Mount Emei Scenic Area, including Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Mount Emei Scenic Area, including Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mount Emei Scenic Area	29.546 / 103.349	0	0	0	1996
Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	29.545 / 103.773	0	0	0	1996
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Topographic Sketch of the Natural Resources Protection of Mt. Emei Scenic	1995	
Leshan: the Giant Buddha Scenic Area	1995	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Natural site datasheet from WCMC
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Emei Martial Art, Emei grass-mat Dragon, Emei Buddhist Music

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Mount Emei (Emeishan) is an area of exceptional cultural significance as it is the place where Buddhism first became established on Chinese territory and from where it spread widely through the East. The first Buddhist temple in China was built on the summit of Mount Emei in the 1st century CE. It became the Guangxiang Temple, receiving its present royal name of Huazang in 1614. The addition of more than 30 other temples including the Wannian Temple founded in the 4th century containing the 7.85m high Puxian bronze Buddha of the 10th century, and garden temples including the Qingyin Pavilion complex of pavilions, towers and platforms dating from the early 6th century; the early 17th century Baoguo Temple and the Ligou Garden (Fuhu Temple) turned the mountain into one of Buddhism's holiest sites. The most remarkable manifestation of this is the 71 meter tall Giant Buddha of Leshan. Carved in the 8th century CE on the hillside of Xijuo Peak overlooking the confluence of three rivers, it is the largest Buddhist sculpture in the world. A contemporary account of the creation of the Giant Buddha is preserved in the form of an inscribed tablet. Associated monuments include the 9th century Lingbao Pagoda and the Dafo (Giant Buddha) Temple dating from the early Qing Dynasty. The Wuyu Temple contains two important statues: the 9th century Dashi bronze Buddha and the 11th century Amithabha statue group, cast in iron and gilded. Over five hundred Han Dynasty tombs of the 1st to 4th centuries, notable for their fine carvings and calligraphic inscriptions are located on Mahao Crag.

Mount Emei is an area of striking scenic beauty. It is also of great spiritual and cultural importance because of its role in the introduction of Buddhism into China. The conscious siting of so many of the cultural monuments, particularly of traditional architecture, within the natural environment makes it a cultural landscape of very high order.

Mount Emei is also notable for its exceptionally rich vegetation, ranging from subtropical evergreen forests to subalpine pine forests. Covering an area of 15,400 ha in two discrete areas – the Mount Emei and the Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Areas – the property is an area of natural beauty into which the human element has been integrated with skill and subtlety.

Criterion (iv): On Mount Emei, there are over 30 temples, ten of them large and very old; they are in local traditional style and most are built on hillsides, taking advantage of the terrain. In the selection of the site, design, and construction they are masterpieces of great originality and ingenuity. The advanced architectural and building techniques are the quintessence of Chinese temple architecture.

Associated with these temples are found some of the most important cultural treasures of China, including the remarkable Leshan Giant Buddha carved in the 8th century CE out of the hillside of Xijuo Peak. Facing the confluence of the Minjiang, Dadu and Qingyi rivers, it is the tallest Buddha sculpture in the world with a height of 71 meters.

Criterion (vi): On Mount Emei, the importance of the link between the tangible and intangible, the natural and the cultural, is uppermost. Mount Emei is a place of historical significance as one of the four holy lands of Chinese Buddhism. Buddhism was introduced into China in the 1st century CE via the Silk Road from India to Mount Emei, and it was on Mount Emei that the first Buddhist temple in China was built.

The rich Buddhist cultural heritage of Mount Emei has a documented history of over 2,000 years, consisting of archaeological sites, important architecture, tombs, ritual spaces, and collections of cultural artefacts, including sculpture, stone inscriptions, calligraphy, painting, and music, among other traditional arts.

Criterion (x): Mount Emei is a site of special significance to conservation and to science for its high floral diversity. The biodiversity of the site is exceptionally rich: some 3,200 plant species in 242 families have been recorded, of which 31 are under national protection and more than 100 species are endemic. This is due to its transitional location at the edge of the Sichuan basin and the eastern Himalayan highlands. Within its elevation range of 2,600 m are found a great variety of vegetation zones including subtropical evergreen broad-leaved forest, mixed evergreen and deciduous broad-leaved forest, mixed broad-leaved and conifer forests, and subalpine conifer forest. This exceptional flora is also rich in animal species with some 2,300 species recorded, including several threatened at a global scale.

Integrity

The heritage zones of the Mount Emei and Leshan Giant Buddha cover 15,400 ha and 17.88 ha respectively and completely represent the importance of Buddhist culture and ancient architecture. Emei is one of four sacred Buddhist mountains in China and as such, it has been treated as a special protected place for almost 3,000 years. Protection in modern times has taken the form of laws culminating in its establishment as a "Scenic Area" in 1982. The area is subject to various regulations from the national, provincial and municipal governments and has a plan to guide its conservation. Fortunately, because of its size and the relative inaccessibility of its terrain, much of Emei remains untouched and unspoiled. The revival of Buddhism reinforces its protection as the monks can play a quasi-warden role.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the inscribed property, Mount Emei Scenic Area, including Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area, lies to a large extent in the relationship between the man-made element and the natural environment. In these terms the authenticity is very high. Conservation and restoration projects have been carried out on individual buildings which in general terms are authentic. As a sacred place, Mount Emei has benefited from a long-standing and traditional regime of conservation and restoration, which dated back to the mid-10th century. Today, the conservation of the property continues to be carried out in accordance to very strict standards and hence effectively maintains the outstanding values and authenticity of the property.

Protection and management requirements

Mount Emei has been managed since the middle of the 10th century, and the first General Administrative Plan of Mount Emei was produced in the early 1980s. Management follows strictly the central government's Regulations on Scenery Areas, and the Provincial government's Regulations on World Heritage Protection of Sichuan Province and the Regulations on Scenery Areas of Sichuan Province. A Management Committee of the Mount Emei-Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area with 27 sectors has been established in order to protect and manage the site. The Revised Master Plan for the Mount Emei Scenic Area and the Leshan Giant Plan Buddha Scenic Area has provided the legal basis and policy framework for management and conservation of the property. Any project that has dramatic impacts on the heritage value is strictly controlled and requires government approval. Both the central and local governments provide fiscal support for site protection and management.

At present, the thousand year-old traditional link between the natural and the cultural values of the property is well-preserved. The main threat to Emei is the number of tourists and pilgrims that visit the property and the development that they bring with them. The main intrusion has been a cable car which leads to the Golden Summit of the mountain and brings some 300,000 people a year to the sensitive montane forest zone, as well as the construction of a light monorail in 1998 after inscription of the property. There are numerous drink stands and souvenir stalls which detract from the natural atmosphere of the mountain. The specific long-term management objective for the property is to ensure that, despite increasing visitor pressure, the traditional link of nature and culture is maintained and continues to be well-managed so that both integrity and authenticity of the property are conserved.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Puxian Bronze statue	×			
3.2.2	Leshan Giant Buddha	×			

3.2.3	Buddhist temple architecture	×		
3.2.4	Mahao Crag Tomb	×		
3.2.5	calligraphy	×		
3.2.6	painting	×		
3.2.7	Rich flora, with many rare and endemic plants	×		
3.2.8	Rich fauna, with many rare and endemic animals	×		
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

1. The Cultural Heritage is in good condition on the whole, and all the elements on the list of World Cultural Heritage have been well protected. 2. Formulate a series of protection measures to strengthen the protection of the ecosystem and the ecological environment, conduct scientific research and monitoring, and provide scientific and technological support for the scientific protection of animals and plants.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative X	×	×		×	S			

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

○ Positive X	×	×	×	×	→	
Negative						

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Well-equipped facilities in the site help exhibition of heritage value, but the style of the external walls is not consistent with the property, affecting negatively to the tourism image.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				7
Negative							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Scale of the transportation infrastructure is strictly controlled. Cable cars, roads, walking paths and others provide good transportation for tourists to enter, while measures such as traffic diversion control and limiting the number of tourists are taken to avoid its impact on the heritage sites.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×			\rightarrow		

	Negative
--	----------

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			•
Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Cell phone towers provide better communication conditions and facilitate intelligentized management. Sewage pipes avoid pollutant sewage discharge. And the infrastructures are well shielded to mitigate visual impact.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Existing factories in the world heritage site have been shut down or moved out. Meanwhile, traffic diversion management, clean energy and new energy sightseeing bus are employed to control the pollution emission.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
	The trial to the t

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		⇒	

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			→	

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			<i>P</i>
Negative							

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Returning farmland to forest projects have been implemented in the world heritage site, which is conducive to the nature protection and the improvement of the ecological environment. The local residents in the buffer zone practice traditional cropping, which produce a slight impact on the world heritage. Traditional collection of wild edible plants in the forest also has a slight impact on vegetation. The plantation of endemic and rare plants helps to the recovery and renewal of those species.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant					Not relevant				
		Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impa	act	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
	O Positive								
(○ Negative X	×	×		×		→		

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

rant	✗ Not relevant
------	----------------

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Not relevant

F	Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			\rightarrow	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		\Rightarrow	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A few parts of Leshan Giant Buddha were suffered from wind erosion. High humidity may cause corrosion to ancient buildings. Termites and micro-organisms have potential damage to the Buddhist relics.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact			Origin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			→	

Negative

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

	Relevant	× Not relevant
--	----------	----------------

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or nositively

Rainstorms and mountain floods caused secondary disasters such as vegetation destruction and landslides, which caused some vegetation and buildings damages in the Scenic Area.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×			→	

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Landslide occasionally blocked transportation, and hindered visiting in some parts of the property. Wildfire is a potential hazard to plant and animal resources and Buddhist relics in the site.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	★ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant	nt			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×			7	
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Orig		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact							
Impact	Current Potential Inside		• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing				
Positive X	×	×	×	×			•				
Negative											

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			P			
Negative										

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Origin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×		S			
Negative X		×		×		→		

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact							
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing				
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			P				
Negative											

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (02/08/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, fewer tourists have led to a sharp decrease in revenue, thus limiting the management capacity of the scenic area.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

none

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	9	9	•	F	\rightarrow
		9	9		(S
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		9	9	•	F	\rightarrow
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure			9	•		1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	O	9	9	•		\rightarrow
4.3.4 Localised utilities	O	9	9	•	Œ	/
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	O	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion	O	9	9	•	Œ	1
4.5.5 Crop production						

			q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection						
			q	•		→
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	O	9	A	•	Œ	-
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
		9	9		C	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		9	q		Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.7 Pests						
			q	•		\rightarrow
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
			A	•	Œ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0	9	9	•		→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	O	9	A	•		→
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	9	q	(→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	(9	9	•		→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	(9	9	•		→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			ø	•	Œ	→
4.10.2 Flooding						
		q	ø		Œ	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
		9	9	•		→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9	•	G	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	O	9	9	•	G	7
4.13.2 Legal framework	O	9	9	•	G	<i>P</i>
4.13.3 Governance	O	9	9	•	G	7
4.13.4 Management activities	•	9	9	•	G	1

4.13.5 Financial resources						9		•		•
							9		F	\rightarrow
4.13.6 Human reso	ources				()	9	9	•		\rightarrow
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			O	q	9	•	Œ	7
Legend ☐ Current ☐ Potential ☐ Negative ☐ Positive								Outsi	ide	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors


4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

	Impact	t		Origin	Trend	
r accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	9	9	•	G	→
		9	9		Œ	S
ea affected by the factor						
ricted						
lised						
nsive						
espread						
Occurence of the impact						
off or rare						
mittent or sporadic						
uent						
ioing						
n the attributes						
nificant						
r						
ficant						
r						
ponse - Capacity of management to respond						
capacity						
um capacity						
capacity						
apacity and / or resources						
ment over the last 6 years						
easing						
c						
asing						
						Trend
		Impac	Impact	Impact	Impact Origin	Impact Origin

4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities

0 9 9 0 9



4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	•	P	9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						

×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.2.5 Effects	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	•	9	•	/
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	er infrastructure	·	q	q	•		→
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Loc	alised utilities	()	4	9	(G	-
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities	O	9	9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion	•	9	q	•	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.5.5 Crop	production	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.0.0 0100	p. 04.01.01.			q		(CF	→
				-,		3	,
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.5.7 Subsi	4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection				
			9	•	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.7 Su	bsistence wild plant collection						
				9	•		→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Fores	stry/Wood production	O	9	9	•	G	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend			
4.7.1 Wind									
			9	9		C	\rightarrow		
Custial ass	alla Assa official but the feeter								
Spatial Sca	lle - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								

	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relat	.7.2 Relative humidity						
			-C3	-3		nett.	

		9	9	ઉ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
^					
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend		
4.7.7 Pests							
			9	•		→	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
			9	•	Œ	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	nl/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	o 9 9		•	→	
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Societ	y's valuing of heritage	•	9	9	•	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		_	Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Chang	es in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	③	4	9	•	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact					

	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	O	9	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
		O	q	q	•	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Increasing

ame		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
			9	•	G	→
Custial a	and a Assa affected by the factor					
Spatiai s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding							
4.10.2 Floor	ling		~	~		~	
4.10.2 Floor	ling		q	4		Œ	→
	e - Area affected by the factor	•	9	9		G	→
		•	9	9		ઉ	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor	•	9	9		Œ	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted	•	9	q		F	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised		q	9		ઉ	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive		9	9		F	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	•	9	9		G	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact		9	q		F	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		9	q		4	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic		9	4		4	-
Spatial scal Temporal s	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		9	q		G	-
Spatial scal Temporal s	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		9	q		©	→
Spatial scal Temporal s Impact - Im	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes		9	q		©	-
Spatial scal Temporal s Impact - Im	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant		9	9			-
Spatial scal Temporal s Impact - Im	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going cact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		9	q			-
Spatial scal X Temporal s X	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		9	q			→
Spatial scal X Temporal s X	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		9	q			
Spatial scal X Temporal s X	e - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major at response - Capacity of management to respond		9	q			

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing


4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Ava	anche/Landslide					
			9	9	•	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	itial scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
**	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
remporar	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
^						
	Frequent Co. reins					
Invested In	On-going					
	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Name	Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9	•	Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					

Increasing



4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		0	9	9	•	Œ	/	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
×	Widespread							
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
×	Major							
Management response - Capacity of management to respond								
	High capacity							

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	O	9	9	•	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.13.3 Governance		Impact	·	q	Origin	G	Trend
10.0 GUV			- 0	- 0	Çi	Ç	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

×	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		-78	Origin		Trend
	agement activities	Impact	9	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
	agement activities			9			
4.13.4 Man	agement activities ale - Area affected by the factor			9			
4.13.4 Man				9			
4.13.4 Man	ale - Area affected by the factor			q			
4.13.4 Man	ale - Area affected by the factor Restricted			9			
4.13.4 Man	Restricted Localised			q			
4.13.4 Man	Restricted Localised Extensive			q			
4.13.4 Man	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			व			
4.13.4 Man	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact			q			
4.13.4 Man	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact One off or rare			q			
4.13.4 Man	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact Untermittent or sporadic			q			
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			q			
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			q			
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Apact on the attributes			q			
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant			q			
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Inpact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			q			
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interesponse - Capacity of management to respond			q			
4.13.4 Man Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major			q			

	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
					Origin		
	Name 4.13.5 Financial resources		Impact				Trend
			•	ø	@	₹	
				-1		G	_
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.13.6 Human resources		Impact	9	9	Origin		Trend
4.13.0 Hull	iail resources	②	-1	-1	•		
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						

×

	Widespread						
Tomporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Temporars	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
•							
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	i		Origin		Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact	9	9	Origin	G	Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	Impact ③		9		F	
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities le - Area affected by the factor			व		G	
4.13.7 Low				q		G	
4.13.7 Low	lle - Area affected by the factor			9		E	
4.13.7 Low	lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted	Impact ③		q		ઉ	
4.13.7 Low	lle - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised	Impact ③		q		E	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive	Impact		9		F	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	Impact ③		9		4	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurrence of the impact	Impact		q		4	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare	Impact ③		व		(F	
4.13.7 Low	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact Untermittent or sporadic	Impact ③		9		4	
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent	Impact		9		4	
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going	Impact		व		4	
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Spact on the attributes	Impact ③		व		4	
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Gale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor	Impact		9		(4)	
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant	Impact		व		4	
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Gazle - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Insignificant Minor Significant Major	Impact		व		4	
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interesponse - Capacity of management to respond	Impact ③		9			
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intersponse - Capacity of management to respond High capacity	Impact		व			
4.13.7 Low Spatial sca X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Interesponse - Capacity of management to respond	Impact		व			

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

none

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Puxian Bronze statue	×			
4.18.1.2	Leshan Giant Buddha	×			
4.18.1.3	Buddhist temple architecture	×			
4.18.1.4	Rich flora, with many rare and endemic plants	×			
4.18.1.5	Rich fauna, with many rare and endemic animals	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The buffer zone has not been announced to the public, and the local community does not know the boundary of the buffer zone. To solve the issue, the management agency will publicize it as soon as possible and promote the public awareness regarding the boundaries of buffer zone.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

In 1982 Mount Emei Scenic Area was approved as a Place of Scenic and Historical Interest by the State Council of the People's Republic of China and as a National Park under the management by the the Regulations on Scenery Areas issued by the central government, the Regulations on World Heritage Protection of Sichuan Province and the Regulations on Scenery Areas of Sichuan Province issued by the provincial government.

The Site is subject to the Provisional Regulations for Administration of Scenic Areas promulgated by the State Council in 1985, the Provisional Regulations for Administration of Scenic Places in the Sichuan province promulgated by Sichuan Provincial People's Congress in 1994, and other local regulations and government decrees

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2016 / Regulations of Sichuan Province on the Protection of World Heritage / According to the relevant national laws and regulations, Sichuan Province has formulated the first local law and regulation on protection of world heritage in China. Local people's governments at various levels are responsible for the protection and management of world heritage within their respective administrative areas. Provisions are made from the nomination, planning and management of world heritage site, and the functions, duties and obligations of relevant functional organizations are clearly defined. / 2017 /

Law of the People's Republic of China on the Protection of Cultural Relics / The administrative department for cultural relics under the State Council shall be in charge of the protection of cultural relics throughout the country. Local people's governments at various levels shall be responsible for the protection of cultural relics within their respective administrative areas. In terms of the protection and management of cultural relics and legal responsibilities, the functions and obligations of relevant functional institutions were clarified in the document. / 2003 / The master plan of Mount Emei Scenic Area /

The master plan of Mount Emei Scenic Area adheres to the principle of / 2006 / The master plan of Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area /

The master plan of Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area adheres to the principle of / 2019 / Regulations on the Protection of Mount Emei World Cultural and Natural Heritage / Leshan Municipal People's Government shall lead the protection and administration of Mount Emei. The management committee of Mount Emei Scenic and Historic Interest Area is responsible for the protection and management of heritage in the region. In terms of property planning and construction, management and utilization, and legal responsibilities, the functions and duties of relevant functional institutions are clearly defined. /

2019 / Regulations on the Protection of Leshan Giant Buddha World Cultural and Natural Heritage / The Leshan Municipal People's Government shall lead the protection and administration of the Leshan Giant Buddha. Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area Management Committee is responsible for the protection and management of heritage in the region. In terms of property planning and construction, management and utilization, and legal responsibilities, the functions and duties of relevant functional institutions are clearly defined. /

2020 / Protection and Management Planning of Leshan Giant Buddha Heritage Site / The protection and management of Leshan Giant Buddha's heritage site described the value cognition and composition of the heritage, the composition elements, management status, monitoring research, evaluation and planning of heritage exhibition and utilization, and put forward the detailed planning of protection zoning, protection measures and management system. /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The legislations clearly delineate the rights and responsibilities of heritage protection, and formulate award and punishment measures. The overall plan defines the spatial functional zoning and boundary, and puts forward in detail the control measures for the construction of the special protection zone, the first level protection zone, the second level protection zone and the third level protection zone, including the tourist facilities, tourist scale and development and utilization.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

In strict accordance with relevant laws and regulations, the heritage site is protected, managed, planned and constructed, and utilized for sustainable development.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A framework for inclusive economic development, including equal access and distribution of resources and opportunities arising from the protection of the property

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

A code of practice developed by industry

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Mount Emei Scenic and Historic Interest Area Management Committee and Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic and Historic Interest Area Management Committee, in accordance with the relevant management documents formulated, carry out protection and management of Mount Emei and Leshan Giant Buddha Area respectively. Leshan Municipal Government is responsible for management and coordination and subject to the guidance and supervision of higher authorities.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

The Regulations on the Protection of Mount Emei World Cultural and Natural Heritage, the Regulations on the Protection of Leshan Giant Buddha World Cultural and Natural Heritage, the Interim Measures for the Release and Management of Special Funds and Pension Allowances for Mount Emei Scenic Area, and the Plan for Emergent Geological Disorders etc. provide the legal basis and policy framework for the protection and management of the scenic area.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The suggestions of "4.2 Improvement of Local People's Living Conditions and Community Development" in the Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape were adopted through issuing special funds and regular pension subsidies and providing employment to local villagers, for better sharing the tourism benefits.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

The Mount Emei Scenic Area and Sichuan University collaborated to build a joint atmospheric environment observation points to continuously monitor the environment of Mount Emei. The Leshan Giant Buddha World Cultural Heritage monitoring and early warning system was established to carry out real-time monitoring of 56 indicators of 16 categories, including the disease of the Giant Buddha itself, natural environment and fire safety.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has

The disaster evacuation and rescue passage projects, the fire prevention and fire pipeline development project, the cable bridge maintenance and reinforcement project of Mount Emei scenic area have been completed. The Emergency Plan for Forest Fire Prevention and Extinguishing and the Emergency Plan for Flood Control and Mountain Flood Disaster have been formulated.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The management system attaches importance to and respects human rights and everyone is equal. Local residents participate in the protection of the world heritage site and benefit from it, which also promotes local economic development and brings good economic benefits.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The management system is formed based on relevant Chinese laws and regulations. By continuously improving the system, optimizing the system process, and drawing lessons from international advanced management concepts and protection system experience, the management efficiency of the world heritage have been comprehensively improved.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %

6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	1 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	1 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	98 %	100 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

With the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of tourists has decreased, leading to a sharp income decrease. As a result, the budget is insufficient to meet basic management needs and limits management capacity at this year.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	71 %	73 %
6.1.6.2	Women	29 %	27 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair

Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

The suggestions of "4.2 Improvement of Local People's Living Conditions and Community Development" in the Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape were adopted through issuing special funds and regular pension subsidies and providing employment to local villagers, for better sharing the tourism benefits.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Each functional management division organizes the technical training supported by superior departments to improve the overall capacity.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

A rescue and breeding project of Parakmeria emei population rejuvenation was carried out. Forest Ecosystem Research Station of Mount Emei, Sichuan Province, and the atmospheric environment observation of Mount Emei were established, and comprehensive environment monitoring projects implemented The Leshan Giant Buddha World Cultural Heritage monitoring and early warning system also established to monitor the disease, natural environment and fire safety of the Giant Buddha in real time.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Indigenous peoples are the exclusive names of colonized people in colonies. In this regard there are no indigenous people in China, so they are not applicable here. The world heritage site adopts a series of interpretation systems and emerging technologies such as 5G, AR/VR, holographic images, etc., to display the charm of the Emei Mountain-Leshan Giant Buddha World Heritage in an all-round way, and to improve the understanding and protection level of the heritage site.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

3871800 / 8215500 / 7512500 / 7134300 / 6855500 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Provided by the Bureau of Tourism and Culture

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

58 / 8 / 27 / 29 / 14 / 8 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Provided by the Bureau of Tourism and Culture

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The overall plan of Mount Emei Scenic and Historic Interest Area and the overall plan of Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic and Historic Interest Area stipulate the max. number of tourists and tour routes.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

The UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool is not adopted. The assessment is based on Tourism Law of the People's Republic of China, Regulations on Scenic and Historic Interest Areas, Regulations on Scenic and Historic Interest Areas of Sichuan Province, General Plan of Mount Emei Scenic and Historic Interest Areas, and General Plan of Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic and Historic Interest Areas.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

The Leshan Municipal People's Government, Emeishan Municipal People's Government, the Management Committee of Mount Emei Scenic and Historic Interest Area and the Management Committee of Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic and Historic Interest Area update the development plans of the heritage sites every five years

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Every year, special funds and pension subsidies for local villagers are regularly distributed to share the benefits of tourism and provide jobs with local residents.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Sustainable development is part of the management plan of Mount Emei Scenic and Historic Interest Area and Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic and Historic Interest Area. The scenic area allows local residents to carry out small business activities in the heritage site and share the tourism benefits, which is conducive to the protection and management of the heritage site.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X

10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system	×
10.3.3	Character of governance	×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×
10.3.6	Capacity development	×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Buddhist relics, biodiversity, ecosystems and the ecological environment

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

A multi-level and interdisciplinary monitoring system will be established.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×

7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects								
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value								
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies								
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building								
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×							
9	Visitor Management								
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation								
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made								
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×							
10	Monitoring								
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×							
Pleas	Please select 5 more issues.								
□ Ple	☑ Please save this question to reflect changes								

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.5	Biological reso	Biological resource use/modification									
4.5.5	Crop production	geologic structure	Strengthening publicity, avoiding deep cultivatior formulating protective measures		trop species in uffer zone	On	-going	The Mount Emei Scenic Area Management Committee	No		
4.5.7	Subsistence wild plant collection	Vegetation resources	Promoting public awareness and strengthening protection measures		egetation growth andition		On-going	The Mount Emei Scenic Area Management Committee, Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area Management Committee	No		
4.7	Local conditio	ns affecting physical	abric								
4.7.1	Wind		Establishing a monitoring and early warning system to carry out real-time monitoring of 56 indicators in 16 categories, including the disease of the Buddha itself, natural environment and fire safety		tion status of Giant Buddha	0	n-going	Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area Management Committee	No		
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Ancient buildings	Improving drainage facilities, cleaning up water in time, and repairing the building parts in time	Preservation ancient built	on status of Ildings	Or	n-going	The Mount Emei Scenic Area Management Committee, Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area Management Committee	No		
4.7.7	Pests	Species resources, ecosystem, ecologica environment	Formulating dise measures; stren monitoring, early disposal of harm animals in fores	gthening the warning and oful plants an	and plant g		Long term	The Mount Emei Scenic Area Management Committee, Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area Management Committee	No		
4.7.8		Micro-organis	ms								
4.10	Climate chang	e and severe weather	events								
4.10.1		Storms									
4.10.2		Floodir	g								

4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events										
4.11.4			Avalanche/Landslide								
4.13	Management and institutional factors										
4.13.5	Financial resources	Infrastr	ucture	Improving the level digital intelligence reducing costs; exprevenue channels seeking new sour funding.	e, xpanding s and	review	g every ar year to the efficiency use of funds	Long term	The Mount Emei Sceni Area Management Committee, Leshan Gia Buddha Scenic Area Management Committee	ınt	
Question not completed											

12.2 Summary - Management Needs

12.2. Summa	ary - M	anagement Ne	eeds						
12.2.1 - Summ	nary - N	lanagement Ne	eeds						
5.1	Bound	aries and Buffer Zor	nes						
			Actions	Timeframe			d agency (and others lved)	More info / c	omment
5.1.4	5.1.4 The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners		Publishing buffer boundary	Before 2025		The Mount Emei Scenic Area Management Committee		No	
6.1		Funding							
6.1.12		A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	Strengthening the technical trair functional management departm broadening the training scope		Long term		The Mount Emei Scenic Area M Committee, Leshan Giant Budd Area Management Committee	-	No
8	Educat	tion, Information and	d Awareness Building						
8.2	hoc ed and aw prograr	s a Strengt and ad institutio lucation arreness nme for n and/or	hening cooperation with educatio	nal L	ong term	С	The Mount Emei Scenic Area Mar committee, Leshan Giant Buddha Management Committee	-	No
9	Vis	sitor Management							
9.12	and interest the United the Unite	esentation and depretation of Outstanding iversal Value the property acceptable	ching online and offline publicity of strengthening publicity efforts	methods L	ong term		The Mount Emei Scenic Area Mai Committee, Leshan Giant Buddha Area Management Committee	-	No
10	М	onitoring							

10.2	Information on	Using modern information technology,	Long term	The Mount Emei Scenic Area Management	No
	the values of	improving the accuracy and timeliness of		Committee, Leshan Giant Buddha Scenic Area	
	the World	monitoring		Management Committee	
	Heritage				
	property is				
	adequate and				
	key indicators				
	have been				
	defined but				
	monitoring of				
	the status of				
	indicators				
	could be				
	improved				

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The heritage site strictly implements the corresponding laws and regulations to effectively protect and manage its integrity and authenticity.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The heritage site is actively promoted to expand its international and domestic influence.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

In terms of local community residents (what are the typical practices that have been undertaken to effectively address the needs of local stakeholders in the management system and to enable their full and active participation) The scenic area has taken a variety of measures to effectively share benefits with local residents. The scenic area provides many job opportunities, for example, sanitation workers, forest rangers, interpreters and other jobs in the scenic area to local villagers and to enable them participate in heritage protection together with the heritage manager. It also provides vendor stalls for local residents to sell tourist products, souvenirs and to rent clothes, and at the same time license them to run tourist hotels in the scenic area, so that the local residents can run the business and shift their livelihood agriculture to tourism, with which their economic income significantly increased and their living conditions greatly improved. At the same time, local residents get compensation for returning farmland to forest every year. The scenic area also give 8% of the ticket revenue to local residents as special subsidyincluding annual subsidy of \$154.8 for each villager and monthly pension of \$77.4 for each women aged over 55 and men aged over 60.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

ustainable Development	
ate of Conservation	
anagement	
overnance	
apacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Local communities
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

160 / 320 / 80 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It is recommended that some terms be explained in more detail.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Fair
IUCN International	Fair

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.