San Agustín Archaeological Park

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

San Agustín Archaeological Park

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
San Agustin	1.887 / -76.298	0	0	0	1995
The Alto de los Idolos	1.923 / -76.236	0	0	0	1995
The Alto de las Piedra	2.001 / -76.216	0	0	0	1995
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

The following data has been revised and updated due to a requirement of the World Heritage Center sent to the State Party in 2021: 744-001 1° 53' 5.598" N; 76° 17' 43.529" W Property: 76.29Ha 744-002 1° 54' 56.969" N; 76° 14' 26.184" W Property: 12.93Ha 744-003 1° 54' 51864" N; 76° 14' 15.488" W Property: 3.73Ha 744-004 1° 58' 12.309" N; 76° 11' 54.733" W Property: 11.43Ha Total=104.38Ha

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
San Agustín Archaeological Park - Map of inscribed property	2014	

Comment

In 2021 the World Heritage Center asked to the State Party to update the maps of this property. According to that requirement, the State Party will submit the latest version of the map to the World Heritage Center in the next months.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. San Agustin, (Plural Word)

Comment

www.icanh.gov.co

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

San Agustín Archaeological Park 1 of 48

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?
- 2.7 Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

 No
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

San Agustín Archaeological Park 2 of 48

Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Nο

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The San Agustín Archaeological Park is located in the Colombian Massif of the Colombian southwestern Andes, on terrains of the municipalities of San Agustín and Isnos, in the department of Huila. Three separate properties, totalling 116 ha, comprise the Archaeological Park: San Agustín (conformed by the Mesita A, Mesita B, Mesita C, La Estación, Alto de Lavapatas and Fuente de Lavapatas sites), Alto de los Ídolos and Alto de Las Piedras. The park is at the core of San Agustín archaeological zone featuring the largest complex of pre-Columbian megalithic funerary monuments and statuary, burial mounds, terraces, funerary structures, stone statuary and the Fuente de Lavapatas site, a religious monument carved in the stone bed of a stream.

The ceremonial sites are at the centre of settlement concentrations and contain large burial mounds connected to one another by terraces, paths, and earthen causeways. The earthen mounds, some measuring 30 m in diameter, constructed during the Regional Classic period (1-900 AD) covered large stone tombs of elite individuals of the well documented chiefdom societies that developed in the region since around 1000 BC--one of the earliest complex societies in the Americas. The tombs contain an elaborate funerary architecture of stone corridors, columns, sarcophagi and large impressive statues depicting gods or supernatural beings, an expression of the link between deceased ancestors and the supernatural power that marks the institutionalization of power in the region. In the municipality of San Agustin the main archaeological monuments are Las Mesitas, where the ancestors constructed artificial mounds, terraces, funerary structures and stone statuary; the Fuente de Lavapatas, a religious monument carved in the stone bed of a stream; and the Bosque de Las Estatuas, where there are examples of stone statues from the whole region. The Alto de Los Idolos is on the right bank of the Magdalena River and the smaller Alto de las Piedras lies further north: both are in the municipality of San José de Isnos. Like the main San Agustín area, they are rich in monuments of all kinds. Much of the area is a rich archaeological landscape, with evidence of ancient tracks, field boundaries, drainage ditches and artificial platforms, as well as funerary monuments. This was a sacred land, a place of pilgrimage and ancestors worship. These hieratic guards, some more than 4 m high weighing several tonnes, are carved in blocks of tuff and volcanic rock. They protected the funeral rooms, the monolithic sarcophagi and the burial sites.

The monuments are located at the political and demographic centers of chiefdom societies that consolidated their power through complex ceremonial activities and the production of knowledge. San Agustín chiefdoms and the outstanding statuary of their tombs represent an exceptional trajectory of political centralization amidst a rugged environment and without the concentration of economic wealth, and as such are of great scientific and aesthetic importance.

Criterion (iii): The wealth and concentration of elaborate monumental burials and associated megalithic statuary from the sites in San Agustín Archaeological Park bears vivid witness to the artistic creativity and imagination of a prehispanic culture that flowered in the hostile tropical environment of the Northern Andes. It symbolizes the ability of pre-Hispanic societies of northern South America to create and express in stone and earth his unique form of social organization and worldview.

Integrity

The San Agustín Archaeological Park includes four separate sites, with boundaries defined so as to include the main concentrations of burial mounds with megalithic statues of the Regional Classic (1-900 AD) period. A third of the 600 known San Agustín statues and half of 40 known monumental burial mounds that are dispersed throughout the Alto Magdalena region are located inside the boundaries of the archaeological park. These 20 burial mounds include the largest and also the most elaborate examples. At the "Mesitas" site, 80 ha of the park includes 8 mounds, more than a hundred statues and the entire core of the largest demographic and ceremonial centers, containing not only the oldest and largest tombs--Mesita A and Mesita C--sites, but also the residential remains of the elite families that ruled over their society, constructed the monuments and used them as burials for their main leaders. Thus, the park includes not only a series of separate monuments but also the vestiges of the central communities that constructed and lived beside them. In spite of the impacts of natural phenomena on the material remains, conservation actions have preserved their material integrity. Challenges remain in maintaining the integrity of such a vast area in light of pressures for extended agricultural use and growth of local communities.

Authenticity

The San Agustin archaeological sites were abandoned around 1350 AD and rediscovered during the 18th and 19th centuries, which led the looting and disturbance of most of the monumental tombs while looking for grave goods which proved to be very scant. Erosion, earthquakes and human intervention displaced stone slabs and the contents of many tombs, but this did not destroy the original funerary architecture. The main values of the San Agustin monuments, expressed in the megalithic stone elements, funerary layout and stone carvings and painting, have been preserved, as well as the original construction techniques and associated archaeological deposits. Direct intervention is limited to research and conservation requirements. Even though the sites suffered long ago from looting, the early creation of the park in 1931 provided a stable adequate protection for the monuments and surrounding ceremonial centre.

Protection and management requirements

San Agustín archaeological park was created by Law 103 in 1931 and declared a National Monument and National Archaeological Park in 1993 (Decree 774). The Colombian Constitution established that the properties of the archaeological patrimony (including National Archaeological Parks) are a national and inalienable property. State provisions on the protection of Colombian archaeological heritage are applied effectively in the San Agustín Park. Current regulations, including the General Law of Culture (No. 397 of 1997, modified by Law 1185 of 2009) prohibiting excavations or other archaeological interventions without a license issued by the ICANH are strictly enforced and strong measures are taken to prevent the looting and trafficking of cultural property. Research and preventive conservation measures called for in the legislation are continually carried out.

Through annual plans and by the application of a comprehensive management plan for the World Heritage Site, the Colombian Institute of Anthropology and History-ICANH ensures the effective preservation and conservation of archaeological heritage, minimizing threats to the funerary structures and statues. Among these threats are the strong winds and high levels of rainfall that cause erosion throughout the year, soil instability, and bedrock erosion caused by water flowing over carved designs on bedrock (at Fuente de Lavapatas site).

The open air public exhibition of 16 reconstructed funerary mound and hundreds of megalithic sculptures as well as related archaeological materials at the site's museum serves to increase public awareness and support for cultural conservation efforts.

San Aqustín Archaeological Park 3 of 48

The specific environmental conditions at the San Agustín Park and the pressure of local communities are a continuous source of management and conservation challenges for the preservation of funerary structures and other archaeological remains. Thus, the implementation of the Management Plan for the World Heritage Site includes short, medium, and long term programs designed to increase their protection trough: Archaeological and conservation research, conservation, public outreach, local community issues, environmental management, and administrative infrastructure improvements. This program stresses the ICANH's commitment towards the systematic control of bio-deterioration agents affecting archaeological structures, particularly at the Fuente de Lavapatas site. In addition, a major project for park facility improvement is under way, which will expand available space for research work, collection reserves, and museum spaces, as well as improve reception and visitor services areas. The plan has also included a zoning delimitation and definition of buffer areas, and contemplates opening spaces for community involvement in site protection.

To achieve these goals, the ICANH is continually making efforts to raise additional funds and resources for strengthening the interdisciplinary team of researchers and advisers, and to give continuity to the actions and interventions of each program, thus ensuring the integrity and sustainability of San Agustín Archaeological Park.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Megalithic statuary originally associated with monumental funerary structures	×			
3.2.2	Monumental megalithic funerary structures associated or not with megalithic statuary	×			
3.2.3	Landscape modifications associated with everyday life (domestic and cultivation areas, embankments, etc)	×			
3.2.4	Carved ceremonial shrine on top of the ravine rocky bed, which highlights the relationship between water and the structured ritual life for burial and death		×		
3.2.5					
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant

San Agustín Archaeological Park 4 of 48

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×			×			P
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶		×		×		→		
Negative								

San Agustín Archaeological Park 5 of 48

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Not relevant

✗ Relevant				Not relevant				
Impact Origin		Origin	igin Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
		×		×		→		

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							

	×	×	S		
--	---	---	----------	--	--

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant				
Impact Origin		Origin	Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		\Rightarrow		

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

San Agustín Archaeological Park 7 of 48

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			ı	Not relevant				
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×		×			1	

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

San Agustín Archaeological Park 8 of 48

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant			Not relevant				
Impact Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

San Agustín Archaeological Park 9 of 48

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\Rightarrow	

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	№ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
	×	×		×		→		

San Agustín Archaeological Park 10 of 48

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×			×		→	

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

evant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant × No.	Not relevant
----------------	--------------

San Agustín Archaeological Park 11 of 48

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant Not relevant

San Agustín Archaeological Park 12 of 48

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
		×		×		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Not relevant
The Control of the Co
ı

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant X No.	t relevant
----------------	------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Notorali	** Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

Not relevant

|--|

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	

San Agustín Archaeological Park 13 of 48

○ Negative4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\Rightarrow	

4.13.6 - Human resources

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

★ Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×	×	×			→		
Negative								

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2012):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)


San Agustín Archaeological Park 14 of 48

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name 4.1 Buildings and Development	Impac	t		Origin		Trend
		~			~	
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	9			C	
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	F	9	•	F	\rightarrow
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
			ø		Œ	→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	©		a		Œ	→
A D Complete and the forest contractions of the contraction of the con						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
			9		C	\rightarrow
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
			(<i>₹</i>	
			-1		G	21
4.4.5 Solid waste						
			9		(\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
		q	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.5.5 Crop production						
		E	A		(F	7
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric		·	•			
4.7.1 Wind						
		A	4	•	G	→
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
			9	•	F	\rightarrow
4.7.5 Dust						
		9	9	•	C	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
		q	9	•	G	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	9	9		G	→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		q	9		G	\rightarrow
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
TOO IMPAGE OF LOUISHING ISLANOIN CONTAINED						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 15 of 48



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major	r visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	q		Œ	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					

San Agustín Archaeological Park 16 of 48

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities	0	9	9	•	F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 17 of 48

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							
				9		Œ	→
Spotial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.5 E	ffects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	O		9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
T	Widespread						
	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 18 of 48

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.1 W	4.3.1 Water infrastructure					
			9		G	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

San Agustín Archaeological Park 19 of 48

	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Local	ised utilities					
			q		(\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

4.4 Pollution

Increasing

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Groun	nd water pollution						
				9		C	S
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 20 of 48

×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid	waste						
			9	9		G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Extensive Widespread						
Temporal s							
Temporal s	Widespread						
	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact						
	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
×	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
X Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes						
X Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant						
X Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
Impact - Im	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond						
Impact - Im	Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						
Impact - Im	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 21 of 48

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land	4.5.3 Land conversion						
			9	9		Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.5 Crop production						
		9	q		G	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

San Agustín Archaeological Park 22 of 48

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

A7.1 Wind Restricted Restricted X Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare X Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity	Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity	4.7.1 Wind							
Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Management response - Capacity of management to respond Management response - Capacity of management to respond				9	9	②	C	\rightarrow
Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond Migh capacity	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		Restricted						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity	×	Localised						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		Extensive						
One off or rare X Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity		Widespread						
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		One off or rare						
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity	×	Intermittent or sporadic						
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		Frequent						
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		On-going						
 Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity 	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond * High capacity		Insignificant						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity	×	Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		Significant						
₩ High capacity		Major						
	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Medium capacity	×	High capacity						
		Medium capacity						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 23 of 48

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
		9	9	•	(\Rightarrow

Name		iiipaci			Origin		Hellu
4.7.4 Radiation/Light							
			q	9	•	©	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	:	Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust					
			()	E	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

24 of 48 San Agustín Archaeological Park

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
		Шрасі			Origin		Trenu
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses							
			P	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
0	Associated by the forter						
Spatiai sca	lle - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 25 of 48

	Lavyassasih						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	ty's valuing of heritage	O		9		F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	ty, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	•	9			C	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 26 of 48

	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	t		Origin		Trend
	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation				Origin		Trend
	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Impact	9	9	Origin	Œ	Trend →
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation			9	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac				9	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	le - Area affected by the factor			q	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted			q	Origin		Trend →
4.8.6 Impac	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised			q	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive			q	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread			व	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact			q	Origin		Trend ⇒
4.8.6 Impac	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare			q	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic			q	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent			व	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going			eq.	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes			q	Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impact Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant			q	Origin		Trend
* Spatial sca * Temporal s * Impact - Im	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor			ब	Origin		Trend
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant				Origin		Trend
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major			q	Origin		Trend

San Agustín Archaeological Park 27 of 48

×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.9.1 Illega	9.1 Illegal activities						
			9			G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage					
		9	@	Œ	→
Spatial coals - Area affected by the factor					

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised

San Agustín Archaeological Park 28 of 48

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Name		Impact				Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)						
				9		G	\rightarrow
Custial ass	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 29 of 48

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
×	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - Dev	Decreasing
Trend - Dev	

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Mana	gement system/Management plan	O	9	•	→
Snatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial Sca					
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	•	A	9	•	G	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.13.3 Gov	ernance	Impact		q	Origin	G	Trend
			,	•			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 31 of 48

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	aramant activities	Impact		en e	Origin	00	Trend
4.13.4 Wana	gement activities	©	4	9	•	F	_
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	ncial resources	Impact			Origin		Trend
riiidi			q	9	•	G	→
			- 0	- 0	C	C	

	Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor		
Restricted		Restricted		

San Agustín Archaeological Park 32 of 48

	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	4	9	•	F	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	· · ·						
	Major						

San Agustín Archaeological Park 33 of 48

	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	•	9	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

The components 744-001-002-003 are impacted by all factors

- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.
- 4.18.1 Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

San Agustín Archaeological Park 34 of 48

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Megalithic statuary originally associated with monumental funerary structures	×			
4.18.1.2	Monumental megalithic funerary structures associated or not with megalithic statuary	×			
4.18.1.3	Landscape modifications associated with everyday life (domestic and cultivation areas, embankments, etc)	×			
4.18.1.4	Carved ceremonial shrine on top of the ravine rocky bed, which highlights the relationship between water and the structured ritual life for burial and death		×		
4.18.1.5					

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

One of the conclusions of the last few years of site management, and the ongoing process of urbanization of the San Agustin town center, is that although the site's boundaries are adequate, and are defined by the area the state legally owns, there is an increasing need to define a buffer zone that, looking forward, can define the area of influence of outside stakeholders that put pressure on the property.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The Park is protected principally by Law No 163 of 1959 "By which measures are adopted with regard to the defense of the national historic and artistic heritage and the public monuments of the Nation and the council of National Monuments is set up" (Regulatory Decree No 264:1963). In 1990 the Commission wrote a Resolution, Article 2 of which declares that "the statues of San Agustin, Tierradentro, and the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta cannot form part of collections, since they belong to the Archaeological Parks of the Nation."

A number of other legislative instruments also relate to the Archaeological Park, of which the following are the most significant:

- -Law No 103:1931 "By which aid is given to the conservation of the archaeological monuments of San Agustin" (Regulatory Decree No 260:1935)
- -Decree 1060:1936 "By which regulations are adopted for scientific expeditions which come to the country, and a commission set up";
- -Law No 147:1938 "By which the continuation and enlargement of the works of excavation and research in the region of San Agustin and Tierradentro are ordered";
- -Law No 116:1960 "By which the work of preservation and study of the archaeological remains of the upper Magdalena are enlarged, and by which funds are allocated for the endowment of the Tourist Hotel of san Agustin";
- -Decree No 774:1993 "By which the National Government declares a National Archaeological Monument the Upper Magdalena, a large geographical area comprised of the townships of San Agustín, San Jose de Isnos, Pitalito, Oporapa, and Saladoblanco" [a region of some 500 square kilometers].

In the new (1991) Political Constitution of Colombia, articles 63 and 72 gave an inalienable character to the Nation's archaeological heritage, which is declared to be national property under the protection of the state (though the regulations for the implementation of these articles have not yet been agreed).

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

Comment

The articles stated on the Political Constitution of Colombia (63 and 72) have been already regulated by means of the General Cultural Law (Law 397 1997) and its regulatory decrees

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1983 / Law 45 / Through which the "Convention for the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage" is approved, made in Paris on November 23, 1972 and the National Government is authorized to adhere to it / 1986 /

Law 63 / Through this law the Colombian State ratifies and adopts the Convention on the measures to be implemented to prohibit and prevent the import, export and transfer of illicit ownership of cultural property, which was signed on November 17, 1970, in Paris, in this law the goods that are considered cultural are indicated, establishes the functions to ensure the protection of such assets, among others. / 1997 / Law 397 /

This regulation develops articles 70, 71 and 72 of the Political Constitution, creates the National System of Culture as a set of instances and processes of institutional development headed by the Ministry of Culture establishing norms on cultural heritage, assets that integrate the archaeological heritage, management of public and private museums, promotion, and stimuli to culture, among other related topics. / 2009 / 1304 / This law ratifies and adopts in our national legislation the Unidroit Convention on Stolen or Illegally Exported Cultural Property signed in Rome on 24 June 1995, which establishes international standards for the restitution of stolen cultural property and the return of illegally exported cultural property. /

San Aqustín Archaeological Park 35 of 48

2015 / Decree 1080 / By means of this norm, the regulatory power of the President of the Republic is developed and the single and applicable regulation of the legal regime of the National System of Culture is issued, compiling, and rationalizing all the regulatory norms that govern the sector. /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice National legislation regarding cultural heritage (including archaeological heritage) works as a mandatory figure in regulating the national, regional and local spatial and development planning.

- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

At the moment, the property puts in place an 'Archaeological Management Plan' which is the legal tool that current legislation provides. This plan contemplates an inventory of the park's properties, general rules for conservation and intervention, area planning for allowed and forbidden activities, and plans and projects for the site in the next 5 years. However at the moment, there is an an ongoing effort to update this structure and its contents.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

The property has an Archaeological Management Plan formulated in 2012. However, at the moment it is being updated.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

San Agustín Archaeological Park 36 of 48

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			×		
5.3.15.5	Women		×			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples					×
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				

San Agustín Archaeological Park 37 of 48

5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood		×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×	

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	85 %	85 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	15 %	15 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	91 %	40 %
6.1.6.2	Women	9 %	60 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not applicable
Administration	Good

San Agustín Archaeological Park 38 of 48

Research and monitoring	Not available
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Not applicable
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is acceptable for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair

San Agustín Archaeological Park 39 of 48

Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Youth/children
Local Visitors
National/international tourists

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

not available / 35611 / 91626 / 107542 / 105761 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The source of information is the average lenght of the tour inside the property based on the data available from the site manager

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

not available / not available /

San Agustín Archaeological Park 40 of 48

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Economic benefits, as the local economy is heavily dependant of the San Agustin Park

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

In order to procure the conservation of the OUV of the property, ICANH has defined several indicators that measure the impact of the environment and tourism as the main issues that have been identified to affect the property's principal aspects. Nonetheless, our indicators could improve in order to achieve better monitoring in administrative aspects of the property.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	×
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	

San Agustín Archaeological Park 42 of 48

6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×
7.3	Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 1 more issues.	
□ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3	Services Infra	Services Infrastructures								
4.3.4	Localised utilities	The third attribute might be affected.	Monitoring of intervention activinside the proper		Monitoring of intervention inside the property)	activities roperty the f ICANH	permane	ent	ICANH	No additional comments
4.5	Biological res	ource use/modification	n							
4.5.5		Crop produc	tion							
4.7	Local condition	ons affecting physical	fabric							
4.7.1		Wind								
4.7.4		Radiation/Lig	jht							
4.7.5		Dust								
4.8	Social/Cultura	al uses of heritage								
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Re		ase of a non urism that	Monitoring capacity ar maintenand and infrastr	nd ce of paths	Monitoring of capacity and maintenance and infrastruc through visitor statistics and maintena infrastructure	of paths sture r manage ince of	permanent	ICANH	No additional comments
4.9	Other human	activities								

San Agustín Archaeological Park 43 of 48

4.9.1	Illegal activities		Awareness campaigns against illicit trade	Monitoring of of archaeolog without officia and illicit trad another natio	ical heritage I authorization e along with	Annually		NH, and local and onal institutions	No additional comments
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Monumental megalithic funerary structures associated or not with megalithic statuary Megalithic statuary originally associated with monumental funerary structures Landscape modifications associated with everyday life (domestic and cultivation areas	i n		tion of	Annually		NH, and local and onal institutions	No additional comments
4.11	Sudden ecol	ogical or geological ever	nts						
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	The first, second and fourth attributes might be affectad in case of a large scale fire.	Management of forest reserves located inside and on the boundaries the property		semi-annually	,	ICANH and regional at	d environmental uthority	No additional comments
4.13	Management	and institutional factors							
4.13.5	Financial resources	All of the attributes of the property might be affected because of the lack of financial resources to assure the protection of the property.	Planning of activities and projects. Search f national and international funding.		ing of the oment of projects out	permanent		ICANH	No additional comments
Question r	not completed								

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

2.2.1 - Ouiiiii	ary - Manageme	in Necus				
5.1	Boundaries and Bu	Iffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead a	gency (and others ed)	More info / comment
5.1.3	has no buffer zone, but there is a need for	Currently, ICANH is working on the initial phase of the updating of the management plan of the property, including the definition of the buffer zone.	5 years	ICANH		No additional comments
5.2	Protective Measure	s				
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Currently, ICANH is working on the initial phase of the updating of the management plan of the property.	5 years		ICANH	No additional comments
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain		5 years (Management Plan) and permanent (strengthening of relationship with local and region government)	al	ICANH	No additional comments

San Agustín Archaeological Park 44 of 48

6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable to could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritag property	funding sources, such as international cooperation funds. To that end, ICANH is working on a brochure of projects needed improve the management of the property.	7 years	ICANH	No additional comments
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet to management needs of the World Heritag property	working on a brochure of projects needed improve the management of the property.	7 years	ICANH	No additional comments
7	Scientific Studie	es and Research Projects			
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	ICANH is currently working with its internal research groups, in order to organize and strengthen the research program for the property.	i years	ICANH	No additional comments
8	Education, Inform	nation and Awareness Building			
8.2	There is a limited and ad hoc education and awareness programme for children and/or youth	ICANH is currently working with its internal sub-directorate of social awareness, in order to organize and strengthen the education program for the property in the context of the process of updating of the management plan.	5 years	ICANH	No additional comments
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a sm amount of monitoring a the World Heritage property, but i is not planned	phase of the updating of the management the plan of the property, including the definition the monitoring plan and improvement of indicators.	5 years	ICANH	No additional comments
10.2	Information or the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicator have been defined but monitoring o the status of indicators could be improved	phase of the updating of the management plan of the property, including the definition the monitoring plan and improvement of indicators.	5 years	ICANH	No additional comments

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

San Agustín Archaeological Park 45 of 48

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

As part of the interpretation strategy for the property, ICANH develops anually permanent and temporary exhibitions at the archaeological and ethnographical museums of the park. These exhibitions are made with the direct participation of the local communities related to the property.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Synergies

Management

Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

San Agustín Archaeological Park 46 of 48

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage Update of management plans Fundraising Awareness raising Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this guestionnaire? Gender balance has not been explicitly considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

100 / 20 / 50 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

Some of our answers were between two options, so we always tried to select the one closer to the objective answer, however a scale with 5 options instead of 4 would make easier to answer some of the questions

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support

UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	No support
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Geographic information table

Reason for update: The following data has been revised and updated due to a requirement of the World Heritage Center sent to the State Party in 2021: 744-001 1° 53′ 5.598″ N; 76° 17′ 43.529″ W Property: 76.29Ha 744-002 1° 54′ 56.969″ N; 76° 14′ 26.184″ W Property: 12.93Ha 744-003 1° 54′ 51864″ N; 76° 14′ 15.488″ W Property: 3.73Ha 744-004 1° 58′ 12.309″ N; 76° 11′ 54.733″ W Property: 11.43Ha Total=104.38Ha

• Map(s)

Reason for update: In 2021 the World Heritage Center asked to the State Party to update the maps of this property. According to that requirement, the State Party will submit the latest version of the map to the World Heritage Center in the next months.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

San Aqustín Archaeological Park 48 of 48