Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Ogimachi Village	36.258 / 136.908	45.4	58873.1	58918.5	1995
Ogimachi Village 2	36.256 / 136.902	0.2	?	0.2	1995
Ainokura Village	36.425 / 136.933	18	?	18	1995
Suganuma Village	36.406 / 136.886	4.4	?	4.4	1995
Total (ha)		68	58873.1	58941.1	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source	
Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama - Maps showing the inscribed component parts of the property	2012		

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Historic Villages of Shirakwa-Go and Gokayama

Comment

Shirakawa Village, Gifu Prefecturehttp://ml.shirakawa-go.org/en/ Nanto City, Toyama Prefecturehttps://www.tabi-nanto.jp/en/ Culture and World Heritage Division, Nanto City, Toyama Prefecturehttps://www.city.nanto.toyama.jp/cms-sypher/www/section/detail.jsp?id=19

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

N/A

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands

of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

N/A

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Traditional skills, techniques and knowledge for the conservation and transmission of wooden architecture in Japan (Japan, 2020)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

N/A

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The Gassho-style houses found in the Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama are rare examples of their kind in Japan. Located in a river valley surrounded by the rugged high-mountain Chubu region of central Japan, these three villages were remote and isolated, and access to the area was difficult for a long period of time. The inscribed property comprises the villages of "Ogimachi" in the Shirakawa-go region, and "Ainokura" and "Suganuma" in the Gokayama region, all situated along the Sho River in Gifu and Toyama Prefectures. In response to the geographical and social background, a specific housing type evolved: rare examples of Gassho-style houses, a unique farmhouse style that makes use of highly rational structural systems evolved to adapt to the natural environment and site-specific social and economic circumstances in particular the cultivation of mulberry trees and the rearing of silkworms. The large houses have steeply-pitched thatched roofs and have been preserved in groups, many with their original outbuildings which permit the associated landscapes to remain intact.

Criterion (iv): The Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama are outstanding examples of traditional human settlements that are perfectly adapted to their environment and their social and economic raison d'être.

Criterion (v): It is of considerable significance that the social structure of these villages, of which their layouts are the material manifestation, has survived despite the drastic economic changes in Japan since 1950. As a result they preserve both the spiritual and the material evidence of their long history.

Integrity

Ogimachi, Ainokura, and Suganuma are rare examples of villages in which Gassho-style houses are preserved at their original locations and in groups, as they developed in the area along the Sho River. Although since the Second World War there has been a reduction in the number of Gassho-style houses in each village, the inscribed property includes clusters of all the remaining Gassho-style houses which allows each village to retain its traditional appearance and character. Moreover, there has been no significant change to the system of roads and canals and traditional land-use patterns including trees and forest, and agricultural land.

The detrimental effects on the scenic landscape of a major highway construction less than one kilometre from Ogimachi and Suganuma has been reduced with plantings along the roadside and embankments, controls on bridge design and other protections for the view from Ogimachi Village.

The integrity of the property, therefore, is ensured in the contexts of both wholeness and intactness.

Authenticity

The three settlements constitute important historical evidence in and of themselves. The villages have existed since the 11th century and each has a strong sense of community. Traditional social systems and lifestyle customs have sustained the Gassho-style houses and their associated historic environments. From the viewpoints of setting, function, and traditional management systems, the level of authenticity is high.

While the conventional collaboration efforts by residents have functioned to maintain thatched roofs in good conditions, long-established Japanese restoration practices and principles are applied in cases in which deterioration necessitates major conservation work. Special attention is paid to the use of traditional materials and techniques, and the use of new materials is rigorously controlled. In view of the standardized modular construction of similar types of traditional wooden structures, reconstruction and replacement involve a minimum amount of conjecture. The Gassho-style houses retain their authenticity from the perspective of form and design, as well as materials and substance.

Protection and management requirements

Each of the three villages – Ogimachi, Ainokura, and Suganuma – is classified as an Important Preservation District for Groups of Historic Buildings under the 1950 Law for the Protection of Cultural Properties. This classification requires, inter alia, the preparation of municipal ordinances and preservation plans for protection, restrictions on activities that may alter the existing landscape, authorization procedures, and the provision of subsidies for approved actions. Ainokura and Suganuma are also designated as Historic Sites under the 1950 Law, and proposed alterations to the existing state must be approved by the national government. In addition, a conventional collaboration system for maintaining Gassho-style houses has been retained by the residents.

There are double buffer zones around each of the villages; an individual buffer zone surrounds each nominated property and a larger buffer zone that contains all three villages. Development pressures throughout the entire village of Ogimachi are controlled by the 2008 Shirakawa Village Landscape Ordinance, which was developed under the 2004 Landscape Law to reinforce the former 1973 Shirakawa Village Ordinance for the Natural Environment. Shirakawa Village must be notified of any proposed large-scale project, in order to confirm that the proposed work will fit in with the character of the historic and natural environment. Under the same ordinance, stricter regulations are imposed on the area immediately surrounding the World Heritage property of Ogimachi (471.5 ha).

The buffer zones immediately surrounding Ainokura, and Suganuma are protected as Historic Sites as mentioned above and as Gokayama Prefectural Natural Park under the Toyama Prefectural Natural Parks Regulations. In addition, further protection is provided under municipal ordinances implemented by Nanto City. All of these regulations and ordinances impose considerable constraints on any kind of activity that might be deemed harmful.

Overall responsibility for the protection of the property rests with the Agency for Cultural Affairs of the Government of Japan. The associated bodies include the Ministry of the Environment, the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (including the Forestry Agency), the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Gifu Prefecture, Toyama Prefecture, Shirakawa Village, and Nanto City.

Direct management of individual buildings is the responsibility of their owners, and all work is supervised as prescribed in the Preservation Plans. Routine repair work has always been carried out by the owners, and often through conventional collaborative efforts by communities, using traditional techniques and materials. The local and national governments provide both financial assistance and technical guidance.

As fire is a major hazard for the property, elaborate fire-extinguishing systems have been installed in all three village zones. Fire-fighting squads of residents are also organized.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Traditional gassho-zukuri houses	×			

3.2.2	Thatched roofs	×		
3.2.3	Farmland	×		
3.2.4	Traditional social community	×		
3.2.5	Thatching grass fields	×		
3.2.6	Snow-holding forests	×		
3.2.7				
3.2.8				
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

N/A

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact				Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2.5Decreased traffic volume due to the COVID-19 pandemic; traffic jams of private and other vehicles during peak seasons

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			→	

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3.5Two power line towers exist and are visible (Ainokura Village)

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×				7

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant				NOUTERSAIN				
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative								

Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.5.3Farmland diversion; increased number of abandoned fields

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

× Not relevant 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside



4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative X		×	×	×			-

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	х	×	×	×			-

○ Negative X	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
4.8.7 - Please comment as positively	necessary on how	v the factors sele	ected as relevant in 4.8 a	are affecting the prop	erty either neg	gatively or
4.8.5Depopulation; decreasing COVID-19 pandemic; underdeve		•				rs due to the
4.9. Other human activitie	es					
4.9.1 - Illegal activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	/2011):					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ Not relevant	_					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ Not relevant	(2011):					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.9.4 - War						
Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	(2011):					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ Not relevant	/2011):					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ Not relevant	/2011):					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.9.7 - Please comment as positively	necessary on how	v the factors sele	ected as relevant in 4.9 a	are affecting the prop	erty either neg	gatively or
4.10. Climate change and	d severe weather	events				
4.10.1 - Storms Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	/2011):					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	/2011):					
Relevant		×	Not relevant			
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/ • Not relevant	/2011):					

× Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Relevant

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

televant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✗ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		

○ Negative4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative								

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×	S		
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×	S		
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (29/07/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13.2Act on Protection of Cultural Properties, etc. 4.13.5Decreased parking lot revenue as independent financial resource (due to COVID-19 pandemic) 4.13.6Fewer human resources 4.13.7Tourism trends survey

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Littered cigarettes, suspicious fires, accidental fires caused by short circuits in buildings, etc.

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0	9		•	G	→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	9			G	\rightarrow
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		9	9		G	\rightarrow
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		9		•		\rightarrow
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
			q	•		-
4.5.5 Crop production	•	9		②		\rightarrow
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	q		•	G	→
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	•		q	•	F	→
			9	•	G	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	4	9	•	G	1
		4	9		G	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9	•	G	→
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
			9		G	\rightarrow
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	0	9		•	G	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	0	9		②	Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.3 Governance	O	9		@	G	→
4.13.4 Management activities	•	9		②	F	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	O	q		Q	F	\$

4.13.6 Human reso	urces				0	9	•	Œ	•
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring activ	vities			•	q	•	F	→
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	de	Outside	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	pretative and visitation facilities	•	9		•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	t	Origin			Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9			Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Nome		Impact			Origin		Trend
Name 4.2.5 Effect	s arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Шрасі			Origin		Trend
			q	q		F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
remporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
~	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Prequent						
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Ma	jor linear utilities				
			9	•	→
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
-	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
rempore	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
impact -	Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.5.3 Land	l conversion					
				9	•	7
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - II	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.5.5 Crop	production	O	9		•	\rightarrow
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

	Frequent
×	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					

	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	~	~~3	Origin	~	Trend
4.8.5 Identity	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		9	9	•	E E	→
				•			
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impact	s of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9	9	•	Œ	/
			9	9		G	→
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	ame		Impact			Origin		
4.11.2 Ea	thquake							
				9	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going On-going							
Impact - I	npact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
×	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years							

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.11.4 Ava	lanche/Landslide						
				9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
^	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

Name	Impact Origin		Impact			Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•			•	F	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread

	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	l framework	O	9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted					
Spatial sca						
Spatial sca	Restricted					
	Restricted Localised					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare					
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic					
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
× Temporal s × Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
× Temporal s × Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
X Temporal s X Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
Trena De	Decreasing					
×	Static					
^	Increasing					
	increasing .					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gove	ernance	•	q	•	G	→
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Opatiai sca	Restricted					
	Localised					
~						
×	Extensive					
T	Widespread					
remporai s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
~	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name	agement activities	Impact	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities		-1	9	G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	-3	Origin	net.	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	cial resources	•	9	•	(•
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	Frequent On-going					
	On-going					
	On-going pact on the attributes					
	On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intresponse - Capacity of management to respond					

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	O	9	•	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	©	q	©	Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ont response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.2.5/ Ogiamchi Village 4.3.5/ Ainokura Village Others/ All components

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Traditional gassho-zukuri houses	×			
4.18.1.2	Thatched roofs	×			
4.18.1.3	Farmland	×			
4.18.1.4	Traditional social community	×			
4.18.1.5	Thatching grass fields	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are $\mbox{\bf adequate}$ to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Each of the three villages are Important Preservation Districts for Groups of Historic Buildings, as defined in Article 2 and 144 of the 1950 Law for the Protection of Cultural Properties. This designation requires, Inter Alla, the preparation of preservation plans for protection, restrictions on activities that may alter the existing appearance of the Preservation District and authorization procedures, and the provision of subsidies for approved actions. Ogimachi Alnokura and Suganuma has been raised to the status of important Preservation District for Groups of Historic Buildings, and the elevation of to this status is currently under discussion. Ainokura, Suganuma were previously designated Historic Sites under the provisions of Article 59 of the 1950 Law, which lays certain obligations concerning maintenance upon property owners.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1976 / Shirakawa Village ordinance for the preservation for groups of historic buildings in Ogimachi Village / Provides for the determination of districts, regulations on changes to existing conditions, and other necessary measures with respect to the preservation districts for traditional buildings as defined by Shirakawa Village / 2016 /

Nanto City Gokayama landscape ordinance / Clarifies basic principles for conserving and nurturing the landscapes of Gokayama and establishes basic matters for comprehensively promoting those principles / 2003 / Shirakawa Village landscape ordinance /

Establishes specific policies and standards for improving the lives of villagers and creating a local region in which villagers will be able to have affection and pride by protecting, developing, and cultivating the rich natural environment and beautiful scenery of Shirakawa Village /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Traffic problems associated with growing numbers of tourists were occurring continuously in Ogimachi Village, particularly during the tourist season. For this reason, we prohibited tour buses from entering the core zone and restrict the entry of tourist vehicles. We are working to reduce the entry of tourist vehicles into the core zone by building parking lots outside the zone.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Private ownership/management

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Management is being appropriately provided primarily by residents' preservation associations that are organized by local residents, who are the property managers, based on "ordinances for the preservation of districts with groups of historic buildings" and "ordinance plans" established by the local governments where the core zone is located. Each local government controls the system's operation and management while receiving instructions and advice from the national and prefectural governments.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

 No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	

5.3.16.12	NGOs		×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×		
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Spurred into action by preservation movements undertaken by residents' preservation associations in each village, we have endeavored to protect historical landscapes with the aim of promoting tourism-centered economic development. Since then, the "tourism exchange population" has grown year by year and is helping to promote and improve local economic development. However, we expect the COVID-19 pandemic to have a major negative impact on future efforts to promote tourism.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	65 %	65 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	35 %	35 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	90 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	10 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

 $\ensuremath{\text{No}}$ use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Landowners	
Youth/children	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

2,613,000 / 2,241,000 / 2,291,000 / 2,365,000 / 2,376,000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Other

Number of vehicles using public parking lots

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Shirakawa-mura Kanko Dotai Chosa (Shirakawa Village tourism trends survey) Results of interview surveys with local residents

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100.00 / 20.00 / 160.00 / 10.00 / 5.00 / 40.00 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Interviews with local residents

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Winter illumination

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

It promotes employment in accommodations, souvenir shops, restaurants, and the like, mainly in gassho-zukuri guest houses.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the

understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×			
10.3.6	Capacity development	×			

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Shirakawa Village ordinance for the preservation of districts with groups of historic buildings Nanto City ordinance for the preservation of districts with groups of historic buildings

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×

6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
Ŋ Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation In	frastructu	re											
4.2.5	Effects arising T from use of transportation infrastructure	raffic cong	estion	Traffic controls governments'			Verificatio traffic con meetings		-	Busy per	iods	Traffic o		N/A
4.3	Services Infrastro	uctures												
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	Power lin	es and	None	N/A		Continu	ious		Lo	cal gover	nments		N/A
4.5	Biological resour	ce use/mo	dification											
4.5.3		armland div	version and rields	Regulation of conversion und Cropland Act		Agricu	iltural com	mittee	Contin	nuous		Agricultu	ral committee	N/A
4.8	Social/Cultural us	ses of heri	tage											
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Local shrii	ne festivals	Holding of festiv parishioners	vals by	Ν	N/A	Held	d regularly r	r each	Parishio	oners' shrir	nes	N/A
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/R	ecreation	Fewer visitor COVID-19	rs due to	Thorough in control mea		1	N/A	C	Continuous			N/A	N/A
4.11	Sudden ecologic	al or geolo	gical events											
4.11.2	Earthquake	-	e vis-à-vis i Earthquake	Formulation plans	on of disaster	r	N/A	Со	ontinuous		Loc	al governr	nents	N/A
4.11.4	Avalanche/Landslide		ide counterme er preparedne		Local governi disaster plans			N/A	Cont	tinuous		Local gov	rernments	N/A
Summary	- Factors affecting the	e Propert	y complete	d										

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			

5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Because climate change has no bearing	N/A		N/A		N/A	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	No use planned for use in the future either	N/A		N/A		N/A	
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Request of the budget increa	ase	Every year		Local governments		N/A
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Promotion of measures to se resources and encourage pe in the area		Continuous		Local governments		N/A
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Response with domestic law ordinances, etc.	s,	N/A		N/A		N/A
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff			N/A		N/A		N/A
9	Visitor Manageme	nt						
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in	Formulation of tourism plans	Conti	inuous	Lo	cal governments		N/A

	implementation				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	No visitors' center has been established	N/A	Local governments	N/A
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	Need to strengthen monitoring by reexamining World Heritage master plans	To be determined	Local governments	N/A
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Need to strengthen monitoring by reexamining World Heritage master plans	To be determined	Local governments	N/A

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The authenticity, integrity, Outstanding Universal Value, and other cultural property values of "The Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama" have been maintained since inscription.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive

Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Property protection with local community participation In the case of "The Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama," the local community actively participates in property protection. For example, there is a residents' preservation association with a history going back 50 years that independently establishes preservation district protection policies with respect to changes in the status quo, such as pruning trees or repairing structures in the district, by holding consultations ahead of consultations and approvals by the government. In addition, local residents (including children) create new grass fields to secure the materials needed for periodic thatched roof repairs. And various companies, including local enterprises, cooperate in efforts to revitalize and preserve grass fields as a form of CSR activity. The grass grown in those fields is used to repair roofs in the village. A fire-prevention system that integrates "hard" and "soft" elements Gassho-zukuri houses are wooden structures with thatched roofs, which makes them vulnerable to fire. Consequently, residents of The Historic Villages of Shirakawa-go and Gokayama are extremely conscious of fire prevention. In gassho-zukuri villages, residents conduct fire watch patrols; patrols are conducted four times a day in some districts. As for firefighting equipment, each village is equipped with water cannon, fire alarm systems, fire extinguishers, fire cisterns, and fire pump facilities that are built partially underground out of consideration for the landscape. Fire drills are held by local residents, fire companies, and others each year without fail, and a system is in place by which residents operate water cannons whenever there is a fire.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Governance

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been explicitly considered in the process but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20/0/6

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions	×			

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

It would be easier to consider questions if you allowed us to answer all questions on the spot rather than having us consider the same questions repeatedly.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support

ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.