## Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape, scale 1:65,000.	2008	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
  - 1. www.lednice.cz
  - 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	×	
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×	
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 1 of 66

2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	×
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

## Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

**Brief synthesis** 

The Lednice-Valtice valley is located in South Moravia, Czech Republic. With its 143 km2, the Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape is unique because of how architectural, biological and landscape features have been shaped over time.

The Liechtenstein family came first to Lednice in the mid-13th century, and by the end of the 14th century they had also acquired Valtice, nearby. These properties were to become the nucleus of the family's extensive possessions. The two estates were later joined with the neighbouring B eclav estate to form an organic whole, to serve the recreational requirements of the ducal family and as material evidence of its prestige. The execution of this grandiose design began in the 17th century with the creation of avenues connecting Valtice with other parts of the estate. It continued throughout the 18th century with the construction of a network of paths and scenic trails, imposing order on nature in the manner of the English artists and architects of the Renaissance. The early years of the 19th century saw the

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 2 of 66

application by Duke Jan Josef I of the English concept of landscaped designed park, strongly influenced by the work of Lancelot "Capability" Brown at Stowe and elsewhere in England. Enormous landscaping projects were undertaken, which included the raising of the level of the Lednice Park and the digging of a new channel for the Dyje River. Smaller parks designed based on the English pattern, the so-called *Englische Anlagen*, were also created around three large ponds.

The composition of the landscape is based on the two country houses, Lednice and Valtice. The Valtice country house has medieval foundations, but it underwent successive remodelling in Renaissance, Mannerist and, most significantly, Baroque styles. Its present Baroque appearance is due to several architects, notably Johann Bernard Fischer von Erlach, Domenico Martinelli and Anton Johann Ospel. Along with the Baroque Church of the Assumption of the Virgin Mary, it is the dominant feature in the system of avenues created in the 17th and 18th centuries. The Lednice country house began as a Renaissance villa of around 1570, and then was progressively changed and remodelled to reflect Baroque, Classical and Neo-Gothic fashions. It was the 1850 Gothic Revival remodelling that brought it into harmony with the prevailing Romanticism of this part of the landscape. The park of the Lednice country house includes architectural objects like a remarkable Palm house, a unique Minaret and other minor structures.

Taking the property as a whole, it is the mingling and interplay of Baroque and Romantic elements that gives it a special character: architecture and landscape are intimately associated with one another. All the buildings are sited with great care at high points, as in the case of the Kolonáda (Colonade), the Rendezvous, Rybni ní záme ek (Fishpond Manor) or Pohansko, at the crossing of major routes (the Obelisk), or at the boundary between Moravia and Lower Austria (Hrani ní záme ek). The view and vistas are also mutually linked. Most have views of the two dominant features, the Minaret and the Kolonáda, but there are also significant visual connections between other groups (the Temple of Apollo, Belvedere, Janohrad, the Hunting House, the New Farmyard, the Fishpond Manor, the Temple of Three Graces, the Obelisk and St. Hubert Chapel etc.).

An important element in the appearance of this entire area is the very wide range of native and exotic tree species and the planting strategy adopted. The greatest variety is to be found in the parklands which cluster around the two main residences and along the banks of the fishponds between Lednice and Valtice. The Pohansko Manor is built on the site of an important hillfort of the Great Moravian period dating from the 8th century. The 2 km of massive ramparts enclosing an area of 28 ha are still visible. Excavations have revealed the court of the ruler, a church (the plan of which is preserved situ), several substantial houses and a rich burial ground.

The Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape is an exceptional example of a designed cultural landscape, which is made particularly impressive by the number and variety of cultural and natural elements that it contains.

Criterion (i): The Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape is an outstanding artistic creation that succeeds in bringing together in harmony cultural monuments from successive periods and both indigenous and exotic natural elements to create an outstanding work of human creativity.

Criterion (ii): By combining the Baroque, Classical and Neo-Gothic architectural styles and by transforming the landscape according to the English romantic principles, Lednice-Valtice estate served as a model throughout the Danube region.

Criterion (iv): The Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape is an outstanding example of a cultural landscape designed and created intentionally by a single family during the century of Enlightenment, the Romantic period and later on.

#### Integrity

The property includes the territory of the former estate of the Liechtenstein family. Its size and delimitation are appropriate. All the key elements conveying the Outstanding Universal Value of the property are situated within its boundaries. There is no buffer zone due to the characteristics of the site. Although the most important elements necessary to convey the Outstanding Universal Value are contained inside the property boundaries, there is a need to protect the key viewpoints outside the boundaries. Because of this fact and the proximity of the town B eclav and of other villages, a buffer zone may be proposed in the future to keep the visual integrity. The property has a stabilized landscape planning; however, there is a risk of disharmonious development (e.g. transport, urban). Nature conservation organisations exert some pressure on the site that infringes the preservation of the original compound of the landscape and of the woody plants.

### Authenticity

The Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape is of high authenticity concerning its present form and appearance, which conform closely with the ideas of successive owners over several centuries. The landscape has continued to evolve according to the original planning principles. The prince's country houses serve as architectural museums; their interiors are well maintained and open to the public. All buildings are restored using original materials and techniques. Exotic tree species planted on the grounds come, to a large extent, from seedlings and seeds imported from North America at the beginning of the 19th century. They have been regularly maintained. Plants in the unique Palm house in Lednice are cultivated using traditional methods, with manual labour playing a considerable role.

### Protection and management requirements

The Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape is protected by Act No. 20/1987 Coll. on State Heritage Preservation as amended. The property is designated a protected cultural landscape. The Lednice and Valtice country houses are designated national cultural heritage sites. The property does not have a buffer zone due to the characteristics of the site but it is neighbouring the Pálava Landscape Protected Area declared by UNESCO in 2003. The Municipal Offices of Valtice, B eclav, Lednice, Podivín, Hlohovec, the District Office of B eclav and the Regional Office of the South Moravia Region share responsibility for the preservation of cultural landscape under the overall supervision of the Ministry of Culture. The pressure of development (e.g. transport, urban) is regulated by the Act mentioned above and by the valid land use plans with respect to the visual integrity of the property. So, any actions that might affect the property must be authorized by the appropriate state agencies and regional offices. Nevertheless, a buffer zone may be proposed in the future to keep the visual integrity of the property.

The property management is by an inter-branch steering group. The Management Plan is in place and regular updates are planned. The property management is provided by several stakeholders on the national, regional and local levels. There is a need to reinforce the role and involvement of the local communities as well as to make sure that the property is managed in accordance with sustainability. A suitable solution would be to develop a site management system (coordinator), including a legally based competence platform, to provide on principles of the participatory management and subsidiarity a conceptual, as well as daily co-ordination of interdisciplinary interests relating to the preservation of values of the whole property.

The state-funded institution National Heritage Institute provides finances for maintenance and conservation of both country houses, their grounds and along with some minor structures forming the composition of the property. Due to the property extent and the complex structure of ownership inside the property, individual maintenance schedules have been set. Financial instruments for the conservation of the property include grant schemes and funding through the programme of the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic allocated to the maintenance and conservation of the immovable cultural heritage and as well as financial resources allocated from other public budgets. Municipal funds, funds from private institutions and collaborating agencies also contribute to the conservation of the property.

Since 2000, annual monitoring reports have been prepared at the national level to serve the World Heritage property manager, the Ministry of Culture, the National Heritage Institute and other agencies involved.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	residential palaces and buildings in the countryside	×			

3.2.2	spatial composition of the landscape and its ecosystems	×		
3.2.3	road network	×		
3.2.4	alleys and tree avenues		×	
3.2.5	parks and landscaped grounds	×		
3.2.6	urban structure of settlements	×		
3.2.7	artificial and natural watercourses and water bodies	×		
3.2.8	silhouette of landscape horizons	×		
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

## 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

## 4.1. Buildings and Development

## 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×			$\Rightarrow$		

## 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relev	rant	X Not relevant

## 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact Origin		Origin	Origin Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
○ Positive      ★	×		×				

Negative X				$\rightarrow$	
------------	--	--	--	---------------	--

## 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×				
Negative X						<b>→</b>	

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Positive factors of transport infrastructure: the entire landscape is well accessible by all types of transport (roads, trails, river shipping...) Negative factors: the complex system of the entire interconnected transport infrastructure, including parking lots and seasonal parking spaces, ecological transport of visitors (eco-carts, horse-drawn carriages, microbus transport between individual monuments) has not been resolved, as well as a network of pedestrian paths.

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

✗ Relevant	Relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×		•		
Negative							

### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

ant ×	Not relevant
-------	--------------

## 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative X		×	×				

## 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			<b>→</b>	
		×	×			→	

## 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Positive factors: modernization of waste water treatment plants, support for the use of solar energy on roofs, revitalization of ponds (removal of silt) – use for recreation. Negative factors: the planned laying of the high-voltage line to Austria.

## 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

## 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×	<b>S</b>		

### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			١	lot relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$	

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 6 of 66

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$	

## 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×		$\Rightarrow$	

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

## 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	

### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

○ Positive    ★	×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative					

## 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

## 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

	Relevant	X Not relevant
--	----------	----------------

## 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			i	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×				<i>P</i>
○ Negative X		×	×			$\Rightarrow$	

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 8 of 66

## 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

A clear effort for interdisciplinary exchanges of important new scientific knowledge, leading to the clarification of the principles of sustainable use of natural resources (forests, land). Limiting the use of pesticides with negative effects on biodiversity and groundwater quality, a trend towards the revitalization of those parts of the property that are affected by climate change and to support appropriate management to avoid those effects.

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
		×	×	×			<b>/</b>

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×			<i>&gt;</i>

## 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	

## 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	

## 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X		×	×	×			1	

## 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X		×	×	×			7	

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 10 of 66

## 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

With climate change, there is a risk of severe weather changes, including storms and tornadoes. Among the biotic factors, there is a clear increase in non-native microorganisms and organisms, especially viral and fungal diseases of trees and shrubs.

## 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>		
Negative								

## 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	
		×	×			<b>→</b>	

## 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant			i	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×			<b>→</b>		
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$		

## 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✗ Relevant			١	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×				1	

## 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X		×	×			$\rightarrow$		
Negative X		×	×				1	

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.9. Other human activities

## 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

## 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

★ Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

## 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

## 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 12 of 66

## 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
		×	×				-

## 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>♥ Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

## 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				<b>/</b>

### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	★ Not relevant

## 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×				•

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Climate change is manifested by the increased occurrence of extreme climatic events such as wind storms and tornadoes, and the possibility of flooding from the River Dyje. Biotic agents such as viral and fungal diseases of trees, invasive plants and insect pests threaten important elements of the site such as tree alleays, shrub plantings and entire forest complexes, currently mainly ash woodlands.

## 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Delevent	V Net referent
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	V Not rollowalk

## 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

## 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

Positive					
Negative X	×	×		$\rightarrow$	

## 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	

## 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			١	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×			<b>→</b>	

### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
	Total Colorant

### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

## 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.13. Management and institutional factors

## 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ★		×	×				P
Negative							

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 15 of 66

★ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×	×				7
Negative							

## 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				P
Negative							

### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative X		×	×				P

## 4.13.6 - Human resources

★ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<b>≭</b> Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

## 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development	Шрасі			Origin		Trenu
4.1.1 Housing			-70			
			9	•		<b>→</b>
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>			•		
						<b>→</b>
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>		9	•		
						<b>→</b>
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	•	q		•		`
4.3.4 Localised utilities	<b>(</b>		<b>a</b>	•		<b>→</b>
			G	•		
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	<b>O</b>	4	- 0			_
4.5.5 major intear utilities		7		•		
			4	•		<b>→</b>
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
			q		F	•
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
			9		Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.4.4 Air pollution						
			9		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.4.5 Solid waste						
			q		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.4.6 Input of excess energy			•			
and input of cooled citety,			q	<b>(</b> )	₹ <b>6</b>	_
	•		9	·	Ġ.	_
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
			9	•		<b>→</b>
4.5.3 Land conversion	<b>O</b>	9		•		<b>→</b>
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	0	q		•		$\rightarrow$
4.5.5 Crop production	•			<b>@</b>		<b>→</b>

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 17 of 66

4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	<b>O</b>		9	•		7
			q	•		<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
			q	<b>②</b>	Œ	<i>P</i>
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
			q	<b>@</b>		$\rightarrow$
4.7.3 Temperature						
			9	<b>©</b>	Œ	<i>P</i>
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
			9	<b>@</b>		$\rightarrow$
4.7.5 Dust						
			9	<b>@</b>		$\rightarrow$
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
			9	•		$\rightarrow$
4.7.7 Pests						
			9	•	<b>G</b>	<i>&gt;</i>
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
			9	<b>©</b>	<b>G</b>	<i>P</i>
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	<b>O</b>	q		•		$\rightarrow$
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	<b>(</b>		<b>9</b>	•		$\Rightarrow$
in a construction of the c			,	©		
			9	0		<b>→</b>
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						→
			9	•		<b>→</b>
			9	•		→ →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	<ul><li> </li><li> </li></ul>		9	•		→ → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting	<ul><li> </li><li> </li><li> </li></ul>		9	0		→ → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	<ul><li> </li><li> </li><li> </li></ul>		9	0		→ → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	<ul><li></li></ul>		9 9	0 0		→ → → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	<ul><li></li></ul>		9 9 9	0 0		→ → → → → <i>&gt; &gt;</i>
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	<ul><li></li></ul>		9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0		→ → → → <i>&gt;</i>
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	<ul><li></li></ul>		9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0		→ → → → <i>&gt; &gt;</i>
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	<ul><li></li></ul>		9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0		→ → → → → → → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	<ul><li></li></ul>		9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0 0 0		→ → → → → → → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation  4.9 Other human activities  4.9.1 Illegal activities	<ul><li></li></ul>	4	9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0 0 0		→ → → → → → → → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation  4.9 Other human activities  4.9.1 Illegal activities	<ul><li></li></ul>	9	9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0 0 0		→ → → → → → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation  4.9 Other human activities  4.9.1 Illegal activities  4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	•	4	9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0 0 0		→ → → → → → → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation  4.9 Other human activities  4.9.1 Illegal activities  4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	•	q	9 9 9 9 9 9	0 0 0 0		→ → → → → → → → →
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting  4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system  4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community  4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation  4.9 Other human activities  4.9.1 Illegal activities  4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	•	q	9 9 9	0 0 0 0		→ → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → → →

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 18 of 66

4.10.3 Drought							9	•		7
4.10.6 Temperature	e change						q	•		7
4.11 Sudden ecolo	gical or geological event	es					·	4		
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire	<del>)</del>									
							9	•		<b>→</b>
4.12 Invasive/alien	species or hyper-abunda	ant species								
4.12.1 Translocated	d species									
							9	•		$\rightarrow$
4.12.2 Invasive/Alie	en terrestrial species									
							9	•		<b>→</b>
4.12.3 Invasive/Alie	en freshwater species									
							4	•		<b>→</b>
4.12.5 Hyper-abund	dant species						<i>(</i> 2)	<b>(</b>	. mg	_
4 13 Management	and institutional factors				•		4	•	G	<b>→</b>
	t system/Management pl	lan			•		<b>a</b>	<b>(</b> )		,
	. ojotomanagomom pr						,			
4.13.2 Legal frame	work				<b>•</b>	q		<b>@</b>		<b>→</b>
4.13.3 Governance					<b>②</b>		9	<b>@</b>		<i>&gt;</i>
4.13.4 Managemen	t activities				<b>O</b>	9		<b>@</b>		/
4.13.5 Financial res	sources				<b>O</b>		9	•		<b>→</b>
							9	•		<b>/</b>
4.13.6 Human reso	urces				•	9		•		<b>→</b>
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	ivities			•	9		•		<b>→</b>
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	<ul><li>Insi</li></ul>	4.		Outside	do	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

## 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

## 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ing				
			9	•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				

	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impac		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	etative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>		•	_
					<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going Control of the Control of t				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 20 of 66

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
×	Decreasing Static

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.2.1 Groun	nd transport infrastructure	<b>•</b>		9	•	
						$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

## **4.3 Services Infrastructures**

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	•		•	\$

Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	sed utilities	Impact	Œ.	70	Origin	Trend
4.3.4 LOCAI	seu uunues		<i>a</i>	7	<b>©</b>	
				ľ	O .	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 22 of 66

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	linear utilities	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.3 Wajoi	inear unities		9	q	•		_
				-1	G		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	Extensive Widespread  Femporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						

## 4.4 Pollution

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.4.2 Groun	nd water pollution					
			9		<b>G</b>	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.3 Surfac	ce water pollution		-73			
			9		<b>F</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 24 of 66

	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air po	llution					
			9		F	$\rightarrow$

Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Tempor	ral scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact	- Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste			

		_				
			4		C	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	of excess energy	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.6 input	or excess energy		<i>-</i> 3		codi	
			4	•	G	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 26 of 66

Impact - Impact on the attributes

Insignificant

Minor

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

## 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

Name		Impact	1		Origin	Trend
4.5.1 Fish	ing/collecting aquatic resources					
				9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact -	mpact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Impact

Origin

Trend

27 of 66

4.5.3 Land	d conversion	<b>O</b>	<b>A</b>	•	<b>→</b>
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
**	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
~	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
impact - im	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
^					
	Significant  Major				
Managama	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	ock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	<b>()</b>	q	@	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 28 of 66

	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact	en e	Origin	Trend
4.5.5 Crop	roduction	<b>O</b>	9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
No				0.1.	-
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend

4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production

			9	•	$\rightarrow$
Custial sas	le - Area affected by the factor				
Spatiai sca					
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

## 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind	4.7.1 Wind					
			9	•	F	<i>P</i>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity				
		9	•	$\rightarrow$

4.7.2 Re	4.7.2 Relative humidity				
			9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

31 of 66 Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

4.7.0 remp	o and o		9	•	<b>G</b>	<i>P</i>
Overtiel	to Associate and health of the foreign					
Spatiai Sca	Restricted					
×	Localised					
^	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
remporar	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
**	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
puot	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
**	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radia	tion/Light					
			9	•		$\Rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 32 of 66

×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.5 Dust					
			9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.5 Du	st						
				9	•		$\rightarrow$
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	Il scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			

			q	•		<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests				Origin		Trend
		•	q	•	<b>G</b>	,
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
★ Restricted						
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	West of the second seco					

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor		
×	Restricted		
	Localised		
	Extensive		
	Widespread		
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact		
×	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going On-going		
Impact - In	Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant		
×	Minor		

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 34 of 66

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro	organisms						
				9	•	<b>F</b>	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		<b>()</b>			•		$\Rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	ty's valuing of heritage	(inpact		9	•		→
				9	•		<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
Widespread  Townsel code: Converse of the impact							
One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
**	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 36 of 66

×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name	nous hunting, gathering and collecting	Impact	q	Origin	Trend
4.0.3 marge	nous nummy, gamening and conecumy		4	©	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name					

4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

			9	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identit	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community				
			<b>-</b>		

			-1			*	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 38 of 66

Minor

	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact	-72	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		0	9	•	<b>→</b>
			H	•	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

### 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.o. i mogui	on megal activities			~3		
				9	•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Delibe	rate destruction of heritage					
			4		•	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
~	Incignificant					

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 40 of 66

	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name Impact							Trend
4.10.1 Stori	4.10.1 Storms						
				9	•		7
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - In	ppact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Lawrence						

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 41 of 66

4.10.2 Flooding					
			<b>A</b>	•	<b>→</b>
Spatial and	e - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial Scal					
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

Name	iiiipact		Origin	Hend
4.10.3 Drought				
		9	•	<i>&gt;</i>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 42 of 66

	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change								
		9	•	-				

			9	•	-
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
×	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 43 of 66

### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Name		act		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)					
			9	•	<b>→</b>	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.12.1 Tran	12.1 Translocated species				
			q	<b>@</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				

×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin	Ti	rend
4.12.2 Inva	ive/Alien terrestrial species					
			9	•	-	<b>*</b>
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major Major					
Manageme						
Manageme	Major					
Manageme	Major  nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
Manageme	Major  It response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity					

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 45 of 66

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species				
		9	•	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
×	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
×	Decreasing			
	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.12.5 Hyper-abundant species					
		9	•	Œ	<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 46 of 66

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Mana	gement system/Management plan	<b>O</b>		9	•		1
Snatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
Opulial Sou							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 47 of 66

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
~	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	<b>O</b>	9		•	$\Rightarrow$
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		<i>-</i> 3	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Gove	rnance	•		9	•	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 48 of 66

	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Mana	gement activities	•	9	•	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor  Restricted				
Spatial scal					
Spatial scal	Restricted				
	Restricted Localised				
×	Restricted Localised Extensive				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurrence of the impact				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant				
× Temporal s ×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor				
× Temporal s  ×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Coact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant				
× Temporal s  ×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major				
× Temporal s  ×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Attresponse - Capacity of management to respond				
× Temporal s  ×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  at response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity				

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 49 of 66

Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	•	9	•	$\rightarrow$
		9	•	7

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
×	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	<b>O</b>	9	•		<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 50 of 66

	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low	mpact research/monitoring activities	<b>(</b> )		•	$\rightarrow$
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor  Restricted				
Spatial scal					
	Restricted				
	Restricted Localised				
×	Restricted Localised Extensive				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread				
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurrence of the impact				
* Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare				
* Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going				
× Temporal s	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes				
X Temporal s X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Cact on the attributes  Insignificant				
X Temporal s X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor				
X  Temporal s  X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Coct on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant				
X  Temporal s  X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major				
X  Temporal s  X	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  cact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Attresponse - Capacity of management to respond				
X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Cact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Attresponse - Capacity of management to respond High capacity				

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 51 of 66

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

### 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

### 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	residential palaces and buildings in the countryside	×			
4.18.1.2	spatial composition of the landscape and its ecosystems	×			
4.18.1.3	road network	×			
4.18.1.4	alleys and tree avenues	×			
4.18.1.5	parks and landscaped grounds	×			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- Laws: (1987) on the state monuments care that codifies the care for cultural monuments and protected conservation areas; (1992) on the protection of the nature and the landscape
- The whole territory of the estate was declared a conservation zone by the Ministry of Culture (1992). On declaration of the Lednice-Valtice area in southMoraviathe conservation zone, the conditions were provided for ensuring the protection and care for the monuments value (1992). By regulation of the government No. 336/2002 Coll., on the declaration of some cultural monuments national cultural monuments, the chateaux of Lednice and Valtice were declared national cultural monuments (2002).
- Resolution of the government of the Czech Republic of August 17, 2004 No. 769 on the proposal for the creation of the inter-branch working group (steering group) on the coordination of the future development of the territory of the Lednice-Valtice area and ensuring care for its natural and cultural values on the draft regulations of its activity.
- Development plans of Lednice, Valtice Uvaly and B eclav (1996, 1997, 1998, 1998 respectively)
- The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

On 20 July 2011 the government of the Czech Republic approved the "Concept of monument care in the Czech Republic for 2011-2016". The concept is based on a joint general conceptual material of the resort called "State Culture Policy", and it also follows up on conceptual materials about protection of other elements of cultural heritage, i.e. the "Concept of more effective care of movable cultural heritage in the Czech Republic for 2010-2014", which was acknowledged by the government of the Czech Republic on 1 December 2010, and the "Concept of more effective care of traditional folk culture in the Czech Republic for 2011 – 2015", which was approved by the government of the Czech Republic on 5 January 2011.

- The material is divided into two main parts. Firstly, it analyzes outputs of monument care in the previous years and in the second part it proposes relevant precautions. The proposal part stresses mainly the necessity for legislative changes in the monument care domain, putting great emphasis on improving the descriptive qualities of the Central Register of Cultural Monuments established by the law and simplifying and improving the quality of performance of the public administration in monument care sector. The concept reflects the current trends of electronization of state administration and digitization of analogue data, including documentation registers.
- MaB (Man and the Biosphere), an intergovernmental programme carried out under the auspices of UNESCO, provided a basis for the establishment of the Lower Morava Biosphere Reserve in 2003 in line with the Seville Strategy

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 52 of 66

# The Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic No. 16417 /87 - VI / 1 of 21 December 1987 was declared a B eclav-Pohansko Archaeological Reserve.

Source: SP 2012 SOC report (SOC Prague), Periodic Reporting Cycle 1, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

#### Comment

In order to unify the terminology of some of the laws currently still in force, we use the opportunity to add the corrected translations to section 5.2.2.

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1987(2021) / Act No. 20/1987 Sb., on the State Heritage Protection (The Heritage Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1987-20

1988 (2002) / Decree No. 66/1988 Sb., For the Implementation of the Act on the State Heritage Protection / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1988-66

2007 / Decree No. 187/2007 Sb., stipulating the content and essential requirements of zoning plans concerning areas with archaeological finds / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonvorolidi.cz/cs/2007-187

2008 (2023) / Decree No. 420/2008 Sb., which establishes the requirements and content of the plan for the protection of heritage reserves and heritage zones / Decree of the Ministry of Culture /

https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2008-420

2006 (2020) / Act No. 183/2006 Sb., on Spatial Planning and Building Rules (The Building Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-183

2006 (2022) / Decree No. 500/2006 Sb., on Supporting Analytical Zoning Data, Zoning Documentation and Zoning Records / Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-500

1992 (2021) / Act No. 114/1992 Sb., on nature conservation and landscape protection / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1992-114

2001 (2021) / Act No 254/2001 Sb., on Waters and amending some acts (The Water Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonvorolidi.cz/cs/2001-254

1995 (2021) / Act No 289/1995 Sb., on Forests and Amendments to some Acts (The Forest Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1995-289

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

#### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

#### Comment

The Management Plan is currently valid and its update is planned in 2024.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

  No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The documents of the World Heritage Centre are not translated into Czech, but we are aware of the materials and work in accordance with the recommendations.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	

5.3.16.5	Women				×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

### 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	85 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	85 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	15 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	10 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	5 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

This type of property does not allowed the exact calculation of maintenance costs, etc. These data are monitored for parts of the property.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

In the previous period (2018–22), a research project "Hardtmuth: from Charcoal to a Pencil Empire" was carried out for the LVCL, funded by the Czech Min. of Culture. A research project "Pr honice Park and School of Painting and Landscape Composition, an admired and rejected model for 20th century landscape design" is carried out (2023-27), funded by the Czech Min. of Culture, Project is also dedicated to the Lednice Park and other in the Czech territory inspired by the above-mentioned parks.

#### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

# 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

### 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Landowners	
Women	
Youth/children	

Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1500000 / 1000000 / 1000000 / 1500000 / 1500000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

54/15/5/15/2/5/

- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Unfortunately, the heritage assets have been suffering from an overabundance of tourists in the main tourist season for many years.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made** 

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

The visitor numbers are systematically monitored only for selected, tourist accessible objects and areas under the administration of the National Heritage Institute and in the administration of the Municipal Museum and Gallery in B eclav.

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

58 of 66

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

eg. social prestige and reputation stemming from the good name of the UNESCO WH List, improvement of public spaces, access to different subsidy programmes.

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local condi	tions affecting physical	fabric				
4.7.3	Temperature	(i) (iv) Parks and landscaped grounds, alleys and tree avenues.	Increase efforts to care for greenery	Visual continuous status monitoring.	Permanent.	Managerers of the property in cooperation with the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute.	It cannot be influenced or predicted in the long term.
4.8	Social/Cultu	ıral uses of heritage					

4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	and build composi landscap ecosyste alleys an parks an grounds, settleme	Residential p lings, spatial ition of the le and its ms, road netw d tree avenue d landscaped urban structu nts, artificial au vatercourses.	vork, s, re of	knowl	eand public edge about the e values of this rty	e i	Continuoris provide National I Institute.	d by the	oring	Continu accorda Conven	nce wit		National H Institute of Czech Rep	the	none
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	ion, the countryside, the comes in local landscape and its coolation and ecosystems, road Mur		coope	mmunication and moperation with local punicipal Authorities N		monitorion monitorione monitorione monitorione monitorione monitorione monitorione monitorione monitorione monitorione monitorio monitor	monitoring is acc		accor	accordance with the Institute		epublic with landscap cipality ecosyste network, landscap urban st settleme affected conversi residence		ide, the pe and its pems, road parks and ped grounds, ructure of ints are by	
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation	Recreation	(i) Buildings countryside landscape a ecosystems network, pa landscaped urban struct settlements artificial wat	, the and its i, road rks and ground ture of , natura	s, I and	to constantly offer of attrac the main tour infrastructure	ctors ou rist		Continu of the r visitors	umber	onitoring of	By fits		National Heri Institute of th Czech Reput managers of property	e olic,	none
4.10	Climate cha	nge and sev	ere weather	events												
4.10.1	Storms	its ecos and tree and lan	y) Landscape a ystems, alleys e avenues, par dscaped grour and natural ourses.	rks	and ma	ion and to of regular	m e	Prediction continuous nonitoring exceptions problems.	visual of		By fits and starts.	National Heritage Institute of the Czech Republic with the Municipality Authorities and Czech Hydrometeorological Institute.		unicipality	none	
4.10.2	Flooding	its ecos and tree and lan	y) Landscape a ystems, alleys e avenues, par dscaped grour and natural ourses.	rks	and ma	ion and to of regular	m e	Prediction continuous nonitoring exceptiona problems.	visual of		By fits and starts.	Cz Au	National Heritage Institute of the Czech Republic with Municipality Authorities and Czech Hydrometeorological Institute.		pality	none
4.10.3	Drought	ecosyste tree ave landsca	andscape and ems, alleys an nues, parks ar ped grounds, and natural urses.	nd nd	vegetation support of planting human re	and maintain on and to of regular to increase esource for irrigation	and visu exc pro	ediction ef d continuoual monito ceptional oblems of rsening si	ous oring of	gro	ring the wing ason.	Cz	National Heritage Institute of the Czech Republic with Czech Hydrometeorological Institute.			none
4.10.6	Temperature change	ecosyste tree ave landsca	andscape and ems, alleys an nues, parks a ped grounds, and natural urses.	nd	to continuously Prediction efforts During the monitor and maintain vegetation and to visual monitoring of support of regular planting Problems of worsening situation.  During the National Heritage Institute of the Greek Republic with Czech Republ			none								
4.12	Invasive/alie	en species c	r hyper-abun	dant sp	oecies											
4.12.2	Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	ecosys tree av	andscape and tems, alleys and enues, parks a aped grounds, Il and natural purses.	nd and	manua unwan increas	tinue with al removal of ted species – sing needs of a resource ties.		ontinuous onitoring.	visual	C	Continuous.		A	ature Conserva gency of the Cz epublic.		none
4.13	Managemen	t and institu	itional factors	s												

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 61 of 66

4.13.5	Financial	(i) (ii) (iv) Residential palaces	to continuously apply for	Using the national	As possible.	National Heritage	none
	resources	and buildings, spatial	subsidies and	monitoring tool.		Institute of the	
		composition of the	contributions.			Czech Republic,	
		landscape and its				managers of the	
		ecosystems, road network,				property	
		alleys and tree avenues,					
		parks and landscaped					
		grounds, urban structure of					
		settlements, artificial and					
		natural watercourses.					

### Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12

2.2.1 - Summa	ry - Manageme	nt Needs					
5.1	Boundaries and Bu	iffer Zones					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead ag	gency (and others involved)	More info	/ comment
5.1.4		Internal supervision of this issue.	Permanent.	adminis	ncerned bodies of state tration (according to the ences specified in the Heritage	none	
5.2	Protective Measur	es					
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	Possibility to regulate the development through the principle of spatial planning.	Continuous.		The concerned bodies of state administration (according to the competences specified in the Her Act).	ritage	None
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	More consistent stricter supervision	n. During key decisions.		The concerned bodies of state administration.		none
5.3	Management Sy	rstem/Management Plan					
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	A correction will be made and its content will be applied.	As soon as possib subsequent monito	-	Site manager.		none
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	A correction will be made and its content will be applied.	As soon as possib subsequent monito	-	Site manager.		none

62 of 66 Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape

5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Coordination between different administrations will be strengthened.	Continuously.	All administrative bodies involved, site manager	none
6.1	Funding				
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	The pursuit is for full satisfaction.	As soon as possible during the subsequent monitoring period.	Site manager.	none
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	The pursuit is for full satisfaction, the correction will be made and its content will be applied.	As soon as possible during the subsequent monitoring period.	Site manager in cooperation with National Heritage Institute.	none
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme has been developed but it is not implemented and skills are not being transferred	The discussion will continue intensively and the correction will be made.	Promptly.	Site manager.	none
9	Visitor Manageme	ent			
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Constant expansion of the offer of attractors using the marketing tools and further education of public.	Without delay.	National Heritage Institute, managers of the property	ne

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

  The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

- 12.3.4 What is the current state of the property's other values?
- Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact
- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Lednice-Valtice Cultural Landscape 63 of 66

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

- Revitalisation of the Lednice Pond within the National Cultural Monument Lednice Castle (2022) - Construction of a visitor centre in the minaret in the National Cultural Monument Lednice Castle (2021) - Heritage restoration of the minaret in the National Cultural Monument Lednice Castle (2020) - Restoration of the hunting lodge Belveder in Valtice (2020) - Restoration of facades and sculptural elements of the forecourt of the National Cultural Monument Valtice Castle (2020) - Introduction and extension of training courses devoted to the maintenance and protection of garden and landscape art heritage assets, including the Lednice-Valtice area at the Faculty of Horticulture, Mendel University in Brno, which is located in Lednice - courses "Heritage Preservation in Greenery Management", "Conservation of garden art heritage assets" and for foreign students "Garden and Landscape Heritage Studies"

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party Good	
------------------	--

Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

#### 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

Staff from other World Heritage properties

Non-Governmental Organizations

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

30 / 30 / 40 /

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable

ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.