

# Historic Centre of Zacatecas

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Centre of Zacatecas

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of Zacatecas	22.775 / -102.574	207.72	109.15	316.87	1993
Total (ha)		207.72	109.15	316.87	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Zacatecas - Map of World Heritage property	2013	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

**2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.**

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

**2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

**2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?**

Not applicable

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

<b>2.7.1</b>	<b>1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.2</b>	<b>Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.3</b>	<b>Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.4</b>	<b>Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
<b>2.7.5</b>	<b>UNESCO Global Geoparks</b>	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

The cultural property is not located in a zone of armed conflict; Being a historic urban center, it does not fall within the course of the Ramsar Convention, The Man and the Biosphere Program and UNESCO Global Geoparks.

## 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

## 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

None

## 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

## 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

"Primer Libro de Actas de Cabildo de la Ciudad de Zacatecas 1557-1586."

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief Synthesis

The Historic Centre of Zacatecas, located in the south central part of the state of Zacatecas, between the Bufa and Grillo hills was founded in 1546 after the discovery of a rich silver lode, Zacatecas reached the height of its prosperity in the 16th and 17th centuries. Built on the steep slopes of a narrow valley, the town has many historic buildings, both religious and civil. With Guanajuato, Zacatecas is among the most important mining towns of New Spain. It was a major centre of silver production, and also of colonization, evangelization and cultural expansion. The townscape of the ancient centre is moulded to the topography of the steep valley in which it is situated and is of outstanding beauty. The Historic Centre of Zacatecas has almost completely preserved of the urban design in the sixteenth century, taken as a basis for further development in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. The peculiar and representative architecture of the 16th and 19th century make the city a clear hierarchy among the major work by volume and modest buildings.

The historic area comprises 15 religious complexes, mainly of the 17th and 18th centuries, among them the convents of San Juan de Dios, San Francisco, San Agustín and Santo Domingo. The cathedral (1730-60) is a highly decorated Baroque structure with exceptional facades and other features that reflect the absorption of indigenous ideas and techniques into Roman Catholic iconography. The Jesuit church of Santo Domingo has a quiet beauty which contrasts with the Baroque flamboyance of the college alongside it. Its massive dome and towers provide a counterpoint to the nearby cathedral. It now houses a new Fine Art Museum.

Important secular buildings include the 18th-century Mala Noche Palace, the Calderón Theatre of 1834, the iron-framed Gonzalez Market of 1886, and the pink stone Governor's Residence. Quarters, named after trades or local topography, contain fine examples of humbler urban architecture from the 17th century onwards.

The Historic Centre of Zacatecas is a typical model of urbanization based on the irregular topography of a narrow glen. Today, the city of Zacatecas retains a wealth documentary that illustrates a significant stage in the history of Mexico and humanity as well, as monumental architectural styles that blend together, achieving an exceptional value.

**Criterion (ii)** : Zacatecas was one of the principal centres of silver mining from the early Spanish period until the 20th century and its architecture and layout reflect its economic importance and the resultant cultural flourishing which influenced developments in these fields in central and North America.

**Criterion (iv)** : Zacatecas is an outstanding example of a European colonial settlement that is perfectly adapted to the constraints imposed by the topography of a metalliferous mountain range.

#### Integrity

The inscribed property has an area of 110 ha. In general, the morphology of the urban trace of the historical centre has not significantly changed. The property includes all the component to illustrate the variety and diversity of its buildings and physical components of its natural environment that convey its Outstanding Universal Value. Some sectors are vulnerable given the inadequate control of development, particularly in regard to new construction which alters the landscape settings and erodes the physical fabric of the property. The protection and management of the property must address these conditions holistically to ensure the conservation of historic buildings, of the original urban structure and of the cultural and historical memory.

#### Authenticity

The original street pattern of the town has been preserved intact and, because of the economic decline over much of the 20th century, there have been very few modern interventions among the buildings. Development has been controlled to a certain extent and restoration work has followed high standards and been closely supervised by the Federal, State and Municipal bodies.

Today, the Historic Centre of Zacatecas currently stands out for its magnificent architectural buildings as well as the trace of its streets and squares.

#### Protection and management requirements

The public and religious buildings are in Federal Government ownership; of the remainder some belong to the State of Zacatecas or to the municipality of Zacatecas and others are in private ownership. The main protection comes from the Federal Law on Monuments and Archaeological, Historic and Artistic Zones of 1972. The Historic Zone of Zacatecas is under the control of the State Government by Law no 60 (1987), Law on the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Typical States of Zacatecas, which comes into force in 1965 and in 2007 published the Partial Program Rules of the Historic Centre of Zacatecas.

The conservation, supervision and management systems for most of the components are adequate and the supervisory role of INAH, together with the Junta de Monumentos and the Ayuntamiento (Federal, State and Local Authorities) is appropriate. They cooperate together in a Management Plan for this site.

**3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).**

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Integridad	✗			
3.2.2	Autenticidad	✗			
3.2.3	Traza Urbana	✗			
3.2.4	Relación con el contexto natural	✗			
3.2.5	Topografía	✗			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Exception Universal Value that was taken into account by the World Heritage Committee is preserved.

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✕	✕			✕			↗

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
Negative							

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The privatization of public space by the tourism business sector, who use the main roads of the Historic Center as bases of operation of the services they offer, such as stations for minibuses, kiosks for the sale of tours, promotional advertisements, etc., has been overwhelmed. thereby affecting both the quality of the urban image and vehicular and pedestrian mobility.

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✕		✕	✕				↗

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

none

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕				↗

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕				↗

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔨 Potential	📍 Inside	🌐 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing

Positive							
Negative							

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The lack of maintenance of the underground vault in the historic center of Zacatecas may be a risk factor for the stability of the site.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative							

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							

⊖ Negative ✕

✕

✕

➡

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Currently the historic center of Zacatecas in its underground vaults are found with contaminated water, regarding air pollution is affected by public transport, solid waste such as garbage collection is insufficient.

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

none

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The explosions carried out by the mining companies that are adjacent to the site cause great vibrations that can put at risk the buildings that have some structural problem,

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant



Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In recent years, gusts of wind have increased and temperature changes have increased in recent years. Climate change is evident on the site, being a city with cold weather most of the year, which could be a factor of risk for the conservation of relevant historical monuments.

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):


- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
 Negative							

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant











Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively


In some areas of the polygon of the core area of the historic center, the daily installation of informal commerce begins to overflow, the depopulation of the site causes the abandonment of the buildings that were used as homes, and are currently used for commerce and especially for bars, restaurants, sale of non-traditional items, the installation of international brand trades not typical of the cultural activity of the site.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):



- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The site is sometimes vandalized by sporadic events such as marches, protests, etc., sometimes they generate graffiti that is later removed. fires occur sporadically on the cerro de la bufa which is part of the context and are sometimes provoked. Insecurity issues have increased in recent years.

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕				📈

##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕				📈

##### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):




- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕	✕				

#### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The climate change that the site is currently suffering may be a risk factor for its conservation.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

none

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------











#### 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

none

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors











#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕	✕				











#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕				
 Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		✕				

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕			→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕		✕			→	

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕		✕	✕			→	

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Currently the site does not have a management plan, however there is a sufficient regulatory framework at the federal, state and municipal levels for technical and legal protection.

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)















































##### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

##### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
	➖	🔥		📍	↗	↗



4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						

						
4.10.2 Flooding						
						
4.10.6 Temperature change						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
						
<b>Legend</b>  Current  Potential  Negative  Positive  Inside  Outside						

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing							
							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
✕	Minor						



	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	<div>+</div> <div>!</div>	<div>+</div>	<div>→</div>

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing





## 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure			




  

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going



Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.4 Localised utilities			
			



Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.5 Major linear utilities			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static

✕	Increasing
---	------------

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution			
	<div>⊖</div> <div>📌</div>	<div>⊕</div>	<div>↗</div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste			
	<div>⊖</div> <div>📌</div>	<div>⊕</div>	<div>↗</div>





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing





#### 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing

	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	 		

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

##### Impact - Impact on the attributes


✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

##### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

##### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
						

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor






	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
--	-----------------





	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community			
			

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes



	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation			
			

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor







	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
--	-----------------




	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

## 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread




Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources




Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

## 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
						




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change			
			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread




<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources





<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan			
			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	 		

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance						
	⊖	🚩		🕒		➡

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing





Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	⊕	🚩		🕒		➡

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive







	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						
						


<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive

	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

none

#### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Authenticity	✗			
4.18.1.2	Integrity	✗			
4.18.1.3	urban layout	✗			
4.18.1.4	topography	✗			
4.18.1.5	natural context relationship	✗			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

**5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The **boundaries are inadequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value because **some attributes** of the property **are outside the boundary**

**5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?**

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

**5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

**Inadequacies** in the buffer zones **make it difficult** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?**

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

**5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property**

Both the natural context and the Cerro de la Bufa and Cerro del Grillo are not part of the core zone or buffer zones; so they limit the protection of the site.

## 5.2. Protective Measures

### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

La principale protection est celle que donnent la loi fédérale de 1972 sur les monuments et les zones archéologiques, historiques et artistiques ainsi que le règlement du 8.12.1975. Conformément à la loi n° 60 du 15.4.87, la zone historique de Zacatecas est placée sous le contrôle du gouvernement d'Etat avec l'Institut National d'Anthropologie et d'Histoire (INAH). Conformément aux dispositions du Plan de Développement Urbain de Zacatecas (1965), l'Etat de Zacatecas est chargé d'assurer la protection du centre historique. La partie est de la colline de La Bufa est une réserve nationale naturelle et écologique qui fournit à ce centre une zone tampon partielle.

Source: Evaluation des Organisations consultatives

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1972 / Federal Law on archaeological, artistic and historical monuments and zones / yes / yes / non / yes / non / 2004 /
GENERAL LAW OF NATIONAL ASSETS / yes / yes / non / yes / non / 2016 / GENERAL LAW OF HUMAN SETTLEMENTS, TERRITORIAL PLANNING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT /
yes / yes / non / yes / non / 1975 / REGULATION OF THE FEDERAL LAW ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL, ARTISTIC AND HISTORICAL MONUMENTS AND AREAS / yes /
yes / non / yes / non / 1939 / ORGANIC LAW OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ANTHROPOLOGY AND HISTORY / yes / yes /
non / yes / non / 2021 / REGULATION of the Organic Law of the National Institute of Anthropology and History. / yes / yes / non /
yes / non / 1972 / Convention on the Protection of the world cultural and natural heritage / yes / yes / non / yes /
non / 1998 / Political Constitution of the free and sovereign state of Zacatecas / yes / yes / non / yes / non /
2014 / State Law for the Protection and Conservation of the Cultural Heritage of the State of Zacatecas / yes / yes / non / yes / non / 2019 /
Territorial and Urban Code for the State of Zacatecas and its Municipalities / yes / yes / non / yes / non / 2016 / Construction Law for the State and Municipalities of Zacatecas /
yes / yes / non / yes / non / 2017 / General Regulation of Construction Law for the State and Municipalities of Zacatecas / yes /
yes / non / yes / non / 2016 / Regional Land Management Programs, South, North, West, Southeast, Northwest, South, Southwest and South Center. / yes / yes /
non / yes / non / 2007 / Regulation of the Partial Program of the historic center of Zacatecas / yes / yes / non /
yes / non / 1994 / Urban Image Regulation of the city of Zacatecas / yes / yes / non / yes /
non / 2018 / Construction Regulations for the municipality of Zacatecas / yes / yes / non / yes / non /

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There is currently a sufficient regulatory framework for the protection of the site, however the lack of coordination between institutions weakens its application.

### 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

In order to have a comprehensive protection of the site and its context, it is necessary to update the polygonal protection, which includes the hill of Bufa and the hill of Grillo, in addition to expanding the buffer zones.

## 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

### 5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

The site currently has an agreement, a collaboration framework between the Inah and the Government of the State of Zacatecas for the protection and conservation of Cultural Heritage.

**5.3.4 - Management Documents****5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

**No use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

None

**5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:**

none

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

none

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **little coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

**No** annual work/action plan exists **despite an identified need**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		×			
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities			×		
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples			×		

5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers			×		
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists	×				
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists	×				
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry	×				
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

**5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above**

Currently working on an inclusion of all people, regardless of gender, social status, origin, religion or other.

**5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan**

Inter-institutional coordination is required to guarantee the coordination of the property.

## 6. Financial and Human Resources

### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	50 %	50 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	25 %	25 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	25 %	25 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %

**6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above**

Through different inter-institutional programs, the conservation of the site is sought.

**6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?**

The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

**6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?**

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

**6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure**

Through the Congress of the Union, a program has been implemented to address world heritage cities, however it is insufficient for their comprehensive conservation.

**6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.**

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	40 %
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	60 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

**6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?**

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

**6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

none

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is no **site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

no comment

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects****7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount of research, but it is not planned**

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research **results are shared** with local communities and partners **but there is no active outreach** to national or international agencies

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

It is necessary to have permanent research programs for the protection of the property.

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building****8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Poor
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Non-existent
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

**8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?**

There is **no education and awareness programme** for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

**8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?**

Local/municipal authorities
-----------------------------

**8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building**

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Fair



Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Not needed
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

## 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Es necesario realizar campañas de difusión intensivas para la educación y sensibilización del patrimonio cultural.

## 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

350000 / 677112 / 635239 / 681338 / 653332 /

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

<https://www.datatur.sectur.gob.mx/SitePages/CompendioEstadistico.aspx>

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

<https://www.datatur.sectur.gob.mx/SitePages/CompendioEstadistico.aspx>

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

50 / 30 / 20 / 10 / 10 / 20 /

### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas y <https://www.datatur.sectur.gob.mx/SitePages/CompendioEstadistico.aspx>

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There **is a strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

In local governance, the tourism secretariat has a management system

### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There **is contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this **is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property **is acceptable but improvements could be made**

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

**No fees** are collected

### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

**9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property**

Currently the site does not have an adequate management system where the heritage and tourism benefit.

**10. Monitoring**

**10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

**10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?**

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators**, but this has not been done

**10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?**

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		✗		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		✗		
10.3.3	Character of governance		✗		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		✗		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		✗		
10.3.6	Capacity development		✗		

**10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property**

Currently there are no related indicators, although they are very necessary.

**10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:**

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Non-existent
Local/municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Non-existent
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

**10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?**

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

**10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.**

**10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring**

**11. Identification of Priority Management Needs**

**11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs**

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.1	Inadequacies in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value since <b>some attributes of the property are outside the boundary</b>	✗

5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property <b>are known</b> by the management <b>authority but are not known</b> by local communities/landowners	✗
5.1.3	<b>Inadequacies</b> in the buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value</b>	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property <b>are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners</b>	✗
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Protective Measures</b>	
5.2.3	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	✗
5.2.4	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	✗
5.2.5	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Management System/Management Plan</b>	
5.3.5	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✗
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	✗
5.3.11	There is <b>little coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	✗
5.3.12	The management system/plan is <b>only partially adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>	
5.3.14	There is <b>no existing annual work/action plan</b> for the property despite an identified need	✗
5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status</b></li> <li>• In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>integrate a human rights-based approach</b></li> <li>• In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood</b></li> <li>• In a <b>limited manner</b>, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property</b></li> </ul>	
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Funding</b>	
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is inadequate</b> for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources <b>are inadequate</b> for the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is <b>no site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
<b>7</b>	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>	
7.2	There is a <b>small amount of research</b> in the World Heritage property <b>but it is not planned</b>	
7.3	Research <b>results are shared</b> with local communities and partners <b>but there is no active outreach</b> to national or international agencies	
<b>8</b>	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>	
8.2	There is <b>no heritage education and awareness programme</b> for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	
<b>9</b>	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.7	There is a <b>strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but <b>improvements could be made</b>	
9.11	There <b>is contact</b> but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this <b>is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters</b>	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	
<b>10</b>	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is a <b>small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is <b>sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done</b>	

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	The transport infrastructure can have a negative impact since the transport that currently transits both in the core zone and in the buffer zone is obsolete and heavy transport that generates vibrations that can affect it.	The Governance intends to carry out a transport program in accordance with the current needs of the site (urban mobility, inclusive transport, etc.)	there is poor supervision by the governance for this item	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	It was possible to contain the visual impact towards the core area with the cable car renovation project
4.3	Services Infrastructures						
4.3.5		Major linear utilities					
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.2	Ground water pollution	Currently, the lack of maintenance of the underground vaulting causes dampness in the subsoil, which affects the foundations of the buildings.	the local authority has a long-term project for the rehabilitation of the underground vault	there is poor supervision by the governance for this item	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	the lack of economic resources for the maintenance of the vault has caused sinkholes in the subsoil of the historic center.
4.4.4		Air pollution					
4.4.5		Solid waste					
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1		Wind					
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	the recovery of the traditional character, the speculation of land use, the tourist vocation that has been adopted in recent years, the adoption of strange patterns of culture have been gradually modifying the traditional spirit	In recent years, the traditional character of the property has been gradually modified.	there is poor supervision by the governance for this item	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	The local authority should take into account the cultural value of the site for the issuance of certificates of land use
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	The new patterns that are being adopted on the site can modify the traditional spirit of the city.	In recent years, the traditional character of the property has been gradually modified.	there is poor supervision by the governance for this item	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	The appropriation of the site by its communities and visitors is important

4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	The overflow of informal trade has caused the depopulation and abandonment of the site	They are currently used for trade. and especially for bars, restaurants, sale of non-traditional items, the installation of international brand shops not typical of the cultural activity of the site	there is poor supervision by the governance for this item	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	The depopulation of the historic center has caused the historic estates to be used for bars, or other uses not suitable for the site.
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	Currently, tourism is not regulated by the competent authority, so there is not enough infrastructure.	In recent years, tour operators have appropriated public space for the placement of turbuses and inadequate furniture, demeriting the quality of its inhabitants and the urban image.	there is poor supervision by the governance for this item	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	the regularization of the use of public spaces is required for tour operators for the benefit of the community and tourists.

Question not completed

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.1	Inadequacies in the boundaries make it difficult to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value since some attributes of the property are outside the boundary	The Natural Context is outside the core zone and the buffer zone, for which the regional land use planning program was implemented.	since 2016, planning strategies have been implemented to regulate the use of land in the limits	Local Governance	updating of the 1993 traverse is required to include the immediate natural area to the historic center
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	Awareness workshops have been implemented for the communities to publicize the Outstanding Universal Value	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	The authorities need to implement new community participation strategies
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	Awareness workshops have been implemented for the communities to publicize the Outstanding Universal Value	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Local Governance	The authorities need to implement new community participation strategies
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Coordination strategies are being implemented between federal state and municipal authorities for the application of the legal framework	Coordination strategies are currently being carried out between authorities	Inah, junta de monumentos, y H. Ayuntamiento.	Interinstitutional coordination is required to guarantee the conservation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the site.

5.2.4	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	Coordination strategies are being implemented between federal state and municipal authorities for the application of the legal framework	Coordination strategies are currently being carried out between authorities	Inah, junta de monumentos, y H. Ayuntamiento.	Interinstitutional coordination is required to guarantee the conservation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the site.
-------	--	--	---	---	---

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.5	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Currently no actions have been implemented in this area.	Currently no actions have been implemented in this area.	Local Governance	none
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Currently no actions have been implemented in this area.	Currently no actions have been implemented in this area.	Local Governance	none
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Currently no actions have been implemented in this area.	Currently no actions have been implemented in this area.	Local Governance	none
5.3.11	There is <b>little coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	Coordination strategies are implemented between federal, state and municipal authorities to guarantee the conservation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the Site	to be implemented in the short term by local authorities	Inah, junta de monumentos, y H. Ayuntamiento.	Institutional coordination is required to ensure the preservation of Outstanding Universal Value.
5.3.14	There is <b>no existing annual work/action plan</b> for the property despite an identified need	The site management plan is currently in process by the local authority.	short term	Local Governance	More than a management plan, the application of the current legal framework is required, as well as the implementation of a management plan.

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Awareness workshops have been implemented, as well as conferences, forums, and courses for public and private institutions focused on the preservation of the exceptional universal value of the site. In addition, conservation actions have been carried out.

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

**15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities**

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

**15.2. Use of Data**

**15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?**

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Awareness raising
Advocacy

**15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting**

none

**15.3. Timing and resources**

**15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)**

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties

**15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?**

Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.**

**15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire**

420 horas / 380 horas / 50 horas /
------------------------------------

**15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?**

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

**15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report**

**15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

**Most** required information was accessible.

**15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?**

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

**15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**

**15.5. Training and Guidance**

**15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good



ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

**15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities**

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Poor
ICCROM International/regional	Poor
ICOMOS national/regional	Poor
IUCN national/regional	Poor
IUCN International	Poor

**15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?**

Yes

**15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?**

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

**15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee**

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

**15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise**

**15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.**