

White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Cathedral of the Assumption	56.15 / 40.417	0	0	0	1992
The Golden Gate	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
The Prince Castle in Bogolyubovo (Cathedral of the Nativity of the Virgin and Staircase Tower of the Palace of Andrei Bogolyubsky)	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Church of the Intercession on the River Nerl	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Cathedral of St. Demetrius	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Kremlin of Suzdal and Cathedral of the Nativity	56.417 / 40.433	0	0	0	1992
Monastery of Our Savior and St Euthymius	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Church of Sts Boris and Gleb	0 / 0	0	0	0	1992
Total (ha)			0		

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing components 633-001, 633-02 and 633-05	1991	
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing component 633-003	1991	
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing components 633-006 and 633-007	1991	
White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal - Map showing component 633-008	1991	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)


1. [Welcome to Vladimir - Official Vladimir City Website](#)
2. [Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve](#)

Comment

Official website of the Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve - <https://vladmuseum.ru/ru/> VKontakte - <https://vk.com/vladmuseum> Telegram - <https://t.me/vladmuseum33> Odnoklassniki - <https://m.ok.ru/vladmuseum> Dzen - <https://dzen.ru/a/Y3R3Sb26k05UBAhM> Rutube - <https://rutube.ru/channel/24675952/> Wikipedia - https://ru.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vladimir-Suzdal_museum-Reserve The official website of the city Vladimir - <https://vladimir-city.ru/city/> UNESCO World Heritage Center - <https://whc.unesco.org/en>

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		

2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	

2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The ancient towns of Vladimir and Suzdal, the main towns of the ancient Russian principality in the 12th-13th centuries, are located in the centre of the European part of Russia, some 200 km east of Moscow, 30 km from each other. At this time, the towns developed their individual architecture and art school. These white-stone monuments are unique architectural creations, and part of the Russian treasure-house of culture.

The World Heritage property "White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal" is a serial property, made up monuments in Vladimir, Suzdal and Kideksha, five km to the east of Suzdal. In Vladimir are found the Cathedral of the Assumption, the Golden Gate, the Cathedral of the Nativity of the Virgin and the Staircase Tower of the Palace of Andrei Bogolyubsky in the Prince Castle in Bogolyubovo, and the Church of the Intercession on River Nerl; the Kremlin and Cathedral of the Nativity and the Monastery of Our Saviour and St Euthymius are in Suzdal; and the Church of St Boris and St Gleb stands in Kideksha.

In Vladimir, the Cathedral of the Assumption (1158) was intended to be the religious centre of all Russia. It was built in the town Kremlin with three naves surmounted by a delicate drum and a helmet dome. Divided into five sections by embedded columns, the facade is notable for its carved reliefs. Most of the 12th-century frescoes were destroyed by Mongols in 1238, but new mural paintings were added in 1408 by the master painters Andrei Rublev and Daniil Chernii, in particular the famous "Last Judgment." The iconostasis is a fine Baroque example of 1774.

The Golden Gate (1164) forms part of the 12th-century defences, now demolished. It is a cubic tower with a church dedicated to the Deposition of the Holy Robe on top. The Princely Castle at Bogolyubovo (1165) contains the remains of the 12th-century Royal Palace, in the form of the Cathedral of the Nativity of the Virgin and the Staircase Tower of Andrei Bogolyubskii. The cathedral is a 17th-century building on the site of the original structure. The Church of the Intercession (1165) on the Nerl River is located opposite the original river gate of Vladimir. It has a single dome at the crossing and reliefs on the upper part of the exterior walls.

The Cathedral of St Demetrius (1194-97) is a royal church, cubic in form, with three internal naves and a helmet dome. The exterior has over a thousand stone carvings on the general theme of King David. 12th-century frescoes survive in the western part of the interior.

In Suzdal, the Kremlin (fortress) is surrounded by earthen ramparts. Within, dominating the whole town, stands the Cathedral of the Nativity, built in the 13th century and reconstructed in the 16th century, with its five-domed roof and 13th-century Golden Doors. Important monuments in the *posad* (civil settlement) include several cubic churches of the 16th and 17th centuries, such as the Convent of the Deposition of the Holy Robe and the Refectory Church of the Assumption, and several monasteries. The most important of the latter is the Monastery of Our Saviour and St Euthymius, founded in 1352, with its 16th-century Cathedral of the Transfiguration built in the 12th-century tradition of Vladimir.

On the right bank of the Nerl River, at Kideksha, is the Church of St Boris and St Gleb. It is of great architectural importance, since it was the first church in Russia to be built in white limestone, the style that came to characterize above all else the 12th-century architecture of Vladimir. It is small (15.5 m x 15.5 m) with three apses on its eastern side. It is plain, with little decoration, though remains of medieval frescoes were revealed in 1947.

The architecture of Vladimir-Suzdal is characterized by stonework faced in regular blocks of white limestone, refined proportions, fine stone carving, apparent lightness of buildings, and the skill of the ancient church architects in harmonizing their buildings with the surrounding natural landscape. The monuments are unique in view of their age, authenticity of architectural form, and historic links with the founders of the Russian statehood, such as Yuriy Dolgorukiy (Yuriy Long Hands), Andrey Bogolubskiy (Andrey of Bogolubovo), Vsevolod Bolshoe Gnezdo (Vsevolod the Large Nest) and Georgiy Vsevolodovich.

Criterion (i): The White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal are outstanding examples of ancient Russian architecture. These white-stone structures are a unique phenomenon, incorporating the best work of creative master-minds and presenting an amazing synthesis of architecture and monumental art.

Criterion (ii): The white-stone architecture of Vladimir and Suzdal is an outstanding example of the development and perfection of architectural shapes and white-stone building techniques which formed a unique school of architecture. This influential style began and achieved its greatest expression here and illustrates a most important stage of human history and culture in the North-East Rus. Widely used as an example for subsequent construction throughout Russian history, they set a standard as a benchmark of architectural beauty and expressiveness of Russian ecclesiastical architecture.

Criterion (iv): The white-stone monuments and ensembles of the Vladimir and Suzdal school of architecture are outstanding examples of architectural art and perfect models of technical and construction skill, fully harmonized with the surrounding landscape. They exemplify the beginnings and the peak of the white-stone building style of the 12th-13th centuries, and are remarkable for a prominent harmony and perfection of architectural shapes.

Integrity

The White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal incorporates all required attributes to reflect its Outstanding Universal Value. Taking into account the dispersion of monuments across the Vladimir Region, the integrity of each particular component of the World Heritage property is ensured by the established boundaries and protection zones. The status of the cultural heritage of the peoples of the Russian Federation of special interest guarantees the highest level of state protection of the sites and ensures their continued conservation and protection. The monuments are at risk from atmospheric and vehicle pollution which can affect their white stone facades, and of high rise developments in their immediate vicinity which can disrupt the historic townscape.

Authenticity

For several decades, the buildings of Vladimir and Suzdal were the object of considerable efforts to develop cultural tourism, with consequent restoration works to them, carried out with proper respect for traditional techniques and materials. The monastery monuments maintain the ancient Russian traditions and authentic architectural decoration techniques. All restoration works carried out on the monuments in various periods were intended to conserve the authentic structures, through the use of the old construction methods, techniques and construction materials such as white stone, white lime, oversized bricks, wood and metal. The monasteries conserved their historic plans and ensured the replacement of traditional planting.

Protection and management requirements

Since 1958, the White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal have been part of the Vladimir-Suzdal historical, art and architectural reserve museum. In 1960 the monuments were taken under protection by decision of the Council of Ministers of the Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic (RSFSR). Since 1995, the monuments have been designated as cultural heritage sites at federal level, and in 1998 they were included, by Decree of the Russian Federation President, on the State List of the cultural heritage of the peoples of the Russian Federation of special interest. The reserve museum, jointly with the state protection authorities, maintains an efficient protection of the sites using legal, financial and organizational mechanisms on the basis of the Federal laws of 25.06.2002 *On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation, Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Nations of the Russian Federation* (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation 2008), and the *Law on Transfer to Religious Organisations of Assets Having a Religious Intended Purpose and Representing State or Municipal Property* (2010).

Today, the monuments are in good condition. The museum manages the sites to ensure their conservation, monitors the conditions of the monuments and territories, and regulates the tourist services. The Uspenskiy (Assumption) cathedral is being used by the museum jointly with the Russian Orthodox Church, and the Church of the Intercession on the River Nerl in Vladimir is managed by the Russian Orthodox Church. The use of the monuments as the museum exhibits for ensuring their accessibility, coupled with their use by the church, enhances the authenticity and historic character of the buildings. As a result of recent restoration work, the white-stone walls and the white-stone carving of cathedrals are protected against atmospheric impacts. In order to preserve the wall paintings, climate control systems have been installed inside the cathedral. All works on the monuments for conservation and protection of white-stone structures, white-stone carving on the front walls, and monumental painting in the cathedral interior are accomplished according to the procedures approved by the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation.

A Management Plan is being developed. Buffer zones need to be developed for all the components of the property.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Three-dimensional composition of ensembles and individual buildings	×			
3.2.2	Decorative elements	×			
3.2.3	Materials, structures and construction technologies	×			
3.2.4	Historical landscape and urban planning environment	×			
3.2.5	Sound background as an element of the cultural landscape	×			
3.2.6	Intangible value	×			
3.2.7		×			
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property















4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

×	Relevant	Not relevant
---	----------	--------------














	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant
















Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively















4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant










4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕			✕		→	

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There is no maritime (4.2.4) or underground (4.2.2) transport infrastructure in the immediate vicinity of the World Heritage Site. The movement of vehicles (motor transport) in the immediate vicinity of the site components exerts a vibration load on it, exhaust gases affect the preservation of materials of monuments (4.2.5).

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant











4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕		Negative ✕	✕			

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively















4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside










✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):





- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):





- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant				 Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant				 Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--	--

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕		➡	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The previous answer to question 4.7.4 is a technical error.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant










Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively










4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕		→	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			📈
🔴 Negative							
















4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕		✕		➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance


✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively
























































4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table






Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	⊖	⚠			↻	→
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊙	↻	→
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	⊖	⚠			↻	→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	⊖	⚠			↻	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.7.3 Temperature						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.7.5 Dust						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊙	↻	↗
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊙	↻	↗
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊙	↻	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						

4.10.1 Storms						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
4.13.2 Legal framework						
4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities						
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors





4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development			
	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major





Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
---------------------------------------------	--



	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant

	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution






Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
✕	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
✕	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
✕	Static						
	Increasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
✕	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact						
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
✕	On-going					
Impact - Impact on the attributes						

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
✕	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.7.3 Temperature						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread






Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
--	---------------

✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing






Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
✕	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
✕	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
✕	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses			
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted		
	Localised		
✕	Extensive		
	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
✕	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation							
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
✕	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
✕	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
✕	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
✕	Static						
	Increasing						

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
✕	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact						
✕	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					






Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static

✕	Increasing
---	------------

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes





	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	  	 	






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread







Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities					

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes






	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	  		






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going




Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decreasing
<input type="checkbox"/>	Static
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Question 4.1.1 is relevant for 633-001. Question 4.1.2 is relevant for 633-002. Question 4.1.5 is relevant for 633-001 to 633-008. Questions 4.2.1,4.2.5 are relevant for 633-002, 633-003. Questions 4.4.4 is relevant for 633-001 to 633-003,633-005. Questions 4.7.1,4.7.2,4.7.3,4.7.5,4.7.6,4.8.2,4.8.6,4.10.1,4.13.1,4.13.2,4.13.3,4.13.4,4.13.5,4.13.6,4.13.7 are relevant for 633-001 to 633-008. Question 4.7.8 is relevant for 633-003, 633-008. Question 4.8.1 is relevant for 633-001,633-003 to 633-007

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Three-dimensional composition of ensembles and individual buildings	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.2	Decorative elements	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.3	Materials, structures and construction technologies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.4	Historical landscape and urban planning environment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
4.18.1.5	Sound background as an element of the cultural landscape	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone, but there is a need for one**

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The World Heritage Site has no buffer zones. Nevertheless, in accordance with the national legislation protection zones have been developed for the components of the World Heritage Site, which provide protection of the Outstanding Universal Value.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- Federal law of 25.06.2002 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation";
- Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Nations of the RF (enacted by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation, 2008);
- Law on Transfer to Religious Organisations of Assets Having a Religious Intended;
- Purpose and Representing State or Municipal Property (2010);
- Federal Law of 26.05.1996 #54-FZ "On museum fund of the Russian Federation and museums in the Russian Federation" (Art.26 and 27 in law edition of 2011 are directly devoted to museum-reserves and cultural heritage sites).

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

Paragraphs 1 and 5 remain unchanged. Paragraphs 3 and 4 should be deleted. Amend paragraph 2: Regulations on the Protected Zones of Cultural Heritage Objects (Historical and Cultural Monuments) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation (put into effect by Decree of the Government of the Russian Federation No. 972 of 12.09.2015); And include the additional legislation mentioned in the 5.2.2 table below.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2019 / "On approval of the Rules for the Installation of information Inscriptions and Designations on cultural Heritage Objects ..." from 10.09.2019 No. 1178 / Resolution of the Government of the Russian Federation /

2009 / "On approval of lists of cultural heritage objects of federal significance, the powers of state protection of which are carried out by the Ministry of Culture of Russia" from 01.7.2009 No.759-r / Order of the Government of the Russian Federation /

2015 / "On approval of the requirements for drafting the boundaries of the territories of cultural heritage objects" from 04.06.2015 . No.1745 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2015 / "On approval of the procedure for preparation and approval of project documentation for carrying out works on the preservation of an object of cultural heritage..." from 05.06.2015 No.1749 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2015 / "On approval of the composition and Procedure for approval of the reporting documentation on the performance of works on the preservation of cultural heritage Objects..." from 25.06.2015 No.1840 /

2015 / "On approval of the Procedure for Issuing a permit to carry out works on the preservation of an object of cultural heritage..." from 21.10.2015 No.2625 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2016 / "On Approval of the Procedure for Determining the Subject of Protection of an Object of Cultural Heritage..." from 13.01.2016 No.28 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2016 / "On approval of the procedure for Issuing a task for carrying out works on the preservation of an object of cultural heritage..." from 08.06.2016 No.1278 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2017 / "On approval of the boundaries of the zones of protection of the object of cultural heritage of federal significance "Assumption Cathedral..." from 27.07.2017 . No. 1254 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2017 / "On approval of the boundaries of the zones of protection of the object of cultural heritage of federal significance "Ensemble of the Bogolyubovskiy Monastery" (Church of the Nativity of the Virgin, 1158, Chamber of Andrei Bogolyubsky..." from 17.04.2017 No.562 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2017 / "On approval of the boundaries of the zones of protection of the object of cultural heritage of federal significance "Church of the Intercession on the Nerl"..." from 18.12.2017 No.2125 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2017 / On approval of the boundaries of the zones of protection of the cultural heritage object of federal significance "Dmitrievsky Cathedral..." from 30.01.2017 No.73 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

2017 / "On approval of the boundaries of the zones of protection of the object of cultural heritage of federal significance "The Complex of Churches of Boris and Gleb in Kideksha"..." from 28.06.2017 No.1092 /

2017 / "On approval of the boundaries of the zones of protection of the object of cultural heritage of federal significance "The Golden Gate"..." from 01.03.2017 No.231 / Order of the Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has **no buffer zone**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There are no regulatory legal acts in the current Russian legislation directly regulating relations related to the legal status of World Heritage sites. These relations are detailed in numerous domestic regulatory legal acts.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The principles of preservation of the UNESCO World Heritage Site "White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal" and its management system are based on the provisions of international law and current Russian federal and regional legislation.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve and Vladimir Diocese of the Russian Orthodox Church manage the components of the World Heritage Site aimed their preservation, monitor the condition of monuments and their territories, regulate tourist services, effectively protect the Object with legal, financial and organizational measures in accordance with federal laws together with state authorities.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

World Heritage Site Management Plan "White Monuments of Vladimir and Suzdal"; The workplan for the implementation of the state task of the Federal State Budgetary Cultural Institution "Vladimir-Suzdal Historical, Architectural and Art Museum-Reserve", 2023 Period of validity 2023-2037.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

Most of the components of the World Heritage Site play a dominant role in the urban landscapes. Measures to preserve the components of the Object provide for careful regeneration of the urban environment for monuments. The development concepts of the cities of Vladimir and Suzdal are aimed at implementing measures to preserve not only individual objects of cultural heritage, but the whole city as an integral heritage object.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs		×			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify		The Russian Orthodox Church			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				

5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	86 %	30 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	14 %	70 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The financing of the conservation and state protection of the components of the nomination is carried out mainly: - Ministry of Culture of Russia from the federal budget; - Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve at its own expense (including the provision of various paid services).

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	50 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	50 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable

Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

When studying the current needs related to the protection of WHS and the preservation of the outstanding universal value of the site, the following key topics were taken into account: increasing the knowledge of museum staff in the field of World Heritage site management, raising awareness of local communities about the outstanding universal value of the World Heritage Site, attracting young professionals (school of volunteers), strengthening the interaction of stakeholders.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The main principle of the museum-reserve personnel policy is to create conditions for the continuous education of specialists involved in working with the World Heritage Site. In recent years the museum staff has completed a number of advanced training courses related to the preservation and popularization of cultural heritage sites.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of research, but it is not planned**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a **planned and effective education and awareness programme** for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Fair
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	audio guides and other technical means

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The main means for education, information, interpretation and awareness WHS are: - excursion service; - exhibition and exhibition activities; - museum educational programs; - publishing activities; - organization and participation in conferences; - maintaining the museum's website and official social media accounts; - conducting national and international events on the territory of the WHS components etc.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

940928 / 917417 / 522340 / 956042 / 903833 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Reports of the Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve and registers of the Vladimir Diocese on the attendance of components of the UNESCO World Heritage Site, 2018-2022.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

70 / 50 / 5 / 23 / 40 / 40 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Open official sources of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Local initiatives in the field of sustainable tourism: increasing the number of catering outlets, developing a hotel business network, developing all types of tourism: sightseeing, business, event, pilgrimage, etc. In Vladimir, the territory of the tourist center of the city was determined in order to create an attractive space and form new tourist routes within the historical center and, as an expected consequence, to attract additional flows of guests to the quarters of the historical development and increase the time of their stay in the city. A large infrastructure of the consumer market and services has been formed in Vladimir, which has more than 2.8 thousand objects, including: 1,408 stores, 546 catering establishments, 54 shopping centers and complexes. There are 11 international, 38 federal, 3 regional and 61 local retail chains. There are 40 hotels and similar accommodation facilities. There are 4 large hotel and tourist complexes located on the territory of Suzdal. All complexes have a developed network of hotels, a network of catering outlets, business centers, conference halls, cinema and concert halls and other entertainment services provided to guests and residents of the city, these are services of spas, tanning salons, gyms, swimming pools, baths, ice pads. On the territory of Suzdal there are 50 guest houses, a camp site of the municipal institution "Center for the Development of Physical Culture, Sports and Tourism", 13 restaurants with 1,429 seats, 10 cafes with 305 seats, 11 bars and other food outlets. A wide retail network is deployed in the city. The total number of stores is 106. The small retail chain is represented by 73 private entrepreneurs engaged in street trade in souvenirs.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Maximization of social and economic benefits for the local community: thanks to the development of tourism in the region, the number of jobs in catering, hotel business, the provision of tourist services is increasing, conditions are being created for the development of small businesses, tax revenues to the local budget are increasing, which contributes to the socio-economic development of the region.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable monitoring** but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Monitoring of the temperature and humidity regime includes constant daily (twice a day) monitoring of changes in temperature and humidity in the cultural heritage site. When monitoring the condition of structures of buildings and structures, the following are recorded: - the presence and change of existing damage; - characteristics of newly emerged damages; - movement (vertical, horizontal and slopes) of the main structural elements.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Non-existent
Local/municipal authorities	Non-existent
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

There are no recommendations of the Committee

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The state of the World Heritage Site is being systematically monitored, but there is no comprehensive monitoring program. Monitoring is carried out by the staff of the Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	✕
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	✕
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	✕
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	✕
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	✕
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	✕
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	✕
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	✕
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	
Please select 0 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	The possibility of reducing the traffic flow around the component of the Golden Gate World Heritage Site by redirecting transport along alternative routes is being considered	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	Currently, some components of the World Heritage Site are located in close proximity to urban roads and are affected by vibrations and exhaust gases.

4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	Options for reducing traffic flow in the immediate vicinity of individual components of the World Heritage Site are being considered	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	Exhaust gases have a negative impact on the safety of the white stone. The state of the air environment is monitored at individual facilities.
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.4	Air pollution	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	Options are being considered to reduce traffic flow in the immediate vicinity of individual components of the World Heritage Site in order to reduce the impact of exhaust gases on the preservation of monuments	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	Air pollution is mainly associated with heavy traffic flows in the immediate vicinity of the components of the World Heritage Site
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1	Wind	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	To preserve the white stone masonry on the facades of monuments, various technologies for preserving white stone are used, which does not violate the holistic perception of monuments.	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	WHS is located in an area that does not differ in weather with strong winds. Nevertheless, even a moderate wind leads to weathering of the white stone masonry, which requires strengthening the material with special solutions.
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	To normalize the temperature and humidity regime, climate systems are installed in the monuments (components of the World Heritage Site).	Systematic monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly. Monitoring is carried out on individual monuments for 1 year annually or every other year.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve Federal State Budgetary Research Institution "State Research Institute of Restoration"	Climate systems are installed in 4 of the 8 WHS components. This allows you to adjust the temperature and humidity inside the premises in a timely manner. It is planned to install climate systems in all components of WHS.
4.7.3	Temperature	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	To normalize the temperature and humidity regime, climate systems are installed in the monuments (components of the World Heritage Site).	Systematic monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly. Monitoring is carried out on individual monuments for 1 year annually or every other year.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve Federal State Budgetary Research Institution "State Research Institute of Restoration"	Significant seasonal temperature changes affect the preservation of white stone reliefs. Climate systems are installed in 4 of the 8 WHS components. It is planned to install climate systems in all components of WHS.
4.7.5	Dust	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.2 Decorative elements	Carrying out work on clearing white stone (decorative elements) from dust and dirt and strengthening it.	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	Facades of white stone monuments (components of the UNESCO World Heritage Site) are regularly cleaned of dust pollution.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	Installation of drainage systems and blind spots around the perimeter of monuments, installation of cut-off waterproofing, hydrophobization of facades of monuments.	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	The components of the World Heritage Site are located in an area where the water table is close to the surface of the earth.

4.7.8	Micro-organisms	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	Systematic work is being carried out to process the material (white stone) from microorganisms in order to ensure its safety	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	As a rule, the components of the object are affected by mold or green algae due to waterlogging, which is associated with the close occurrence of groundwater
-------	-----------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4.10	Climate change and severe weather events
------	------------------------------------------

4.10.1	Storms	Criterion (ii), the following attributes: 3.2.3 Materials, structures and construction technologies	Carrying out measures to protect the white stone from the effects of winds	Systematic external monitoring of the influence of the factor on the monument condition is carried out. The situation is stable.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out quarterly.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	The surface of the white stone is treated with a special protective coating that provides protection from the effects of strong winds
--------	--------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	It is planned to develop and approve buffer zones of the components of the World Heritage Site	not earlier than 2028	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	In accordance with the legislation on cultural heritage sites in the Russian Federation, in order to protect the components of WHS, monument protection zones and land use regimes have been developed within the boundaries of these zones.

5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Strengthening the oversight bodies' control over the implementation of legal norms in the field of cultural heritage	constantly	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation	The Russian Federation has established an extensive legislative base for the protection and preservation of monuments cultural heritage, including UNESCO World Heritage Sites.

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Normative acts regulating urban planning activities adopted, the preservation of urban heritage and landscape is an integral part of planning. Interested parties participate in the discussion of issues.	constantly	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation Administration of the Vladimir region Local self-government bodies	Vladimir and Suzdal are historical cities. The preservation of their cultural heritage and historical landscape is carried out through legislation, awareness-raising and financial mechanisms.

5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Strengthening of interaction between administrative bodies involved in property management, consolidation of forces for effective property management, taking into account the interests of stakeholders.	constantly	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation Federal Agency for State Property Management The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	Currently, there is no Coordinating Council for the Management of the property, which is a UNESCO World Heritage Site.
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Financing is carried out from the federal budget and at the expense of the museum-reserve's own funds	constantly	Ministry of Culture of the Russian Federation The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	In order to ensure the preservation of the components of the World Heritage Site, it is necessary to increase funding for the preservation of OUV, for systematic monitoring and promotion of WHS.
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	The inclusion of the UNESCO World Heritage Sites management structure in the staff of the museum-reserve, an increase in the number of personnel for the management of UNESCO World Heritage Sites.	2023-2024	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	Currently, the management of the World Heritage site is carried out by 1 specialist, who is on the staff of the museum-reserve
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	Involvement of scientific specialists (including young specialists) in the work on the study of the components of the UNESCO World Heritage Site, expansion of research areas.	constantly	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve Vladimir State University named after Alexander and Nikolai Stoletov	There is an extensive research base on WHS. However, it is necessary to conduct new researches involving young specialists and modern research methods to better understand the outstanding universal value of the object and its components.
9	Visitor Management				
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	It is planned to carry out work on the calculation of anthropogenic load on the components of the UNESCO World Heritage Site, monitoring and research of the tourist market.	2024-2026	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	There is a constant record of visitors to the components of the World Heritage site, a regime for visiting objects has been developed. In order to ensure the preservation of monuments, it is necessary to calculate the anthropogenic load.
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	Creation of a Coordinating Council for the Management of the UNESCO World Heritage Site, including representatives of all stakeholders.	2024-2026	- The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve; - Vladimir Metropolitan of the Russian Orthodox Church; - state authorities of the federal, regional levels, local self-government bodies; - local community; - representatives of the tourism business etc.	It is necessary to expand the scope of interaction between those responsible for WHS and the tourism industry in order to increase awareness of the outstanding universal value of WHS.
10	Monitoring				

10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Various characteristics of the state of the WHS components are monitored (temperature and humidity conditions, vertical deformations, geodetic monitoring, complex technological studies, etc.), the state of the monument territories is monitored.	The exact time frame is not defined. As a rule, monitoring is carried out for a long time. Some types of monitoring are carried out throughout the year.	The State Vladimir-Suzdal Museum-Reserve	It is necessary to have an established system for monitoring the state of WHS components (regulations and duties assigned to employees, systematic monitoring), as well as the expansion of monitoring areas.
------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are **intact**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The current state of preservation of the components of the World Heritage Site is good. The Museum-reserve manages the components of the object, aimed at their preservation, monitors the condition of monuments and territories, regulates tourist services.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable

If 'Other', please specify

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The WHS status is very attractive from the point of view of obtaining a number of advantages in the context of preserving cultural heritage and comprehensive support of the site's territories. The status of the object contributes to a special perception of its OUV by a wide audience. A positive image of an Object forms its attractiveness and emotional attachment to it, promotes actions aimed at its preservation, improvement, promotes the adoption of favorable economic decisions.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No follow-up
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

Data from the periodic reporting cycle will help to adjust the Management Plan of the World Heritage Site.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

UNESCO National Commission

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

112 / 8 / 200 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The limited number of printed characters does not allow to reveal the topic more fully.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.