

Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

| Name | Coordinates | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro | 20.593 / -100.392 | 258.3 | 141.67 | 399.97 | 1996 |
| Total (ha) | | 258.3 | 141.67 | 399.97 | |

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|--|------|---|
| Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro - Map of the inscribed property | 1995 |  |
| Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro - Map of inscribed property | 2014 |  |

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. <http://implanqueretaro.gob.mx/v2/patrimonio-mundial/videos-patrimonio-mundial>

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

| | | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 2.1.1 | International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) |  | |
| 2.1.2 | List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) |  | |
| 2.1.3 | The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)) | |  |
| 2.1.4 | World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | |  |
| 2.1.5 | Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks | |  |

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global

Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

| | | |
|--------------|--|---|
| 2.7.1 | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention) | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.5 | UNESCO Global Geoparks | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.**3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value****3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee****Statement of Outstanding Universal Value****Brief Synthesis**

The Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro is located in the state of Querétaro in Mexico. It is an exceptional example of a colonial town whose layout symbolizes its

multi-ethnic population. It is also endowed with a wealth of outstanding buildings, notably from the 17th and 18th centuries. The property is unusual in having retained the geometric street plan of the Spanish conquerors side by side with the twisting alleys of the Indian quarters. The Otomi, the Tarasco, the Chichimeca and the Spanish lived together in the town, which is notable for the many ornate civil and religious Baroque monuments, with a skyline that has been defined since the 16th century. The urban layout of is unique for Spanish colonial towns in the Americas in that its town plan was from the start divided into two distinct sections- one rectilinear and intended for Spanish settlers and the other composed of smaller, winding streets where the indigenous population lived.

Upon construction, the city quickly assumed a double pivotal role in the structure to the south-east that had to be crossed in order to reach the capital of New Spain and at the same time it was the boundary between the southern lands, gradually settled by the Spaniards, and the northern region, which was under the control of hostile nomad peoples such as the Chichimecas.

The property covers 4 sq. kilometres with 203 blocks. There are 1400 designated monuments, of which twenty are religious and fifteen are used for public services. The many non-religious buildings in Querétaro, again mostly Baroque, are not innovative or exceptional in plan. Their special significance lies in the design and construction of a wide range of multilobate arches, to be found only in the interiors of the houses and palaces, which give the Baroque architecture of Querétaro an exceptional and original character, which is enhanced by the 'pink stone, eagerly sought and used in other parts of the region. Today, it continues to be a lively historic urban centre.

Criterion (ii): The Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro has a unique urban character and layout that reflects the coexistence of different groups in the same urban space. It has several well preserved civil and religious buildings, which have unique constructive and decorative expressions, as the variety of poly-lobed arches and unique mixtilinear caryatids supports quad of St. Augustine.

Criterion (iv): The Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro is an exceptional example of a Spanish colonial town whose layout symbolizes its multiethnic population. It is also endowed with a wealth of outstanding buildings, notably from the 17th and 18th centuries.

Integrity

The different urban elements that comprise the Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro are present within the inscribed property. These include its design, its plazas, open spaces such as Alameda, neighbourhoods, the aqueduct, monuments and fountains, and civil and religious construction, that form a harmonious whole, with great consistency, unity and urban integrity, despite the changes that have occurred at different times in the city.

Authenticity

The Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro is distinguished by its rich heritage built and perfectly preserved in its architecture, built by various civil and religious institutions. It is an historic colonial town that continues to exist largely within its original town plan of the 16th century and retains a very high proportion of old buildings, notably from the 17th and 18th centuries. As a significant group of buildings making up a living urban ensemble, its authenticity is of a high order.

Protection and management requirements

Currently these are the laws and existing legal standards applied to the protection and conservation of the Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro at the federal, state and municipal levels. These include the Constitution of the United Mexican States, the General Law on Human Settlements, the General Law of Ecological Equilibrium and Environmental Protection, the 1972 Federal Law on Historic, Archaeological and Artistic Monuments and Zones, the Constitution of the Free and Sovereign State of Querétaro de Arteaga, the Urban Code for the State of Querétaro, the Construction regulations for the City of Querétaro, the Municipal Code of Querétaro, the Regulations for the particular placement of furniture in the streets, advertisements and covers for Historic Monuments Zone of Santiago Querétaro and the Partial Plan Urban Development Area Monuments and traditional district of the city of Santiago de Querétaro.

The Management Plan and Conservation Area of Historic Monuments and Traditional Neighbourhoods City of Santiago de Querétaro is a crucial tool for the implementation of the management strategies that must be followed in the conservation of tangible and intangible cultural heritage of the property, through protection indicators, governance policies and the creation of the management unit for the historic centre. This government agency, with citizen participation, is the entity that integrates the different levels of decision making and responsibilities of authorities at the different degrees with the objective of sustaining the conservation and management of the Historic Monuments Zone of Querétaro.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

| | Brief identification of attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|--------|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 3.2.1 | the urban layout of the founding city | ✕ | | | |
| 3.2.2 | the historic city landscape | | ✕ | | |
| 3.2.3 | decrease in the conservation of the architectural image | | | ✕ | |
| 3.2.4 | loss of the original population of the site | | | | ✕ |
| 3.2.5 | habitability | | | ✕ | |
| 3.2.6 | | | | | |
| 3.2.7 | | | | | |
| 3.2.8 | | | | | |
| 3.2.9 | | | | | |
| 3.2.10 | | | | | |
| 3.2.11 | | | | | |
| 3.2.12 | | | | | |
| 3.2.13 | | | | | |
| 3.2.14 | | | | | |
| 3.2.15 | | | | | |

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Financing is required for buildings listed as historical monuments but they are private property. A possible solution is to grant non-refundable credits or with low percentages of paripasu by the beneficiary, they are applied in the conservation and maintenance with residential use of the historical building.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| X Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative X | X | | | X | | | |

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| X Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative X | X | | | X | | | |

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| Relevant | | | | X Not relevant | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|
|----------|--|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| X Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive X | | X | X | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| X Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|------------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive X | X | | X | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

the increase in land use change and the increase in the occupational capacity of the land, greater population density, and the increase in high-rise buildings around the buffer zone outside the protection perimeter generated pressure in all directions within the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | Not relevant | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | ➡ | |
| 🔴 Negative | | | | | | | |

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | | | Not relevant | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | | 📈 |

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

the increase in the number of vehicles accessing the zone with the declaration is much greater, year after year, the lack of a road policy to manage the flow of vehicles, and the lack of public transport, efficient and free of polluting emissions, for exclusive use within of the zone of historical monuments, as well as the lack of public policies for the restrictive use of private vehicles within the declared zone.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | → | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | → | |
| ➖ Negative | | | | | | | |

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

cell phone and radio communication towers affect the historic landscape within the historic monument zone.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive | | | | | | | |
| ➖ Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | → | |

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|------------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant |
|------------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| ➕ Positive | | | | | | | |
| ➖ Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | → | |

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | | ↗ |

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | → | |

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|
| Relevant | | ✕ Not relevant | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|
| Relevant | | ✕ Not relevant | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|
| Relevant | | ✕ Not relevant | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|
| Relevant | | ✕ Not relevant | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|
| Relevant | | ✕ Not relevant | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|
| Relevant | | ✕ Not relevant | |
|----------|--|----------------|--|

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | → | |

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | → | |

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | | ↗ |

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

a plague of termites has been identified that attack the wood of the altarpieces, doors and gates of all the buildings within the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro, there is a temporary fumigation program for the wood in the historical and relevant altarpieces in public historical buildings , only as in religious temples.











4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside




| | | | | | | | |
|------------|--------|--|--------|--------------|-----------------|--|--|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |

| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):



- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|---|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):


- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | |  |

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

social cohesion is having a loss of interest since the original residents are dying from being an elderly population and the new generations are migrating to the new residences in neighborhoods around the outskirts of the new city.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | | ↗ |

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | | ↗ |

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

the increase in aggressiveness against monuments and globalization in social demonstrations are damaging the built heritage through graffiti, the demolition of artistic and historical monuments within the protected area. The lack of effective legal procedures for protection by local and regional authorities is not efficient and, consequently, compensating for the damage caused is equal to impunity.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):




- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | → | |

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | |  | |

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):



- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | |  | |

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

the increase in rainfall and the overflow of hydraulic dams, located upstream of the area of historical monuments of Querétaro, means that the Querétaro River, which crosses the world heritage site, is at risk every time there is a flood of the hydraulic cause due to the increase in the body of water, this makes the overflow of the river imminent in its lower part and penetrates the zone of monuments causing flooding and major siltations that damage the historical buildings due to the characteristics of the construction materials that it is based on of stone and mud.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Negative | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

| Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

| Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively











the abandonment of buildings that at some point were a home, give way to depopulation by residents and abandonment of buildings if positive use, this generates the proliferation of harmful fauna within the declared area (rodents), and that causes the transmission of diseases that They can affect the few residents of the area, mainly children and older adults.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant











Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant











Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |











4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant







Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--------|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.6 - Human resources

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|--------------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

under the protection of the management and conservation plan of the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro, a policy of protection and conservation of buildings with great historical value for the site and for world heritage has been established, it is still not enough since there are few the public policies applied and in force, in the management of the protection of the world heritage but the change of government and in some cases of the political ideology, coupled with the short term of temporality of the local authorities, cause continuity in the follow-up to be lost and establishment of coordinated strategies among the agencies that intervene in the protection of the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro, coupled with the lack of an office within the local public administration, which is specialized and capable of dealing with the management and protection of the heritage of Querétaro. the historic city.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)



































































the lack of open spaces for citizen participation in decision-making for the improvement of the housing environment of the site, the lack of national, regional and local financing programs, for the granting of flexible credits for the attention and care of the site with a declaration of cultural or natural world heritage.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

| Name | Impact | | Origin | | Trend | |
|---|--------|--|--------|--|-------|--|
| 4.1 Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 4.1.1 Housing | | | | | | |
| 4.1.2 Commercial development | | | | | | |
| 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.3 Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
| 4.3.4 Localised utilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.3.5 Major linear utilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4 Pollution | | | | | | |
| 4.4.2 Ground water pollution | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4.3 Surface water pollution | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4.4 Air pollution | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4.5 Solid waste | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | |
| 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.7.7 Pests | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.7.8 Micro-organisms | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.9 Other human activities | | | | | | |
| 4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |





| | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4.9.6 Civil unrest | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |
| 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events | | | | | | |
| 4.10.1 Storms | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | |  |
| 4.10.2 Flooding | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | |  |
| 4.10.7 Other climate change impacts | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | |  |
| 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events | | | | | | |
| 4.11.2 Earthquake | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | |  |
| 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) | | | | | | |
| |  | |  | |  |  |
| 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species | | | | | | |
| 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | |  |
| 4.13 Management and institutional factors | | | | | | |
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.2 Legal framework |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.3 Governance |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.4 Management activities |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.5 Financial resources |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.6 Human resources |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| Legend  Current  Potential  Negative  Positive  Inside  Outside | | | | | | |





4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---------------|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.1.1 Housing | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | |  |  | | |  |  |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Frequent | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| | Minor | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Significant | | | | | | |
| | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| | High capacity | | | | | | |
| | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| | Static | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Increasing | | | | | | |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|--------|---|---|
| 4.1.2 Commercial development | | | | | | |
| |  |  | | |  |  |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---|--------|--|---|--------|--|-------|
| 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | + | | 📢 | 🕒 | | ➡ |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|--------|---|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities | + | 📢 | | 🕒 | | ⬇ |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted | | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| | Minor | | | | | | |
| | Significant | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| | High capacity | | | | | | |
| | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| | Static | | | | | | |
| | Increasing | | | | | | |

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Localised | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Frequent | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| X | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| X | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| X | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| X | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| X | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| X | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| X | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| X | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.3 Services Infrastructures

| Name | | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---------------------------|--|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.3.4 Localised utilities | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going |

Impact - Impact on the attributes

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Minor |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Significant |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Major |

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | High capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Medium capacity |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No capacity and / or resources |

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Static |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Increasing |

| Name | | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------------|--|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.3.5 Major linear utilities | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

| | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |



| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.4 Pollution

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------------|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.4.2 Ground water pollution | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.4.3 Surface water pollution | | | |
| |  |  |  |




| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.4.4 Air pollution | | | |
| |  |  |  |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|-----------------|
| ✕ | One off or rare |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-------------------|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.4.5 Solid waste | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |

| | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--------------------------------|--------|--|---|--------|--|-------|
| 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) | | | | | | |
| | ⊖ | | 📢 | 🕒 | | ➡ |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

Impact - Impact on the attributes

| | |
|---|---------------|
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |




| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-------------|--------|---|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.7.7 Pests | | | | | | |
| | ⊖ | 📢 | | 🕒 | | ➡ |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact



| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.7.8 Micro-organisms | | | |
| |  |  |  |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses |   |  |  |
| | | | |




| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |




| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage | | | |
| |   |  |  |




| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---|---|---|
| 4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | | | |
| |  |  |  |




| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |





| | |
|--|------------|
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---|---|---|
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation |  |  |  |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |





| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.9 Other human activities

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |





| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.9.6 Civil unrest | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events




| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 4.10.1 Storms | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | |  |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Restricted | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-----------------|--------|--|---|--------|--|-------|
| 4.10.2 Flooding | | | | | | |
| | ⊖ | | 📢 | 🌐 | | ➡ |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.10.7 Other climate change impacts | | | |
| |  |  |  |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

Impact - Impact on the attributes

| | |
|---|---------------|
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |




Management response - Capacity of management to respond

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.11.2 Earthquake | | | |
| |  |  |  |

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

| | |
|---|------------|
| ✕ | Restricted |
|---|------------|



| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------|--|--|--|
| 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) | | | |
| | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> |
| | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| ✕ | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---|---|--------|---|
| 4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species | | | |
| |    | |  |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |





| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| ✕ | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.13 Management and institutional factors

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---|---|---|
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan |   |  |  |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | Extensive | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | Frequent | | | | | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | Minor | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Significant | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Major | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | High capacity | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | Medium capacity | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Low capacity | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Decreasing | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Static | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | Increasing | | | | | | | | | | |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.13.2 Legal framework | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | Extensive | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | Frequent | | | | | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Minor | | | | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.13.3 Governance | <div>+</div> <div>📢</div> <div></div> | <div>📍</div> <div></div> <div></div> | <div>↗</div> <div></div> <div></div> |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| ✕ | Extensive |
| | Widespread |





| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.13.4 Management activities | <div>+</div> <div>📢</div> <div></div> | <div>📍</div> <div></div> <div></div> | <div>↗</div> <div></div> <div></div> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | | |
| X | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| X | Frequent | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| X | Minor | | | | | | |
| | Significant | | | | | | |
| | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| | High capacity | | | | | | |
| X | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| | Static | | | | | | |
| X | Increasing | | | | | | |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.13.5 Financial resources |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | |
| | Localised | | | | | | |
| X | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| X | Frequent | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| X | Minor | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.13.6 Human resources | <div>+</div> <div>!</div> <div></div> | <div>+</div> <div></div> <div></div> | <div>↑</div> <div></div> <div></div> |
| | | | |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |




| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities | <div>+</div> <div>!</div> <div></div> | <div>+</div> <div></div> <div></div> | <div>↑</div> <div></div> <div></div> |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Minor | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Significant | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | High capacity | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Static | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Increasing | | | | | | |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Restricted | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Localised | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Frequent | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Minor | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

| | Attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|----------|--|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 4.18.1.1 | habitability | | ✕ | | |
| 4.18.1.2 | land use changes to commercial | | | ✕ | |
| 4.18.1.3 | affectations to the historical urban landscape | | | ✕ | |
| 4.18.1.4 | site management, creation of the management unit | | ✕ | | |
| 4.18.1.5 | outstanding universal value | | ✕ | | |

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

Inadequacies in the buffer zones **make it difficult** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

currently the urban regulations are the same to be applied within the buffer zone and the area declared world heritage and for the rest of the city it is the same and there is no differentiation in the current regulations.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Le centre historique de Querétaro est couvert par la Loi fédérale de 1972 sur les Monuments et les Zones archéologiques, artistiques et historiques. Il fut déclaré Zone de Monuments historiques par le Décret présidentiel du 30 janvier 1981. Au niveau régional, les mesures de protection sont la Loi sur la Protection du patrimoine historique de l'Etat de Querétaro (1991) et la désignation de la Zone de Conservation du Centre historique et des Quartiers traditionnels de Querétaro par le Conseil Municipal en juin 1995. En vertu de la Loi fédérale générale sur les Etablissements humains un plan d'urbanisme a été préparé pour Querétaro.

Source: Evaluation des Organisations consultatives

Comment

Le centre historique de Querétaro est protégé par la loi fédérale de 1972 sur les monuments et les zones archéologiques, artistiques et historiques. Il a été déclaré zone de monuments historiques par décret présidentiel du 30 janvier 1981. Au niveau régional, les mesures de protection sont la loi pour la protection du patrimoine historique de l'État de Querétaro (1991) et la désignation de zone de conservation de le centre historique et les quartiers traditionnels de Querétaro par le

conseil municipal en juin 1995. En vertu de la loi fédérale générale sur les établissements humains, un plan d'urbanisme a été préparé pour la zone des monuments historiques de Querétaro et est en cours d'actualisation, et il est un plan de gestion et de conservation pour la zone des monuments historiques de Querétaro approuvé par le H. Ayuntamiento de Querétaro depuis 2011.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2011 / management and conservation plan for the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro /
http://implanqueretaro.gob.mx/im/st/4/wid_mc/PMCZMHSQEng.pdf yes / 2008 /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property **is inadequate**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

There is **no legal framework** for controlling use and activities in the broader setting of the World Heritage property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **no effective capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There is not enough personnel for the application of the regulatory measures that protect the built heritage of Querétaro, the legal system is also considered defective, because the Federal Law has not been updated in 40 years and at the local level the state regulation is very deficient and generic. and municipal, there is no adequate mechanism for the authority at government levels to update or develop new legal provisions in favor of cultural heritage.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

It is necessary that the world heritage center establish processes to carry out regulatory measures in favor of the protection of the heritage of humanity, inviting the states parties to make their greatest effort to internally update the legal regulatory and protection system to improve the safeguarding of the heritage. cultural and natural heritage, at least in Mexico.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The management of the site in the last 10 years has been assumed responsibility by the municipal government of Querétaro, due to the lack of attention by the State Government of Querétaro, efforts have been made to improve growth and strengthen the management system of the site. cultural site, and the development of a management system has begun, but it is necessary to create it at the municipal level within the administrative structure, the establishment of an office in charge of operating the site management unit, in these years the work Alone it has been from a person who is the coordinator of world heritage cities and does not have the resources, nor the sufficient personnel to cover a basic management of the site.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

The update of the Management and Conservation Plan of the Zone of Historical Monuments of Querétaro is being carried out by the coordinator of world heritage cities of the municipal government of Querétaro, in coordination with the authorities involved in the site.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

Not yet, but it is being integrated into the update of the comprehensive management plan for the cultural site.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Not yet, but it is being integrated into the update of the comprehensive management plan for the cultural site.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

Not yet, but it is being integrated into the update of the comprehensive management plan for the cultural site.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists but few of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

| | | Not applicable | No mechanisms for participation | Some participation | Direct participation | Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes |
|----------|---|----------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| 5.3.15.1 | Local communities | | ✗ | | | |
| 5.3.15.2 | Local authorities | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.15.3 | Landowners in the property and the buffer zone | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.15.4 | Indigenous peoples | | ✗ | | | |
| 5.3.15.5 | Women | | ✗ | | | |
| 5.3.15.6 | Other specific groups | ✗ | | | | |
| | If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify | | | | | |

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

| | | Not applicable | Non-existent | Poor | Fair | Good |
|-----------|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 5.3.16.1 | Local communities | | ✗ | | | |
| 5.3.16.2 | Local/Municipal authorities | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.3 | Indigenous peoples | | ✗ | | | |
| 5.3.16.4 | Landowners | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.5 | Women | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.6 | Youth/Children | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.7 | Researchers | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.8 | Local Visitors/Tourists | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.9 | National/International tourists | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.10 | Tourism Industry | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.11 | Local businesses and industries | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.12 | NGOs | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.13 | Other specific groups | ✗ | | | | |

If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

| | | Not applicable | No contribution | Limited | Significant | Full achievement |
|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| 5.3.17.1 | The management system of the property contributes to gender equality | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.17.2 | The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.17.3 | The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.17.4 | The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.17.5 | The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.17.6 | The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property | | | ✗ | | |

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

It promotes the coordination and collaboration of the authorities involved in the site, as well as the participation of business organizations in the generation of proposals for the improvement of tourism management, to the extent possible and permitted.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Although there is a management plan, it is necessary for the regional office of UNESCO to establish lines of communication with local authorities to make the recommendation to improve and strengthen the regulatory legal framework for managing world heritage in Querétaro, an idea that can be applied in other historic cities of Mexico and the region.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

| | | Project costs | Running costs |
|----------|--|---------------|---------------|
| 6.1.1.1 | Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.2 | Bilateral international funding | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.3 | World Heritage Fund (International Assistance) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.4 | Contribution from other conventions and programmes | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.5 | International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.6 | Governmental (national/federal) | 70 % | 70 % |
| 6.1.1.7 | Governmental (regional/provincial/state) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.8 | Governmental (local/municipal) | 30 % | 30 % |
| 6.1.1.9 | In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.10 | Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.11 | Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.12 | Other | 0 % | 0 % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 100 % |

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

It is suggested that the World Heritage Committee generate a recommendation to the member states, so that they develop national and local financing programs, in order to be able to allocate public resources to properties that are buildings listed as historic monuments, but are private property, as well allocate more resources to the management and protection of natural and cultural heritage.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

| | | From local communities % | From elsewhere % |
|---------|-------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 6.1.6.1 | Men | 90 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.6.2 | Women | 10 % | 0 % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 0 % |

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|--|------|
| Conservation | Fair |
| Environmental sustainability | Poor |
| Community participation and inclusion | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Poor |
| Capacity development and education | Poor |
| Administration | Poor |
| Research and monitoring | Poor |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Poor |
| Marketing and promotion | Poor |
| Interpretation | Poor |
| Visitor management/tourism | Poor |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|--|------|
| Conservation | Good |
| Environmental sustainability | Fair |
| Community participation and inclusion | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Capacity development and education | Poor |
| Administration | Poor |
| Research and monitoring | Good |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion | Fair |
| Interpretation | Poor |
| Visitor management/tourism | Good |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

No, it has not been created and advice is requested on its implementation for Mexican World Heritage cities.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

No, it has not been created and advice is requested on its implementation for Mexican World Heritage cities.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **insufficient**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of research, but it is not planned**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

It will be considered in the updating of the integral management plan of the site.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|--------------|
| Local communities | Poor |
| Local/municipal authorities | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Poor |
| Landowners | Fair |
| Women | Fair |
| Youth/children | Poor |
| Researchers | Good |
| Local visitors | Fair |
| National/international tourists | Fair |
| Tourism industry | Fair |
| Local businesses and industries | Fair |
| NGOs | Poor |
| Other specific groups | Non-existent |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe | |

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **limited and ad hoc education** and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

| |
|-----------------------------|
| Local/municipal authorities |
| Youth/children |
| Researchers |

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Visitor centre | Not provided but needed |
| Site museum | Good |
| Information booths | Poor |
| Guided tours | Poor |
| Trails/routes | Poor |
| Printed information materials | Poor |
| Online (website, social media, etc.) | Fair |
| Transportation facilities | Not provided but needed |
| Other | Not needed |

If 'Other' is selected, please specify

native or indigenous languages

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

It is necessary to have programs for the creation of an action plan that addresses education, information and awareness at the local level by the World Heritage Directorate.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

3 millions / 1 millions / 4.5 millions / 4 millions / 3.5 millions /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Other

Tourist information system DATATUR, from the Secretary of Tourism of the Government of Mexico.

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Tourist information system DATATUR, from the Secretary of Tourism of the Government of Mexico.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

200 / 100 / 30 / 10 / 20 / 30 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Tourist information system DATATUR, from the Secretary of Tourism of the Government of Mexico, and the secretary of tourism of the executive power of the state of Querétaro.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a **strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Not yet, but it will be included in the update.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is **largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **one location**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Advice is requested to improve.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators**, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

| | Extend of indicators | Not applicable | No indicators | Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use | Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle |
|--------|--|----------------|---------------|---|--|
| 10.3.1 | State of conservation | | | ✗ | |
| 10.3.2 | Effectiveness of the management system | | | ✗ | |
| 10.3.3 | Character of governance | | | ✗ | |
| 10.3.4 | Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations | | | ✗ | |
| 10.3.5 | Contribution to sustainable development | | | ✗ | |
| 10.3.6 | Capacity development | | | ✗ | |

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Advice is requested to improve the governance indicator.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

| | |
|---|--|
| World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff | Poor |
| Local/municipal authorities | Fair |
| Local communities | Poor |
| Indigenous peoples | Poor |
| Landowners | Poor |
| Women | Poor |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Fair |
| Local businesses and industry | Poor |
| NGOs | Non-existent |
| Other specific groups | Non-existent |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify | Board of Trustees of the Historic Center of Querétaro. |

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The interaction procedure between the World Heritage Directorate and the State Party must be strengthened to strengthen the implementation of the recommendations of the World Heritage Committee, through the regional offices of UNESCO and the category 2 centers of each region in Latin America

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

For the situation that in recent years and in the coming years in Central America, the Caribbean and Mexico, it is necessary that the interaction of the focal point and the category 2 center in the region, have a more direct and interactive participation with the local authorities of the region. state party and state party authorities, creating synergies in value chains.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

| | |
|-------|---|
| 5.1 | Boundaries and Buffer Zones |
| 5.1.2 | The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners |

| | | |
|------------|---|---|
| 5.1.3 | Inadequacies in the buffer zones of the World Heritage property make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value | |
| 5.1.4 | The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners | ✗ |
| 5.2 | Protective Measures | |
| 5.2.3 | An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation | |
| 5.2.4 | The legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate | ✗ |
| 5.2.5 | There is no legal framework for controlling use and activities in the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone | |
| 5.2.6 | There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property | ✗ |
| 5.3 | Management System/Management Plan | |
| 5.3.5 | No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property | |
| 5.3.7 | No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property | |
| 5.3.9 | No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property | |
| 5.3.11 | There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved | |
| 5.3.12 | The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value | |
| 5.3.13 | The management system at the property is only being partially implemented | ✗ |
| 5.3.14 | An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented | |
| 5.3.17 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property | ✗ |
| 6.1 | Funding | |
| 6.1.3 | The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property | |
| 6.1.7 | Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property | ✗ |
| 6.1.10 | No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property | |
| 6.1.12 | There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred | ✗ |
| 7 | Scientific Studies and Research Projects | |
| 7.1 | Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient | |
| 7.2 | There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned | ✗ |
| 7.3 | Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies | |
| 8 | Education, Information and Awareness Building | |
| 8.2 | There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth | |
| 9 | Visitor Management | |
| 9.7 | There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation | |
| 9.9 | There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property | |
| 9.11 | There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters | ✗ |
| 9.12 | The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made | |
| 10 | Monitoring | |
| 10.1 | There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned | ✗ |
| 10.2 | Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done | |

Please select 0 more issues.

 Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| 4.1 Buildings and Development | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| 4.1.1 | Housing | The use of the land is modified from residential to commercial or service use, the architectural part is modified to meet the use of lodging, it would be possible that criterion (ii) could be compromised in the medium term. | The authority in charge of authorizations in the municipal government is an office that does not take into account the outstanding universal value since its regulations are obsolete and generalized. | The unit in charge of supervising the modifications to the buildings cataloged as historical monuments within the maximum protection zone does not have enough personnel to attend to the supervision and the personnel is not qualified to understand the authenticity and integrity of the monument. | a long-term period is envisaged to implement measures that decrease the problem of changing land use to commercial. | Only the site manager faces the responsibility to achieve the change in the regulatory framework to consider the safeguarding of the state of architectural conservation and that is described in criterion (ii) | the heritage built and preserved in the architecture of the site is put at risk. |
| 4.1.2 | Commercial development | Around the buffer zone, areas of commercial plazas with modern multi-level buildings are being built that compete with the historical monuments and modify the historical urban landscape, this violates criterion (ii). | The limitations and restrictions in previous versions of the urban development programs have been modified to give opportunity for urban developments with commercial and residential purposes to be established around the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro. | The unit in charge of supervising the modifications to the buildings cataloged as historical monuments within the maximum protection zone does not have enough personnel to attend to the supervision and the personnel is not qualified to understand the authenticity and integrity of the monument. | a long-term period is envisaged to implement measures that decrease the problem of changing land use to commercial. | Only the site manager faces the responsibility to achieve the change in the regulatory framework to consider the safeguarding of the state of architectural conservation and that is described in criterion (ii) | the heritage built and preserved in the architecture of the site is put at risk. |
| 4.4 Pollution | | | | | | | |
| 4.4.2 | | Ground water pollution | | | | | |
| 4.4.3 | | Surface water pollution | | | | | |
| 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric | | | | | | | |
| 4.7.8 | Micro-organisms | The outstanding universal value is affected, identified with criterion (ii) since the integrity of the baroque wooden altarpieces with gilding is modified and they are an example of unique decorative expression, particularly in the altarpieces of the Temple of Santa Rosa de Viterbo and the Temple of Santa Clara, which are attacked by termites and moths, affecting the integrity of these monuments due to personal property located in the temples described. | The site manager must establish a more continuous fumigation program in order to prevent the termite from being active in the wood of the 17th century altarpieces of both temples and carry out a supervision program in the buildings listed as historical monuments and that They are located within the area with declaration of world heritage. | A collaboration program should be established mainly between the religious authorities of the temples with exceptional altarpieces and the ecology and environment monitoring areas as well as the site manager to collect information on the progress of the eradication of the plague that affects the altarpiece wood | The objective will be that in the short term (three years) and maximum in the medium term (six years) the movable asset can be protected by destination, from the unique wooden altarpieces, managing to preserve the authenticity and integrity of the world heritage asset. | The site manager will be the main promoter of sufficient policies to eradicate the problem. | As a last resort, the federal authority (secretary of culture of the Mexican government) must be informed through the INAH Querétaro center, of the damages and affectations that the historical heritage has and that affects the area of historical monuments of Querétaro as a whole |

| 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| 4.8.2 | Society's valuing of heritage | The lack of appreciation of heritage by society may be degrading in the long term, the improvement of the OUV of historical heritage by society in general criteria (ii) and (iv), mainly by the inhabitants of the area patrimonial. | It will be necessary to establish a public policy on education so that at the stage of the basic education system, information and awareness actions and plans are established for children and young people for the care and protection of the Outstanding Universal Value of the declared site and its criteria. of protection, sowing consciences of enhancement to the citizens of tomorrow. | Indicators should be created of the effects due to the loss of awareness of the care of the historical heritage and citizen surveys should be carried out in the medium and long term, in order to measure the degree of awareness in society of the exceptional universal value of the site. | Indicators should be created of the effects due to the loss of awareness of the care of the historical heritage and citizen surveys should be carried out in the medium and long term, in order to measure the degree of awareness in society of the exceptional universal value of the site. | The secretary of education of the state of Querétaro must be the guarantor of the plans and actions that will serve for the attention of the next generations and of establishing public policies within the state educational system for the basic education levels. | The focal point should be the promoter of this national policy within the Mexican educational system. |
| 4.8.5 | | Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | | | | | |
| 4.9 Other human activities | | | | | | | |
| 4.9.2 | Deliberate destruction of heritage | Demolition of architectural structures inside historic buildings private property is an impact on the state of conservation affecting the original materials generating long-term damage modifying the original materials affects criterion (ii) longterm | It is necessary for the local authority to invest in urban inspection personnel twenty-four hours a day for seven days, to maintain constant and adequate supervision of the high degree of conservation of the representative architecture of the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro. | The involvement of the local authority in improving the supervision of demolitions and changes to the architecture of the historic city must be immediate, since there is evidence that this activity has been carried out in the last 20 years in a discreet manner within the city. real estate that is privately owned. | The involvement of the local authority in improving the supervision of demolitions and changes to the architecture of the historic city must be immediate, since there is evidence that this activity has been carried out in the last 20 years in a discreet manner within the city. real estate that is private property and where the authority cannot access it without a court order. | In this area, the direction of urban development of the municipality of Querétaro is the dependency of the municipal government of Querétaro, which has the regulatory powers to apply the order in the conservation of historical buildings in coordination with the INAH center of Querétaro. | No comment |
| 4.9.6 | | Civil unrest | | | | | |
| 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events | | | | | | | |
| 4.10.2 | Flooding | In recent years, the rising water in the Querétaro River, which crosses the area declared a World Heritage Site, has reached levels of risk of overflow in its critical part, this area is the one that can cause a flood in the north and center of Querétaro. the maximum protection zone, the above appears due to the increase in rainfall and that was not had in past years, surely due to climate change in the central region of the state part of Mexico, puts at risk the state of conservation of the historic architecture described in criterion (ii) of the outstanding universal value of the site. | It is necessary to carry out a flood risk action plan that is not available and that must be carried out preventively. | Consider in the risk plan a monitoring system for the rainy season and atypical rains in the city, as well as the system of dams and dams that cross the Querétaro riverbed, integrating the monitoring of the region's hydraulic system. | The risk plan must be carried out as soon as possible as soon as the correct financing is available. | The coordination of world heritage cities of the municipal government of Querétaro, can be the leader of the financing management for the realization of the risk plan and its elaboration in coordination with the authorities that intervene in the site. | No comment |

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

| 5.1 Boundaries and Buffer Zones | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment |
| 5.1.4 | The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners | A dissemination program for the general society of the municipality of Querétaro, with the purpose of publicizing the exceptional universal value of the site, as well as public policies in the classrooms of the basic education levels | Permanent social communication program for the dissemination of the exceptional universal value of the area of historical monuments of Querétaro, and in the medium term to disseminate them in languages other than Spanish. | The Directorate of World Heritage, Historic Sites and Monuments of the Municipality of Querétaro, will be the guarantor of promoting the permanent campaign of social dissemination. | To update the management plan as a management system, which was reported in the legal framework section, the creation of the directorate of world heritage sites and monuments of the municipality of Querétaro is proposed, which replaces the coordination of heritage cities of the humanity. |
| 5.2 Protective Measures | | | | | |
| 5.2.4 | The legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property is inadequate | The municipal and state urban legal norms do not make a differentiation of the declared world heritage area from the rest of the municipal urban territory, this means that there are general and non-spatial state and municipal laws in the protection of the area of historical monuments of Querétaro. | The deadline must be immediate since the changes outside the maximum protection zone are taking place more frequently and the message of no action is sent by the authority. | The urban development directorate of the municipality of Querétaro is the normative unit that regulates the use of land and its destination, for which reason it should be sensitized regarding the care and protection of the site. | No comment, no opinion. |
| 5.2.6 | There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property | It should be promoted before the Chamber of Deputies that a percentage of the budget be allocated to be used in the payroll of professionals specialized in the protection of the heritage of humanity. | It depends on the approval times and the activity calendars that the Chamber of Deputies has for the economic approval of the financial package for the heritage site. | The local authority is the manager of the necessary financing for the expansion of the payroll available to the municipality where the zone declared world heritage is located, | This issue depends a lot on the political will of the authorities and legislators who understand and approve changes in the laws and in the approval of the expenditure budget. |
| 5.3 Management System/Management Plan | | | | | |
| 5.3.13 | The management system at the property is only being partially implemented | It should be promoted before the Chamber of Deputies that a percentage of the budget be allocated to be used in the payroll of professionals specialized in the protection of the heritage of humanity. | It depends on the approval times and the activity calendars that the Chamber of Deputies has for the economic approval of the financial package for the heritage site. | The local authority is the manager of the necessary financing for the expansion of the payroll available to the municipality where the zone declared world heritage is located, | This issue depends a lot on the political will of the authorities and legislators who understand and approve changes in the laws and in the approval of the expenditure budget. |
| 5.3.17 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the | The points described in this section will be taken into account to expand its attention within the update of the Management and Conservation Plan of the Zone of Historical Monuments of Querétaro with a perspective of sustainable development, attending each of the ONU-Habitat, ODS | It will be integrated at the time of approval by the Honorable City Council of Querétaro and it will be checked that there are no limitations in the attention of these points described in this section and the newly created Directorate of World Heritage, Monuments and Historical Sites is instructed its immediate application. | In this section are involved the map of actors that intervene in the site and that establishes the Management Plan document of the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro, as part of the management system of the world heritage site. | This issue depends a lot on the political will of the authorities and legislators who understand and approve changes in the laws and in the approval of the expenditure budget. |

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | <p>World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|---------------|--|---|---|---|--|
| 6.1 | Funding | | | | |
| 6.1.7 | Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property | It is necessary to invest in staff with experience and training in world heritage as currently only one person is responsible for the entire management of the site. | It is of an urgent nature, since the lack of necessary and sufficient personnel for the management of the world heritage site cannot wait. | The highest authority of the municipal government of Querétaro is responsible for authorizing the hiring of sufficient new personnel for the new Directorate of World Heritage, Sites and Monuments of the Municipality of Querétaro. | The creation or hiring of new staff always depends on the political will to meet the needs of the cultural heritage of humanity. |
| 6.1.12 | There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred | Within the Municipality of Querétaro, there is only one person with experience and training in OUV issues, since 2011 some people have been informally trained in specific areas within the municipal government. | It is necessary that in the medium term a skills transfer program can be established, in order to train members of the local government capable of monitoring the care and protection of OUV. | It will be the responsibility of the site manager to be able to establish a career civil service program in the protection of the cultural heritage of the zone of historical monuments of Querétaro. | It depends on the political-administrative will of the highest authority of the site. |

| | | | | | |
|------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| 7 | Scientific Studies and Research Projects | | | | |
| 7.2 | There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned | According to the urgent need that arises at the time, the investigations that are required at the time are carried out, there is a catalog of projects that depends on their execution in accordance with the resource available at the time. | It depends on the accessibility of financing for the execution of the project or the necessary action in the area. | At the time of the establishment of the Directorate of World Heritage, Sites and Monuments of the Municipality of Querétaro, which is described as a management unit in the Management Plan document. | It depends on the political-administrative will of the highest authority of the site. |

| | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| 9 | Visitor Management | | | | |
| 9.11 | There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely | Since 2011, when the management plan was approved, a line of communication has been established with the agency in charge of tourism in the Municipality of Querétaro, but the protection of the exceptional universal value in the municipal tourism program is not yet of general interest. | A tourism management program with a gender and inclusive perspective should be developed as soon as possible and for the purpose of protection and dissemination in the care of cultural heritage. | The secretary of tourism of the municipal government of Querétaro. | No comment, no opinion. |

| | | | | | |
|------|--|--|--|---|---|
| | confined to administrative or regulatory matters | | | | |
| 10 | Monitoring | | | | |
| 10.1 | There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned | The management and conservation plan for the area of historical monuments in Querétaro establishes a system of indicators for the constant monitoring of the site. Unfortunately, the management unit in charge of the operation of the management system has not been implemented due to lack of personnel and of the approval of its creation regulations. | It is of an urgent nature, since the lack of necessary and sufficient personnel for the management of the world heritage site cannot wait. | At the time of the establishment of the Directorate of World Heritage, Sites and Monuments of the Municipality of Querétaro, which is described as a management unit in the Management Plan document. | It is necessary to establish the management office of the historic center in operation. |

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The lack of a municipal (local) office specialized in the conservation of the world heritage asset incorporated into the governmental structure with human resources and guaranteed financing, is essential to give continuity to the actions and projects, even after the municipal administration period has ended. , ensuring its continuity after the end of the public administration.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Conservation | Positive |
| Research and monitoring | Positive |
| Management effectiveness | Very positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | No impact |
| Recognition | Positive |
| Education | Positive |
| Infrastructure development | No impact |
| Funding for the property | No impact |
| International cooperation | No impact |
| Political support for conservation | No impact |
| Legal/Policy framework | No impact |
| Advocacy | Very positive |
| Institutional coordination | Very positive |
| Security | Positive |
| Gender equality | No impact |
| Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities | No impact |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status | Positive |
| Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood | Positive |
| Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties | No impact |
| Other | Not applicable |
| If 'Other', please specify | |

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The entire system of protection of the outstanding universal value of the world heritage site, whether cultural or natural, has a legal-administrative relationship, since it has to do with the administration of the territory (soil) where the world heritage site is located and that is determined Due to a governmental regulatory system that is related to current laws and regulations, without the sensitivity and participation of the legal profession, it is impossible to understand the continuity and defense in the short, medium and long term for the authenticity and integrity of the declared property.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

the conformation of periodic meetings between the authorities that intervene in the site with the purpose of establishing periodic work tables, to express all the problems, solve and attend to the problems that arise within the historic monuments zone of Querétaro.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

| |
|-------------------------|
| Sustainable Development |
| Synergies |
| Management |
| Governance |

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

| |
|--|
| The <i>World Heritage Convention</i> |
| Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value |
| Monitoring and reporting |

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|--|--------------|
| State Party | Fair |
| Site Managers | Good |
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Poor |
| Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM) | No follow-up |

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

| |
|--|
| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
| Update of management plans |
| Advocacy |

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

the establishment of synergies between local authorities in order to collect experiences and share success stories and positive management experiences, for a better understanding of site management by site managers and the actors involved within of the zone with declaration of world patrimony.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

| |
|---|
| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
| Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff |
| Other specific groups |
| The patronage of the historic center of Querétaro. |
| External experts |

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

35 / 40 / 24 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

| | Additional resources | No | Yes |
|----------|--|----|-----|
| 15.3.5.1 | Human resources | | × |
| 15.3.5.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training | × | |

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report**15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

| | | Very Difficult | Difficult | Easy | Very easy |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| 15.4.2.1 | Ease of use of questionnaire | | | | × |
| 15.4.2.2 | Clarity of questions | | | × | |

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

For the region of Latin America, Mexico and the Caribbean, as well as Spain, the linguistic group better known as Iberoamerica, it is suggested that in the next periodic report exercise it be answered in Spanish (Castilian), as well as the filling manuals are issued. of the questionnaire.

15.5. Training and Guidance**15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Fair |
| UNESCO (other sectors/field offices) | Good |
| UNESCO National Commission | Poor |
| ICOMOS International | Not applicable |
| IUCN International | Not applicable |
| ICCROM international/regional | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN national/regional | Not applicable |

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|---|----------------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Fair |
| State Party Representative (national Focal Point) | Good |
| UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office) | Poor |
| National Commission for UNESCO | Not applicable |
| ICOMOS International | Not applicable |
| ICCROM International/regional | Poor |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Poor |
| IUCN national/regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN International | Not applicable |

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

No

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

They must be carried out by thematic areas, for example the group of historic cities must have their own training and meetings, independent of the archaeological sites and sites with declarations of natural heritage, etc.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

It is recommended that for the closing of the periodic report in the regional exercise, meetings be held by thematic groups classified by the World Heritage Committee, such as the group of historic cities, it is also recommended that for Spanish-speaking sites, they respond in Spanish. It is suggested that Spanish be one of the official languages of UNESCO.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.