#### Mir Castle Complex

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Mir Castle Complex

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mir Castle Complex	53.451 / 26.473	27	0	27	2000
Total (ha)		27	0	27	

#### Comment

The buffer zone is approved at the national level. A scheme of the buffer zone of the World Heritage property is being developed based on the World Heritage Committee's requirements for cartographic materials.

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Mir Castle Complex - map of inscribed property	2000	æ

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
  - 1. The Mir Castle (Data web server, Minsk)
  - 2. Facebook website

#### Comment

https://mirzamak.by/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Mir Castle Complex 1 of 33

- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No
- 2.6 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

  No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

- 2.8 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- 2.9 Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?
- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

  No
- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Mir Castle Complex 2 of 33

#### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Comment

This information is not prefilled by the World Heritage Center.

### 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	exceptional exampleof a central Europian castle	×			
3.2.2	form and apperance of impressive ensemble	×			
3.2.3	harmonious blend of successive cultural influences	×			
3.2.4	high authenticity of materials	×			
3.2.5	authenticity of the urban situation	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- 4. Factors Affecting the Property
- 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Mir Castle Complex 3 of 33

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	W Net referent
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

### 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×			×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Mir Castle Complex 4 of 33

#### 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Mir Castle Complex 5 of 33

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Mir Castle Complex 6 of 33

#### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

## 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

F	Relevant	X Not relevant
---	----------	----------------

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevan	t	✗ Not relevant
---------	---	----------------

### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Mir Castle Complex 7 of 33

### 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Notevant	Not relevant

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Mir Castle Complex 8 of 33

Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events 4.10.1 - Storms Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant

#### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Mir Castle Complex 9 of 33

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts  Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):  ■ Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events	
<ul><li>4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):  • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):  ● Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):  ■ Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):  ■ Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):  • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species	
<ul><li>4.12.1 - Translocated species</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors	selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

### positively

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×			×		→		
Negative								

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Orig		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×				$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant	Not relevant

Mir Castle Complex 11 of 33

	Impact		Origin	Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive    ★	×	×	×	×			7	
Negative								

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Orig		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
	×	×	×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or	Bl	. (	. ( ) 4 40 (( () ()	

× Not relevant

### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on now the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively of positively

4.14. Other factor(s)


#### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<b>O</b>	<b>9</b>		•		$\rightarrow$
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	q			<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	<b>O</b>	9			<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	<b>O</b>	<b>P</b>	9	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	<b>O</b>	<b>F</b>		•	<b>F</b>	$\rightarrow$

Mir Castle Complex 12 of 33



4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

#### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		q		•		$\rightarrow$
Spotial cor	ale - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						

Mir Castle Complex 13 of 33

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	ne		Impact			Origin		
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		0	9			Œ	$\rightarrow$	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

#### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.1 Water infrastructure					Œ	$\rightarrow$

Mir Castle Complex 14 of 33

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name					Origin	Trend	
4.8.1 Ritu	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9	9	•	<b>G</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Mir Castle Complex 15 of 33

	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Societ	y's valuing of heritage	<b>O</b>	9	•	<b>(</b>	$\rightarrow$
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
opuliui ooui	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
~						
×	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Namo		Impact		Origin		Trond

4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Mir Castle Complex 16 of 33

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

#### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
Opatiai ood	A First directed by the laster					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					

Mir Castle Complex 17 of 33

	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Lega	framework	0	4		<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

4.13.3 Governance		•	9		•	Œ	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
	cale - Occurence of the impact						
-	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~	Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	•	4	9			<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Mir Castle Complex 19 of 33

	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Finar	cial resources	•	4	9	•	<b>G</b>	1
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

Name	Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	•	9	9	•		$\rightarrow$

Mir Castle Complex 20 of 33

Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	mpact research/monitoring activities	0	4	9	•	<b>(</b>	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						

Mir Castle Complex 21 of 33

×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

### 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

### 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1					
4.18.1.2					
4.18.1.3					
4.18.1.4					
4.18.1.5					

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are  $\mbox{\bf adequate}$  to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The Mir Castle Complex is inscribed on the List of Historical and Cultural Heritage of the Republic of Belarus, No. 1A1E300317. The Belarusian Republican Scientific and Methodical Council on the Preservation of Historical and Cultural heritage confirmed the status of the historical and cultural value for Mir Castle Complex giving it the category of a value "0" (the highest category according to the legislation of the Republic of Belarus) (04.06.1999 Minutes No.53).

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

Mir Castle Complex 22 of 33

### 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

### 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

### 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

#### If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

An annual work plan or business plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

- 5.3.3 Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
- 5.3.4 Management Documents
- 5.3.5 Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

#### 5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

There is a General development plan for the settlement. The plan regulates the development of the historical part of the settlement, landscapes based on the developed buffer zone, which is approved at the national level. The development of tourism infrastructure is also taken into account.

### 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

#### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being  ${\bf fully\ implemented\ and\ monitored}$ 

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

Mir Castle Complex 23 of 33

## 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women			×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

## 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners	×				
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

Mir Castle Complex 24 of 33

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

A draft management plan has been developed and is under consideration by the national coordinator and the UNESCO National Commission.

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

### 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	30 %	30 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	60 %	60 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

### 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	30 %	20 %
6.1.6.2	Women	70 %	80 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good

Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

## 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

#### 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

#### 6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Human resource capacity of the World Heritage property is focused on the implementation of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building by informing the policies and decisions by the World Heritage Committee in the area of capacity building.

### 6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

### 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Good
Landowners	Good
Women	Good

Mir Castle Complex 26 of 33

Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

## 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

ocal communities
omen
outh/children
esearchers
ocal Visitors
ational/international tourists
purism industry
ocal businesses and industries
GOs

### 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

#### 9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

271843 / 185578 / 119584 / 329027 / 319673 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Mir Castle Complex 27 of 33

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

71/20/12/5/0/10/

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

### 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

#### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

#### If a different system, please specify

online booking system for excursion services on the official website (https://mirzamak.by)

### 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

#### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

#### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

#### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

#### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

## 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

### 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				×

10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×
10.3.6	Capacity development	×

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property				
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×			
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×			
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value				
Please select 2 more issues.					
☑ Please save this question to reflect changes					

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

You have not marked any factor as current and negative/positive, therefore this assessment table is empty. Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed** 

#### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

#### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

i.3	Management System/Management Plan

Mir Castle Complex 29 of 33

		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	not relevant	not relevant	not relevant	not relevant
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	not relevant	not relevant	not relevant	not relevant

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

  The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Very positive
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Very positive

Mir Castle Complex 30 of 33

Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting
- 15.1.1 Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

#### 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

UNESCO National Commission

ICOMOS International

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

150 / 48 / 35 /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Good
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

#### Geographic information table

Reason for update: The buffer zone is approved at the national level. A scheme of the buffer zone of the World Heritage property is being developed based on the World Heritage Committee's requirements for cartographic materials.

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee Reason for update: This information is not prefilled by the World Heritage Center.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Mir Castle Complex 33 of 33