

Historic Centre of São Luís

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Centre of São Luís

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of São Luís	-2.53 / -44.303	66.65	0	66.65	1997
Total (ha)		66.65	0	66.65	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of São Luís - Map of the World Heritage property	2013	

Comment

Minimal adjustments of boundary and definition of buffer zone boundary.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

Comment

Social Networks: Turismo São Luís - <https://www.instagram.com/turismo.saoluis/> Secretaria de Turismo - <https://www.instagram.com/seturisaoluis/> Turismo São Luís - <https://www.facebook.com/turismosaoluis/> City Hall - <https://www.instagram.com/prefeiturasao-luis/> Promotional Websites: Turismo São Luís - <http://www.turismosaoluis.com.br/> Agência de Notícias de São Luís - <https://agenciasaoluis.com.br/> São Luís' City Hall Website - <https://saoluis.ma.gov.br>

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		x
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		x
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		x
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		x
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		x

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Not Applicable

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	

2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5 UNESCO Global Geoparks		
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	x
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

Not Applicable

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Bumba Meu Boi from Maranhão and Capoeira Circle Cultural Complex.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

Not Applicable

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Located on the promontory formed by the Rivers Anil and Bacanga, northwest of São Luís Island, the Historic Centre of São Luís do Maranhão is characterized by its urban grid of streets lined with residential buildings of various heights, many with tiled roofs, painted ornamented cornices, tall narrow windows set in decorated surrounds and balconies with forged or cast iron railings. They date from the 1615 plan laid out by Portugal's chief engineer in Brazil, following conquest of the fort that had been established on the site by the French in 1612. Harmoniously expanded through the 18th, 19th and 20th centuries, the historic centre is an outstanding example of a Portuguese colonial town adapted to the climatic conditions of Equatorial America, with traditional Portuguese architecture adapted to incorporate raised piers and shuttered, wooden verandas. The singularity of the construction techniques employed is expressed in the elegance of the traditional Portuguese *azulejos* tile work applied both as insulation and decoration; in the modulated use of occupied and empty spaces reinforced by crafted stonework; and in the sharp contrast between the dense ornamentation of the facades overhanging the streets and porches that open wide from side to side into interior patios, lined by a continuous series of venetians, lattices, and frames.

Criterion (iii): The Historic Centre of São Luís bears exceptional testimony to Portuguese colonial civilisation.

Criterion (iv): The Historic Centre of São Luís is an outstanding example of a Portuguese colonial town adapted to the climatic conditions of equatorial South America.

Criterion (v): The Historic Centre of São Luís is an outstanding example of a colonial town which has preserved its urban fabric, harmoniously integrated with its natural setting, to an exceptional degree.

Integrity

The urban texture of the Historic Centre of São Luís remains intact, reflecting elements that date to the city's founding and consolidation. While São Luís has been subject to expansion by virtue of its status as a living city and specific role as the state capital of Maranhão until the end of the 19th century, it has not lost the essence of its origins, reflected in the preservation of the historical centre and the 17th century architectural complex and urban grid. These elements serve to illustrate the city's importance to the region's territorial settlement. The Historic Centre is however extremely vulnerable to abandonment and neglect, and measures are being taken to address this issue, despite the urban rehabilitation initiatives to restore the architectural and enhance the area's landscape value.

Authenticity

The overlay of the various periods in the evolution of the Historic Center of São Luís, from inception of the original site in the 16th century, reflected in the French fortifications; through growth of the Portuguese city in the 17th century; to its splendid moment in the 18th century as the capital of *Grão Pará* and its rise as the homogenous aristocratic commercial metropolis of the 19th century, remain in evidence in the historic centre's structural elements. The authenticity of materials and substance in buildings, street pattern and layout, and urban spaces is high, and is respected by official bodies and inhabitants alike. Traditions, uses, and customs directly linked to Brazilian cultural identity continue to be maintained.

Protection and management requirements

The urban management of São Luís' Historic Centre is performed at the three levels of government: federal, state, through the municipal policies governing the preservation of local historical heritage property.

Following the city's registration on UNESCO's World Heritage List in 1997, there was a substantial increase in the demand for public measures to preserve the site and in the interest of government institutions to raise public awareness regarding the issue. To this end, the São Luís Municipal Government began developing the necessary instruments to safeguard the city's heritage, establishing in 1998 the Cultural Heritage Coordination (Coordenação de Patrimônio Cultural).

In 2003, the local government created the Historic Centre Management Centre (Núcleo Gestor do Centro Histórico) through Decree-Law 25441 to serve as an umbrella for the competent public agencies (municipal, state, and federal), organized civil society stakeholders, and private institutions to: integrate municipal measures and leverage the ties and partnerships forged between the various administrative and management levels; organize the delivery of public services to the Historic Centre; take steps to settle immediate problems arising in the area; propose activities and projects to spur local economic activity and ensure the sustainability of production and consumption patterns in the historical site, among other initiatives.

By virtue of this effort, the Municipal Foundation for Historical Heritage (Fundação Municipal de Patrimônio Histórico – FUMPH) was established in 2005 for the purpose of implementing operational planning and executing municipal historical heritage policies, as well as local policy initiatives aimed at safeguarding and protecting the municipality's cultural heritage, as mandated in the Fundamental Law of São Luís.

In 2008, the Historic Center Management Centre (Núcleo Gestor do Centro Histórico) was dissolved due to a lack of effective policy coordination among the different spheres of government. While in operation, it did, however, provide a concrete experience in joint collaboration between the three levels of government.

The applicable urban administration and management regulations aimed at preserving the Cultural Heritage Site include the Municipal Master Plan of São Luís (Plano Diretor do Município de São Luís – 2006), through which protection of the site was integrated to the planning and territorial settlement process as part of the Municipal.

Others municipal ordinances serves to incentivize the preservation and maintenance of properties in the city centre as well, including Law 3836 of June 21, 1999, which waives local property tax assessments (Imposto sobre a Propriedade Predial e Territorial Urbana – IPTU) for well-conserved and preserved properties. Additional legislative instruments have been enacted to address the problem of property abandonment and inadequate maintenance, among them Law 4478/2005, which regulates articles 1275 and 1276 of the Brazilian Civil Code (Código Civil Brasileiro) governing property abandonment.

In the context of the effort to strengthen the specific applicable legislation, the following instruments must still be updated and adequately adapted: the Zoning Law (Lei de Zoneamento), the Urban Land Use and Occupation Ordinance (Uso e Ocupação do Solo Urbano – 1992), the Urban Building Code (Código de Posturas – 1968).

Beyond these initiatives, an additional provision still required within the scope of the specific municipal legislation governing the Historic Centre include standardizing the procedures for intervening in public buildings and spaces located in the protected zone, with a view to facilitating coordination among the responsible public agents. Further the process of population exodus caused by the relocation of traditional functions and uses to other areas of the city has led to the progressive abandonment and underutilization of buildings, which has exacerbated the problem of irregular occupation and the attendant risks. This challenging problem has been addressed on two fronts: first, through a review of the applicable municipal urban guidelines, with a view to augmenting the area's attractiveness as a functional urban space; second, through the promotion of initiatives to constrain ongoing population exodus and abandonment – including the São Luís Historic Centre Revitalization Program (Programa de Revitalização do Centro Histórico de São Luís – PROCIDADES) – IADB and São Luís Municipal Government (under negotiation); the National Tourism Development Program (Programa Nacional de Desenvolvimento do Turismo – PRODETUR) – Maranhão State Government (under negotiation); and the Growth Acceleration Program for the Expansion of Historic Cities (Programa de Aceleração do Crescimento das Cidades Históricas – PAC Cidades Históricas) (under negotiation) – an effort encompassing a diversity of actions aimed at protecting and preserving the Historic Center, as agreed to between IPHAN and the State and Municipal Governments under the 2010-2013 Plans of Action and the applicable Cultural Heritage Preservation Agreements (Acordos de Preservação do Patrimônio Cultural)

Comment

Update of the text of the sub-item "Protection and management requirements" (see annex); Keywords: Equalization of the delimitation of the World Heritage site through the re-rectification of the federal heritage area; Decree nº 57.545/2022, which provides for the reorganization of the Management Center of the Historic Center; Program for Accelerating the Growth of Historic Cities - PAC Historic Cities, Nosso Centro, Habitar no Centro and Adote um Casarão Programs.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost

3.2.1	Portuguese colonial city adapted to the climatic conditions of Equatorial South America	x				
3.2.2	Urban layout harmoniously integrated with the surrounding environment, preserving the architectural ensemble and the original urban layout	x				
3.2.3	Inseparable bond between material and immaterial elements	x				
3.2.4	Singularity of construction techniques, housing patterns, settlement and land use	x				
3.2.5	Cultural references resulting from the miscegenation between Europeans, Africans and Indians	x				
3.2.6						
3.2.7						
3.2.8						
3.2.9						
3.2.10						
3.2.11						
3.2.12						
3.2.13						
3.2.14						
3.2.15						

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

x Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	x	x		x			

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

x Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	x	x		x			

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

x Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	x			x			
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.1 The impact of large developments was considered, but housing within the site is encouraged. 4.1.2 In the surroundings there was a negative impact on the landscape due to the scale of the constructions; Increase in commercial use. 4.1.4 The large accommodations and restaurants are located outside the site and surroundings, which we consider to be a positive. However, the amount of accommodation available inside the site has been decreasing, which we consider negative.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

x Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	x	x	x				

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	x Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X			X			

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2.1 There is not enough space to meet the demand for parking vehicles that circulate on the site, which has caused inadequate interventions in properties, with expansion of spans and demolition of the internal walls to function as a parking lot. 4.2.5. The increasing flow of vehicles can cause damage to the structures of the properties, in the places of greater circulation. The lack of planning of the parking spaces harms the ambience of the site.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X	X			

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3.2. There is a growing demand for the installation of photovoltaic panels on roofs that cause a negative visual impact. 4.3.4. The cell towers are impacting elements inside the site controlled by the inspection action, but that can have a negative impact on the ambience of the area and surroundings. 4.3.5. There is a large amount of overhead wiring that impairs the reading and ambience of the site. However, there are initiatives to reduce this situation.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant		<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant		<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X	X			

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4.4 Air pollution was considered relevant because it causes pathologies in the architectural elements integrated into the buildings, such as the formation of a black crust on the tiles and Lioz stone adornments. 4.4.5. Solid waste production has increased and collection services are insufficient. 4.4.6. The presence of a large number of colored and luminous lighting installations has been observed, which harm the ambience of the site.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X			→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X			→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X			→	
Negative							

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X			→	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				↗

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				↗

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7.2., 4.7.7. and 4.7.8. High humidity promotes accelerated deterioration, especially of wood elements, attracting xylophagous insects (termites, among other pests) and promoting the growth of microorganisms. 4.7.3. As a positive aspect, the high and constant temperature reduces the effects of contraction and expansion of the materials, contributing to their preservation.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X		↘		
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 ● Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X	X			↗
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				↗

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X			↔	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				↗

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8.1. Reduction of manifestations of religious rituals 4.8.2. Increase in cultural/commercial activities in previously unused spaces. 4.8.4. Loss of knowledge regarding the way of doing traditional building systems. 4.8.5. Increase in the interest of the population and promotion of tourism (positive), but there is no interpretation center or heritage education necessary to understand its values. 4.8.6. The use of space is positive, but major events have caused damage to the heritage.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Potential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inside	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outside	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreasing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Increasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Positive							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Negative	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12.2 Presence of pigeons and weedy vegetation (ficus).

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X			→	

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X	X			↗
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X	X		→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 • Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X			→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X				↗
Negative	X		X			→	

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	X		X				↗

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 • Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive	X		X	X			↗
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (22/08/2012):
 • Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13.1 There is no organized management system. 4.13.2. The existing legal frameworks in the three spheres of government contribute to the preservation of the site. 4.13.3 There is no change in governance compared to the previous cycle. 4.13.4 Inspection actions, intervention guidance and use control reduce negative impacts. 4.13.5. Financial resources have increased in recent years as a result of new government programs. 4.13.6 Reduced staff, employee evasion and staff reduction.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

No comments.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development			
4.1.1 Housing	⊖	↗	↗
4.1.2 Commercial development	⊖	↗	↗
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	⊕	↗	↗
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure			
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	⊖	↗	↗
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	⊖	↗	↗
4.3 Services Infrastructures			
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	⊖	↗	↗

<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity

<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<div style="text-align: right;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </div>			
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Development over the last 6 years			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<div style="text-align: right;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </div>			
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity		
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Development over the last 6 years			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing		

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.6 Input of excess energy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<div style="text-align: right;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> </div>			
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted		

<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Wind	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant

<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.3 Temperature			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.7 Pests	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

Significant

Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

High capacity

Medium capacity

Low capacity

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

Decreasing

Static

Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent

<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
--------------------------	-----------------

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
X	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

No comments.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1 Portuguese colonial city adapted to the climatic conditions of Equatorial South America	X			
4.18.1.2 Urban layout harmoniously integrated with the surrounding environment, preserving the architectural ensemble and the original urban layout	X			
4.18.1.3 Inseparable bond between material and immaterial elements	X			
4.18.1.4 Singularity of construction techniques, housing patterns, settlement and land use	X			
4.18.1.5 Cultural references resulting from the miscegenation between Europeans, Africans and Indians	X			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are **known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone, but there is a need for one**

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

At the time of application of the site as a world heritage site, there was no delimitation of a buffer zone. However, the site is part of the State Government's protected area, which in fact already functions as a buffer zone because it has specific protection and inspection legislation. It is proposed to make this limit official as a buffer zone.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Le centre historique de São Luís de Maranhão est classé Monument national selon les dispositions de la loi fédérale N° 25 du 30 novembre 1937 sur le Patrimoine architectural et naturel. Il est également protégé par la loi d'Etat N° 3999 du 5 décembre 1978. Ces deux législations exigent que les travaux susceptibles d'avoir des répercussions néfastes sur le paysage urbain de São Luís fassent l'objet d'une autorisation préalable.

Source: Evaluation des Organisations consultatives

Comment

State Law N° 3.999 of December 5, 1978 was revoked, being replaced by State Law N° 5.082 of 12/20/1990. Other decrees and laws that affect the protection of the site and its surroundings, which had not been mentioned previously, were added.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2010 / Ordinance n° 420 / Provides for the procedures to be observed for the granting of authorization to carry out interventions in heritage buildings and in the respective surrounding areas. / Federal Government (http://portal.iphan.gov.br/uploads/legislacao/Portaria_n_420_de_22_de_dezembro_de_2010.pdf) 2010 /
Ordinance n° 187 / Provides for the procedures for the investigation of administrative infractions for conduct and activities harmful to the built cultural heritage, the imposition of sanctions, the means of defense, the appeals' system and the form of collection of debts arising from the infractions Federal Government (http://portal.iphan.gov.br/uploads/ckfinder/arquivos/Portaria_iphan_187_de_11_de_junho_de_2010.pdf) 2018 / Ordinance n° 375 /
Establishes IPHAN's material cultural heritage policy and gives other measures. / Federal Government (https://www.in.gov.br/material/-/asset_publisher/KujrW0TZC2Mb/content/id/41601273/d01-2018-09-20-portaria-n-375-de-19-de-setembro-de-2018-41601031) 2018 / Decree n° 9.763 / Regulates the provisions of item XI of the caput of Art. 5 of Law 11.771 of 09/17/2008, promoting tourism segments related to the World Cultural and Natural Heritage of Brazil. /
Federal Government (https://www.planalto.gov.br/ccivil_03/_ato2019-2022/2019/decreto/d9763.htm) 1986 / Decree n° 10.089 / Provides for the Protection of the Historic, Architectural and Landscape Complex of the Urban Center of the City of São Luís. /
Government of the state of Maranhão / 1990 / Decree n° 11.591 / Provides for the Property Listing of the Historic Center of São Luís /
Government of the state of Maranhão / 1990 / Law n° 5.082 / Provides for the cultural protection of the State of Maranhão and other measures /
Government of the state of Maranhão (https://www.mpma.mp.br/index.php/concursos/26-centros-de-apoio/cauma/paginas-estaticas/218-cauma-legislacao-estadual#:~:text=5082%20de%2020%20de%20dezembro,Maranh%C3%A3o%20e%20d%C3%A1%20outras%20provid%C3%A2ncias.&text=Sistema%20Estadual%20de%2018) / ORDINARY LAW N° 10.794 / Provides for the implementation of the Program / Government of the state of Maranhão /
2019 / ORDINARY LAW N° 10.997 / Institutes the State Program "Habitar no Centro" / Government of the state of Maranhão / 2019 /
DECREE n° 34.959 / Institutes the Program Nosso Centro / Government of the state of Maranhão / 1992 / Law n° 3.253 /
Provides for the zoning, subdivision and use of urban land and other provisions / São Luís City Hall (https://www.saoluiz.ma.gov.br/midias/anexos/1188_3-lei_n_3253_de_29.12.1992_d.o.m._n.88_pags_06-27.pdf) 2006 / Law n° 4.669 / Provides for the Master Plan of the City of São Luís and other measures /
São Luís City Hall (https://www.mpma.mp.br/index.php/centros-de-apoio/meio-ambiente/legislacao-cauma/26-centros-de-apoio/cauma/paginas-estaticas/112-legislacao-municipal) 2022 / Decree n° 57.525 / Provides for the Management Center of the Historic Center of São Luís and other me

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has **no buffer zone**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies in capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The delimitation of the World Heritage site coincides with the federal heritage area, supervised by IPHAN, in which the federal preservation legislation is applied. The surrounding area corresponds to the state protection area and is supervised by the State Government, with application of the state legislation for preservation. At the municipal level, the site and its surroundings are part of the Historic Preservation Zone – ZPH, whose regulation is determined by the Land Use and Occupancy Law.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

In order to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value, there is a need to provide human and financial resources to government agencies. Although legislation in general has mechanisms already developed for its management and protection, some legal instruments still need to be revised and others implemented. Qualification of technical teams, strengthening of the structure of preservation agencies, revision/unification of current legislation are essential for a more effective and active management.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups
Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management of the site is carried out at the federal level by IPHAN, at the state level by the Department of Historical, Artistic and Landscape Heritage (body linked to the State Department of Culture) and at the municipal level by the City Hall of São Luís.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

The documents used for the management of the World Heritage site are the same as those listed in item 5.2.2.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

No management system is currently in place

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			x		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				x	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			x		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		x			
5.3.15.5	Women		x			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	x				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			x		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				x	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples		x			
5.3.16.4	Landowners			x		
5.3.16.5	Women		x			
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		x			
5.3.16.7	Researchers				x	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				x	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				x	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				x	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			x		
5.3.16.12	NGOs			x		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	x				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	x				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	x				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			x		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			x		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				x	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			x		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Relevant actions to achieve the goals of the World Heritage Committee Policy: actions to encourage the permanence and strengthening of the local community; rehabilitation of properties for social housing; expansion of accessibility within the site with the creation of accessible routes; promotion of traditional commercial activities related to the local culture; promotion of manifestations and activities related to different cultural groups.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Currently, the management of the World Heritage site is carried out by the three government bodies in an independent and non-systematized way, but many of the actions developed by these bodies converge towards the sustainable development of the site.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	5 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	50 %	10 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	40 %	70 %

6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	5 %	15 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	3 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	2 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

The project costs represent a better balance between the federal and state government, and there is a financing coordinated by the municipality with the IDB for the Revitalization Project of the Historic Center of São Luis. As for the operating expenses, most of the costs are borne by the state government, in view of the management of the cultural equipment and its structure of human and financial resources.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is **inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The significant investments made in recent years are largely from programs of limited duration. The permanent sources are very variable and depend mainly on political and economic factors, being insufficient to guarantee the conservation of the built cultural heritage as well as the urban infrastructure.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	57 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	43 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not applicable
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Although there is no plan or training program for the management of the site, management is implemented by employees of the bodies of the three governmental instances that work directly on the site and skills are partially transferred.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount** of research, but it is **not planned**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners but **there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

World Heritage Site-related surveys are individual, small-scale initiatives that are not part of a broader program or planning.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair

Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industries	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Construction companies and construction workers, architecture and engineering professionals

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Other specific groups
Construction companies and construction workers, architecture and engineering professionals

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Not provided but needed
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

There is an ongoing negotiation between the municipality and the Organization of Brazilian World Heritage Cities for the implementation of the site's Interpretation Center.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1.879.286 / There are no data available. / 3.582.720 / 2.420.368 / 2.026.543 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry
Other
Flow of visitors from the city's entrance gates. (maritime, road, airport)

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The Municipal Department of Tourism, along with the Tourism Observatory of the city of São Luís, carries out 04 surveys of tourist demand (high and low season - January, April, July and November).

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

Not identified / Not identified / Not identified / Not identified / Not identified / Not identified /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The data collected are not detailed as requested in the description of the table above. The Municipal Tourism Office takes into account the average daily expenditure of tourists in São Luís, taking into account the overall amount spent per tourist, including accommodation, food, transport, souvenirs, etc. The average daily expenditure in 2021 by tourists in São Luís was US\$ 65.00.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Periodic survey of demand with visitors, as well as the level of satisfaction with the destination and necessary improvements. And monthly monitoring of the flow of visitors from the main entrance gates of the city.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

The Municipal Department of Tourism of São Luís and the Tourism Observatory of the city of São Luís carry out a survey of several data, namely: a. Research of tourist demand; b. Hotel occupancy bulletin; c. Average Daily Rate; d. Flow of Air, Rail and Maritime Passengers; e. Survey of Jobs in the tertiary sector; f. Monitoring the online reputation of tourist enterprises; g. Monitoring on social networks.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The Municipal Department of Tourism carries out several actions in the area inscribed by UNESCO as a world heritage site, such as: a. Historical and gastronomic tour of São Luís; b. Historical Secrets Roadmap; c. Training for entrepreneurs in the historic center; d. I encourage the economy, with the "Eu Amo São Luís" campaign, where artisans sell handcrafted products at the Feira São Luís that takes place on Sundays in the historic center.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Tourism in the city of São Luis generated annual revenue in 2021 of US\$ 208.000,00, employing more than 46.016 people directly and indirectly with the tourist activity.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

No comments.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators**, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				x
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		x		
10.3.3	Character of governance		x		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		x		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		x		
10.3.6	Capacity development		x		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Number of properties comprising the site in a state of disrepair.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

No comments.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Monitoring is conducted through the inspection of preservation agencies, but in a non-systematized way.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	x
5.2.6	There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	x
5.3.12	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	x
5.3.13	There is currently no management system in place at the property	
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	x
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	x
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	

9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted	X
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	X
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	X
Please select 2 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Criteria (iv) and (v) - Alteration of construction techniques, building standards, land use and characteristics of the architectural complex (alterations, mutilations and partial de-characterization of buildings to house parking);	1. Review of legal frameworks (mobility and accessibility plan for the central area of São Luis, land use and occupation law, others); 2. Transit and transport planning; 3. Inspection (built heritage, traffic, others).	Monitoring occurs through inspection, but this is not carried out in a systematic way.	1 and 2. Next Cycle: review of legal frameworks and transit and transport planning 3. Monthly: inspection	City Hall of São Luis, Federal Government, Maranhão State Government, civil society.	No comments.
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criteria (iv) and (v) - Alteration of construction techniques, building standards, land use and characteristics of the architectural complex (alterations, mutilations and partial de-characterization of buildings to house parking);	1. Review of legal frameworks (mobility and accessibility plan for the central area of São Luis, land use and occupation law, others); 2. Transit and transport planning; 3. Inspection (built heritage, traffic, others).	Monitoring occurs through inspection, but this is not carried out in a systematic way.	1 and 2. Next Cycle: review of legal frameworks and transit and transport planning 3. Monthly: inspection	City Hall of São Luis, Federal Government, Maranhão State Government, civil society.	No comments.
4.3	Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2		Renewable energy facilities					
4.3.4		Localised utilities					Sem comentários.
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.4		Air pollution					
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.7	Pests	Criteria (iv) - Commitment of the constructive elements of the buildings that make up the site, especially wooden structures.	1. Pest control through guidance and awareness of property owners (educational work and inspection).	Inspection of built heritage.	Monthly: inspection	City Hall of São Luis, Federal Government, Maranhão State Government.	No comments.
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Criteria (iv) - Lost of traditional knowledge compromising the singularity of building techniques (gradual replacement of traditional elements by others produced with current techniques during maintenance and renovation of buildings).	1. Continued training of traditional crafts and training of skilled labor.	Inspection and monitoring of the works that take place inside the site.	School site project: yearly	City Hall of São Luis, Federal Government, Maranhão State Government, holders of knowledge related to the way of doing traditional construction techniques	Canteiro Escola Project elaborated by the São Luis City Hall in partnership with IPHAN and the construction companies. The Project foresees the formation and transmission of knowledge about traditional construction techniques.
4.8.5		Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
4.13	Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1	Management system/Management plan	Criteria (iii), (iv) and (v) - All attributes are impacted by the absence of a management plan for the World Heritage site.	1. Creation and implementation of a management plan for the World Heritage site.	Monitoring occurs through inspection, but this is not carried out in a systematic way.	Next Cycle.	City Hall of São Luis, Federal Government, Maranhão State Government, civil society.	Proposal for the elaboration of a Management Plan involving the territory's institutions and stakeholders, prioritizing shared management.
4.13.6	Human resources	Criteria (iii), (iv) and (v) - All attributes are impacted by the lack of human resources involved in all aspects of managing the World Heritage site.	1. Increase and appreciation of the technical teams that work on the site, especially through the hiring of effective public servants in order to guarantee stability and continuity in the work; 2. Team training.	Monitoring occurs through inspection, but this is not carried out in a systematic way. It is noteworthy that the monitoring itself is compromised by the lack of human resources.	Next Cycle.	City Hall of São Luis, Federal Government, Maranhão State Government.	1.Strengthening of institutional partnerships and expansion of technical cooperation projects. 2.Training courses and staff capacity building. 3.Expansion of the effective technical staff of the institutions involved with the management

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Actions 1. Review legal frameworks; 2. Strengthen the institutions that work with the management of the World Heritage site; 3. Implement a public heritage education policy.	Timeframe 10 years.	Lead agency (and others involved) City Hall of São Luis; Federal government; Maranhão State Government, civil society.	More info / comment No comments.
5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	1. Strengthen the Management Center of the Historic Center of São Luis.	4 years.	City Hall of São Luis; Federal government; Maranhão State Government, civil society.	No comments.
5.3.12	No management system/plan is currently in place to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	1. Develop a management plan for the World Heritage site; 2. Implement the management plan.	10 years.	City Hall of São Luis; Federal government; Maranhão State Government, civil society.	No comments.

6.1 Funding					
6.1.7	Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property	1. Increase the number of specialized technical personnel in the teams that manage the site. 2. Qualify and train the personnel of the teams that work in the management of the site	3 years.	City Hall of São Luís; Federal government; Maranhão State Government.	No comments.
8 Education, Information and Awareness Building					
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	1. Create and implement a public policy on heritage education in the city of São Luís.	3 years.	City Hall of São Luís; Federal government; Maranhão State Government, civil society.	No comments.
9 Visitor Management					
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted	1. Create a World Heritage Interpretation Center.	4 years.	City Hall of São Luís; Federal Government.	No comments.
10 Monitoring					
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	1. Develop and implement a monitoring plan.	10 years.	City Hall of São Luís; Federal government; Maranhão State Government, civil society.	No comments.
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	1. Define goals and indicators for the management of the world heritage site.	3 years	City Hall of São Luís; Federal government; Maranhão State Government, civil society.	No comments.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value **has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.**

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

It is verified that the conservation status of the world site presents a decline; however it is not significant for the loss of its outstanding universal value.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

No comments.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Creation of the Management Center for the Historic Center, which brings together all instances of public power, organized civil society and private institutions, with the attribution and objectives of integrating municipal actions and enhancing links with all spheres of management; organizing public service offerings; acting in the resolution of immediate problems in the area; proposing activities and projects that dynamize the microeconomy, among other attributions.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Poor

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The compilation of data from the Report is valid in the sense of showing an overview of the current situation of the site.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

160 hours / 160 hours / 160 hours /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		x
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	x	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		x		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions	x			

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The questions lack clarity and detail, as some items are very generic and can be answered in a positive or negative way, depending on the point of view considered. It is necessary to increase the number of characters in the comments, which should be individual for each sub-item. Translation problems made the work difficult.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

Only 3 workshops were organized by the national focal point, which were insufficient to resolve all the doubts of the regional focal point. The team responsible for answering the questionnaire had little time (21 days since the form was made available) for data collection, mobilization, discussion and completion of the form, which was insufficient time for an adequate evaluation work.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Map(s)

Reason for update: Minimal adjustments of boundary and definition of buffer zone boundary.

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Reason for update: Update of the text of the sub-item "Protection and management requirements" (see annex); Keywords: Equalization of the delimitation of the World Heritage site through the re-ratification of the federal heritage area; Decree nº 57.545/2022, which provides for the reorganization of the Management Center of the Historic Center; Program for Accelerating the Growth of Historic Cities - PAC Historic Cities, Nosso Centro, Habitar no Centro and Adote um Casarão Programs.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

No comments.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.