# Historic Centre of eský Krumlov

### 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Centre of eský Krumlov

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Historic Centre of eský Krumlov	48.811 / 14.315	51.91	1073.31	1125.22	1992
Total (ha)		51.91	1073.31	1125.22	

#### Comment

New cartographic and geographic information were sent to WHC in February 2023.

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of eský Krumlov: inscribed property	2011	
Historic Centre of eský Krumlov: inscribed property and buffer zone	2011	

### Comment

New cartographic and geographic information were sent to WHC in February 2023.

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Official Information System of the Ceský Krumlov Region

### Comment

www.ckrumlov.cz/unesco

### 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years? No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Timber rafting (Representative List of the Intengible Cultural Heritage of Humanity)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

### 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

# Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### Brief synthesis

The town of eský Krumlov is located in the South Bohemian Region of the Czech Republic. Situated on both banks of the Vltava (Moldau) river, the town was built below a magnificent castle founded in the 13th century. The river meander and rocky slopes of the castle hill are the most important elements which along with the link to the picturesque neighbouring landscape, determine not only the impressive urban composition of the historic centre but the dominating position of the castle as well.

The Historic Centre of eský Krumlov is an outstanding example of a small Central European medieval town whose architectural heritage has remained intact thanks to its peaceful evolution over more than several centuries. This feudal town, a former centre of a large estate owned by powerful noble families who played an important role in the political, economic and cultural history of Central Europe, was founded in the Middle Ages and underwent Renaissance and Baroque transformations. As it remained almost intact, it has retained its street layout, which is typical of planned medieval towns, as well as many historic buildings including their details such as the roof shapes, the decoration of Renaissance and Baroque facades, vaulted spaces, as well as original layouts and interiors.

The castle features Gothic, Late Gothic, Renaissance and Baroque elements. It is dominated by the Gothic *Hrádek* with its round tower; this was subsequently converted into a Baroque residence with the addition of a garden, the Bellaire summer pavilion, a winter riding school, and a unique Baroque theatre in 1766. Latrán (settlement developed to the east) and the town proper contain undisturbed ensembles of burgher houses, the oldest being in High Gothic style. They are notable for their facades, internal layouts, and decorative detail, and especially carved wooden Renaissance ceilings. eský Krumlov also experienced considerable ecclesiastical development illustrated by the major 15th century church of St. Vitus and monasteries of various preaching and itinerant orders.

**Criterion (iv):** eský Krumlov is an outstanding example of a Central European small town dating from the Middle Ages which owes the structure and buildings of its historic core to its economic importance and relatively undisturbed organic development over some five centuries. eský Krumlov grew up within a meander of the Vltava River, which provides a natural setting of great beautyts evolution over time is evident with startling clarity from its buildings and its urban infrastructure.

#### Integrity

All key elements conveying the Outstanding Universal Value of this property are inside the boundaries of the property. The property includes the historic centre of the town including the former aristocratic residence with an extensive garden. Its delimitation and size are appropriate. The buffer zone is well delimited too. The structure of the land use plan of the property and its buffer zone is stabilized. The visual integrity of the town is not threatened. Nevertheless, the pressure to allow new construction beyond the boundaries of the buffer zone is a potential danger to the integrity of the property.

### Authenticity

The Historic Centre of eský Krumlov is a property of high authenticity. Its present form and appearance closely reflect the type of a town linked to the noble residence since the Middle Ages. The historic centre has preserved its original layout, as well as the characteristic castle-city relationship very clearly, thanks to its undisturbed development over several centuries. It remains untouched by the devastating effects of 19th-century industrialization, neglect during the communist era and thoughtless developments of the past decades. The high degree of authenticity is based on the dramatic setting of the urban townscape and its natural environment, as well as on a large number of preserved historic details. Restoration works on the facades of the buildings are carried out in compliance with strict international standards for heritage conservation. Only traditional materials and techniques are used.

#### Protection and management requirements

The Historic Centre of eský Krumlov is protected by Act No. 20/1987 on State Heritage Preservation as amended, as an urban conservation area (urban heritage reservation according the Act). The castle with the garden and a Parish church inside the historic centre are designated as national cultural heritage sites (under the Act mentioned above and under the relevant regulations); hence they enjoy the highest degree of legal protection. In addition, the historic centre includes several dozens of buildings that are designated as cultural heritage sites under the Act mentioned above. From a legal point of view, the protection of the buffer zone is strengthened by the fact that the Plešivec urban heritage zone is situated within its boundaries. The pressure to allow new construction in the buffer zone is regulated by the Act mentioned above and by the valid Land Use Plan, which stabilizes the functions of plots and areas within the whole town of eský Krumlov; that means property and its buffer zone. All specific details of building projects (new structures, their volumes and heights) are the subject of consideration by relevant authorities.

The responsibility for the property management is shared by the National Heritage Institute (a state organization) and the Municipality of eský Krumlov. Due to the extent of the property and its complicated ownership structure, maintenance and restoration of the various properties are subject to individual programmes that are coherent with the Programme for the Regeneration of Urban Heritage Reservations and Zones. Phase 4 of the Management Plan has been prepared in 2012, representing an up-date of the E- Management Plan. The main purpose of the Management Plan is to preserve the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. Since the beginning (2009), the document has been planned to apply to both key elements of the Municipal Heritage Site, the castle complex and the historic center.

Financial instruments for the conservation of the property mainly include grant schemes, funding through the programme of the Ministry of Culture of the Czech Republic allocated to the maintenance and conservation of the immovable cultural heritage and of areas under heritage preservation, as well as financial resources allocated from other public budgets. Since 2000, annual monitoring reports have been prepared at the national level to serve the World heritage property manager, the Ministry of Culture, the National Heritage Institute and other agencies involved.

### Comment

Protection and Management requirements needs to be updated as follows: New Management Plan has been prepared in 2018.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	urban structure	×			
3.2.2	panorama	×			
3.2.3	architectural values	×			
3.2.4	historical materials and structures		×		

3.2.5	artistic quality of facades and of painting decorations	×		
3.2.6	decoration and furnishings of historical interiors, especially the castle and sacral buildings	×		
3.2.7	composition and sculptural decoration of the castle garden		×	
3.2.8	archaeological heritage	×		
3.2.9				
3.2.10				
3.2.11				
3.2.12				
3.2.13				
3.2.14				
3.2.15				

### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

### 4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
  - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	Drigin Trend of		Trend of impact	
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$	

### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
  - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>		

### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relev	int
----------------------	-----

### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Ori		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×			
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>			
Negative									
<ul><li>4.2.2 - Underground transp</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
<ul> <li>4.2.3 - Air transport infrast</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
<ul> <li>4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li> <li>Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside</li> </ul>									
Relevant			× Not relevant						
.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):									

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>		

Negative	
----------	--

### 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin	Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×			1	
<ul> <li>4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>								
Relevant			X Not relevant	t				

### 4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

 
 Relevant
 X Not relevant

 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities
 Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): • Not relevant

 Relevant
 X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.4. Pollution

### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
  - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant	
<ul><li>4.4.2 - Ground water pollution</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>		
Relevant	X Not relevant	
<ul><li>4.4.3 - Surface water pollution</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>		
Relevant	X Not relevant	
<ul><li>4.4.4 - Air pollution</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>		
Relevant	X Not relevant	
<ul> <li>4.4.5 - Solid waste</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li> <li>Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside</li> </ul>		
X Relevant	Not relevant	
Impact	Origin	Trend of impact

Inside

🦉 Outside

> Decreasing

Stable

Current

Potential

Impact

Increasing

O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							
4.4.6 - Input of excess ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.4.7 - Please comment as positively	necessary on I	now the factors	selected as r	elevant in 4.4 a	re affecting the pro	operty either n	egatively or
4.5. Biological resource u	se/modificatio	า					
4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting a Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant		25					
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.5.4 - Livestock farming/G Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant		sticated animal	S				
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			X Not relevant				

### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively 4.6. Physical resource extraction 4.6.1 - Mining Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.2 - Quarrying Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			1

### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.7.5 - Dust</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.7.7 - Pests</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.7.8 - Micro-organisms</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The rising temperature is a general trend that adversely affects the vegetation in the city and places increased demands on its maintenance. It also produces stronger thunderstorms with the risk of strong damaging winds.

### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): • Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$			
Negative									
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	-								
Relevant			X Not relevant	X Not relevant					
<ul> <li>4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>		collecting							
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.8.4 - Changes in tradition Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/ • Not relevant	-	and knowledge	system						
Relevant			X Not relevant						

### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				1

### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		→	
Negative X	×			×			1

### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

An important factor is the ongoing decline in the city's population. The number of visitors to the city in the period before the covid pandemic reached a level that was perceived as high with the need for regulation.

### 4.9. Other human activities

### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
  - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin	Drigin Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>		
4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03 • Not relevant	/2014):							
Relevant			× Not relevant					

#### 4.9.4 - War

#### Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

 Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Relevant

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
  - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

• Rolovant, Rogativo, Poton	niai, molao								
× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$			
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2 • Not relevant	2014):								
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×					
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2 • Not relevant	2014):								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanie Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/: • Not relevant									
Relevant			X Not relevan	t					
4.10.6 - Temperature chang Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/: • Not relevant									

### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological c	or geological e	vents							
4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2 • Not relevant	2014):								
Relevant			× Not relevan	t					
4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2 • Not relevant	2014):								
Relevant			X Not relevan	t					
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2 • Not relevant									
Relevant			× Not relevan	t					
<ul> <li>4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014): <ul> <li>Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside</li> </ul> </li> </ul>									
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$			
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltatic Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2 • Not relevant									
Relevant			× Not relevan	t					
<ul> <li>4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Poten</li> </ul>	,	e							
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×	×		<b>→</b>			
4.11.7 - Please comment as	s necessary on	how the factors	soloctod as	relevant in / 11	are affecting the r	are north coith o	r pogotivoly or		

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):
  - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.12.6 - Modified genetic material</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>		
Negative								

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								

### 4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$		
Negative								

### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$			

	Negative							
--	----------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>		
Negative								

### 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin						
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>			
Negative									

### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (06/03/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

### 4.14. Other factor(s)

### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
			9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.1.2 Commercial development						
			9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
	0	9		٢		<b>→</b>
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		٢	Ċ	2
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>

4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	٢	9		۲		<b>→</b>
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste	٢	9		۲		<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	0	4	9		Ċ	
4.7.3 Temperature						
	0	4	9		Ċ	
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9		۲		<b>→</b>
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	0	4		۲		
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	4			Ċ	<b>→</b>
	0	4			Ċ	
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	0	4		٢	۴	<b>→</b>
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.2 Flooding						
					Ċ	
	9		9			<b>→</b>
4.10.3 Drought	9		9			→
	•		9 9		Ċ	→ ,
	•				۴	→ /
4.10.3 Drought	0				٢	→ //
4.10.3 Drought 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events	•				S.	→ *
4.10.3 Drought 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events	٦		9			→ <i>P</i>
4.10.3 Drought 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide	٦		9			→ <i>×</i>
4.10.3 Drought 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide	•		9	۲	٢	→ <i>*</i>
4.10.3 Drought 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	•	4	9	•	٢	→ *
4.10.3 Drought         4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events         4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide         4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)         4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	4	9		٢	→ × → → →
4.10.3 Drought         4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events         4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide         4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)         4.13 Management and institutional factors	•	9	9		٢	→ *
4.10.3 Drought         4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events         4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide         4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)         4.13 Management and institutional factors         4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•		9		E E E E	→ // // // // // // // // // // // // //
4.10.3 Drought         4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events         4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide         4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)         4.13 Management and institutional factors         4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•		9		E E E E	→ × · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4.10.3 Drought         4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events         4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide         4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)         4.13.1 Management and institutional factors         4.13.1 Management system/Management plan         4.13.2 Legal framework		9	9	0	e e e e e e e	<ul> <li>→</li> <li>→</li></ul>
4.10.3 Drought         4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events         4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events         4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide         4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)         4.13 Management and institutional factors         4.13.1 Management system/Management plan         4.13.2 Legal framework		9	9	0	e e e e e e e	<ul> <li>→</li> <li>→</li></ul>

4.13.5 Financial re	13.5 Financial resources						۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.13.6 Human reso	ources				٢	9	۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring activ	vities			٢	9	٢		<b>→</b>
Legend	4 Current	Potential	Negative	O Positive	<ol> <li>Insi</li> </ol>	de	C Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

## 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ing					
		•	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Nama		Impost		Origin		Trond
Name	nercial development	Impact		Origin		Trend

		0	9	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Managemo	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				

Increasing

Name	Name		Impact			Tren					
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure										
		0	4		٢	<b>→</b>					
Spatial sca	patial scale - Area affected by the factor										
	Restricted										
×	Localised										
	Extensive										
	Widespread										
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact										
	One off or rare										
×	Intermittent or sporadic										
	Frequent										
	On-going										
Impact - In	pact on the attributes										
	Insignificant										
×	Minor										

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Impact O		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9		٢	Ċ	1	

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

## 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name

Trend

Origin

Impact

4.2.1 Grour	nd transport infrastructure	٥	4		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Name		npact Origin		Origin	Trend
4.3.1 Wate	r infrastructure	٢	9		٢	$\rightarrow$
Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
Opatial 300						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		t Origin			Trend		
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities								
	0		9	٩	۴			

opanal ood						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

## 4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid	4.4.5 Solid waste		4	۲	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Name				Origin		Trend	
4.7.1 Wind								
		0	9	9		Ċ		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
opullal soc								
	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							

	Intermittent or sporadic									
	Frequent									
	On-going									
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes									
	Insignificant									
	Minor									
	Significant									
	Major									
Manageme	tt response - Capacity of management to respond									
	High capacity									
	Medium capacity									
	Low capacity									
	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
	Static									
	Increasing									
Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend			
4.7.3 Temperature										
		0	9	9		۲	1			
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor									
	Restricted									
	Localised									

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

## 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.8.1 Rite	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9		٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Ide	ntity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community					
		0	9		٢	1
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact					

Network of the second of the		One off or rare					
xReserveImage:							
<form><pre>negative series of a strained of a str</pre></form>							
<pre>impact what which is a set of a se</pre>	×						
<pre> a ling ang a ling a ling</pre>							_
impaint interval       Note in the second of t	Impact -						
againal       Selection of the sequence of the sequenc							
main         Main creation of management to respond         Main creation of the data of game         Tot - Version of the data of game         Main creation of the data of gama <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>							
Name or expansion of an anginement to respond         Intervaluence of the anginement to respond	×	Significant					
High capacity       Note: Set in capacity         Year       Not capacity and /or resources         Tend-tower the lask 6 years       Set in the field of t		Major					
image in the set of the	Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond					
apagna and an analysis of the set o		High capacity					
main         searain         initial         kase         nonsing         initial         searain         initial         searain         initial         searain         initial         searain         initial         searain         seara		Medium capacity					
Tendentian System	×	Low capacity					
Bereasing         Site         X       Increasing         Norme       Impact       Site         Associate       Impact       Site       Site         Site       Impact       Site		No capacity and / or resources					
sec         x         ready         nest         x         nest         x         nest         x         nest         x         nest         x         nest         x	Trend - I	- Developement over the last 6 years					
krassing         Name       insign		Decreasing					
Name       nmmet       orgin       nmmet       orgin       nmmet		Static					
A.8 three relation Recreation       Image: Ima	×	Increasing					
4       4							
Area and and a second secon					Origin		Trend
Spatial sculate         Spatial sculate         Restricted by the factor         Localised         Localised         Kale Science         Widespread         Temporal Occurrence of the impact         One off or rare         Intermittent or sporadic         Xale         Prequent         On-going         Intermittent or sporadic         Minor         Insignificant         Minor         Significant         Minor         Insignificant         Minor         Hagensult to response - Capacity of management to respond         Minor         Magensult         Minor capacity of management to respond         Minor         Magensult to responde - Capacity of management to respond         Minor         Minor         Magensult to responde - Capacity of management to respond         Minor         Minor     <	4.8.6 lmj	npacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Restricted           Restricted           Localised           X           Restricted           Videspread           Temporal           Temporal           Intermittent or sporadic           No           Intermittent or sporadic           Intermittent or sporadic </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>0</th> <th>9</th> <th></th> <th>Ċ</th> <th>1</th>			0	9		Ċ	1
index       index <t< td=""><td>Spatial s</td><td>I scale - Area affected by the factor</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Spatial s	I scale - Area affected by the factor					
K     Kensve       Kensve     Kensve       Kensve     Kensve       K     Kensve		Restricted					
Midspread           Vidspread           Vidspread <td< td=""><td></td><td>Localised</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Localised					
Temperal and a contract of the impact         Prequent or sporadic         Intermittent or sporadic         Prequent         Origoing         Intermittent or sporadic	×	Extensive					
Me off or rare           Intermittent or sporadic           Kame           Fequent           On-going           Interintertor the attributes           Insignificant           Minor           Significant           Miport           High capacity           High capacity           Minor		Widespread					
intermitted or sporadic       i	Tempora	ral scale - Occurence of the impact					
*     Frquencia       *     Frquencia       *     Frquencia       *     Injoin       *     Minor       *     Sinificant       *     Sinificant       *     Minor       *     Sinificant       *     Sinificant       *     Minor       *     Sinificant       *     Minor		One off or rare					
index     index       index		Intermittent or sporadic					
Impact - Impact Arributes         Insignificant         Minor         X         Significant         Major         Impact - Expacity of management to respond         Y         High capacity         Medium capacity	×	Frequent					
Impact - Impact Arributes         Insignificant         Minor         X         Significant         Major         Impact - Expacity of management to respond         Y         High capacity         Medium capacity		On-going					
Insignificant       Insignificant       Minor       Significant       Major       Management to respond       Imagement to respond       Migh capacity of management to respond       Migh capacity	Impact -						
Minor       Significant       Marge       Marge       Marge       Manage       Manage <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Significant       Major       Hanageer       Variage       High capacity of management to respond       K							
Major       Management to respond       High capacity       Migh capacity	×						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond       High capacity       Medium capacity							
High capacity       Medium capacity	Manage						
X Medium capacity	manaye						
	V	Medium conscitu					
	×						
No capacity and / or resources	×	Low capacity					
	×						

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing							
	Static							
×	Increasing							

### 4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.9.2 Delibe	4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		٢	9		۹	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
opullai oou	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

# 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.10.2 Flooding							
		0		9		۴	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						

×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.3 Drought					
	٢	9		Ċ	

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opution 500	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	ipact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

## 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
	lanche/Landslide				Ū		
		0		9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)						
		0		9	٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9	۲	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				

### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
						-
Name				Origin	115	Trend →
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	0	9	۲	Ċ	

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

me		Impact				Trend
4.13.4 Management activities		9		٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	ipact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

·····j-···	
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources		9		۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

lame		Impact			Origin	
4.13.6 Human resources		9		۲	Ċ	$\rightarrow$
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		9		۲		<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	urban structure	×			
4.18.1.2	panorama	×			
4.18.1.3	architectural values	×			
4.18.1.4	historical materials and structures		×		
4.18.1.5	artistic quality of facades and of painting decorations	×			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

All the essential elements necessary to express the OUV are within the boundaries of the property. The buffer zone is also well defined. The urban structure of the property is stable together with the buffer zone. The visual integrity of the town is not compromised.

### 5.2. Protective Measures

### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Act No. 20/1987 Coll., on state monument conservation (hereinafter also the "Monument Conservation Act")

Scope of application – the Monument Conservation Act stipulates the conditions of conservation and appropriate use of cultural monuments including the preservation of protected areas, particularly from the substantive law perspective. The Monument Conservation Act further regulates the rights and obligations of the owners of cultural monument and the owners (facility managers, users) of properties situated in protected areas and the rights and obligations of the state monument conservation administrative authorities and professional organisation, i.e. the National Institute for the Protection and Conservation of Monuments and Sites (an institution receiving contributions from the state budget), including the relevant sanctions in case the stipulated obligations are not fulfilled.

In the monitored period, two amendments to the Monument Conservation Act were adopted:

1. Act No. 223/2009 Coll. that removes the time limit from the content of the decision on granting a monument restoration permit.

2. Act No. 227/2009 Coll. that regulates the provision of data by the Ministry of Interior for the needs of the Ministry of Culture.

Regulation No. 66/1988 Coll. to implement Act No. 20/1987 Coll., on state monument conservation.

The Regulation to implement the Monument Conservation Act clarifies certain matter regulated by the Monument Conservation Act.

Regulation No. 420/2008 Coll. stipulating the essential elements and content of the heritage site and heritage zone protection plans. The Regulation came into force on 1 January 2009.

Act No. 183/2006 Coll., the Land Use Planning Act and Building Procedure Code (the Building Act).

Regulation No. 500/2006 Coll. on supporting analytical zoning data, zoning documentation and zoning records.

Regulation No. 187/2007 Coll. stipulating the content and essential elements of zoning plans concerning areas with archaeological discoveries.

Decree of the Minister of Education and Culture of June 10, 1963 ref. No. 22. 446/1963 - established in eský Krumlov the Municipal Conservation Area.

Decision Ref. No. cult. 534-404/3-87/Vr – establishment of the Ceský Krumlov Municipal Heritage Site buffer zone. The purpose of the establishment of the buffer zone was to improve the protection of the Municipal Heritage Site environment against any close surrounding influences and any infringements within the buffer zone.

Government Decree No. 55/1989 Coll. that declares Ceský Krumlov Castle a National Cultural Monument.

The above legal regulation stipulates the terms and conditions of protection of the concerned national cultural monument.

Government Decree No. 262/1995 Coll. that declares St. Vitus Church in Ceský Krumlov a National Cultural Monument.

The above legal regulation declares the church, i.e. building No. 160, as well as building plot No. 208 and surrounding plot No. 259/1 a national cultural monument.

Ministry of Culture Regulation No. 108/2003 Coll., that declares historic environment areas in selected towns and municipalities heritage zones and stipulates the terms and conditions of their protection; the Regulation has declared the Plešivec Municipal Heritage Zone.

The Regulation ensures the preservation of a zone and its historic environment and specifies the grounds for the monument conservation authority to determine the conditions of building activities and interventions concerning the terrain and full-grown vegetation in the given area.

The draft of a new Law on National Heritage Conservation which should replace the existing Act No. 20 of 1987 Coll. has been finalized by the Czech Ministry of Culture. This proposal takes in account the World Heritage and defines principles and mechanisms in relation to it.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; 2011 State Party's SOC report;

#### Comment

In order to unify the terminology of some of the laws currently still in force, we use the opportunity to add the corrected translations to section 5.2.2.

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1987(2021) / Act No. 20/1987 Sb., on the State Heritage Protection (The Heritage Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1987-20

1988 (2002) / Decree No. 66/1988 Sb., For the Implementation of the Act on the State Heritage Protection / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/1988-66

2007 / Decree No. 187/2007 Sb., stipulating the content and essential requirements of zoning plans concerning areas with archaeological finds / Decree of the Ministry of Culture / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2007-187

2008 (2023) / Decree No. 420/2008 Sb., which establishes the requirements and content of the plan for the protection of heritage reserves and heritage zones / Decree of the Ministry of Culture /

https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2008-420

2006 (2020) / Act No. 183/2006 Sb., on Spatial Planning and Building Rules (The Building Act) / Law of the Czech Republic / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-183

2006 (2022) / Decree No. 500/2006 Sb., on Supporting Analytical Zoning Data, Zoning Documentation and Zoning Records / Decree of the Ministry of Regional Development / https://www.zakonyprolidi.cz/cs/2006-500

2017 / ORDER of 23/10/2017, Market order / Municipal by-law / https://data.ois.cz/files/8007-narizeni-2017-4-ze-dne-23-10-2017-trzni-rad.pdf

2006 / Spatial Plan of Cesky Krumlov / Municipal by-law / https://www.ckrumlov.cz/cz/platny-uzemni-plan/

2006 / General binding decree No. 2/2006 declaring the binding part of the spatial plan of the town of eský Krumlov / Municipal by-law / https://data.ois.cz/files/292-2006-v-02-uzemni-plan-cesky-krumlov-vyhlaseni.pdf

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

### If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan
A visitor/visitation management plan
An environmental management framework

### 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The responsibility for management of the property is shared. The castle is managed by the National Heritage Institute and the historical centre is managed by the Municipal Authority of eský Krumlov. Position of the site manager is established at the Municipal Authority. The communication of both authorities is continuous.

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management plan for eský Krumlov-Phase I: Document Preparation and Introductory Analyses	N/A	Available	2009	

### Comment

The latest version of the Management Plan for the Historic Centre of eský Krumlov dates from June 2019.

(https://data.ois.cz/files/12672-management-plan-historickeho-centra-ceskeho-krumlova.pdf) Management Plan I. National Cultural Heritage Asset of eský Krumlov Castle, 2015, prepared by the National Heritage Institute (The main objective of the MP is to preserve and enhance the OUV of eský Krumlov Castle)

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

# 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

# 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

# 5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Not	No mechanisms for	Some	Direct	Transformative participation in all relevant
applicable	participation	participation	participation	decision processes

5.3.15.1	Local communities		×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×			
5.3.15.5	Women			×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify				

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention* 

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

### 6. Financial and Human Resources

## 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	70 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	10 %	30 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	15 %	10 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	5 %	30 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	10 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	5 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	15 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively? The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
la terrentation	Fair
Interpretation	Fall
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate** 

# 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

### 7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

#### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

# 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair

Not applicable

If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

#### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Landowners	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	
Local businesses and industries	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

### 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

1200000 / 800000 / 600000 / 1500000 / 1700000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Transportation services
Tourism industry
Visitor surveys

### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

In 2017, the KPMG strategy estimates the number of visitors to be around 1.5 million. According to the number of visitors to accommodation facilities in 2018 and 2019, there is still a further gradual increase, after which there was a drop to around 40% due to the pandemic.

### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

100 / 30 / 20 / 20 / 5 / 25 /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Based on a questionnaire survey conducted last year, the average expenditure is CZK 1,000/day - without accommodation (about 50 USD).

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The field of tourism is very important for eský Krumlov. In 2017, the eský Krumlov Tourism Strategy was created together with the implementation plan. The essential task was the creation of destination management, which would deal with the development and support of the tourist area, promotion of the destination and communication with the public. Destination Management of the eský Krumlov Region was founded in 2020.

### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

### If a different system, please specify

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

### 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

eský Krumlov Tourism Association

### 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

Tourism creates approximately 2,800 jobs (40% of jobs in the city), 115000 USD of visitor consumption and 560000 USD of net income for the city budget.

### 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

eský Krumlov is a popular tourist destination. Tourism provides a livelihood for approximately 40% of the local population. For a sustainable rate of tourism, it is necessary to monitor and evaluate the number and structure of visitors to the city, individual attractions and destinations, as well as users of services and products, and according to changing data, and then take appropriate measures to regulate the number or direct the movement of visitors.

### 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The use of the indicators defined in 10.3 is described in the Management Plan of the property.

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good
Researchers	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups' please specify	

**10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?** Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan						
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property						
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×					
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×					
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×					
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	×					
6.1	Funding						
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×					
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×					
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×					
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	×					
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building						
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×					
9	Visitor Management						
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×					
Please	e select 0 more issues.						
Plea	Please save this question to reflect changes						

### 12. Summary and Conclusions

## 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

## 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings ar	nd Development					
4.1.2	Commercial development	(iv) urban structure, panorama	Compliance with the regulations established by the spatial plan	continuously	continuously	National Heritage Institute, Municipal Heritage Department	Investment plans must be subject to the limits set by the spatial plan.
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	(iv) architectural values, historical materials and structures, artistic quality of facades and of painting decorations	Regulation of traffic in the city center, regulation of the use of public space, regulation of the use of advertising equipment.	continuously	continuously	oj aŭ ci	is necessary for the operators of the commodation facilities to omply with the valid City rdinances and egulations.
4.3	Services Inf	rastructures					
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	(iv) urban structure, panorama, architectural values	compliance with the established condition of heritage preservation	continuously s	continuously	National Heritage Institute, Municipal Heritage Department	Devices for renewable energy sources must be installed only in accordance with the established rules of historic preservation.
4.8	Social/Cultu	ral uses of heritage					
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Heritage property	Creating suitable living conditions for residents.	continuously	continuously	Municipality	The declining population of the city has a number of negative effects.
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/R	(iv) World Recreation Heritage property as whole	active manageme and regulation of a tourism	ent continuously	continuously	Municipality, Destination Management of the eský Krumlov Region, eský Krumlov Development Fund	The high number of visitors to the city places great demands on all infrastructure.
4.9	Other huma	n activities					
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	(iv) architectural values, historical materials and structures, artistic quality of facades and of painting decorations	educational activities, activities of the city police, monitoring of public spaces	continuously	continuously	Municipality, Municipal Police	Vandalism is not a very common but serious phenomenon in eský Krumlov. Most often, the facades of historical buildings are damaged, which must be repaired expensively.
4.10	Climate cha	nge and severe weathe	r events				
4.10.2	Flooding	(iv) architectural values, historical materials and structures, artistic quality of facades and of painting decorations	flood prevention measures, crisis management	continuously	continuously	Municipality, Povodí Vltavy, State Enterprise	Due to the location of eský Krumlov on the Vltava river, regular floods occur. After the great flood in 2002, a number of measures were implemented to reduce the risk of high water.
4.10.3	Drought	(iv) architectural values	water retention measures	continuously	continuously	Municipality, eský Krumlov Castle Management	With climate changes, there is an increasingly frequent threat of drought, which threatens the city's greenery and especially the unique castle garden and places great demands on irrigation.

4.11	Sudden ecologic	al or geological events					
4.11.4	Avalanche/Landslide	(iv) composition and sculptural decoration of the castle garden	prevention, immediate repairs in case of a landslide, analyse the nature and frequency of natural disasters over the last 25 years	continuously	continuously	Heritage Institute	A landslide is an exceptional event, adjustments and repairs are made immediately after the situation occurs. The recommended action comes from the MP of the Cesky Krumlov Castle.
4.11.6	r		education, prevention, crisis management	continuously	continuously	Municipality, proper owners, Integrated rescue system	ty Regular reviews of fire prevention measures and exercises of the integrated rescue system are carried out.

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3 Management System/Management Plan								
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	The goal is to reduce CO2 emissions by at least 40% by 2030.	2030	Municipality	In April 2021, eský Krumlov joined the Covenant of Mayors and created its Action plan for sustainable energy and climate (SECAP).			
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	eský Krumlov has developed a crisis plan in case of extraordinary events threatening life, health or property. At the same time, crisis plans and other documents relevant to this area are being prepared for the Cesky Krumlov castle.	continuously	Municipality, Integrated Rescue System, National Heritage Institute	The city of eský Krumlov has an active Crisis Management. It deals with all activities related to preparation for emergency or crisis situations and their resolution. Preparation of crisis plans for CK Castle is described in its Management Plan.			
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Establishment of a Steering Group	2023	Municipality, National Heritage Institute	The Steering Group establishment will unify the management of the property, which will be reflected in the coordination of activities and will ensure the complexity of the management, including mutual communication between the actors.			
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only</b> <b>being partially</b> <b>implemented</b>	Improving the implementation of Management Plan proposals.	continuously	Municipality, National Heritage Institute	Management plan proposals are not sufficiently implemented due to insufficient human resources.			
6.1	Funding							
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptablebut could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the	Prioritization of needs, drawing of subsidies, defense of necessary expenses in the city budget.	continuously	Municipality in cooperation with National Heritage Institute and Ministry of Culture	Special care needs to be paid in particular to staffing the agenda, which is undersized.			

	World Heritage property							
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	Establishment of the Steering Group, clarification of the mandate and revision of the activities of the Site Manager, establishment of a Heritage Preservation Platform	2023	Municipality, National Heritage Institute, representatives of property owners	The need to increase human resources for the management of the World Heritage property is stated in the Management Plan, but it has not yet been implemented.			
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	Establish of the site-based capacity building plan	2023-2024	Municipality, National Heritage Institute	Establish of the site-based capacity building plan is needed for further development of site management.			
6.1.12	There is <b>no</b> site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	Establish of the site-based capacity building plan	2023-2024	Municipality, National Heritage Institute	Establish of the site-based capacity building plan is needed for further development of site management.			
8	Education, Information	n and Awareness Building						
8.2	There is a Creation of programs of education and participation with education and participation with the young generation. awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs		inuously	Institute	Educational materials for children and youth have been created and educational programs are regularly implemented, but the the range could be greater.			
9	Visitor Management							
9.12	12       The       Support communication with the public and other actors on the subject of management, values and development of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made			Municipality, Destination Management of the eský Krumlov Region, National Heritage Institute	An example can be the processing of a new mobile application with the integration of dynamic functions.			
Summary	- Management Needs	completed						

### 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

**12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?** The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

### **12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?** The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

# 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being partially degraded but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has not been significantly impacted

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

### 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Among the most significant actions since the 2nd PR has been the revitalization of the group of monasteries, one of the largest areas of the estate, completed in 2015. Another project that led to the preservation of an exceptional building and at the same time brought new content to this building was the complete restoration and adaptation of building No. 232 at the castle running in 2015-2016. In 2021, work began on the revitalization of another important area - the Eggenberg brewery. In the same year, the restoration of the rococo summer house Bellarie in the castle garden began. In 2022, preparations began for the total restoration of one of the most important local monuments, the Church of St. Vitus. Actions aimed at preserving the authenticity and integrity of the property include the project from 2021, which was based on the tasks set out in the current Management Plan "Recommendations for property owners, designers and buildings, rules were set on how to take care of the buildings and how to treat them. https://data.ois.cz/files/12123-doporuceni-pro-vlastniky-nemovitosti-projektanty-a-stavebniky-v-mestske-pa matkove-rezervaci-cesky-krumlov-fasady.pdf, https://data.ois.cz/files/12122-recommendations-for-property-owners-designers-and-builders-in-the-city-mon ument-reservation-cesky-krumlov-stressni-krajina.pdf Handbook to the current Management Plan from 2019 - summarizes and briefly presents the entire Management Plan and the starting points and reasons for its preparation. The manual intended for the general public explains the meaning of the Management Plan and briefly introduces the world heritage property, justifies the vision for its preservation and also provides an overview of the proposed measures. https://data.ois.cz/files/26756-management-plan-prirucka.pdf

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

stainable Development	
nergies	
ate of Conservation	
anagement	
vernance	

### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

### 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

# **15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

16 / 8 / 40 /

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

# 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good	
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good	
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable	
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable	
ICOMOS International	Not applicable	
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable	
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable	
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable	
IUCN International	Not applicable	

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

### • Geographic information table

Reason for update: New cartographic and geographic information were sent to WHC in February 2023.

### <sup>•</sup> Map(s)

Reason for update: New cartographic and geographic information were sent to WHC in February 2023.

### • Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee Reason for update: Protection and Management requirements needs to be updated as follows: New Management Plan has been prepared in 2018.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

### 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.