# Lake Baikal

#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Lake Baikal

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Lake Baikal	53.174 / 107.663	8800000	0	8800000	1996
Total (ha)		8800000	0	8800000	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
, Scale 1:1,250,000	1995	
Bailkal (WHC scan of the original, scale 1:1,250,000)	1995	

#### Comment

We have update map "BOUNDARIES OF THE WORLD HERITAGE SITE LAKE BAIKAL 2023". Please explaine how to send this map for dowland in the questionnaire.

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Greenpeace Russia
- 2. Lake Baikal (Friends & Partners)
- 3. Natural site datasheet from WCMC
- 4. Natural Heritage Protection Fund
- 5. « » (2008-2010), . .
- 6. \_\_\_\_\_\_- « \_\_\_\_\_\_»
  7. LES NOUVELLES DONNÉES SUR LES HYDRATES DE GAZ NATURELS
- 1. LES NOUVELLES DONNEES SUR LES HTDRATES DE GAZ NATURELS
- 10. Exhibition and Conference on Baikal, 24 April 2012
- 11. Natural Heritage Protection Fund

#### Comment

Most of the links presented here do not work, except for 2 links: 2. Lake Baikal (Friends & Partners) and 4. Natural Heritage Protection Fund. We suggest adding links to sites of Baikal Protected Areas which are included in the World Natural Heritage Site "Lake Baikal".

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	×	
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×	

Lake Baikal 1 of 69

2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network	
	UNESCO Global Geoparks	×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

  No
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

Lake Baikal 2 of 69

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee
- 3.2 Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Natural Phenomenon and Aesthetic Value		×		
3.2.2	Geology and History of Earth	×			
3.2.3	Ecosystem Evolution and Speciation	×			
3.2.4	Biodiversity	×			
3.2.5	Preservation of Unique Species		×		
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

- 3.3 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development
- 4.1.1 Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×				7

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				7

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			P

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×				7
	×	×		×			-

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

This is good for the growth in the number of tourist accommodation facilities, but at the same time, the anthropogenic load from the number of visitors, sewage pollution is growing too.

# 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			•

Lake Baikal 4 of 69

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×			P

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×			×			/

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are air pollution (airports), destruction of plant and animal habitats (roads), disturbance factor (noise from transport infrastructure), waste from marine transport

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

<b>≭</b> Relevant	Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

# 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<b>X</b> Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Lake Baikal 5 of 69

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			<b>/</b>
Negative X		×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			7
Negative	×	×		×			1

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively.

There is a current and future problem of recycling battaries of solar or wind stations after the end of their service life.

# 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

X Not relevant

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
Negative	×	×		×			7

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Lake Baikal 6 of 69

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			,

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			<i>P</i>

# 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Rolovani	• Not releva				

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			7

Lake Baikal 7 of 69

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
	×	×		×			/

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			· /

# 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Lake Baikal 8 of 69

Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	▶ Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
Negative X	×	×		×			7

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
○ Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$			

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$		

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Lake Baikal 9 of 69

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		<b>→</b>	
	×	×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$		

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

# 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×			<b>→</b>		

# 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

× Relevant	ant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>			

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$		

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>		
Negative X	×	×	×	×		$\Rightarrow$		

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
	×	×	×	×			7	

# 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

# 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

<b>≭</b> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×	×	×	×			7

Lake Baikal 11 of 69

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			7

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>								
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>		

# 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×			1	

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Lake Baikal 12 of 69

× Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>			

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			<i>P</i>

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×		×			7	

Lake Baikal 13 of 69

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

#### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

<b>X</b> Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X		×		×			7	

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

<b>≭</b> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			-

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Earthquakes have become more frequent and, accordingly, landslides too

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

<b>≭</b> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>Gurrent</b>	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
	×	×		×			7

# 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Lake Baikal 14 of 69

Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×		×			7

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×		×		<b>→</b>	

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

× Not relevant

# 4.13. Management and institutional factors

# 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

Lake Baikal 15 of 69

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×			×		$\rightarrow$	
	×	×	×		<b>&gt;</b>		

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

# 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

elevant	✗ Not relevant
---------	----------------

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

# 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

# 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
		9	9	•		1
4.1.2 Commercial development						

Lake Baikal 16 of 69

			<b>A</b>	•		7
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
		q	ø		<b>E</b>	7
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<b>©</b>	q	ø	<b>(</b> )		7
		4	eq.		CF	7
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<b>(</b> )	e a	e ea	<b>(</b>	<i>₹</i>	7
		-,	-,	9	3	•
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
				<b>(</b>	<i>₹</i>	,
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure		-,	-,	9	3	•
			<b>a</b>		CF	<b>→</b>
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	<b>(A)</b>			<b>(</b>	<i>₹</i>	<b>→</b>
		q	q	<u> </u>	<b>(</b>	7
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		9			<b>G</b>	1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
		<b>a</b>	ø		(E	7
4.2.2 Denouvable energy facilities		-1	- T		·	
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities		4	9	Q	<b>₹</b>	<b>→</b>
4.3.4 Localised utilities	<b>O</b>	m <sup>CO</sup>	- I		·	
4.5.4 Localised dullides		-71	•		·	
		4	4		<b>(5</b>	
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
			9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
			9		Œ	1
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		9	9		<b>G</b>	1
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		9	9		<b>G</b>	7
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources						
		9	9		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.5.2 Aquaculture	<b>O</b>	9			<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
4.5.3 Land conversion						
					æ	7
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of demosticated eximals	•	- 0	- 1		Ç	
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals		-CO	-		COM .	
		4	4		<b>F</b>	
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection						
		q	9	•	<b>(</b>	1

Lake Baikal 17 of 69

4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection						
		a Til	<i>-</i>	<b>(</b>	18	_
4.5.9 Subsistence hunting		-1	-,		9	
				<b>(</b>	ref	→
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production		,	,	3	G	
		<b>A</b>	q		Œ	_
4.6 Physical resource extraction		•	•			
4.6.2 Quarrying						
Total Study Ing			a		<i>(€</i>	_
A.7. Local conditions offerting whysical fabric			7		G	
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
			9		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.7.3 Temperature	•	9	9		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
		9	9		<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.7.5 Dust						
			9		Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	9	<b>@</b>	Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	q	9	•		$\rightarrow$
4.8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						
		q		<b>(</b> )		<b>→</b>
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
		<b>A</b>	a	<b>(</b> )	Œ	<b>→</b>
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	<b>③</b>	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
		q	9	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9	9	<b>②</b>	Œ	$\rightarrow$
		9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>/</b>
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		9	9	•	C	<b>/</b>
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		9	9		Œ	1
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			9		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.10.2 Flooding						
		9	q		Œ	-
4.10.3 Drought						
			q		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.10.6 Temperature change						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		<b>a</b>			18	
440.7 Other elimete abours immedia		-1	4		3	
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts						

Lake Baikal 18 of 69

							9		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.11 Sudden ecolo	gical or geological event	ts								
4.11.2 Earthquake										
						q	9		Œ	7
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tic	ial wave									
							9		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.11.4 Avalanche/L	andslide									
							9		Œ	<i>P</i>
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire	e)									
						9	9		Œ	<i>P</i>
4.12 Invasive/alien	species or hyper-abunda	ant species								
4.12.1 Translocate	d species									
						q	q		Œ	<i>P</i>
4.12.2 Invasive/Alie	en terrestrial species									
						q	q		Œ	<i>P</i>
4.12.3 Invasive/Alie	en freshwater species									
							9		Œ	<b>→</b>
4.13 Management	and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Managemen	it system/Management pl	lan			•	q	9	<b>@</b>	Œ	<b>→</b>
4.13.2 Legal frame	work				<b>O</b>	q		•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.13.3 Governance	•				<b>O</b>	q	9	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
4.13.4 Managemen	t activities				•	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
4.13.5 Financial re	sources				•	4			<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
						9	9	•		•
4.13.6 Human reso	urces				•	9		•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	ivities			<b>O</b>	q	9	•	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	<ul><li>Insi</li></ul>	de		Outside	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

# 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

# 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		Origin		
4.1.1 Housing					
		9	9	•	<b>&gt;</b>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor					
Restricted					

Lake Baikal 19 of 69

×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Comr	ercial development		~3	~2		
			9	q	•	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×						
	Frequent					
	Frequent On-going					
Impact - Im						
Impact - Im	On-going					
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes					
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
	On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor					

Lake Baikal 20 of 69

	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
	Static			
×	Increasing			
Mama		Inches and	0-11	T

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.3 Industrial areas						
		<b>P</b>	9		<b>(</b>	1

		9	9	<b>(</b>	-
Spatial se	cale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9	q	•		<b>/</b>
		9	q		F	1

Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted

Lake Baikal 21 of 69

×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
Name	Increasing retative and visitation facilities	Impact  ③	: <b>4</b>	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
Name				9			
Name 4.1.5 Interp				q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities			q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities le - Area affected by the factor			q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted			द			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised			q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive			q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread			व			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  ccale - Occurence of the impact			व			
Name 4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare			व			
Name 4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic			q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent			व			
Name 4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going			q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes			व			
Name 4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant			q			
Name 4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor			ध्य			
Name 4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca  X  Temporal s	retative and visitation facilities  le - Area affected by the factor  Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  cale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  pact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant			q			

Lake Baikal 22 of 69

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.1 Groun	and transport infrastructure						
			q	9	•	Œ	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure							
			9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Lake Baikal 23 of 69

×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure  □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
	4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	<b>O</b>	<b>A</b>	q	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
			<b>A</b>	9	•	Œ	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity

Lake Baikal 24 of 69

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effect	4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
			9		F	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					

	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

# 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
		9	9		<b>G</b>	-

Lake Baikal 25 of 69

	Restricted							
	Localised							
•	Extensive							
×								
T	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
×	Frequent							
	On-going Control of the Control of t							
Impact - In	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
×	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							
Name	wable energy facilities	Impac	t Ø	q	Origin	Œ	Trend	
4.3.2 Kene	wable energy facilities		7	9	•	·	<b>→</b>	
Spatial sc	lle - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
×	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - In	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
	majo.							
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							

Lake Baikal 26 of 69

	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
	Name		Impact		Origin		
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	ised utilities	Impact	9	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
	ised utilities			9	Origin	<b>E</b>	
4.3.4 Local	ised utilities lle - Area affected by the factor	•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>
4.3.4 Local		•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>
4.3.4 Local	lle - Area affected by the factor	•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>
4.3.4 Local Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor  Restricted	•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>
4.3.4 Local Spatial sca	Restricted  Localised	•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>
4.3.4 Local Spatial sca	Restricted  Localised  Extensive	•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>
4.3.4 Local Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread	•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>
4.3.4 Local Spatial sca	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread	•	9		Origin		<i>&gt;</i>

Impact - In	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Мајог
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

On-going

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		q	q		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Lake Baikal 27 of 69

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.3 Surfa	4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
			9	9		<b>G</b>	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						

Lake Baikal 28 of 69

Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		9	9		C	1

			- 0	-0		G	*			
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
×	Localised									
	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare									
×	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes									
	Insignificant									
	Minor									
×	Significant									
	Major									
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
	Static									
×	Increasing									

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		9	9		F	-

# Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Lake Baikal 29 of 69

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	ame		Impact			Origin		
4.5.1 Fishir	4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources							
			9	q		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
×	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							

Lake Baikal 30 of 69

	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac		Origin		Trend
4.5.2 Aqua	culture	•	9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					

Name	Impact		Or		act		act		act		pact		pact		ct		ıct		act		act		ot				Origin			Trend
4.5.3 Land conversion																														
		q	9		<b>G</b>	1																								

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Lake Baikal 31 of 69

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Lives	ock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals			-70			
			9	9		<b>(</b>	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						

Lake Baikal 32 of 69

	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.6 Con	nmercial wild plant collection						
			9	9	•	<b>G</b>	1
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managen	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection						
		q	9	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$

Spatial scale - Area affected b	v the factor
Spatial Scale - Alea allected b	y tile lactor

	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		lununant			Outsin		Trend
	istence hunting	Impact			Origin		Trena
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		9	q	•	(E	<b>→</b>
_					4		
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						

		9	9	•	C	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial Sca	le - Alea allecteu by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					

Lake Baikal 34 of 69


Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production							
		9	9		Œ	-	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.6 Physical resource extraction

Name	Impact	Ori	gin	Trend
4.6.2 Quarrying				



# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind							
				9		Œ	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						


Lake Baikal 36 of 69

	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Name		Impact			Origin	
4.7.3 Temp	erature	•	9	9		Œ	<b>→</b>
			q	9		<b>G</b>	$\Rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Increasing

Lake Baikal 37 of 69



### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Name		Impact			Origin	
4.8.1 Ritua	I/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	<b>A</b>	<b>a</b>	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Opatiai 300	are - Area arrected by the ractor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						

Lake Baikal 38 of 69

Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managome	int response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
^						
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
Touris De	No capacity and / or resources					
Irena - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac			Origin	Trend
	ety's valuing of heritage	<b>()</b>	q	q	@	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ont response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Lake Baikal 39 of 69

4.8.3 Ind	8.3 Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting									
			q		•		<b>→</b>			
			·							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor										
×	Restricted									
	Localised									
	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact									
×	One off or rare									
	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact -	Impact on the attributes									
	Insignificant									
	Minor									
×	Significant									
	Major									
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
×	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - D	Developement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									
Name		Impact	i		Origin		Trend			
4.8.4 Cha	anges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system									
			9	9	•	<b>F</b>	$\rightarrow$			
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
×	Localised									
	Extensive									
	Widespread									
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact									
	One off or rare									

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent
On-going

Lake Baikal 40 of 69

Management response - Capacity of management to response   Pagic reports    Pagic reports    Medicum colorists    Lever appeals    No capacity  and for resources    Trend - Development over the last 6 years    Decreasing     State   Increasing     Increasing	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
Significant  Nation response - Capacity of management to respond   **Tread - Development response - Capacity of management to respond   **Tread - Development response - Capacity of management to respond   **Tread - Development over the last 6 years   **Tread - Development response - Capacity of management to respond   **Tread - Development over the last 6 years    **Tread - Development over the last 6 years    **Tread - Development over the last 6 years    **Tread - Development over the last 6 years    **Tread - Development over the last 6 years    **Tread - Development over the last 6 years    **Tread - Development over the last 6 years    **Tread - Develop		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond   Figh capacity   Figh		Minor						
Attainagement response - Capacity of management to respond  High copeoily  Monography  Non opposity and for resources  Percensaring  Simile  Decreasing  Name  Decreasing	×	Significant						
High capacity  Low capacity  Low capacity  Low capacity of an incusance  Froid - Development over the last 6 years  Control  Cont		Major						
Medium capacity  Lox capacity  As aspacity and/or resources  Trend - Development over the last 6 years    Decoasing	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Low capacity  No capacity and for resources  Trend - Development over the last 6 years    Conveniency   Convenience   Convenienc		High capacity						
Tread - Development over the last 8 years    Decreasing	×	Medium capacity						
Decelaring   Dec		Low capacity						
Decreasing  Name		No capacity and / or resources						
Increasing  Name  Ratio  Ratio  Ratio  Ratio  Ratio  Ratio  Record of a rate  Intermittent or sporad of a ratio  Insignificant  Minor  Major  Major  Manogament response Capacity of management to respond  Manogament response Capacity of management to respond  Major  Manogament response Capacity of management to respond  Macillan capacity  Maci	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
Name    Impact		Decreasing						
Name    Impact	×	Static						
### A Significant    Management response - Capacity of management to respond   Management response - Capacity of management to respo		Increasing						
### As a flected by the factor    Restricted   Localised								
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted Localised  Kethenave Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Minor Medium capacity Low capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Frend-Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Misser  M	Name		Impact	i		Origin		Trend
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted Localised  Kidesproad  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic  Kinemittent or sporadic  Kinemitte	4.8.5 Ident	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						<b>→</b>
Restricted Localised  K Extensive Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic  K Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor  K Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  K High capacity Low capacity Low capacity And or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing  K Static				4	4	<b>Q</b>	G	<b>→</b>
Localised  Kextensive Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic  Key Frequent On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor  Key Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Key High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Key Static	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Extensive   Widespread		Restricted						
Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic  X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Deviopement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  X Static		Localised						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Devicesing  Decreasing  Static	×	Extensive						
Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor  Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Widespread						
Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity of resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Minor  Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		One off or rare						
On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Intermittent or sporadic						
Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Minor  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Minor  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Modium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	×	Frequent						
Insignificant Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		On-going						
Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  Medium capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	×	Significant						
High capacity  Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Development over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Major						
Medium capacity  Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Low capacity  No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static	×	High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources  Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static		Medium capacity						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years  Decreasing  Static								
Decreasing  Static		No capacity and / or resources						
X Static	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
		Decreasing						
Increasing	×	Static						
		Increasing						

Lake Baikal 41 of 69

Name	ime		Impact			Origin	
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	<b>O</b>	9	9	•		<b>→</b>
			9	9	•	<b>(</b>	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

### 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illega	al activities						
			q	9	•	Œ	<b>&gt;</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						

Lake Baikal 42 of 69

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
		Impact					
	Name 4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage		i		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delib			<b>9</b>	9		Œ	
			4	4		6	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Lake Baikal 43 of 69

### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name

		pao	_				
4.10.1 S	torms			9		Œ	_
				-0		3	·
Spatial :	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.10.2 F	loading	Impac	t I		Origin		Trend
4.10.21	locumy		9	9		Œ	
			7	7		G	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Lake Baikal 44 of 69

×	Frequent					
^	On-going On-going					
Impost Im						
IIIIpact - IIII	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drou	ght					
			9		<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Imnact - Im	pact on the attributes					
puot	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
**	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
managenie	High capacity					
~						
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
_	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Lake Baikal 45 of 69

×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		Impact		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change										
		9	9		C	-				

		•	•		
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		oct Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts									
		9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>				

Spatial sc	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			

Lake Baikal 46 of 69

×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Eart	nquake						
			9	9		<b>G</b>	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						

Lake Baikal 47 of 69

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impac	Impact		it .		ct				Origin		Trend
4.11.3 Tsunami/Tidal wave												
			9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>						

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide					
		9		Œ	-

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

Lake Baikal 48 of 69

**	hat any that are on one of the						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact ·	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 F	Fire (wildfire)						
			9	9		<b>G</b>	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact ·	- Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend.	Developement over the last 6 years						

Lake Baikal 49 of 69

	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.1 Tran	slocated species						
			q	9		C	-
Spatial cas	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Inva	sive/Alien terrestrial species						
			4	9		<b>ઉ</b>	1

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact

Lake Baikal 50 of 69

	One off or rare					
**						
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.12.3 Inv	asive/Alien freshwater species					
			q		<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Insignificant  Minor					
v	Minor					
×	Minor Significant					
	Minor Significant Major					
	Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
Managem	Minor  Significant  Major  ent response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity					
	Minor Significant Major ent response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity					
Managem	Minor  Significant  Major  ent response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity					

Lake Baikal 51 of 69

Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Extensive Widespread

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Mana	agement system/Management plan	•	9	9	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	al framework	Impact	q		Origin	Œ	Trend
Logo					3	3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

Lake Baikal 52 of 69

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  X Frequent On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity  X Medium capacity	
Intermittent or sporadic  X Frequent On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant Minor  X Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity  X Medium capacity	
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor  Significant Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity  Medium capacity	
Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity	
Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity	
Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity	
Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity	
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity	
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity	
Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity	
High capacity  Medium capacity	
★ Medium capacity	
Low capacity	
No capacity and / or resources	
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
Decreasing	
* Static	
Increasing	
Name Impact Origin	Trend
	rrend
Provided and to Associate the design of the state of the	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
Restricted	
Localised	
Localised  Extensive	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Significant	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal Scale - Occurence of the impact  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major	
Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Temporal Section One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  Non-going  Impact - Insignificant  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
Extensive  Widespread  Temporal = Occurence of the impact  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  High capacity	
Extensive  Videspread  Temporal = Occurrence of the impact  Intermittent or sporadic  Intermittent or sporadic  On-going  Impact - Unsurface  Insignificant  Insignificant  Vinor  Vinor  Insignificant  Vinor  Vi	
Extensive  Widespread  Temporal = Occurence of the impact  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Impact - Impact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  Management response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  High capacity	

Lake Baikal 53 of 69

Trand Day	alanamant avay the last Cuesca						
Trena - Dev	Decreasing						
~	Static						
×							
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
		<b>O</b>	q	9	•	<b>©</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
201	Decreasing						
~	Static						
×							
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	icial resources	<b>O</b>	q		g	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
			q	<b>a</b>	<b>@</b>		<b>\</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact						

Lake Baikal 54 of 69

	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
^	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
impact - in							
	Insignificant						
**	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			igin		Trend
4.13.6 Hun	nan resources	<b>O</b>	9	•		5	$\Rightarrow$
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor  Restricted						
Spatial sca							
Spatial sca	Restricted						
	Restricted  Localised						
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive						
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread						
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurrence of the impact						
×	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurrence of the impact  One off or rare						
X Temporal	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic						
X Temporal	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent						
X Temporal	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going						
X Temporal	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes						
X Temporal	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  npact on the attributes  Insignificant						
X  Temporal:	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Inpact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor						
X Impact - In	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  Scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  Inpact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant						
X Impact - In	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  mact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
X Impact - In	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  npact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  ent response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity						
X Impact - In	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  spact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  sent response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity  Medium capacity						
X Impact - In	Restricted  Localised  Extensive  Widespread  scale - Occurence of the impact  One off or rare  Intermittent or sporadic  Frequent  On-going  npact on the attributes  Insignificant  Minor  Significant  Major  ent response - Capacity of management to respond  High capacity						

Lake Baikal 55 of 69

Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
		Impact		_	Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		<b>O</b>	4	4	•	<b>E</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Natural Phenomenon and Aesthetic Value		×		
4.18.1.2	Geology and History of Earth	×			
4.18.1.3	Ecosystem Evolution and Speciation	×			
4.18.1.4	Biodiversity	×			

4.18.1.5 Preservasion of Unique Species

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Over 50 reserves and regional nature sanctuaries were created by the administrations of the constituents of the Russian Federation within the World Heritage property.

The Federal Specially Protected Natural Areas (preserves, national parks, reserves) cover over 28% of the World Heritage property "Lake Baikal" and play vital role in the preservation of biodiversity and integrity of the property, as well as important economic, social and cultural roles.

Native habitat, natural complexes and properties on the Specially Protected Natural Areas boast the highest degree of conservation, while populations of flora and fauna enjoy the maximal stability.

In pursuance of art. 120 of the Federal Law dated August 22, 2004, 122-FZ «On Amendments to the Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation and Invalidation of Some Legislative Acts of the Russian Federation in Connection With the Adoption of Federal Laws «On Amendments to the Federal Law «On General Concepts of Legislative (Representative) and Executive State Bodies of the Constituents of the Russian Federation» and «On General Concepts of Local Government in the Russian Federation», as well as art. 15 of the Federal Law «On the Protection of Lake Baikal» regarding the formation of a coordinating body for concerted actions of concerned executive authorities in the protection of Lake Baikal, it was formed a Interdepartmental Commission for the Protection of Lake Baikal (the Order of Ministry of Natural Resources of Russia dated April 25, 2007 114).

The federal law 'On the protection of Baikal Lake' is the most important legal document in regulating the economic activity and management on the Baikal natural territory and the property.

The laws of Buryat Republic 210-1 'On tourism' and the resolution dated 23.10.2006, and No. 340 'On approval of the republican purpose-oriented program "Tourism development in Buryat Republic during 2007-2010" were amended in 2008. In addition, the resolution No. 474 'On registration of travel entities in the territory of Buryat Republic' was enacted. Tourism was declared one of the strategic directions of social and economic development of the republic by law No. 2595-II of 09.11.2007 "On social and economic development program of the republic during 2008-2010 and for the period till 2017 year".

Source: Priodic Reporting Cycle 1; 2008-2009 SOC reports; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection** 

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Lake Baikal 57 of 69

#### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

### If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

  Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists and it is not needed

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)					×
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

### 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

	Project costs	Running costs
ultilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
ateral international funding	%	%
orld Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
ontribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
ernational donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
overnmental (national/federal)	80 %	80 %
overnmental (regional/provincial/state)	10 %	10 %
a or e	teral international funding  I'ld Heritage Fund (International Assistance)  Itribution from other conventions and programmes  Irrational donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)  Iternmental (national/federal)  Iternmental (regional/provincial/state)	teral international funding % Ind Heritage Fund (International Assistance) % Intribution from other conventions and programmes % Intributions (NGOs, foundations, etc.) % International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) % International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) % International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) % International federal) 80 %

6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	%
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	9 %	9 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	1 %	1 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair

Lake Baikal 60 of 69

Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Tourism industry  Local businesses and industries	Good Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Indigenous peoples	

Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

255169 / 234353 / 231996 / 242574 / 205288 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100 / 100 / 100 / 1,5 / 10 / 100 /

- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

Lake Baikal 62 of 69

### If a different system, please specify

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

### 10. Monitoring

### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor

NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

- 10.6 Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway
- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan					
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×				
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×				
6.1	Funding					
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×				
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×				
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×				
9	Visitor Management					
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×				
Please select 0 more issues.						
☑ Plea	se save this question to reflect changes					

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation	n Infrastructure								
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Natural Phenomenon and Aesthetic Value; Biodiversity; Preservation of Unique Species	Control over the developm of transport infrastructure. Mandatory ecological expertise (environmental impact assessment).		ensity of the ad network	con	stantly	F	Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation	none
4.3	Services Infra	astructures								
4.3.1	Water infrastructure	Natural Phenomenon and Aesthetic Value; Geology and History of Earth; Ecosystem Evolution and Speciation; Biodiversity; Preservation of Unique Species	Control over the development of water infrastructure and its influence. Mandatory ecological expertise (environmental impact assessment)	the state infrastruction the na	nensive monitor of water cture and its imp atural ecosyster d Natural Herita	oact ms of	Constatly		Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation	none
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Biodiversity	, , , ,	Control of r (disposal)	recycling	Consta	tly	Res of th	istry of Natural ources and Ecology ne Russian eration	none
4.4	Pollution									

Lake Baikal 64 of 69

4.4.5	Solid waste	Aesthetic	Phenomenon and s Value; tion of Unique	waste	lation of solid dumps within the Natural Heritage	soli with Nat Mod liqu was the	nitoring of illegal d waste dumps nin the World ural Heritage Site. nitoring of idation any solid ste dumps within World Natural itage Site.	Co	enstatly	Res	istry of Natural sources and ology of the Russian deration	none
4.5	Biological res	ource use	/modification									
4.5.10	Forestry/Wood production		al Phenomenon and etic Value	fores	rol over the try/wood protection ducting reforestatio		Monitoring		Constatly	R E	finistry of Natural desources and decology of the dussian Federation	none
4.7	Local condition	ons affecti	ng physical fabric									
4.7.3	Temperature	Speciati	em Evolution and on; Biodiversity; ation of Unique		e change ring and control		ate change itoring	Cor	nstatly	Reso	stry of Natural ources and logy of the Russian eration	none
4.8	Social/Cultura	I uses of	heritage									
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Re	ecreation	Natural Phenomeno and Aesthetic Value Geology and History Earth; Ecosystem Evolution and Specia Biodiversity; Preserv of Unique Species	of ation;	Control over the regulation of the tourist flow. Decreased recreational load		Monitoring of recreational load		Constatly	1	Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation	none
4.9	Other human	activities										
4.9.1	Illegal activities	Aestheti	Phenomenon and c Value; Biodiversity; ation of Unique	Cont	rol over Illegal ities	Monito	oring of Illegal es	Cons	statly	Reso of the	etry of Natural ources and Ecology e Russian rration	none
4.10	Climate chang	je and sev	vere weather events									
4.10.2	Flooding	Aesthe and His Ecosys Specia	I Phenomenon and tic Value; Geology story of Earth; stem Evolution and tion; Biodiversity; vation of Unique s	infrasi hydro Fortify	ol over water tracture (dams, electric power plar the coast (Condu strengthening).		Monitoring		Constatly	F E	Ministry of Natural Resources and Ecology of the Russian Federation	none
4.11	Sudden ecolo	gical or g	eological events									
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Aesth Evolu Biodiv	al Phenomenon and etic Value; Ecosystem tion and Speciation; versity; Preservation of e Species	mo	introl over space fil onitoring; Patrol	re	Space fire monitoring	)	During the fire season from spring to autumn	Res	istry of Natural sources and ology of the Russian deration	none
Summary	- Factors affecting	the Prop	erty completed									

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	none	none	none	none			

Lake Baikal 65 of 69

5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	none		none		none		none
6.1	F	unding						
6.1.3	bi ac cc fu in fu m ne v	he available udget is cceptable but ould be urther nproved to ully meet the nanagement eeds of the Vorld Heritage roperty	none		none		none	none
6.1.7	re <b>p</b> a m ne W	luman esources artly meet the nanagement eeds of the Vorld Heritage roperty	none		none		none	none
6.1.10	bt th H S C D th H	eome use has een made of the World deritage strategy for trapacity the World deritage roperty	none		none		none	none
9	Visitor Mana	agement						
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvement could be ma	t nts		none		non	е	none
Summary - Management Needs completed								

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

Not applicable (sites inscribed exclusively under criteria vii to x (natural World Heritage properties)

**12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?** The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

# 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
--------------	---------------

Lake Baikal 66 of 69

Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Not applicable
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Monitoring and reporting

## 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

### 15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Awareness raising

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

### 15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

# 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

126 / 126 / 126 /

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
  - Map(s)

Reason for update: We have update map "BOUNDARIES OF THE WORLD HERITAGE SITE LAKE BAIKAL 2023". Please explaine how to send this map for dowland in the questionnaire.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Lake Baikal 69 of 69