

# Historic Centre of Siena

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Centre of Siena

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Siena	43.319 / 11.332	170	9907	10077	1995
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>170</b>	<b>9907</b>	<b>10077</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Siena, scale 1:2500	2008	
Historic Center of Siena (ortophoto map), scale 1:15000	2008	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Comune di Siena \(only in Italian\)](#)

### Comment

<https://www.comune.siena.it/node/469>

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

### 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes**

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✘
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

**2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)**

**2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?**

Not aware

**2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware**

**2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?**

Not aware

**2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.**

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

**3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

**Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

## Brief synthesis

The Historic Centre of Siena is the embodiment of a medieval city. Historically, its inhabitants pursued their competition with the neighbouring cities of Florence and Pisa right into the area of urban planning. Throughout the centuries, the city has preserved its Gothic appearance acquired between the 12th and 15th centuries. During this period, the work of Duccio, the Lorenzetti brothers and Simone Martini influenced the course of Italian and, more broadly, European art. The whole city of Siena was devised as a work of art that blends into the surrounding landscape.

This Tuscan city developed on three hills connected by three major streets forming a Y-shape and intersecting in a valley that became the Piazza del Campo. The seven-kilometre long fortified wall still surrounds the 170-hectare site. Protected gates were doubled at strategic points, such as the Porta Camollia on the road to Florence. To the west, the walls embrace the Fort of Santa Barbara that was rebuilt by the Medici in 1560 and finished in 1580. Inside the walls towerhouses, palaces, churches and other religious structures survive. Also of note are the city's fountains that continue to be fed by an extensive system of original tunnels.

Siena's distinctive Gothic style is illustrated by the quintessential Sienese arch, introduced to the city from the East during the Crusades. The arch dominated later building styles including the Renaissance era. Even when buildings underwent major renovations in the 17th, 18th and 19th centuries (such as the Town Hall, the Chigi-Saracini Palace, and the Marsili Palace respectively), Gothic elements had preference.

Siena is an outstanding medieval city that has preserved its character and quality to a remarkable degree. The city had substantial influence on art, architecture and town planning during the Middle Ages, both in Italy and elsewhere in Europe. The city is a masterwork of dedication and inventiveness in which the buildings have been designed to fit into the overall planned urban fabric and also to form a whole with the surrounding cultural landscape.

**Criterion (i):** Through its urban and architectural characteristics, the historic centre of Siena is a testimony to human creativity and expresses human artistic and aesthetic capacity in material form.

**Criterion (ii):** The strong, personal example of artistic civilization, its architecture, painting, sculpture and town planning in particular had a very strong cultural influence not merely on the whole territory of the Republic of Siena but also in Italy and Europe, especially between the 13th and 17th centuries.

**Criterion (iv):** The structure of the town and its evolution, uninterrupted over the centuries, along with a unity of design that has been preserved, has made Siena one of the most precious examples of the medieval and Renaissance Italian town.

## Integrity

The Historic Centre of Siena is delimited by its ancient ramparts constructed between the 14th and 16th centuries. These walls follow the contours of the three hills on which the city is built and continue to include their bastions, towers and gates. In addition to the walls, the property includes many other important original elements such as the fountains with their tunnels, the road network and green spaces related to the urban plan, the public buildings and the residences including palaces and towerhouses.

The Historic Centre of Siena is vulnerable to environmental pollution and intense tourist pressure, which strain city services during a few months of the year. There is also concern relating to the progressive abandonment of the historic core by local residents. Although the region was identified as earthquake-prone with a medium to low risk in 1983, current protection efforts are considered adequate.

## Authenticity

Taking into account its present-day state of conservation and its historical authenticity, it has to be said that Siena is a rare example of a medieval historic town of this size. This can be explained in part by the fact that the city did not suffer serious war damage and has been spared from modern industrial development in part because it remains outside the country's large development areas. The number of inhabitants has remained relatively low and corresponds with that of the medieval period. As a result, no large-scale urban extensions have been undertaken. The environs of the city have been subjected to only small-scale interventions, such as projects undertaken during the 19th century, which have become integral parts of its present historical authenticity. In contrast, similar activities have altered the historic fabric of other towns.

As a result of these factors, the original urban form of the city, with its 15th-century street plan, has been retained along with the Gothic design of its public buildings, palaces and towerhouses. Moreover, the function of medieval elements remains unchanged including the original vegetable gardens within the walls. Traditional activities continue in specific areas of the city as they did in the Middle Ages, as seen along Banchi di Sopra and Banchi di Sotto. These early streets were occupied by money changers and now are lined by banks.

Concern for authenticity of buildings and monuments has been identified in the removal of architectural elements that are threatened by pollution and their replacement with replicas.

## Protection and management requirements

The Historic Centre of Siena contains a variety of buildings under public, private and Church ownership. The property covers 107 hectares and is defined by the ancient city walls, which provide a clear boundary. The site is surrounded by a buffer zone of 9,907 hectares extending into the territory of the municipality.

Since 1931, Siena has adopted "modern" urban planning tools. Today, State and Municipal authorities are carrying out an active and continuous conservation and restoration policy. Recently, implementation tools for the municipal planning and relevant regulations, which introduce the definition of the old city "UNESCO Site", have been approved. These tools recognize the need to maintain the city's role as a representative place in terms of local identity. The historical centre is the focus of local identity, representing the economic activities and social expression of the community. The urban planning tools followed over time have maintained these functions while continuing to ensure the historical relationship of the walled city with the surrounding agricultural context.

The entire historic centre is subject to "Decreto Legislativo 42/2004, Codice dei beni culturali e del paesaggio", the national Law for protection of cultural heritage. Individual monuments are subject to a safeguarding measure which ensures any activity on the site must be authorized by the relevant *Soprintendenze* (peripheral offices of the Ministry for Cultural Heritage and Activities). While the specific intervention authorizations are granted by the local authority, the role of the *Soprintendenze* is to ensure overall control. Under this regulation, the *Soprintendenze* can deny proposed modifications for conservation reasons and restrict interventions.

Another legislative limitation defined by the national law further protects the entire historic centre through the safeguarding of landscape. In addition, a series of more specific regulations and preservation plans regulate areas of building activity and commerce, and provide for a limited traffic zone with electronic control of access.

The Municipality of Siena approved its first management plan in May 2011 defining the management system. In particular, the plan defines how the goals are to be promoted and executed. Due to the articulation of the activities to be undertaken and the variety of critical issues to be resolved, a specific operational interdisciplinary structure (UNESCO office) will be created as a permanent unit devoted to coordination of all public and private bodies concerned with the actions of protection, conservation and enhancement of the Historic Centre of Siena. Strategic objectives, identified to meet the critical issues raised in the management plan, were transformed into five specific actions. A review has been undertaken of the type of communication relating to the values of the World Heritage property using an approach that integrates traditional techniques with contemporary approaches and tools. City parks are enhanced through a re-evaluation of public gardens to maintain the ancient relationship between the walled city and its surrounding green valleys. Studies and research have focused on both public and private heritage in order to optimize conservation practice. Additionally, the process of implementing further traffic regulation has included a parking system inside and outside the walls (already a limited traffic zone), with a policy to reduce and control vehicular access and provide a pedestrian and cycling plan for of the Historic Centre of Siena.

Activities that will increase tourist visitation to the World Heritage site have been optimized in accordance with the principles of sustainable development by implementing a management system to regulate the number of tourists.

Finally, with the unique support from "contrade", the current urban planning safeguards the social and cultural aspects of the city through the promotion of a policy to recover the traditional residential use of buildings within the old town.

**3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).**

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	maintenance of city walls	✘			
3.2.2	urban fabric	✘			
3.2.3	green valleys inside and surrounding the walls and continuity with the surrounding rural landscape	✘			
3.2.4	conservation and protection of public and private heritage	✘			
3.2.5	regulation of vehicular traffic within the walls with identification of prohibited areas	✘			
3.2.6	historic water supply and related distribution system (	✘			
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

**3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

**4. Factors Affecting the Property**

**4.1. Buildings and Development**

**4.1.1 - Housing**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✘ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.1.2 - Commercial development**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✘ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.1.3 - Industrial areas**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✘ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✘ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	🔔 Current	🔔 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive ✘	✘		✘				
🔴 Negative							

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

##### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

##### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

##### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.5. Biological resource use/modification**

**4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.2 - Aquaculture**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.3 - Land conversion**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.5 - Crop production**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.8 - Commercial hunting**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.6. Physical resource extraction

**4.6.1 - Mining**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.6.2 - Quarrying**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.6.3 - Oil and gas**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.6.4 - Water (extraction)**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

**4.7.1 - Wind**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.7.2 - Relative humidity**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.7.3 - Temperature**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.7.4 - Radiation/Light**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.7.5 - Dust**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant

**4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

Not relevant



#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Negative							

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing

Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative <input type="checkbox"/>							

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant			<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current	<input type="checkbox"/> Potential	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Inside	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Outside	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Decreasing	<input type="checkbox"/> Stable	<input type="checkbox"/> Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

##### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
-----------------------------------	--

#### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
--	---------------------------------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species**

**4.12.1 - Translocated species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.12.6 - Modified genetic material**

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

**4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

**4.13. Management and institutional factors**

**4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

**4.13.2 - Legal framework**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>					
Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (14/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development			
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure			

4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	+	⚠		+			
<b>4.2 Transportation Infrastructure</b>							
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	+	⚠			↻		
<b>4.3 Services Infrastructures</b>							
4.3.4 Localised utilities		⚠		+			
<b>4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric</b>							
4.7.8 Micro-organisms		⚠		+	↻	→	
<b>4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage</b>							
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	+	⚠		+	↻	→	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	+	⚠	⚠	+	↻	↗	
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	+	⚠		+			
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation		⚠			↻		
<b>4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events</b>							
4.11.2 Earthquake			⚠	+			
<b>4.13 Management and institutional factors</b>							
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	+		⚠	+			
4.13.2 Legal framework	+	⚠	⚠	+			
4.13.3 Governance	+		⚠	+			
4.13.4 Management activities	+	⚠		+			
4.13.5 Financial resources	+		⚠				
<b>Legend</b>	⚠ Current	⚠ Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	⊙ Inside	↻ Outside	

#### 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

##### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

###### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	+	⚠	+




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing



### 4.3 Services Infrastructures






Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static						
	Increasing						

### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare						








	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	 	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✘	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	  	




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources



Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
---	--

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
X	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
X	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
X	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
X	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
X	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
X	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
X	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
X	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

##### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
	Significant
	Major

##### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

##### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
	Increasing





#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan			

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor




	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised

	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✘	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✘	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✘	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	 		




<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised

	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✘	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✘	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	+		📢			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✘	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✘	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✘	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity



✘	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✘	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	maintenance of city walls	✘			
4.18.1.2	urban fabric	✘			
4.18.1.3	conservation and protection of public and private heritage	✘			
4.18.1.4	regulation of vehicular traffic within the walls with identification of prohibited areas	✘			
4.18.1.5	historic water supply and related distribution system	✘			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?**

The boundaries are **known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

**5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

**5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

**5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).**

Siena has adopted "modern" urban planning tools since 1931, starting with the town plan promoted by the Podestà Bargagli Petrucci. Today the development and protection of the historic center of Siena is entrusted to various town planning tools on different scales. The Structural Plan for the municipal territory and relative town planning regulations are in preparation in order to adapt existing norms and conservation restrictions, outdated by now, to current needs. The entire historic center is subjected to national conservation restrictions under law no. 1497/39 by ministerial decree of 25/03/1965 and is largely subject also to the restrictions on monuments formerly governed by Law 1089/39 (now Legislative Decree no. 42/04).

Also in force in the historic center are a series of more specific regulations and preservation plans to answer to particular aims:

- General Urban Plan approved in 2011: regulates building activity in the municipal territory, identifies new buildable sites, areas where no building is allowed, and the existing buildings to be protected, preserved, and maintained. These rules have remained faithful to the security level of the site, as in previous regulatory instruments (General Plan and the Plan for the distribution and localization of functions).

- Plan for the distribution and localization of functions: regulates changes in use within the historic center, maintaining the balance between areas destined to commercial, residential, and artisan activities

- Color plan: establishes the colors that can be used on facades and their architectural style, traceable to a precise architectural period, and governs the restoration of the forms, decorations, and colors

- Plan for the installation of prefabricated kiosks: regulates the installation of prefabricated structures to be used for commercial activities, such as newspaper vendors, flower vendors, and small coffee bars in the public spaces of the city.

- Plans for the rationalization of commerce both in shops and sidewalk vendors: these regulate commercial activities on public and private property

- Plan for the management of structures for publicity and advertising: identifies surfaces where advertising is possible either by means of posters or billboards, and

for posting official notices

- Regulation of limited-traffic zone (ZTL): identifies the streets in the historic center where motor traffic is to be limited or prohibited
- Regulation of solid waste management: governs the collection and disposal of solid waste and recycling, identifies holding areas, and, especially for the historic center, regulates the aesthetic aspect of waste containers
- Regulations for the installation of antennas: regulates the installation of antennas on roofs in the city; the fiber-optic cables laid under the streets have virtually eliminated television antennas from roofs in the historic center
- Acoustic classification plan: protects certain areas of the municipal territory from the risk of noise pollution resulting from particularly noisy activities
- Electromagnetic monitoring: constantly evaluates the amount of electromagnetic emissions near transmitters of mobile telephone signals

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1 (2001-2006), Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

## Comment

Today the city of Siena has a new Operational Plan approved with D.C.C. no. 216 of 11/25/2021 and published in BURT no. 52 of 12/28/2021

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2021 / Operational Plan approval / D.C.C. no. 216 of 11/25/2021 and published in BURT no. 52 of 12/28/2021 / approved with D.C.C. no. 216 of 11/25/2021 / <https://www.comune.siena.it/node/422#:~:text=Il%20vigente%20Piano%20Operativo%20C3%A8,del%2028%2F12%2F2021.>

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

attualmente esiste un Piano Operativo approvato a novembre 2021 e un regolamento edilizio. Il Piano di Gestione è in corso di formalizzazione

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

## 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Private ownership/management

If 'Other', please specify

Operational plan

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property


Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

Piano Operativo, regolamento edilizio e piano gestione in corso di formalizzazione

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
The Management Plan 2015-2018 Summary	N/A	Available	2015	

## Comment

the management plan for the next few years, as the previous one has expired, is being formalised

### 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

**Some use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

Regulations in place through urban planning tools for the protection and conservation of places as well as environmental redevelopment

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

**Some use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

### 5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

### 5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

**Some use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

### 5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists but few of its activities are being implemented**

### 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✗		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women			✗		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

### 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✗	
5.3.16.5	Women					✗
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					✗

5.3.16.7	Researchers					X	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					X	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					X	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry	X					
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				X		
5.3.16.12	NGOs	X					
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		X				
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify		no other specific groups					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention**

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				X	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				X	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				X	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				X	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				X	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				X	

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

Molte osservazioni, riflessioni e valutazioni sono state espresse con il Piano Operativo da poco approvato. Per quanto riguarda il Piano di Gestione, questo è ancora in corso di formalizzazione ed essendo stato in gran parte redatto in periodo di emergenza sanitaria, richiede al momento di essere rivisto in alcune indicazioni

**6. Financial and Human Resources**

**6.1. Funding**

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	80 %	20 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	20 %	80 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

There is **no budget** for the effective management of the World Heritage property **despite an identified need**

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

a certain strategy was used in the context of the tourist flow and the services that can be offered to the tourist. All this has led to the certification of the city of Siena as a sustainable tourist city

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

what is meant by scientific studies and programs refers in part to the "land management" regulations that pertain to the Contrade themselves, which play a fundamental role in the area. Other programs are those of traffic, commerce, with the identification and correct distribution of activities of various kinds, and tourism, the study of which has led to the certification of Siena as a sustainable city from the point of view of tourism.

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

**8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?**

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

**8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?**

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Women
Youth/children

Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

**8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building**

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

**8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

during this year, two projects were developed for middle and high school students in order to familiarize and raise awareness of the theme of "Siena as a UNESCO city". This will be followed by workshops with middle school students to further raise awareness

**9. Visitor Management**

**9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report**

172.115 / 214.773 / 558.375 / 358.150 / 347.623 /

**9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?**

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

**9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?**

One day (no overnight stay)

**9.4 - Please provide the source of information**

the Data was provided by the accommodation facilities, currently being formalized and by other structures used by the Municipality, as well as the research carried out on sustainable tourism.

**9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)**

86,19\$ / 32,31\$ / 10,77\$ /

**9.6 - Please provide the source of information**

**9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?**

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

**9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7**

There have been strategies from the point of view of transport and use with regulation of the tourist flow for access to the various historic buildings in the city, including the study on the tourist flow which we have mentioned in the previous fact sheets.

**9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

**9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?**

Yes, using a different system

**If a different system, please specify**

Following the certification recognized in Siena as a sustainable tourist city, annual reviews are planned ufficio turismo del comune

**9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the**

### Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **limited cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to **present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

Not displayed at all

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**Fees are collected**, and make **some contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

cycle paths urban trekking

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

economic and cultural return

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

#### 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable monitoring** but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

the indicators are concentrated in the offices of the municipal administration (commerce, traffic, urban planning, tourism, culture....) which, through the annual assessments that are carried out and from which the Unesco Office of the Municipality draws, it is possible to verify the general state of the conservation and use of the property.

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair



Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

the survey carried out at the tourism level which led Siena to be certified as a sustainable city for tourism, had importance for the general examination which was carried out on various aspects concerning the use of the property

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Given the high value of the asset, which in the case of the city of Siena concerns the entire area within the city walls, it is necessary to continue the process of raising awareness among the citizens themselves, also starting from the schools as the municipal administration is already doing

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	<b>Boundaries and Buffer Zones</b>	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property <b>are known</b> by the management <b>authority but are not known</b> by local communities/landowners	
5.3	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>	
5.3.5	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	✗
5.3.12	The management system/plan is <b>only partially adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>	✗
5.3.14	An annual work/action plan <b>exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented</b>	
6.1	<b>Funding</b>	
6.1.3	There is <b>no budget</b> for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need	
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are <b>not secure</b>	✗
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	✗
6.1.10	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
7	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>	
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	✗
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.7	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	✗
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	
9.11	There is <b>limited cooperation</b> between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry <b>to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation</b>	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	✗
10	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✗
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>	

Please select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.3 Services Infrastructures							
4.3.4	Localised utilities	greater efficiency	monitoring to resolve critical issues	in progress	one year	municipal administration and other competent bodies (accommodation establishments, refreshment points, means of transport...)	need to coordinate the various entities with each other
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric							
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	masonry and works of art	restoration interventions	in progress	referred to the problems encountered during the year	municipal supervision and administration	constant dialogue between stakeholders is needed
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage							
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	congestion in some areas and periods	create and think of alternative tourist routes that allow for a more homogeneous and content flow of tourists	evaluation of the data that are provided by the various structures	in progress	municipal administration and other cultural bodies or associations	necessary meetings between the municipal administration and various other bodies and associations to find the right strategies
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events							
4.11.2	Earthquake	risk of damaging the authenticity of the heritage	there are detection systems	there are no particular monitoring	in progress	seismograph body	the characteristics of the city are such, being based on a land defined as tuffaceous and thanks to the characteristics the earthquakes have not currently generated disasters, the tremors are absorbed by the characteristics of the land

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3 Management System/Management Plan					
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	air analyzes are carried out to prevent pollution that could damage the monuments	annual	municipal administration and other bodies in charge	a synergy between the parties involved in atmospheric protection is needed
5.3.9	<b>Some use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	the regulations introduced in the operational plan manage the assets, protecting them from interventions that could put the asset at risk	it is in progress and alert to changes when necessary	Municipal administration	continuous attention is required for any changes

5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	there is coordination between the municipal administration and the superintendence	there are ongoing arguments between the administration and the superintendency to be defined for the protection of the historical heritage	municipal administration and supervision	maintain a continuous and open dialogue between administration and superintendency
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>	the three-year management plan is underway	two years	Municipal administration	constant attention from various sectors on this issue
6.1	<b>Funding</b>				
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are <b>not secure</b>	a concrete annual income must be ensured	one year	municipal administration financial part	it is important to ensure a sum on which you can always rely every year to manage the necessary interventions without interruption
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	it is necessary to implement the personnel of the municipal administration for a correct and continuous management of the heritage, currently insufficient to follow and comply with all the initiatives related to the Heritage	one year	municipal administration	this situation becomes urgent given the high commitments that asset management includes
7	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>				
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	greater involvement of cultural institutions	two years	municipal administration, university, cultural associations	necessary open dialogue between the institutions
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>				
9.7	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	there are projects and programs that manage the tourist flow, but it is also necessary to develop new routes within the city so as to decongest the tourist flow in certain places and at certain times, which becomes excessive	two years	municipal administration and the associations of tourist guides as well as the bodies responsible for the various cultural places visited by tourists	an open dialogue between all the bodies in charge of this management is essential in order to find points of contact on which to start in order to formulate a correct strategy
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	it is important to work on the awareness of living in a world heritage site, to protect the conservation and respect of the place, as well as its growth	in progress	municipal administration but any other body that is involved in education, culture, etc.	the awareness of the place by the citizens themselves leads them to have a greater sense of belonging necessary for the conservation and protection and its development
10	<b>Monitoring</b>				

10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	there is monitoring of various sectors which is therefore somewhat fragmented	During the years	municipal administration and other bodies or companies in charge of it	greater dialogue is deemed necessary in order to combine synergies
------	--	---	------------------	--	--

Summary - Management Needs completed

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

#### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

#### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

#### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

#### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

in general, the heritage is well maintained, it is just a matter of improving some aspects and also adapting the use of the heritage to the various needs without altering its characteristics and continuing to protect it well

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Not applicable
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable

If 'Other', please specify

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Attention to the historic real estate heritage both through urban planning tools such as the Operational Plan, Landscape Constraints that protect, the Color Plan and the assessments that are being implemented with the Management Plan being reviewed to be formalized

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development

Synergies

State of Conservation

Management

Governance

Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

#### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party

Good

Site Managers

Good

UNESCO World Heritage Centre

Good

Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)

Good

### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Advocacy

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

They give the opportunity to pay more attention to the problems and still evaluate the current situation

### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

#### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

#### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

**15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?**

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		X
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	X	

**15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report****15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

Not all required information was accessible.

**15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			X	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		X		

**15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire****15.5. Training and Guidance****15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

**15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Poor
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?****15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee****15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

No item were proposed for update

**15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise****15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**