.13.2 - Legal framework |           |             |        |              |                     |          |            |  |  |
| X Relevant               |           |             |        | Not relevant |                     |          |            |  |  |

|              | Impact  |             | Origin                     |           | Trend of impact |          |            |
|--------------|---------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|------------|
| Impact       | Current | 9 Potential | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | C Outside | > Decreasing    | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |
| O Positive X | ×       |             | ×                          | ×         |                 |          |            |
| Negative     |         |             |                            |           |                 |          |            |

### 4.13.3 - Governance

| X Relevant   |               |           | Not relevant               |                 |              |          |            |  |  |
|--------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--|--|
|              | Impact Origin |           |                            | Trend of impact |              |          |            |  |  |
| Impact       | 4 Current     | Potential | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | C Outside       | > Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |  |
| O Positive X | ×             |           | ×                          |                 |              |          | 7          |  |  |
| Negative     |               |           |                            |                 |              |          |            |  |  |

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| X Relevant   |               | Not relevant |                            |                 |              |          |            |  |  |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--|--|
|              | Impact Origin |              |                            | Trend of impact |              |          |            |  |  |
| Impact       | 4 Current     | Potential    | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | Cutside         | > Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |  |
| O Positive X | ×             |              | ×                          |                 |              |          | 1          |  |  |
| Negative     |               |              |                            |                 |              |          |            |  |  |

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

| × Relevant |               |             | I                          | Not relevant    |              |          |            |  |  |  |
|------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--|--|--|
|            | Impact Origin |             |                            | Trend of impact |              |          |            |  |  |  |
| Impact     | 4 Current     | 9 Potential | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | Cutside         | > Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |  |  |
| O Positive |               |             |                            |                 |              |          |            |  |  |  |
| Negative X | ×             |             | ×                          | ×               |              | <b>→</b> |            |  |  |  |

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

| × Relevant   |               | Not relevant |                            |                 |            |               |            |  |  |
|--------------|---------------|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|------------|--|--|
|              | Impact Origin |              |                            | Trend of impact |            |               |            |  |  |
| Impact       | 4 Current     | Potential    | <ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul> | C Outside       | Secreasing | ⇒ Stable      | Increasing |  |  |
| O Positive X | ×             |              | ×                          | ×               |            | $\rightarrow$ |            |  |  |
| Negative     |               |              |                            |                 |            |               |            |  |  |

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| × Relevant   | 1             | Not relevant       |        |                 |              |          |            |  |  |  |
|--------------|---------------|--------------------|--------|-----------------|--------------|----------|------------|--|--|--|
|              | Impact Origin |                    |        | Trend of impact |              |          |            |  |  |  |
| Impact       | 4 Current     | <b>9</b> Potential | Inside | Cutside         | > Decreasing | ⇒ Stable | Increasing |  |  |  |
| O Positive X | ×             |                    | ×      | ×               |              |          | 1          |  |  |  |
| Negative     |               |                    |        |                 |              |          |            |  |  |  |

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (09/08/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

## 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13.1 Management Plan/System implemented 4.13.2 there is one national law for the protection of the natural and cultural heritage, issued as ítem 62/2022 Creation of the bureau of the manager in Viñales Valley 4.13.3 Positive, interest of the Cuban government on issuing laws that increase the protection of the site 4.13.4 Sufficient management of the site 4.13.5 insufficient funding for preservation 4.13.7 Visitor surveys, in situ surveys

### 4.14. Other factor(s)

#### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

| Name   | Impact |   | Origin |   | Trend         |
|--|--------|---|--------|---|---------------|
| 4.1 Buildings and Development                                  |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.1.1 Housing  |        |   |        |   |               |
|  | 0      | 9 | ٢      |   | 1             |
| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities                 | ٢      | 9 | ٢      | ٢ | <b>→</b>      |
|  |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.3 Services Infrastructures                                   |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure                                     |        |   |        |   |               |
|  | 9      | 4 | ٢      |   | 1             |
| 4.4 Pollution  |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.4.5 Solid waste  |        |   |        |   |               |
|  | 0      | 4 | ۲      |   |               |
| 4.5 Biological resource use/modification                       |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.5.3 Land conversion  | ٢      | 9 | ۹      | Ċ | <b>→</b>      |
|  |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.5.5 Crop production  | ٢      | 4 | ۲      |   |               |
|  |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric                 |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.7.7 Pests  |        |   |        |   |               |
|  | 0      | 9 | ۲      |   | $\rightarrow$ |
| 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage                           |        |   |        |   |               |
| 4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system |        |   |        |   |               |
|  | 0      | 9 | ٢      |   | <b>→</b>      |
|  |        |   |        |   |               |

| 4.8.5 Identity, social  | cohesion, changes in l                         | ocal population and commu | inity |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|-------|--|---|------------|----|---|----|----------|
|                         | , ,  |                           |       |  | 9 | 9          |    | ٢ |    | 1        |
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tou    | 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation |                           |       |  |   | 4          |    | ۹ | Ċ  | <b>→</b> |
|                         |  |                           |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ۹ | Ċ  | <b>→</b> |
| 4.9 Other human act     | tivities                                       |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
| 4.9.1 Illegal activitie | S  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
|                         |  |                           |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ٢ |    |          |
| 4.10 Climate change     | e and severe weather ev                        | ents                      |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
| 4.10.1 Storms           |  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
|                         |  |                           |       |  | 9 | 9          |    | ٢ | ۴  |          |
| 4.10.2 Flooding         |  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
|                         |  |                           |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ٢ |    | 1        |
|                         | ical or geological events                      | S                         |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
| 4.11.5 Erosion and s    | siltation/Deposition                           |                           |       |  |   | _          |    |   |    |          |
|                         |  |                           |       |  | 9 | 4          |    | ٩ |    | /        |
| 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)  |  |                           |       |  |   |            | ~7 |   |    |          |
| 4.42 Managament a       | nd institutional factors                       |                           |       |  | 9 |            | 4  | ٢ |    | <b>→</b> |
|                         | system/Management pla                          | an                        |       |  | O | <i>i</i> a |    | ۲ |    | 7        |
| 4.15.1 Management       | system/management pr                           | an                        |       |  | • | -1         |    |   |    | *        |
| 4.13.2 Legal framew     | ork  |                           |       |  | 0 | 9          |    | ۲ | (F | 1        |
| Ū                       |  |                           |       |  |   | -          |    |   |    |          |
| 4.13.3 Governance       |  |                           |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ٢ |    | 1        |
|                         |  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
| 4.13.4 Management       | activities                                     |                           |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ٢ |    |          |
|                         |  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
| 4.13.5 Financial reso   | ources   |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
|                         |  |                           |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ۹ | Ċ  | <b>→</b> |
| 4.13.6 Human resou      | rces   |                           |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ٢ | Ċ  | <b>→</b> |
|                         |  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
| 4.13.7 Low impact re    | esearch/monitoring acti                        | vities                    |       |  | ٢ | 9          |    | ۹ | Ċ  | 1        |
|                         |  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |
|                         |  |                           |       |  |   |            |    |   |    |          |

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

| Name  | Impact |   | Origin |  | Trend |
|---|--------|---|--------|--|-------|
| 4.1.1 Housing                               |        |   |        |  |       |
|   | 0      | 4 | ٢      |  | 1     |
|   |        |   |        |  |       |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor |        |   |        |  |       |

|             | Restricted                                       |        |        |       |
|-------------|--|--------|--------|-------|
| ×           | Localised  |        |        |       |
|             | Extensive  |        |        |       |
|             | Widespread                                       |        |        |       |
| Temporal    | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |        |        |       |
|             | One off or rare                                  |        |        |       |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                         |        |        |       |
| ×           | Frequent   |        |        |       |
|             | On-going   |        |        |       |
| Impact - In | npact on the attributes                          |        |        |       |
|             | Insignificant                                    |        |        |       |
|             | Minor  |        |        |       |
| ×           | Significant                                      |        |        |       |
|             | Major  |        |        |       |
| Managemo    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |        |        |       |
|             | High capacity                                    |        |        |       |
|             | Medium capacity                                  |        |        |       |
| ×           | Low capacity                                     |        |        |       |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                   |        |        |       |
| Trend - De  | velopement over the last 6 years                 |        |        |       |
|             | Decreasing                                       |        |        |       |
|             | Static   |        |        |       |
| ×           | Increasing                                       |        |        |       |
|             |  |        |        |       |
| Name        |  | Impact | Origin | Trend |

| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities |  | 4 | ۲ | Ċ | <b>→</b> |
|--|--|---|---|---|----------|
|  |  |   |   |   |          |

| Spatial sca | Ile - Area affected by the factor |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|
|             | Restricted                        |
|             | Localised                         |
| ×           | Extensive                         |
|             | Widespread                        |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact   |
|             | One off or rare                   |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic          |
| ×           | Frequent                          |
|             | On-going                          |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes            |
|             | Insignificant                     |
|             | Minor                             |
| ×           | Significant                       |
|             | Major                             |

| Manageme   | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |
|------------|--|
|            | High capacity                                    |
| ×          | Medium capacity                                  |
|            | Low capacity                                     |
|            | No capacity and / or resources                   |
| Trend - De | velopement over the last 6 years                 |
|            | Decreasing                                       |
|            | Static   |
| ×          | Increasing                                       |

## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

| Name                       |  | Impact |     | Origin | Trend |
|----------------------------|--|--------|-----|--------|-------|
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure |  | mpao   |     | 0g     |       |
|                            |  | 0      | eq. | ٩      | 7     |
|                            |  |        | •   | 3      | Ť     |
| Spatial so                 | ale - Area affected by the factor                |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Restricted                                       |        |     |        |       |
| ×                          | Localised  |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Extensive  |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Widespread                                       |        |     |        |       |
| Temporal                   | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |        |     |        |       |
|                            | One off or rare                                  |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Intermittent or sporadic                         |        |     |        |       |
| ×                          | Frequent   |        |     |        |       |
|                            | On-going   |        |     |        |       |
| Impact - I                 | npact on the attributes                          |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Insignificant                                    |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Minor  |        |     |        |       |
| ×                          | Significant                                      |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Major  |        |     |        |       |
| Managem                    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |        |     |        |       |
|                            | High capacity                                    |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Medium capacity                                  |        |     |        |       |
| ×                          | Low capacity                                     |        |     |        |       |
|                            | No capacity and / or resources                   |        |     |        |       |
| Trend - D                  | evelopement over the last 6 years                |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Decreasing                                       |        |     |        |       |
|                            | Static   |        |     |        |       |
| ×                          | Increasing                                       |        |     |        |       |

## 4.4 Pollution

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------|--------|--------|-------|
|      |        |        |       |



| Overlate and Anna affects    | d has the fraction |
|------------------------------|--------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affecte | d by the factor    |

| Spatial sca | le - Area affected by the factor                |
|-------------|---|
|             | Restricted                                      |
|             | Localised                                       |
| ×           | Extensive                                       |
|             | Widespread                                      |
| Temporal s  | cale - Occurence of the impact                  |
|             | One off or rare                                 |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                        |
| ×           | Frequent  |
|             | On-going  |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                   |
|             | Minor   |
| ×           | Significant                                     |
|             | Major   |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                   |
|             | Medium capacity                                 |
| ×           | Low capacity                                    |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                  |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years                |
|             | Decreasing                                      |
|             | Static  |
| ×           | Increasing                                      |
|             |   |

## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

| Name        |                                   | Impact |   | Origin | Origin |   |          |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|--------|---|--------|--------|---|----------|
| 4.5.3 Land  | 5.3 Land conversion               |        | 9 |        | ٢      | Ċ | <b>→</b> |
|             |                                   |        |   |        |        |   |          |
| Spatial sca | ale - Area affected by the factor |        |   |        |        |   |          |
|             | Restricted                        |        |   |        |        |   |          |
| ×           | Localised                         |        |   |        |        |   |          |
|             | Extensive                         |        |   |        |        |   |          |
|             | Widespread                        |        |   |        |        |   |          |
| Temporal    | scale - Occurence of the impact   |        |   |        |        |   |          |
|             | One off or rare                   |        |   |        |        |   |          |
| ×           | Intermittent or sporadic          |        |   |        |        |   |          |
|             | Frequent                          |        |   |        |        |   |          |
|             | On-going                          |        |   |        |        |   |          |

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

|          | Insignificant                                    |
|----------|--|
|          | Minor  |
| ×        | Significant                                      |
|          | Major  |
| Manageme | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |
|          | High capacity                                    |

|             | High capacity                    |
|-------------|----------------------------------|
|             | Medium capacity                  |
| ×           | Low capacity                     |
|             | No capacity and / or resources   |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years |
|             | Decreasing                       |
|             | Static                           |

× Increasing

| Name                  | Impact | t | Origin | Trend |
|-----------------------|--------|---|--------|-------|
| 4.5.5 Crop production | ٢      | 9 | ۲      |       |
|                       |        |   |        |       |

| Spatial | scale - | Area | affected | by | the | factor |
|---------|---------|------|----------|----|-----|--------|
|---------|---------|------|----------|----|-----|--------|

|             | Restricted                                      |
|-------------|---|
| ×           | Localised                                       |
|             | Extensive                                       |
|             | Widespread                                      |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact                 |
|             | One off or rare                                 |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                        |
| ×           | Frequent  |
|             | On-going  |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                   |
|             | Minor   |
| ×           | Significant                                     |
|             | Major   |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                   |
| ×           | Medium capacity                                 |
|             | Low capacity                                    |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                  |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years                |
|             | Decreasing                                      |
|             | Static  |
| ×           | Increasing                                      |

## 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

| Name        | e   |   |   | Origin | Trend    |
|-------------|---|---|---|--------|----------|
| 4.7.7 Pests |   |   |   |        |          |
|             |   | ٢ | 4 | ٢      | <b>→</b> |
| Spatial sca | le - Area affected by the factor                |   |   |        |          |
|             | Restricted                                      |   |   |        |          |
| ×           | Localised                                       |   |   |        |          |
|             | Extensive                                       |   |   |        |          |
|             | Widespread                                      |   |   |        |          |
| Temporal s  | cale - Occurence of the impact                  |   |   |        |          |
|             | One off or rare                                 |   |   |        |          |
| ×           | Intermittent or sporadic                        |   |   |        |          |
|             | Frequent  |   |   |        |          |
|             | On-going  |   |   |        |          |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes                          |   |   |        |          |
|             | Insignificant                                   |   |   |        |          |
|             | Minor   |   |   |        |          |
| ×           | Significant                                     |   |   |        |          |
|             | Major   |   |   |        |          |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |   |   |        |          |
|             | High capacity                                   |   |   |        |          |
|             | Medium capacity                                 |   |   |        |          |
| ×           | Low capacity                                    |   |   |        |          |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                  |   |   |        |          |
| Trend - Dev | relopement over the last 6 years                |   |   |        |          |
|             | Decreasing                                      |   |   |        |          |
| ×           | Static  |   |   |        |          |
|             | Increasing                                      |   |   |        |          |

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

| Name       |   | Impact |   | Origin |   | Trend |          |
|------------|---|--------|---|--------|---|-------|----------|
| 4.8.4 Char | .8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system |        |   |        |   |       |          |
|            |   | 0      | 9 |        | ٢ |       | <b>→</b> |
| Spatial sc | ale - Area affected by the factor                             |        |   |        |   |       |          |
| opullaroo  |   |        |   |        |   |       |          |
|            | Restricted  |        |   |        |   |       |          |
|            | Localised   |        |   |        |   |       |          |
| ×          | Extensive   |        |   |        |   |       |          |
|            | Widespread  |        |   |        |   |       |          |
| Temporal   | scale - Occurence of the impact                               |        |   |        |   |       |          |
|            | One off or rare   |        |   |        |   |       |          |

|             | Intermittent or sporadic                         |
|-------------|--|
| ×           | Frequent   |
|             | On-going   |
| Impact - Im | npact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                    |
|             | Minor  |
| ×           | Significant                                      |
|             | Major  |
| Manageme    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                    |
| ×           | Medium capacity                                  |
|             | Low capacity                                     |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                   |
| Trend - De  | velopement over the last 6 years                 |
|             | Decreasing                                       |
|             | Static   |
| ×           | Increasing                                       |
|             |  |

| N  | ame  | Impact |   | npact |   | pact |  | Origin |  | Trend |  |
|----|--|--------|---|-------|---|------|--|--------|--|-------|--|
| 4. | 8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community |        |   |       |   |      |  |        |  |       |  |
|    |  | 0      | 4 |       | ٢ | 1    |  |        |  |       |  |

| Spatial sca | ale - Area affected by the factor                |
|-------------|--|
|             | Restricted                                       |
| ×           | Localised  |
|             | Extensive  |
|             | Widespread                                       |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |
|             | One off or rare                                  |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                         |
| ×           | Frequent   |
|             | On-going   |
| Impact - Im | npact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                    |
|             | Minor  |
| ×           | Significant                                      |
|             | Major  |
| Manageme    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                    |
|             | Medium capacity                                  |
| ×           | Low capacity                                     |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                   |
| Trend - De  | velopement over the last 6 years                 |

|   | Decreasing |
|---|------------|
|   | Static     |
| × | Increasing |

| Name          | Impact  |   | Origin |  | Trend |   |          |
|---------------|---|---|--------|--|-------|---|----------|
| 4.8.6 Impac   | 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation  |   | 9      |  | ۲     | Ċ | <b>→</b> |
|               |   | 0 | 9      |  | ٢     | Ċ | <b>→</b> |
| Spatial sca   | le - Area affected by the factor                |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Restricted                                      |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Localised                                       |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ×             | Extensive                                       |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Widespread                                      |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| Temporal s    | cale - Occurence of the impact                  |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| . on portai e | One off or rare                                 |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Intermittent or sporadic                        |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ×             | Frequent  |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ~             | On-going  |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| Impact - Im   | pact on the attributes                          |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| impact - im   | Insignificant                                   |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Minor   |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ×             | Significant                                     |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ~             | Major   |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| Managomo      | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| wanageme      | High capacity                                   |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ×             | Medium capacity                                 |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ^             |   |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Low capacity                                    |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| Trond Da      | No capacity and / or resources                  |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| Trena - Dev   | Percenting                                      |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Decreasing                                      |   |        |  |       |   |          |
|               | Static  |   |        |  |       |   |          |
| ×             | Increasing                                      |   |        |  |       |   |          |

## 4.9 Other human activities

| Name         |   | Impact |   | pact Origin |   |  | Trend |
|--------------|---|--------|---|-------------|---|--|-------|
| 4.9.1 Illega | 1 Illegal activities                        |        |   |             |   |  |       |
|              |   | 0      | 4 |             | ٢ |  |       |
| Snatial sca  | Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor |        |   |             |   |  |       |
| Spatial Sca  |   |        |   |             |   |  |       |
|              | Restricted                                  |        |   |             |   |  |       |
| ×            | Localised                                   |        |   |             |   |  |       |
|              | Extensive                                   |        |   |             |   |  |       |
|              | Widespread                                  |        |   |             |   |  |       |
| Temporal s   | cale - Occurence of the impact              |        |   |             |   |  |       |

|             | One off or rare                                 |
|-------------|---|
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                        |
| ×           | Frequent  |
|             | On-going  |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                   |
| ×           | Minor   |
|             | Significant                                     |
|             | Major   |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                   |
| ×           | Medium capacity                                 |
|             | Low capacity                                    |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                  |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years                |
|             | Decreasing                                      |
| ×           | Static  |
|             | Increasing                                      |

## 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

| Name        | Name   |   |   | Origin |   | Trend |
|-------------|--|---|---|--------|---|-------|
| 4.10.1 Stor | ms   |   |   |        |   |       |
|             |  | 0 | 9 | ٢      | Ċ | 1     |
| Spatial sca | Ile - Area affected by the factor                |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Restricted                                       |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Localised  |   |   |        |   |       |
| ×           | Extensive  |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Widespread                                       |   |   |        |   |       |
| Temporal    | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | One off or rare                                  |   |   |        |   |       |
| ×           | Intermittent or sporadic                         |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Frequent   |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | On-going   |   |   |        |   |       |
| Impact - In | apact on the attributes                          |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Insignificant                                    |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Minor  |   |   |        |   |       |
| ×           | Significant                                      |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Major  |   |   |        |   |       |
| Manageme    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |   |   |        |   |       |
| ×           | High capacity                                    |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Medium capacity                                  |   |   |        |   |       |
|             | Low capacity                                     |   |   |        |   |       |

No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

|   | Decreasing |
|---|------------|
|   | Static     |
| × | Increasing |

| Name            | Impact | mpact |  | npact |  | act |  | Origin |  | Trend |
|-----------------|--------|-------|--|-------|--|-----|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.10.2 Flooding |        |       |  |       |  |     |  |        |  |       |
|                 | 0      | 9     |  | ٢     |  |     |  |        |  |       |

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| •           |  |
|-------------|--|
|             | Restricted                                       |
| ×           | Localised  |
|             | Extensive  |
|             | Widespread                                       |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |
|             | One off or rare                                  |
| ×           | Intermittent or sporadic                         |
|             | Frequent   |
|             | On-going   |
| Impact - Im | npact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                    |
|             | Minor  |
| ×           | Significant                                      |
|             | Major  |
| Manageme    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |
| ×           | High capacity                                    |
|             | Medium capacity                                  |
|             | Low capacity                                     |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                   |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years                 |
|             | Decreasing                                       |
|             | Static   |
| ×           | Increasing                                       |

## 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

| Name        |   | Impact |   | mpact Origin |   | Trend |
|-------------|---|--------|---|--------------|---|-------|
| 4.11.5 Eros | 4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition |        |   |              |   |       |
|             |   | 0      | 9 |              | ۲ |       |
| Spatial sca | le - Area affected by the factor        |        |   |              |   |       |
|             |   |        |   |              |   |       |
|             | Restricted                              |        |   |              |   |       |
| ×           | Localised                               |        |   |              |   |       |

|             | Extensive  |
|-------------|--|
|             | Widespread                                       |
| Temporal    | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |
|             | One off or rare                                  |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                         |
| ×           | Frequent   |
|             | On-going   |
| Impact - In | npact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                    |
|             | Minor  |
| ×           | Significant                                      |
|             | Major  |
| Managem     | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                    |
|             | Medium capacity                                  |
| ×           | Low capacity                                     |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                   |
| Trend - De  | velopement over the last 6 years                 |
|             | Decreasing                                       |
| ×           | Static   |
|             | Increasing                                       |
|             |  |

| Name                   | Impact |  | Impact |   | oact Origin |  | Trend |
|------------------------|--------|--|--------|---|-------------|--|-------|
| 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) |        |  |        |   |             |  |       |
|                        | 0      |  | 9      | ۲ | <b>→</b>    |  |       |

## Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| opullar oou |   |
|-------------|---|
|             | Restricted                                      |
|             | Localised                                       |
| ×           | Extensive                                       |
|             | Widespread                                      |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact                 |
|             | One off or rare                                 |
| ×           | Intermittent or sporadic                        |
|             | Frequent  |
|             | On-going  |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                   |
|             | Minor   |
| ×           | Significant                                     |
|             | Major   |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |
| ×           | High capacity                                   |
|             |   |

|            | Medium capacity                  |
|------------|----------------------------------|
|            | Low capacity                     |
|            | No capacity and / or resources   |
| Trend - De | velopement over the last 6 years |
|            | Decreasing                       |
| ×          | Static                           |
|            | Increasing                       |

## 4.13 Management and institutional factors

| Name        |   | Impact |    | Origin |   | Trend |
|-------------|---|--------|----|--------|---|-------|
|             | agement system/Management plan                  |        | ©  |        |   |       |
| 4.10.1 Mail |   |        | -, | Q.     |   | Ť     |
|             |   |        |    |        |   |       |
| Spatial sca | le - Area affected by the factor                |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Restricted                                      |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Localised                                       |        |    |        |   |       |
| ×           | Extensive                                       |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Widespread                                      |        |    |        |   |       |
| Temporal s  | cale - Occurence of the impact                  |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | One off or rare                                 |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                        |        |    |        |   |       |
| ×           | Frequent  |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | On-going  |        |    |        |   |       |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes                          |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Insignificant                                   |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Minor   |        |    |        |   |       |
| ×           | Significant                                     |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Major   |        |    |        |   |       |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | High capacity                                   |        |    |        |   |       |
| ×           | Medium capacity                                 |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Low capacity                                    |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                  |        |    |        |   |       |
| Trend - De  | velopement over the last 6 years                |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Decreasing                                      |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Static  |        |    |        |   |       |
| ×           | Increasing                                      |        |    |        |   |       |
|             |   |        |    |        |   |       |
| Name        |   | Impact |    | Origin |   | Trend |
| 4.13.2 Lega | Il framework                                    | •      | 9  | ٩      | Ċ | 1     |
|             |   |        |    |        |   |       |
| Spatial sca | le - Area affected by the factor                |        |    |        |   |       |
|             | Restricted                                      |        |    |        |   |       |
|             |   |        |    |        |   |       |

|            | Localised  |        |   |        |       |
|------------|--|--------|---|--------|-------|
| ×          | Extensive  |        |   |        |       |
|            | Widespread                                       |        |   |        |       |
| Temporal   | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |        |   |        |       |
|            | One off or rare                                  |        |   |        |       |
|            | Intermittent or sporadic                         |        |   |        |       |
| ×          | Frequent   |        |   |        |       |
|            | On-going   |        |   |        |       |
| Impact - I | mpact on the attributes                          |        |   |        |       |
|            | Insignificant                                    |        |   |        |       |
|            | Minor  |        |   |        |       |
| ×          | Significant                                      |        |   |        |       |
|            | Major  |        |   |        |       |
| Managem    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |        |   |        |       |
|            | High capacity                                    |        |   |        |       |
| ×          | Medium capacity                                  |        |   |        |       |
|            | Low capacity                                     |        |   |        |       |
|            | No capacity and / or resources                   |        |   |        |       |
| Trend - D  | evelopement over the last 6 years                |        |   |        |       |
|            | Decreasing                                       |        |   |        |       |
|            | Static   |        |   |        |       |
| ×          | Increasing                                       |        |   |        |       |
|            |  |        |   |        |       |
| Name       |  | Impact |   | Origin | Trend |
| 4.13.3 Go  | vernance   | ٢      | 9 | ٢      | /     |
|            |  |        |   |        |       |
| Spatial so | ale - Area affected by the factor                |        |   |        |       |
|            | Restricted                                       |        |   |        |       |
|            | Localised  |        |   |        |       |
| ×          | Extensive  |        |   |        |       |
|            | Widespread                                       |        |   |        |       |
|            |  |        |   |        |       |

#### Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

| One off or rare         |
|-------------------------|
| Itermittent or sporadic |
| requent                 |
| In-going                |
| ct on the attributes    |
| significant             |
| linor                   |
| ignificant              |
| Tajor                   |
| ni<br>r<br>Di<br>ni     |

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

|             | High capacity                               |
|-------------|---|
| ×           | Medium capacity                             |
|             | Low capacity                                |
|             | No capacity and / or resources              |
|             |   |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years            |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing |
| Trend - Dev |   |

| Name                         |  | Impact |  |   | Trend |
|------------------------------|--|--------|--|---|-------|
| 4.13.4 Management activities |  | 9      |  | ۲ |       |
|                              |  |        |  |   |       |

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| Spatial Sca | ile - Area anected by the factor                 |
|-------------|--|
|             | Restricted                                       |
|             | Localised  |
| ×           | Extensive  |
|             | Widespread                                       |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact                  |
|             | One off or rare                                  |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                         |
| ×           | Frequent   |
|             | On-going   |
| Impact - Im | npact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                    |
| ×           | Minor  |
|             | Significant                                      |
|             | Major  |
| Manageme    | ent response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                    |
| ×           | Medium capacity                                  |
|             | Low capacity                                     |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                   |
| Trend - De  | velopement over the last 6 years                 |
|             | Decreasing                                       |
| ×           | Static   |

Increasing

|   |   |   | Origin | Origin |          |
|---|---|---|--------|--------|----------|
| 4.13.5 Financial resources                  |   |   |        |        |          |
|   | 0 | 9 | ٢      | ۲      | <b>→</b> |
|   |   |   |        |        |          |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor |   |   |        |        |          |
| Restricted                                  |   |   |        |        |          |

| ×           | Localised                                       |        |   |        |   |          |
|-------------|---|--------|---|--------|---|----------|
|             | Extensive                                       |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Widespread                                      |        |   |        |   |          |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact                 |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | One off or rare                                 |        |   |        |   |          |
| ×           | Intermittent or sporadic                        |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Frequent  |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | On-going  |        |   |        |   |          |
| Impact - Im | ppact on the attributes                         |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Insignificant                                   |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Minor   |        |   |        |   |          |
| ×           | Significant                                     |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Major   |        |   |        |   |          |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | High capacity                                   |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Medium capacity                                 |        |   |        |   |          |
| ×           | Low capacity                                    |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                  |        |   |        |   |          |
| Trend - De  | velopement over the last 6 years                |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Decreasing                                      |        |   |        |   |          |
| ×           | Static  |        |   |        |   |          |
|             | Increasing                                      |        |   |        |   |          |
|             |   |        |   |        |   |          |
| Name        |   | Impact |   | Origin |   | Trend    |
| 4.13.6 Hum  | an resources                                    | ٢      | 9 | ٩      | Ċ | <b>→</b> |
|             |   |        |   |        |   |          |

| 4.13.6 Human resources |  |
|------------------------|--|
|------------------------|--|

| Spatial sca | spatial scale - Area affected by the factor             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|             | Restricted  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ×           | Localised   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Extensive   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Widespread  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Temporal s  | scale - Occurence of the impact                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | One off or rare   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ×           | Frequent  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | On-going  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impact - Im | npact on the attributes                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Insignificant   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Minor   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ×           | Significant   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|             | Major   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Manageme    | Management response - Capacity of management to respond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| ×           | High capacity                    |
|-------------|----------------------------------|
|             | Medium capacity                  |
|             | Low capacity                     |
|             | No capacity and / or resources   |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years |
|             | Decreasing                       |
| ×           | Static                           |
|             | Increasing                       |

| Name   | Impact | : | Origin |   | Trend |
|--|--------|---|--------|---|-------|
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities |        | 9 | ۹      | Ċ |       |
|  |        |   |        |   |       |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| opullal oou |   |
|-------------|---|
|             | Restricted                                      |
|             | Localised                                       |
| ×           | Extensive                                       |
|             | Widespread                                      |
| Temporal s  | cale - Occurence of the impact                  |
|             | One off or rare                                 |
|             | Intermittent or sporadic                        |
| ×           | Frequent  |
|             | On-going  |
| Impact - Im | pact on the attributes                          |
|             | Insignificant                                   |
|             | Minor   |
| ×           | Significant                                     |
|             | Major   |
| Manageme    | nt response - Capacity of management to respond |
|             | High capacity                                   |
| ×           | Medium capacity                                 |
|             | Low capacity                                    |
|             | No capacity and / or resources                  |
| Trend - Dev | velopement over the last 6 years                |
|             | Decreasing                                      |
|             | Static  |
| ×           | Increasing                                      |
|             |   |

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

not applicable

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

|          | Attribute                                       | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|----------|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 4.18.1.1 | karst landscape                                 | ×         |             |                       |      |
| 4.18.1.2 | traditional methods of agriculture              | ×         |             |                       |      |
| 4.18.1.3 | vernacular architecture                         |           | ×           |                       |      |
| 4.18.1.4 | traditional and vernacular handicrafts          | ×         |             |                       |      |
| 4.18.1.5 | musical expression of the field worker(veguero) | ×         |             |                       |      |

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

## 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

No buffer zone was planned because the World Heritage property is located inside a National Monument property which is protected by the current national legislation.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

La Vallée de Viñales est protégée sur la base des dispositions de la Constitution de la République de Cuba de février 1976, et de sa déclaration comme Monument national, le 27 mars 1979, en application de deux lois du 4 août 1977, l'une portant sur la protection du patrimoine culturel et l'autre, relative aux monuments nationaux et locaux.

Source: Evaluation des Organisations consultatives

#### Comment

Viñales Valley is protected following the dispositions of the Constitution of the Republic of Cuba issued in February 1976, and its declaration as National Monument, on March the 27th, 1979, enforcing the two laws of August the 4th, 1977, one concerning the protection of Cultural Heritage, and the other one relative to national and local monuments.

## 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1977 / law 2 concerning the protection of national and local monuments / applies / applies / not applicable / applies / not applicable / 2019 /

general plan for the urban and planning / applies / applies / not applicable / applies / not applicable / 2022 / law 62 that enforces the creation of the manager bureau for Viñales Valley /

## 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has  $\ensuremath{\textbf{no}}\xspace$  buffer zone

## 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

# 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice law 2 for the preservation of national and local monuments is being converted into the law for the protection of the cultural and natural heritage broadening its range, and strengthening the sanctions in the case of transgressions.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

a law was issued in order to create a bureau for the management of the world heritage site

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

#### If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

"There are in place other protection categories such as national park and geopark and world heritage. The three of them coordinate their management planning. There is also a master territorial plan for the municipality which takes into account the former mentioned plans"

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

not applicable

## 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is fully based on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

#### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation was taken into account mainly regarding the protection of the views of the village and of the valley. Its implementation was conceived as part of the strategy of sustainable community based tourism for viñales valley developed in 2019 and led by the Regional Office for Culture in Latin America and the Caribbean together with the Consejo Nacional de Patrimonio Cultural (Ministry Culture).

## 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

## 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

In Cuba the climate change policy has been implemented through the Vida program led by the Ministry of Science, Technology and Environment whose statements are taken into account in all plans and strategies carried out by the government

#### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

## 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

In Cuba there are plans conceived by the Civil Defense, for the protection of human lives and assets(houses, crops, and other production and culture related facilities), implemented in the territories through the municipal risk assessment centers. They alert the local authorities and the population about the zones vulnerable to floods, wind, and natural disasters.

## 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

|          |  | Not<br>applicable | No mechanisms for<br>participation | Some participation | Direct participation | Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes |
|----------|--|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| 5.3.15.1 | Local communities  |                   |                                    |                    | ×                    |   |
| 5.3.15.2 | Local authorities  |                   |                                    |                    | ×                    |   |
| 5.3.15.3 | Landowners in the property and the buffer zone             |                   |                                    |                    | ×                    |   |
| 5.3.15.4 | Indigenous peoples   | ×                 |                                    |                    |                      |   |
| 5.3.15.5 | Women  |                   |                                    |                    | ×                    |   |
| 5.3.15.6 | Other specific groups                                      | ×                 |                                    |                    |                      |   |
|          | If you selected, 'Other specific<br>groups' please specify |                   |                                    |                    |                      |   |

## 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

|           |   | Not applicable | Non-existent | Poor | Fair | Good |
|-----------|---|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 5.3.16.1  | Local communities                                       |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.2  | Local/Municipal authorities                             |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.3  | Indigenous peoples                                      | ×              |              |      |      |      |
| 5.3.16.4  | Landowners  |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.5  | Women   |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.6  | Youth/Children  |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.7  | Researchers   |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.8  | Local Visitors/Tourists                                 |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.9  | National/International tourists                         |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.10 | Tourism Industry  |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.11 | Local businesses and industries                         |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.12 | NGOs  |                |              |      | ×    |      |
| 5.3.16.13 | Other specific groups                                   | ×              |              |      |      |      |
|           | If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify |                |              |      |      |      |

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention* 

|          |  | Not<br>applicable | No<br>contribution | Limited | Significant | Full<br>achievement |
|----------|--|-------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------|---------------------|
| 5.3.17.1 | The management system of the property contributes to gender equality   |                   |                    |         |             | ×                   |
| 5.3.17.2 | The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)  |                   |                    | ×       |             |                     |
| 5.3.17.3 | The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status |                   |                    |         |             | ×                   |
| 5.3.17.4 | The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach   |                   |                    |         |             | ×                   |
| 5.3.17.5 | The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood   |                   |                    |         | ×           |                     |

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

in Cuba there are special programs aiming at the protection of women, th elderly, the children, the handicapped, and any other vulnerable groups

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

"There is a management system that approaches main issues related to landscape conservation, as well as to life quality and sustainability. However, it has to be improved to find solutions to preserve significant vernacular architecture preservation"

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

## 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

|          |  | Project costs | Running costs |
|----------|--|---------------|---------------|
| 6.1.1.1  | Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)   | 0 %           | 0 %           |
| 6.1.1.2  | Bilateral international funding  | 10 %          | 10 %          |
| 6.1.1.3  | World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)   | %             | %             |
| 6.1.1.4  | Contribution from other conventions and programmes   | %             | %             |
| 6.1.1.5  | International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)  | %             | %             |
| 6.1.1.6  | Governmental (national/federal)  | 20 %          | 20 %          |
| 6.1.1.7  | Governmental (regional/provincial/state)   | 30 %          | 30 %          |
| 6.1.1.8  | Governmental (local/municipal)   | 40 %          | 40 %          |
| 6.1.1.9  | In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)   | %             | %             |
| 6.1.1.10 | Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)              | %             | %             |
| 6.1.1.11 | Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.) | %             | %             |
| 6.1.1.12 | Other  | %             | %             |
|          |  | Total 100 %   | Total 100 %   |

## 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above not applicable

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

so far there is not a secure and permanent funding source that guarantees the preservation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site

## 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

|         |       | From local communities % | From elsewhere % |
|---------|-------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 6.1.6.1 | Men   | 60 %                     | 40 %             |
| 6.1.6.2 | Women | 40 %                     | 60 %             |
|         |       | Total 100 %              | Total 100 %      |

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

## 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| Conservation                          | Good |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| Environmental sustainability          | Fair |
| Community participation and inclusion | Fair |
| Risk preparedness                     | Fair |
| Capacity development and education    | Good |

| Administration   | Poor |
|--|------|
| Research and monitoring                                | Fair |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Poor |
| Marketing and promotion                                | Fair |
| Interpretation   | Fair |
| Visitor management/tourism                             | Poor |
| Enforcement (custodians, police)                       | Fair |

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| Conservation   | Good |
|--|------|
| Environmental sustainability                           | Fair |
| Community participation and inclusion                  | Fair |
| Risk preparedness                                      | Fair |
| Capacity development and education                     | Fair |
| Administration   | Fair |
| Research and monitoring                                | Fair |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion                                | Fair |
| Interpretation   | Fair |
| Visitor management/tourism                             | Fair |
| Enforcement (custodians, police)                       | Fair |

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

"In 2019 several workshops were developed which were atended by different actors such as the local, provincial and national authorities and representatives from the community. The objective of the workshops was to build the strategy of sustainable and community tourism in Viñales Valley. They were led by the Regional Office for Culture in Latin America and the Caribbean"

## 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

it is foressen that the recently approved Bureau of preservation provides training plans for the different actors, and creates the school workshop that ensures the preservation of the traditional trades

#### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

## 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

there is knowledge of the Oustanding Unuiversal Value from the part of the researchers and the managers of the site, but there are insufficiencies in the community and the government authorities at all levels.

#### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| Local communities  | Fair           |
|--|----------------|
| Local/municipal authorities                              | Fair           |
| Indigenous peoples                                       | Not applicable |
| Landowners   | Fair           |
| Women  | Fair           |
| Youth/children   | Fair           |
| Researchers  | Fair           |
| Local visitors   | Fair           |
| National/international tourists                          | Fair           |
| Tourism industry   | Fair           |
| Local businesses and industries                          | Fair           |
| NGOs   | Fair           |
| Other specific groups                                    | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe |                |

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

| ocal communities               |
|--------------------------------|
| ocal/municipal authorities     |
| andowners                      |
| Vomen                          |
| 'outh/children                 |
| lesearchers                    |
| ourism industry                |
| ocal businesses and industries |

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

| Visitor centre                         | Fair       |
|--|------------|
| Site museum                            | Fair       |
| Information booths                     | Fair       |
| Guided tours                           | Good       |
| Trails/routes                          | Good       |
| Printed information materials          | Poor       |
| Online (website, social media, etc.)   | Fair       |
| Transportation facilities              | Poor       |
| Other                                  | Not needed |
| If 'Other' is selected, please specify |            |

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

"Education is oriented through heritage conservation and environmental programs both at school and at the museum, as well as at the "Hose of Culture" and student centers. However, the relationship with social media and other actors should be increased"

#### 9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

not applicable (country closed because of COVID pandemic) / not applicable (country closed because of COVID pandemic) / 400 000 / 500 000 / 600 000 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Accommodation establishments

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

50 USD / 12 USD / 30 USD / not applicable / 25 USD / 8 USD /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

National Statistics of Tourism Industry

## 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

"There is one strategy for a community sustainable tourism approved in 2019, but is implementation is still in process, due to difficulties during the pandemia"

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

## If a different system, please specify

## 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

## 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

there are some locally driven inititives promoted taking into account the Strategy of Sustainable and Community tourism that foster creativity in the gastronomy , music and handicraft fields

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

If 'Yes', please specify :In our case tourism has indeed provided direct jobs to the community, such as tour guides or in the hospitality industry (hotels, bars and restaurants). Indirect employment is generated through other industries such as agriculture, food production, creative industries (art, music performance) and retail (souvenirs).

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Not needed. Required comments have been provided above.

### 10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

|        | Extend of indicators                                     | Not<br>applicable | No<br>indicators | Indicators have been defined but are<br>not yet in use | Indicators are in place and in use since the last<br>Periodic Reporting cycle |
|--------|--|-------------------|------------------|--|---|
| 10.3.1 | State of conservation                                    |                   | ×                |  |   |
| 10.3.2 | Effectiveness of the management system                   |                   | ×                |  |   |
| 10.3.3 | Character of governance                                  |                   | ×                |  |   |
| 10.3.4 | Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations |                   | ×                |  |   |
| 10.3.5 | Contribution to sustainable development                  |                   | ×                |  |   |
| 10.3.6 | Capacity development                                     |                   | ×                |  |   |

### **10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property** Not applicable

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

| World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff          | Good           |
|---|----------------|
| Local/municipal authorities                             | Fair           |
| Local communities                                       | Poor           |
| Indigenous peoples                                      | Not applicable |
| Landowners  | Poor           |
| Women   | Poor           |
| Researchers   | Fair           |
| Tourism industry  | Poor           |
| Local businesses and industry                           | Poor           |
| NGOs  | Not applicable |
| Other specific groups                                   | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify |                |

## 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

### **10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.** No comment

## 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Not required

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

| 5.1    | Boundaries and Buffer Zones  |   |
|--------|--|---|
| 5.1.3  | The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>   |   |
| 5.2    | Protective Measures  |   |
| 5.2.3  | An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation |   |
| 5.2.4  | The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>   |   |
| 5.2.6  | There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain   |   |
| 5.3    | Management System/Management Plan  |   |
| 5.3.7  | Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property  | × |
| 5.3.9  | Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property  | × |
| 5.3.11 | There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved  | × |
| 5.3.13 | The management system at the property is only being partially implemented  | × |

| 5.3.17 | • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) |   |
|--------|---|---|
| 6.1    | Funding   |   |
| 6.1.3  | The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property                                       | × |
| 6.1.4  | Existing sources of funding are <b>not secure</b>   | × |
| 6.1.7  | Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property   | × |
| 6.1.10 | Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property   |   |
| 6.1.12 | A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred  |   |
| 7      | Scientific Studies and Research Projects  |   |
| 7.2    | There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value                 |   |
| 8      | Education, Information and Awareness Building   |   |
| 8.2    | There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth   |   |
| 9      | Visitor Management  |   |
| 9.7    | There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation                           | × |
| 9.9    | There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property  |   |
| 9.11   | There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation        |   |
| 9.12   | The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made   |   |
| 10     | Monitoring  |   |
| 10.1   | There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned   |   |
| 10.2   | Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done   | × |
| Pleas  | e select 1 more issues.   |   |
| D Ple  | ase save this question to reflect changes   |   |

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

## 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

## 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| 4.1   | Buildings and           | Buildings and Development |  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|-------------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.1.1 | Housing                 | IV                        | the development of loc<br>industries is promoted,<br>aiming at the productio<br>creole tiles   |                         | buildings requiring creole   |  | Ings requiring creole five years<br>covering is being<br>ertaken. Priority is<br>g given to those with                     |  | Municipal government<br>and local industries                   |  | w economic<br>nes implemented<br>y in the country stand<br>se actions  |  |
| 4.3   | Services Infra          | structure                 | 5  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.3.1 | Water<br>infrastructure | IV                        | it is planned as part of t<br>regulations in the<br>management plan, the<br>designing of actions to<br>diminish the general vis<br>impact. |                         | carried out in order to<br>find new impacts on<br>the property. right now  |  | designed following   |  | Municipal Headquarters of<br>Physical Planning<br>(inspectors) |  | Work is being done with<br>the construction materials<br>industry, in the making of<br>structures that provoke<br>less visual impact. With<br>those existing already,<br>design work is being<br>performed in order to<br>diminish the impact. |  |
| 4.4   | Pollution               |                           |  |                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.4.5 | Solid waste             | IV                        | there is ongoing work<br>mainly supported on<br>the existing funding   | out in<br>micro<br>imme | nspection tours are carried<br>out in order to find<br>nicro-dumping sites, and their<br>mmediate erradication on the<br>property. |  | there is a Project that W<br>be implemented in the o<br>time, following stages,<br>according to the availab<br>of funding. |  | e due Physical Planning<br>, (inspectors), and the V           |  | non required   |  |

| 4.7        | Local conditions a  | Local conditions affecting physical fabric |  |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
|------------|---|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------|---------------|---|--|--|
| 4.7.7      |   | Pests                                      |  |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| 4.8        | Social/Cultural use   | es of heritag                              | je   |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| 4.8.4      | Changes in I <sup>n</sup><br>traditional ways<br>of life and<br>knowledge<br>system |  |  |  | the creation of the Bureau and<br>its surrounding infrastructure<br>Will be arranged in stages<br>according to the approved<br>funding |                                     |               | the coming 5 years provincial auth |  |           | ial authoriti | prities none  |  |  |
| 4.8.5      | cohesion, Re<br>changes in local pr<br>population and flo<br>community to           |  | The recently app<br>Regulations Zor<br>property, regula<br>flow. Plans are i<br>to improve the li<br>of the countrysic   | he for the<br>tes migratory<br>n place in orde<br>ving standards | ry<br>ırder<br>ırds  |                                     | full time     | municipal gover                    |  | al govern | ou            |   | A control has been set<br>out of the migration<br>toward the property. |  |
|            | 3.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation  |  | Heritage site as a contract of special to the special special to the special s |  |  | n tours are<br>ut in order<br>I the | e permanently |                                    | Municipal Headquai<br>of Physical Planning<br>(inspectors), and the<br>Ministry of Agricultu |           | ning<br>I the | The new legislation<br>issued concerning<br>Special Regulations<br>Zone for Viñales<br>Valley forbids the<br>change of the original<br>usage of the land. |  |  |
| 4.10       | Climate change an   | nd severe we                               | eather events  |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| 4.10.1     |   | S  | Storms   |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| 4.11       | Sudden ecological or geological e   |  |  |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| 4.11.5     |   |  | rosion and<br>iltation/Deposition  | ı  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| 4.13       | Management and i  | institutional                              | factors  |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| 4.13.5     |   |  | Financial<br>resources   |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |
| Question n | Question not completed  |  |  |  |  |                                     |               |                                    |  |           |               |   |  |  |

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

## 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

| 5.3   | Management Sys   | gement System/Management Plan  |                  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------|--|--|------------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|       |  | Actions  | Timeframe        | Lead agency (and others involved)  | More info / comment   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.3.7 | Some use has<br>been made of<br>the Policy<br>Document on<br>the Impacts of<br>Climate Change<br>on World<br>Heritage<br>Properties at<br>the property | The country counts on a permanent<br>Program(Tarea Vida), aiming at<br>diminishing the impact of Climate<br>Change.                  | Full time        | Ministry of Science, Technology<br>and Environment; and the<br>Government at all levels. | The impacts of the climate<br>change are not only linked to<br>severe climate phenomenae like<br>storms and hurricanes, but also<br>the food production programs,<br>and the fight against draught. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.3.9 | Some use has<br>been made of<br>the Strategy for<br>Reducing Risks<br>from Disasters<br>at World<br>Heritage<br>Properties at<br>the property          | There are Risk Management Centers<br>which keep the municipal<br>governments uopdated on Risks,<br>Dangers, and Vulnerability Zones. | full time action | Municipal Government, Civil<br>Defense Agency  | The country has an important In<br>Advance Warning System, which<br>involves the population, the civil<br>society, and all government<br>institutions.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 5.3.11 | There is<br>coordination<br>between the<br>range of<br>administrative<br>bodies involved<br>in the<br>management of<br>the property,<br>but it could be<br>improved   | The Office of Preservation Will be the<br>entity in charge of the management<br>of the World Heritage zone, but it<br>shares information and functioning<br>with the National Park office, and the<br>Geopark. | This coordination is<br>permanent  |  | Provincial, and municipal<br>government. the Ministry of<br>Science, Technology and the<br>Environment   |                        | There is an Coordinating Board<br>that supports the work between<br>all the protection agencies<br>existing in the territory and the<br>local government.  |
|--------|---|--|--|--|--|------------------------|--|
| 5.3.13 | The<br>management<br>system at the<br>property is only<br>being partially<br>implemented  | The management system only<br>needs collaboration for the<br>ellaboration of the Management<br>Plans of the entities responsible of<br>the goods present in the property.                                      | Permanent  | Permanent  |  | fice,                  | Once the Office of Preservation<br>gets fully installed, it will function<br>as a regulation agency between<br>the various entities present in<br>the property, the population, and<br>the local government. |
| 6.1    | Funding   |  |  |  |  |                        |  |
| 6.1.3  | The available<br>budget is<br>inadequate for<br>basic<br>management<br>needs and<br>presents a<br>serious<br>constraint to the<br>capacity to<br>manage the<br>World Heritage<br>property   | There is not yet a stable and<br>sufficient funding for the<br>preservation of the Oustanding<br>Universal Value.  | In the coming 5 years  | Networ<br>the Net<br>Historia  | wernment at all levels, the<br>rk of Preservation Offices, and<br>twork of Preservation and<br>an Offices of the Cuban<br>onial Cities                               | cre<br>ap<br>wh<br>res | he document that enforces the<br>eation of the Preservation Office,<br>apoints the charging of taxes<br>inich will be dedicated to the<br>search, the preservation and the<br>onitoring of the property.     |
| 6.1.4  | Existing<br>sources of<br>funding are <b>not</b><br><b>secure</b>   | There is not yet a secure funding for<br>the preservation of the Oustanding<br>Universal Value.  | In the coming 5 years  | Networ<br>the Net<br>Historia  | The government at all levels, the<br>Network of Preservation Offices, and<br>the Network of Preservation and<br>Historian Offices of the Cuban<br>Patrimonial Cities |                        | he document that enforces the<br>eation of the Preservation Office,<br>spoints the charging of taxes<br>nich will be dedicated to the<br>search, the preservation and the<br>onitoring of the property.      |
| 6.1.7  | Human<br>resources<br><b>partly meet</b> the<br>management<br>needs of the<br>World Heritage<br>property  | There is a small coordinating group<br>for the preservation works right in<br>the property.  | In the coming 5 years  |  | The Provincial and municipal governments   |                        | nce the Office of Preservation gets<br>Ily installed, the necessary staff<br>Il be completed in order to look<br>ter the sustaining of the<br>ustanding Universal Value.                                     |
| 9      | Visitor Management  |  |  |  |  |                        |  |
| 9.7    | There is a<br>strategy toThere are some deficiencies in the<br>implementation of the strategy, due to<br>the lack of coordination between the<br>tourism activity<br>and its derived<br>impacts on the<br>World Heritage<br>property but<br>there are some<br>deficiencies in<br>implementationThe coming 5 yearsThe government at all levels, the<br>Network of Preservation Offices,<br>and the Network of Preservation Offices,<br>and Historian Offices of the Cubar<br>Patrimonial CitiesWorld Heritage<br>property but<br>there are some<br>deficiencies in<br>implementationImplementationImplementation |  | k of Preservation Offices,<br>Network of Preservation<br>torian Offices of the Cuban | Once the Office of Preservation gets<br>fully installed, collaboration<br>arrangements will be made between<br>the agencies involved in visitor<br>management. |  |                        |  |
| 10     | Monitoring  |  |  |  |  |                        |  |
| 10.2   | Information on<br>the values of<br>the World<br>Heritage<br>property is<br>sufficient to<br>define key<br>indicators, but<br>this has not<br>been done  | There is sufficient information and<br>research of the property, but key<br>indicators that allow to assess the<br>work done in the property, have<br>not been defined yet.                                    | The coming 5 years   | and the<br>Historiar   | work of Preservation Offices,<br>Network of Preservation and<br>1 Offices of the Cuban<br>hial Cities. The universities.   | fully<br>the           | ce the Office of Preservation gets<br>y installed, priority will be given to<br>definition of the indicators for<br>ch area.   |

#### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

**12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?** The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

**12.3.2** - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

## 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted** 

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

Authenticity has been compromised by the negative impact of some of the factors that sustain the Universal Outstanding Value of the World Heritage Property; and the insufficient funding to face this situation.

#### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| Conservation  | No impact      |
|---|----------------|
| Research and monitoring   | Positive       |
| Management effectiveness  | No impact      |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples  | Positive       |
| Recognition   | Very positive  |
| Education   | Positive       |
| Infrastructure development  | No impact      |
| Funding for the property  | No impact      |
| International cooperation   | Positive       |
| Political support for conservation  | Positive       |
| Legal/Policy framework  | Positive       |
| Advocacy  | Positive       |
| Institutional coordination  | No impact      |
| Security  | Positive       |
| Gender equality   | Positive       |
| Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities  | No impact      |
| Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status | Positive       |
| Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood   | Positive       |
| Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties   | Positive       |
| Other   | Not applicable |
| If 'Other', please specify  |                |

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The lack of funds has made difficult the effective management of the property in the sense of not having the necessary financial resources for the programs that reinforce the attributes that sustain the Universal Outstanding Value. This situation has been worsened by the COVID pandemic.

#### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level Increase and protection of farms, that use agro-ecological techniques for soil management and conservation. Increase of the efficient management of local

enterprises linked to gastronomy, and the production and sale of foods Creation of Traditions Festival "Viñales Valle Vivo" that promote the traditional culture of the property for the conservation of the Outstanding Universal Value. Wokshops and Seminars on farm to table, friendly and sustainable gastronomy, that promote the protection and conservation of the local crops. The use of the local farm products by the Tourism Industry(Hotels, restaurants), aiming at an efficient management of its facilities. Upgrading of the community and local actors, for the promotion of Healthy Tourism practices. Increase of workshops on the preservation of the passing down of the traditional knowledge, that relate children and elderly people. Work for the protection of the rural woman, guaranteeing better conditions so they can get involved in work. Protection to the vulnerable groups (women having more than three children, and lonely old persons).

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

| Sustainable Development |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| Synergies               |  |
| State of Conservation   |  |
| Vanagement              |  |
| Governance              |  |
| Capacity Building       |  |

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

| The World Heritage Convention  |
|--|
| The concept of Outstanding Universal Value                           |
| The property's Outstanding Universal Value                           |
| The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity                         |
| The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity                         |
| Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting   |

## 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| State Party                            | Fair |
|--|------|
| Site Managers                          | Good |
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre           | Fair |
| Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM) | Good |

#### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
|--|
| Update of management plans   |
| Fundraising  |
| Awareness raising  |
| Advocacy   |

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

Great usefulness has been found in: -The Management Plannings -The Guidelines of the socio-economic policy -The Physical and Economic Planning -The Master Territorial Plan for the Municipality -The Community and Sustainable Tourism Strategy -The statistics and the surveys on the regular entrance of visitors to the property

15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Staff from other World Heritage properties

#### UNESCO National Commission

ICOMOS national/regional

**15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? No

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

500 hours / 80 hours / 420 hours /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

|          | Additional resources   | No | Yes |
|----------|--|----|-----|
| 15.3.5.1 | Human resources  |    | ×   |
| 15.3.5.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training |    | ×   |

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

|          |                              | Very Difficult | Difficult | Easy | Very easy |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| 15.4.2.1 | Ease of use of questionnaire |                | ×         |      |           |
| 15.4.2.2 | Clarity of questions         |                | ×         |      |           |

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

For the next questionnaire, the language should be easier. Counting only on 500 characters limits the possibility of providing more information. The boxes for marking or asking for information should be easier to be accessed and handled. The Guidance gadget giving explanations on how to respond should appear in all questions. Once the questionnaire is full, the marks in the boxes contained in the tables cannot be seen in the copies obtained after exporting to PDFor Word format.

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

| UNESCO World Heritage Centre         | Poor |
|--------------------------------------|------|
| UNESCO (other sectors/field offices) | Poor |
| UNESCO National Commission           | Poor |
| ICOMOS International                 | Poor |
| IUCN International                   | Poor |
| ICCROM international/regional        | Poor |
| ICOMOS national/regional             | Fair |
| IUCN national/regional               | Poor |

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

| UNESCO World Heritage Centre                      | Fair |
|---|------|
| State Party Representative (national Focal Point) | Poor |
| UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)          | Poor |
| National Commission for UNESCO                    | Poor |
| ICOMOS International                              | Poor |
| ICCROM International/regional                     | Poor |
| ICOMOS national/regional                          | Good |
| IUCN national/regional                            | Poor |
| IUCN International                                | Poor |

## 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

No

**15.5.4** - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented? In order to fill in this third cycle questionnaire, there was very little training. There was just one on line forum of 2 hours(sponsored by the World Heritage Centre) right in the month when completion should have finished.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise** All required comments were made all through the answering process.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.