Medieval Monuments in Kosovo

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
De ani Monastery	42.533 / 20.267	1.801	111.644	113.445	2004
Patriarchate of Pe Monastery	42.65 / 20.25	1.534	99.805	101.339	2006
Church of the Virgin of Leviša	42.2 / 20.733	0.124	3.473	3.597	2006
Gra anica Monastery	42.583 / 21.183	1.223	12.11	13.333	2006
Total (ha)		4.682	227.032	231.714	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
De ani Monastery - property inscribed in 2004	2004	
Medieval Monuments in Kosovo - extension 2006	2006	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 1 of 53

relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Slava, celebration of family saint patron's day (2014)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 2 of 53

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Comment

Under evaluation of UNESCO's advisory bodies. Retrospective statement of OUV delivered to UNESCO in January 2022.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Wholeness: number of components	×			
3.2.2	Wholeness: locations of components	×			
3.2.3	Wholeness: architectural/building structure	×			
3.2.4	Wholeness: architectural decoration	×			
3.2.5	Wholeness: mural paintings	×			
3.2.6	Wholeness: the wider surrounding	×			
3.2.7	Materials: preservation in situ	×			
3.2.8	Materials: stone and brick	×			
3.2.9	Materials: mural paintings (fresco mortar and pigments)	×			
3.2.10	Visual-aesthetic integrity: artistic and cultural aspects of medieval epoch	×			
3.2.11	Visual-aesthetic integrity: the most impressive series of 14th century Byzantine mural paintings	×			
3.2.12	Visual-aesthetic integrity: the picturesque settings	×			
3.2.13	Authenticity: mural paintings represent richness of iconographic subjects	×			
3.2.14	Authenticity: prominent examples of late Byzantine and Romanesque art	×			
3.2.15	Authenticity: the intangible cultural heritage	×			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			-

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	The first of the f

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 3 of 53

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×		×			/

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			-

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	Y Net relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			1

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 4 of 53

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow		

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		→		

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		→		

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		→		

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 5 of 53

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow		

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
○ Negative X	×	×		×			,	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Delawart	w
Relevant	X Not relevant

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 6 of 53

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 7 of 53

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

** Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

× Relevant	ı	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	X	×			→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 8 of 53

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×	×		×		→	

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×		×	×	>		

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

※ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			→	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
o i ositive A	^		^	×		→	

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 9 of 53

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×			,	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			→		
Negative								

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×			7

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 10 of 53

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 11 of 53

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside



4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Noievant	NOT relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 12 of 53

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

≭ Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
	×		×	×			P	

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		→			
Negative									

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive									
Negative	×			×			7		

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 13 of 53

× Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶		×	×			→		
Negative X	×	×		×		⇒		

4.13.5 - Financial resources

≭ Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×					→		
○ Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow		

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

★ Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X		×		×		→			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
○ Positive ★		×		×		→			
Negative									

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development			
4.1.1 Housing			

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 14 of 53

		q	9		G	<i>P</i>
4.1.2 Commercial development						
		q	q		Œ	-
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
		q	9		Œ	<i>p</i>
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
		q	q		Œ	/
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		q	q		Œ	/
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
		q	9		Œ	→
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
		q	q		F	→
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities						
		q	9		F	→
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		q	9		F	→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
		q	9		Œ	→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
		q	9		F	→
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
		q	9		F	→
4.4.4 Air pollution						
			9		Œ	→
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		q	9		Œ	/
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		q	q	•		→
4.7.5 Dust						
		q	q		Œ	→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		q		•	Œ	S
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		q	9	•		→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	©	q	q	•	Œ	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	O	9		•	Œ	→

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 15 of 53

		9	9		G	7
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
		9	q		G	1
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9	9	•		→
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		9	9		G	7
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
			9		F	\Rightarrow
4.9.6 Civil unrest						
			q		G	\rightarrow
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9		G	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
		9		•	G	1
4.13.2 Legal framework	•	9	q		G	→
4.13.3 Governance						
		9			ઉ	7
4.13.4 Management activities	•		9	•		\rightarrow
		9	9		G	\rightarrow
4.13.5 Financial resources	•	9				\rightarrow
		9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.6 Human resources	•	9			Œ	\rightarrow
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	•		9		G	→
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	•		9		F	→
Legend ☐ Current ☐ Potential ☐ Negative ☐ Positive	Insi	de		Outside	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 16 of 53

			9	9		G	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.2 Comr	nercial development		~23	~~			
			9	9		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 17 of 53

×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	retative and visitation facilities						
			9	9		G	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend	

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 18 of 53

X Temporal s X Impact - Im	nd transport infrastructure						
			q	9		Œ	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	is arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Impact			Origin		Trend
	3		q	q		Œ	,
			•	,		3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 19 of 53

	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name 4.3.1 Water infrastructure		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water							
			9	9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 20 of 53

4.3.2 Renew	able energy facilities						
			9	q		C	→
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.3 Non-re	newable energy facilities						
			A			Œ	\rightarrow

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

		4	4	C.	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 21 of 53

	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		9	9		Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

4.3.4 Lo	.3.4 Localised utilities					
			9	9	Œ	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact	- Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 22 of 53

4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
			9	9	Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Groun	4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
			9	9		Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 23 of 53

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.3 Surra	ce water pollution		a	9		F	
			4	4		G.	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 24 of 53

4.4.4 Air pollution							
				9		C	\rightarrow
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managemer	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid v	vaste			q		G	
			-0	- 0		3	*

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

		7	7	G	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 25 of 53

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	ime		Impact			Trend
4.7.2 Relati	1.7.2 Relative humidity					
			9	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
**	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
· opo.a.	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
~	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
impact - im	Insignificant					
~	Minor					
×						
	Significant					
	Major					
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 26 of 53

۱r	101	-ρ	a	SII	na

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.5 Dust						
		A	9		(\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		4		•	(S

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 27 of 53

	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.8 MICTO	organisms		9	en.	•	
			4	9	Q	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 28 of 53

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Name		Impact			Origin		
4.8.1 Ritual	//Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow	
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
	Localised							
×	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
×	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							
Name	ty's valuing of heritage	Impact	q		Origin	Œ	Trend	
4.0.2 00010	ty 3 valuing of Heritage		9	q	9	·	_	
				U		3		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 29 of 53

	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identi	y, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
			9	9		G	1
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
remperare	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
•							
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 30 of 53

	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		O	P	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illega	4.9.1 Illegal activities						
			9	9		F	/
0 " 1							
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 31 of 53

×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delibe	erate destruction of heritage					
			_			
			4		Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor	•	4		F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted	©	9		(→
Spatial sca		•	9		(→
	Restricted		9		E	→
	Restricted Localised		9		·	→
×	Restricted Localised Extensive		q		ઉ	→
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		q		G	→
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurrence of the impact		q		G	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		q		G	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic		q		G	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		q		G	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		q		G	→ ·
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes		q			→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Grale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant		q		G	→ ·
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Gale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		q		G	→
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		q		(
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		q			
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cone off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond		q		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Grale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Intersponse - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		q			

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 32 of 53

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.6 Civil u	nrest					
			9		G	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
X Restricted						
Localised						
Extensive						
Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 33 of 53

×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)					
			9		C	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor	(a)	9		(→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted	•	4			→
	Restricted	•	4		(\$	⇒
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised	•	9		E	→
	Restricted Localised Extensive	•	9		(F	→
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		q		(→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurrence of the impact		q		4	→
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		q		F	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic		q		F	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		q		F	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread coale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		q		(F	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes		q		G	→
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread coale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		q		(F	
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going spact on the attributes		q		(F	
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant		q		G	→
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		q			
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		q			
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		q			
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond		q			
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Grale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		q			

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 34 of 53

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Localised

Extensive

Widespread

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan						
			q		•	G	/
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Opatiai Soa	Restricted						
	Localised						
•							
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	ll framework	•	9	9		G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 35 of 53

Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
×	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact -	Impact on the attributes								
•	Insignificant								
×	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Managen	nent response - Capacity of manage	ment to respond							
	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
×	Low capacity								
^	No capacity and / or resources								
Trond - D	Developement over the last 6 years								
rrena - D	Decreasing								
×	Static								
^	Increasing								
	increasing								
Name					Impac	t	Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance									
						9		Œ	-
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor								
opana. o	Restricted								
×	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
×	Intermittent or sporadic								
**	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact -	Impact on the attributes								
	Insignificant								
×	Minor								
•	Significant								
Managen	Major	nent to respond							
Managen	Major ment response - Capacity of manage	ment to respond							
Managen	Major nent response - Capacity of manage High capacity	ment to respond							
Managen	Major ment response - Capacity of manage	ment to respond							

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 36 of 53

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		npact		npact Origin			Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	•		9	•		\rightarrow		
		9	q		G	→		

		4	-1	G	_
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
Opatiai 30a					
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin		Origin	
4.13.5 Financial resources	O	9				\rightarrow
		9	A		F	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 37 of 53

Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin	⟨ '	Trend
	an resources					
4.10.0 11411			9		G.	→
4.10.0 Hum			7		্ৰ	→
	le - Area affected by the factor		e-1		G	→
			-7		G	→
	le - Area affected by the factor				G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted		-1		<u> </u>	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised					→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive				G .	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread				G .	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact		-7			
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		-7			
Spatial sca	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic		-7			
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent					
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going					
X Temporal s	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes					
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant					
X Temporal s Impact - Im	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor					
X Temporal s X	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant					
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major					
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond					

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 38 of 53

	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact	-20	Origin	~	Trend
4.13.7 LOW	impact research/monitoring activities	③	q		G	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
	impact research/monitoring activities	()	q	Origin	Œ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 39 of 53

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	ppact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Locations of components	×			
4.18.1.2	Architectural/building structure	×			
4.18.1.3	Mural paintings (fresco mortar and pigments)	×			
4.18.1.4	Materials: stone and brick	×			
4.18.1.5	The wider surrounding	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

${\bf 5.1.4}$ - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 40 of 53

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The property is owned by the Serbian Orthodox church.

The property is protected under the provisions of the Cultural Monuments and Natural Rarities Protection Law (FPRY Official Acts No81/46) and by the Cultural Property Law (Official Gazette of Serbia, No 71/94). The monuments are defined as Immobile Cultural Properties of Exceptional Importance (Official Gazette of Serbia, No25/90). This obliges the responsible institution to undertake technical measures to protect the monuments and prevent uncontrolled demolition, reconstruction, new building, sub-surface exploration, increase of the height of buildings, etc, within the defined protected zone. The institutions ultimately responsible for the implementation of the legislation are the Institute for the Protection of the Cultural Monuments and The Ministry of Culture and Public Information.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Traditional management system

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management Plan: De ani Monastery	N/A	Available	2004	
Management Plan: Patriarchate of Pe Monastery	N/A	Available	2006	
Management Plan: Church of the Virgin of Leviša	N/A	Available	2006	
Management Plan: Gra anica Monastery	N/A	Available	2006	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

 $\textbf{No}\ \textbf{use}$ has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 41 of 53

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists but few of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities		×			
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×		
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	×				
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry			×		
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries	×				
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 42 of 53

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status	×				
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood	×				
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding
- 6.1.1 If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 0 %	Total 0 %

- 6.1.2 Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above
- 6.1.3 Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?
- 6.1.4 Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?
- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?
- 7.2 Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?
- 7.3 Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?
- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor

Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Not applicable
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities

Local/municipal authorities

Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

0/0/0/0/0/

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

Landowner - The Serbian Orthodox Church

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Landowner - The Serbian Orthodox Church

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/0/0/0/0/0/

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 45 of 53

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×			
10.3.6	Capacity development	×			

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor

Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

- 10.6 Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?
- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is no effective capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
5.3.17	• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	×
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.11	There is limited cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation	
10	Monitoring	

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 47 of 53

Please select 0 more issues.

☑ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings an	d Development					
4.1.1	Housing	The wider surrounding	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	New construction and urban sprawl don't affect World Heritage criteria and attributes, but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property.
4.1.2	Commercial development	The wider surrounding	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	New construction and urban sprawl don't affect World Heritage criteria and attributes, but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property.
4.1.5	Interpretative and visitation facilities	The wider surrounding	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	Minor camping areas don't affect World Heritage criteria and attributes but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property.
4.2	Transportation	on Infrastructure					
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	The wider surrounding	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	Roads in the vicinity of the monuments don't affect World Heritage criteria and attributes but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property.
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	The wider surrounding	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	Roads in the vicinity of the monuments don't affect World Heritage criteria and attributes but do have negative impact on visual identity and setting of the property.
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.5	Solid waste	The wider surrounding	Education of local communities Spreading awareness	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	Local community does not recognize the importance of OUV.
4.8	Social/Cultur	ral uses of heritage					

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 48 of 53

4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	Authenticity: pro examples of late Byzantine and Romanesque au	e mai detr t acti with Invo edu loca Invo	dating the nagement plan and ermining new vities in coordination local authorities. olving new locational programs in al communities. Olving new scientific roach.	Gover	nmental institutions	Four years	ernmental institutions al authorities	Local community does not recognize the importance of OUV.
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	The wider surro	comr	ation of local nunities Spreading eness	Governr	mental institutions	Four years	ernmental institutions Il authorities	Local community does not recognize the importance of OUV.
4.9	Other huma	n activities							
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Wholeness: arch structure Autheni paintings represe iconographic sub	ticity: mural ent richness of	Implementation of management pla activities.		Governmental institutions	Four year	Governmental institutions Local authorities	Local community does not recognize the importance of OUV.
4.13	Managemer	t and institutiona	Il factors						
4.13.1	Management system/Manage plan		ss: number of nts, locations nents	Implementation of management plan ar activities. Education	nd	Governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	The property is inscribed on the list of the World Heritage in Danger.

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.2	Protective Measur	and the cours			
5.2	Protective Measur	Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans Better communication between the governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	The property is inscribed on the list of the World Heritage in Danger.
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of	Planning - urban planning/ implementation of existing plans Better communication between the governmental institutions	Four years	Governmental institutions Local authorities	The property is inscribed on the list of the World Heritage in Danger

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 49 of 53

	and/or Integrity of the property					
5.3	Management System	n/Management Plan				
5.3.7	No use has been ma the Policy Document the Impacts of Climat Change on World He Properties at the prop	on topic of climate changes Pre e of the plan for disaster risk ritage assessment for the property	eparation	Governmental authorities	reco	rernmental institutions are ognizing the influence of ate changes to the property.
5.3.9	No use has been ma the Strategy for Redu Risks from Disasters World Heritage Prope at the property	cing topic of reducing risks from at disasters Preparation of the	plan for	ars Governmental authorities	reco	rernmental institutions are ognizing the influence of ucing risks from disasters.
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could improved	governmental institutions	een the Four ye	ars Governmental authorities	list o	property is inscribed on the of the World Heritage in ager.
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property Outstanding Universal Value	's		ears Governmental authorities	list o	property is inscribed on the of the World Heritage in Iger.
5.3.13	The management sys at the property is onl being partially implemented			ears Governmental authorities	list o	property is inscribed on the of the World Heritage in ger.
5.3.17	In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	Better communication betwe governmental institutions.	een the Four ye	ears Governmental authorities	list o	property is inscribed on the of the World Heritage in iger.
9	Visitor Management					
	strategy to govern manage visitors, assista	communication between the immental institutions. Providing ance to the Landowners in order to immodate and manage visitors.	Four years	Governmental institu authorities	list Da vis	e property is inscribed on the of the World Heritage in nger. Incapability of organized itations of larger groups of itors.
10	Monitoring					
10.1		etter communication between the overnmental institutions.	Four years	Governmental is authorities	institutions Local	The property is inscribed on the list of the World Heritage in Danger.

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 50 of 53

of Outstanding		
Universal Value		

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?
- 12.3.4 What is the current state of the property's other values?
- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Not applicable
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Not applicable
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

In 2021, the Institute for the Protection of Cultural Monuments published a bilingual publication Gra anica, Chronicle of the Painting and Conservation Works 2010–2018 by Miroslav Stanojlovi, work manager. The printing of the publication was financed by the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Serbia. In 2022, the Institute for the Protection of Cultural Monuments and Serbian Academy of Science and Arts prepared Exhibition Gra anica, the splendor of art in the era of King Milutin in Galery of Serbian Academy of Science and Arts in Belgrade.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 51 of 53

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes
UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 40 / 40 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee Reason for update: Under evaluation of UNESCO's advisory bodies. Retrospective statement of OUV delivered to UNESCO in January 2022.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Medieval Monuments in Kosovo 53 of 53