

# City of Safranbolu

## 1. World Heritage Property Data

### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

City of Safranbolu

### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
	0 / 0	?	?	?	
Çukur - the Hole	41.246 / 32.693	72	?	72	1994
Kıranköy	41.247 / 32.685	11	?	11	1994
Ba lar	41.264 / 32.663	110	?	110	1994
<b>Total (ha)</b>		<b>193</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>193</b>	

### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
City of Safranbolu - Çukur and Kıranköy	2010	
City of Safranbolu - Ba lar	2010	

### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Safranbolu](#)
2. [Safranbolu City Web site](#)
3. [Turkey on the World Heritage List](#)

### Comment

<https://www.safranbolu.bel.tr/>

## 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

### 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	<b>International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection</b> (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	<b>List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection</b> (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	<b>The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List)</b> (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	<b>World Network of Biosphere Reserves</b> Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	<b>Global Geoparks Network</b> UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

## 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

### 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

### 2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

### 2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

### 2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

## 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>occasionally</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

## 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

### 2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

### 2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

## Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

### 2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

### 2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

## 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

##### Brief synthesis

The City of Safranbolu is a typical Ottoman city, with typical buildings and streets, and played a key role in the caravan trade over many centuries. The settlement developed as a trading centre after the Turkish conquest in the 11th century, and by the 13th century, it had become an important caravan station. Its layout demonstrates the organic growth of the town in response to economic expansion, and its buildings are representative of its evolving socio-economic structure up to the disappearance of the traditional caravan routes and beyond.

Safranbolu consists of three distinct historic districts; the market place area of the inner city, known as Çukur, the area of Kıranköy, and Ba lar (the Vineyards). Çukur lies in the lower part of the town and has a triangular shape defined by two rivers. Its centre is the market place, surrounded by the houses and workshops of craftsmen. The segregation of the city centre is very typical for Anatolian cities. Kıranköy was formerly a non-Muslim district, with a socio-architectural pattern similar to that in contemporary European towns, with the artisans and tradesmen living above their shops. The houses in this district are built of stone, in contrast to the wooden houses in Çukur, which illustrates how the separation of Muslim and non-Muslim quarters during the Ottoman Period enabled each community to establish settlements according to their own traditions.

The pattern of settlement in Ba lar (the Vineyards) consists of single houses set within large gardens. This district on the northwest slope of the city, looking to the south, was the summer resort for the city.

The streets in Çukur and Kıranköy are narrow and curved, creating a wider view at the corners following topographic lines, and the various consoles of the houses contribute to creating interesting street perspectives. The streets feature stone paving, sloping inwards to evacuate surface water, and older houses are half-timbered, while the spaces between the timbers are filled with various building materials. There are no windows on the street frontage, so that stone walls resemble extensions of garden walls. The main rooms on the first floors are usually panelled with built-in cupboards, fireplaces, shelves and benches. Many of the ceilings are lavishly carved and painted. The rooms serve different purposes and are connected together with halls called "sofa", which are very important elements of the house.

**Criterion (ii):** By virtue of its key role in the caravan trade over many centuries, Safranbolu enjoyed great prosperity. As a result, it set a standard in public and domestic architecture that exercised a great influence on urban development over a large area of the Ottoman Empire.

**Criterion (iv):** For centuries, the caravan trade was the main commercial link between the Orient and Europe. As a result, characteristic towns developed along its route. With the emergence of railways in the 19th century, these towns abruptly lost their *raison d'être*, and most of them were adapted to other economic purposes. After the collapse of the caravan trade, Safranbolu's proximity to the Karabük steel works gave it a new socio-economic role, although it preserved its original form and buildings to a remarkable extent.

**Criterion (v):** Safranbolu is a typical Ottoman city that displays an interesting interaction between its topography and historic settlement.

##### Integrity

The architectural features of the buildings and the street patterns continue to convey the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. The integrity of the historic settlement pattern within the three districts, Çukur, Ba lar (the Vineyards) and Kıranköy, are largely intact. Safranbolu has preserved its original appearance and buildings to a remarkable extent and the boundaries of the property are adequate to reflect the site's significance. There have been no major changes to the integrity of the property since its inscription, but it remains vulnerable to external pressures, and continuous efforts are needed to better preserve the traditional townscape and retain its integrity.

##### Authenticity

There is no doubt about the authenticity of the street layout and the general townscape of Safranbolu, which is evocative of pre-industrial Turkey. However, the level of authenticity in individual buildings is largely related to changes that have occurred in the interior parts as a response to modern needs and industrialization. With tourism growth, there has been a trend to renovate houses and turn them into tourism facilities, e.g. hotels, restaurants, etc. Although this has played an important, revitalising role and contributed to the restoration and use of vacant historic buildings, careful monitoring is required to ensure that conditions of authenticity in terms of form and design continue to be met. Some of the factors that may threaten the authenticity of the property are inadequate tourism practices, predominantly the souvenir shops within the Çar ı region, the decreasing number of experienced local masters performing restoration works, and the deterioration of the traditional houses; those factors require monitoring and appropriate management measures.

##### Protection and management requirements

The site was declared as urban and natural site according to the National Conservation Law No 2863. Management of the historic areas of Safranbolu is under the responsibility of the Municipality of Safranbolu and the approval of the Regional Conservation Council must be obtained for physical interventions and functional changes in registered buildings and conservation sites.

The Regional Conservation Council approved a conservation plan. In order to provide more efficient and integrated conservation within the property, a buffer zone was defined during the preparation process of the conservation plan and approved by the conservation council in 2008. With the conservation plan, detailed conservation and restoration principles and standards were defined and different interventions have been carried out, attempting to balance conservation and use.

Resources for conservation, maintenance and protection action are derived from tourism activities, and are reinforced by amendments to the conservation legislation.

In addition, there is a Faculty of Architecture and a Vocational High School dedicated to restoration in Safranbolu, which provide technical support to the Municipality in the field of conservation. NGOs and the University contribute to raise public awareness in the City of Safranbolu.

The management and conservation of the property require sustained investment and monitoring in order to safeguard its Outstanding Universal Value. Management and conservation tools need to be continuously revised to continue to respond to emerging trends and threats.

**3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).**

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Characteristics related to being a typical Ottoman city	✗			
3.2.2	Ottoman domestic architecture/traditional Ottoman houses	✗			
3.2.3	Interaction between its topography and historic settlement		✗		
3.2.4	the street layout and the general townscape		✗		
3.2.5	Usage of traditional construction techniques and building materials		✗		
3.2.6	Socio-architectural pattern of Kiranköy (stone houses)	✗			
3.2.7	Settlement pattern of Ba lar	✗			
3.2.8	The Old Mosque	✗			
3.2.9	The Suleyman Pasha Madrasa	✗			
3.2.10	Cinci Inn and bathhouse	✗			
3.2.11	The Arasta Bazaars	✗			
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

**3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value**

## 4. Factors Affecting the Property

### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✗		✗		✗		➡	

#### 4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside


Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✗ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✗	✗		✗	✗		➡	

 Negative							
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

#### 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
 Negative							

#### 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Cons. Plan includes provisions regarding the floor area and number of floors of new constructions to be built within the protected area. However, the potential negative impact of building development outside the property and its wider region still exists, particularly after the change in the status of 1st degree natural sites. The reinforced concrete building systems used in new buildings and inadequate restorations in some buildings may adversely affect the authenticity of the urban fabric

### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
 Negative							

#### 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
 Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

**4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**  
Due to the increase in commercial activities and the increase in the number of visitors arriving by car; vehicular traffic have some negative effects on the traditional street stone pavement.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
🔴 Negative							

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant





Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕			

#### 4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The solid waste storage center, which previously negatively affected the property, was moved out of the city. However, the effect of air pollution created by the iron and steel factory in Karabuk province may still be in question, and needs to be monitoring.

### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

##### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

##### 4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant



#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

##### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

##### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	

##### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕			

#### 4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Loss of traditional crafts and craftsmen; the change in traditional lifestyles and information system causes the loss of some intangible cultural heritage values of the area.

#### 4.9. Other human activities

##### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

**4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

##### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant










##### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕		✕	✕			→	
 Negative ✕		✕	✕			→	

##### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

##### 4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant






##### 4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕			↗

##### 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The unearthing and reopening of the flow of the city river (creek), which was covered with concrete during the 1960's, and the landscaping project around the river may have varying effects in terms of controlling flood and, other climate change related factors and then its impact needs to be monitored.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

##### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant










Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕	✕	✕		→	

##### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

##### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

##### 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

**4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively**

### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive							
➖ Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	

#### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		→	
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):










- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	↘ Decreasing	→ Stable	↗ Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			↗
➖ Negative							











#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------








	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕		✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2014):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------


































#### 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

#### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						

4.4 Pollution						
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	⊖	🔴	🟡		🟢	🟢
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses						
	⊕	🔴		🟡	🟢	🟢
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
	⊕	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢
	⊖	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	➡
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	⊖	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	⊖	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	➡
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
	⊕	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢
	⊖		🟡	🟡	🟢	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.2 Flooding						
	⊕		🟡	🟡		➡
	⊖		🟡	🟡		➡
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	⊖		🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖		🟡	🟡	🟢	➡
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
	⊖	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	➡
4.13.2 Legal framework						
	⊕	🔴		🟡	🟢	➡
4.13.3 Governance						
	⊕	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢
4.13.4 Management activities						
	⊕	🔴		🟡	🟢	🟢
4.13.5 Financial resources						
	⊕	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢
4.13.6 Human resources						
	⊕	🔴	🟡	🟡	🟢	🟢
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
	⊕		🟡	🟡	🟢	➡
Legend	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	⊖ Negative	⊕ Positive	🟡 Inside	🟢 Outside

## 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

#### 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housing							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
✕	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
✕	Significant						
	Major						
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>							
	High capacity						
✕	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>							
	Decreasing						
✕	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure							
<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>							
	Restricted						
	Localised						
✕	Extensive						
	Widespread						
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>							



	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	<div> <div>+</div> <div>📢</div> </div>	<div> <div>📍</div> </div>	<div> <div>↗</div> </div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure							

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing






### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution			
	  		

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

##### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

##### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major






##### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

##### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing












#### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	 	 	

##### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor






	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive

	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	  	 	
	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system			
			
			






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources











<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community			
			
			

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
--	--









	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	  	 	
	 	 	




<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

#### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Temperature change						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread



Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing




#### 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity

✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan			
			



<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
✕	Major






<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework			






<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised

	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.3 Governance	  	 	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	 	 	





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

<b>Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major




<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	  	 	





<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
	Localised

	Extensive
✕	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	  	 	

<b>Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor</b>	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
<b>Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact</b>	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
<b>Impact - Impact on the attributes</b>	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
<b>Management response - Capacity of management to respond</b>	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
<b>Trend - Development over the last 6 years</b>	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	 	 	

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

#### Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

#### Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

**4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor**

### 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

**4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)**

Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1 Characteristics related to being a typical Ottoman city	✕			

4.18.1.2	Ottoman domestic architecture/traditional Ottoman houses	×			
4.18.1.3	Interaction between its topography and historic settlement		×		
4.18.1.4	The street layout and the general townscape		×		
4.18.1.5	Usage of Traditional construction techniques and building materials		×		

## 5. Protection and Management of the Property

### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

#### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone, but there is a need for one**

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The property has no formal buffer zone approved by the WHC, but interaction and transgression zone of the the urban protected area determined within the scope of the relevant legislation acts as a buffer. It is recommended to determine a buffer zone within the scope of preparation of Management Plan by taking into account the natural protected areas around the property.

### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

As an "urban site to be conserved", the site is subject to the Conservation Legislation, of which some amendments provide financial support for preservation investments to the local authorities and private owners of buildings.

Action points for 2006 were:

- Establishing "Conservation, implementation and monitoring units" within the local authorities in accordance with the revised conservation legislation;
- Small scale environmental design projects are needed;
- Financial aid for the owners' needs have to be organized.

The existing protection arrangements are considered sufficiently effective.

Source: Nomination File; Periodic Reporting Cycle I

#### Comment

Following the issue of the Decree Law of 648 entered into force in 17/08/2011; the authorities regarding the protection of natural sites and natural assets that had been previously under the MoCT, have been transferred to the Ministry of Environment and Urbanization, Director General for Protection of Natural Assets; and the "Regional Commissions for the Protection of Natural Assets", which were established to take decisions regarding the natural assets. In line with this change in national legislation, the current status of the Natural Protected Areas is determined by the reports resulting from the Ecological Based Scientific Research Projects conducted for 4 consecutive seasons in line with the Regulation on the Procedures and Principles Regarding the Identification, Registration and Approval of Protected Areas, and the sensitive areas to be protected are re-registered as "qualified natural protection areas" and "sustainable protection and controlled use areas." While there is no change in the status of the "urban protected area", which covers all the boundaries of the nominated property; approximately 2 ha area within the property that were previously designated as 1st degree natural site (natural protected area) was re-registered as "sustainable protection and controlled use area." Similarly, other "natural protected areas" around the property and its wider zone were re-registered as "qualified natural protection area" and "sustainable protection and controlled use area." depending on the character of the site.

#### 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1983 / Protection of Cultural and Natural Properties Law n. 2863, 23/07/1983 as amended by Law n. 5226, 14/07/2004 / National Law / <https://teftis.ktb.gov.tr/TR-14230/2863-sayili-kultur-ve-tabiati-varliklarini-koruma-kanunu.html>

2017 / Decision n.681, 25/01/2017 of the High Council for the Protection of Cultural Properties on the "Protection and Use Provisions in 'Urban' Conservation Areas" / Decision n.681, 25/01/2017 of the High Council for the Protection of Cultural Properties (within the framework of the Law n.2863/1983) / <https://teftis.ktb.gov.tr/TR-298734/681-nolu-ilke-karari-kentsel-sitler-koruma-ve-kullanma-kosullari.html>

2005 / Regulation on the Substance and Procedures of the Establishment and Duties of the Site Management and the Monument Council and Identification of Management Sites' n.26006, 27/11/2005 / Regulation (within the framework of Law n.2863/1983) / <https://teftis.ktb.gov.tr/TR-263935/alan-yonetimi-ile-anit-eser-kurulunun-kurulus-ve-gorevleri-ile-yonetim-alanlarin-in-belirlenmesine-iliskin-usul-ve-esaslar-hakkinda-yonetmelik.html>

1985 / Planning Law n.3194; 03/05/1985 / Law / [https://webdosya.csb.gov.tr/db/tabiati/icerikler/-mar\\_kanunu\\_1.5.3194-20200221092636.pdf](https://webdosya.csb.gov.tr/db/tabiati/icerikler/-mar_kanunu_1.5.3194-20200221092636.pdf)

1983 / Environmental Law n.2872; 09/08/1983 / <https://www.mevzuat.gov.tr/mevzuat?MevzuatNo=2872&MevzuatTur=1&MevzuatTertip=5>

**5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

**5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

The property has **no buffer zone**

**5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?**

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

**5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?**

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

**5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice**

The conservation plan for the area is submitted to the Regional Conservation Council to be evaluated together with the appropriateness opinion of the local administration. After the approval of the Council, it is approved by the relevant local administration and enters into force. Implementations are carried out in line with this plan, by evaluating the relevant local administration and/or the Regional Conservation Council, on the basis of authority determined by the plan and the Council.

**5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property**

**5.3. Management System/Management Plan**

**5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property**

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

**5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.**

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

**5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property**

While there exist no Site Management Plan yet, the property is managed through the coordination of the Municipality, the Regional Directorate for Conservation Council, and other relevant institutions according to national legislation and the Conservation Plan approved in 2010 . Although Safranbolu is a district, the presence of the Regional Conservation Council here facilitates inter-institutional coordination and reduces the bureaucratic process.

**5.3.4 - Management Documents**

**Comment**

The property is managed through the coordination of the Municipality, the Regional Directorate for Conservation Council, and other relevant institutions according to nat. legislation and the Conservation Plan approved in 2010 .

**5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?**

**No use** has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

**5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.**

**5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?**

**No use** has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

**5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:**

**5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?**

**No use** has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

**5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done**

**5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;**



**local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property**

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

**5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?**

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

**5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?**

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

**5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?**

No annual work/action plan exists **despite an identified need**

**5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?**

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			✗		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✗		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women		✗			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

**5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups**

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✗	
5.3.16.5	Women				✗	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				✗	
5.3.16.7	Researchers					✗
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					✗
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					✗
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					✗
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✗	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					✗
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

**5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention***

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			✗		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✗	

5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Although there is no legal mechanism, local and central government representatives take various measures to ensure the participation of local stakeholders.

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

### 6. Financial and Human Resources

#### 6.1. Funding

**6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.**

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	40 %	40 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	60 %	60 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over the medium-term** and **planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term**

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

#### 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	%
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	%
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

#### 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor

Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Not available
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines**

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Poor
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

**6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?**

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

**6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.**

**6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?**

There is **no site-based** capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

**6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training**

**7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**

**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

**7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?**

There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

**7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?**

Research results **are shared** widely with **active outreach** to local communities and national and international audiences

**7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects**

There are regular workshops, symposiums and festivals, usually jointly organized by the faculties of the University and the municipality. These national and local events, as well as international ones, EU projects, and academic publications are all shared with the public.

**8. Education, Information and Awareness Building**

**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

**8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?**

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

**8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?**

Local communities
Youth/children
Local Visitors
Tourism industry

**8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building**

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

**8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building**

**9. Visitor Management**

**9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report**

600.000 / 400.000 / 300.000 / 1.500.000 / 1.400.00 /

**9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?**

Entry tickets and registries
Accommodation establishments
Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Regional Directorate for Culture and Tourism, Tourism Information Bureaus, and Hotel registers

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

50 / 10 / 6 / 2 / 0 / 0 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Economic revenues from tourism reinvested in local economies, from public services to create new jobs, and thus contribute to increase the living standard of the local people of the city, and

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

The municipality's "sustainable tourism certificate" and the "purple flag" application include incentives for entrepreneurs and local communities.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		✗		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		✗		

10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Not applicable
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

#### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone, but there is a need for one</b>	×
5.1.4	The property has <b>no known and recognised buffer zone</b>	
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b>	
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	
5.2.5	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is <b>acceptable capacity/resources</b> to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is <b>only partially adequate</b> to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is <b>only being partially implemented</b>	
5.3.14	There is <b>no existing annual work/action plan</b> for the property despite an identified need	

5.3.17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to gender equality</b></li> <li>• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status</b></li> <li>• In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does <b>integrate a human rights-based approach</b></li> </ul>	
6.1	<b>Funding</b>	
6.1.3	The available <b>budget is acceptable</b> but <b>could be further improved</b> to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.10	<b>No use</b> has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is <b>no site-based</b> capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>	
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	✕
8	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth <b>but it only partly meets the needs</b>	✕
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>	
9.7	There is <b>a strategy</b> to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are <b>some deficiencies in implementation</b>	
9.9	There is <b>some management</b> of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	✕
10	<b>Monitoring</b>	
10.1	There is <b>a small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	✕
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage <b>property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved</b>	
Please select 0 more issues.		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Please save this question to reflect changes		

## 12. Summary and Conclusions

### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.1	Housing	All criteria and attributes esp. "the street layout and the general townscape", "Characteristics related to being a typical Ottoman city", etc.	Continue to control the development pressure within the boundaries of the property and its surroundings; monitor the implementation of Conservation Plan.	Number of planning applications in the WHS and its wider area; the number of decisions taken by Regional Preservation Council	Ongoing	Safranbolu Municipality	none
4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	All criteria; Attributes incl. "the street layout and the general townscape", "Characteristics related to being a typical Ottoman city", etc.	To control vehicle traffic and parking lots in the historical city center; a 1/500 plan was prepared in which interventions were determined for pedestrianization. The action plan also includes solutions for people with disabilities.	Implementation of transportation plan; % of visitors arriving or travelling within the WHS by other means than car, etc.	Ongoing	Safranbolu Municipality	none
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.4	Air pollution	All criteria and attributes esp. major monumental buildings and Ottoman domestic architecture	Assess the air quality; the level of air pollution, and its impact on the degradation of surfaces of historical buildings and monuments.	The documents regarding the process of collecting and measuring the air pollution and its impact on the historic monuments.	ongoing	Provincial Directorate of Environment, Urbanization and Climate Change; Safranbolu Municipality,	none

4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	All criteria; Attributes esp. "Usage of traditional construction techniques and building materials";	Continue to develop ways to promote heritage values and local community involvement through heritage festivals (Safran festival etc.), educational and awareness raising activities, slow city (citta-slow) applications etc.	No systematic monitoring is in place yet, but monitoring will be conducted through key indicators to be defined within the context of the preparation of the management plan.	Continue to develop ways to promote heritage values and local community involvement through heritage festivals (Safran festival etc.), educational and awareness raising activities, slow city (citta-slow) applications etc. ;	Safranbolu Municipality; Universities and all relevant ins.	none
4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	All criteria; Attributes esp. "Usage of traditional construction techniques and building materials";	Continue to develop ways to promote heritage values and local community involvement through heritage festivals (Safran festival etc.), educational and awareness raising activities, slow city (citta-slow) applications etc.	No systematic monitoring is in place yet, but monitoring will be conducted through key indicators to be defined within the context of the preparation of the management plan.	Continue to develop ways to promote heritage values and local community involvement through heritage festivals (Safran festival etc.), educational and awareness raising activities, slow city (citta-slow) applications etc.	Safranbolu Municipality; Universities and all relevant ins.	none
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	All criteria; Attributes esp. "Usage of traditional construction techniques and building materials";	Continue to develop ways to promote heritage values and local community involvement through heritage festivals (Safran festival etc.), educational and awareness raising activities, slow city (citta-slow) applications etc.	No systematic monitoring is in place yet, but monitoring will be conducted through key indicators to be defined within the context of the preparation of the management plan.	Long term	Safranbolu Municipality; Universities and all relevant ins.	none
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	All criteria and attributes	Develop specific policies and strategies for managing tourism at the property within the context of the prep. of MP. This includes a detailed analysis of causal factors, the number of visitors, the types of activity, an overall visitor profile, etc.	No systematic monitoring is in place yet, but monitoring will be conducted through key indicators to be defined within the scope of the preparation of the management plan.	Ongoing	Safranbolu Municipality, Provincial Directorate of Culture and Tourism	none
4.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.6	Temperature change	All criteria and attributes	Monitor the change of the frequency, intensity and seasonality of extreme events such as droughts, fires, heavy precipitations, floods, storms; and assess the potential negative impacts on the property	Number of extreme weather events; documents and condition survey results regarding the measurement of their impacts on the property	Ongoing	Ministry of Environment, Urb. and Climate Change, and all other relevant stakeholders	none
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2	Earthquake	All criteria and attributes	AFAD (Disaster and Emergency Conditions Management Directorate) is working on producing reports for earthquake risks in sites	Reports of the relevant institutions	Ongoing	AFAD; Safranbolu Municipality	none
4.13	Management and institutional factors						



4.13.1	Management system/Management plan	All the criteria and attributes that convey the OUV.	Prepare a World Heritage Site Management Plan	The number of meetings, corresponds, workshops etc. for the preparation of an integrated management plan, the completion of the management plan	Ongoing	Safranbolu Municipality, The MoCT, Directorate General for Cultural Heritage and Museums,	Safranbolu Municipality has made an application for the transfer of the authority for the preparation of the MP Within the framework of the relevant laws and regulations.
--------	-----------------------------------	--	---	---	---------	---	--

#### Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

## 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone, but there is a need for one</b>	Define a buffer zone which will provide an enhanced protection for all the key attributes, values, authenticity and integrity of the property. The work will be conducted within the context of preparation of Management Plan.	Develop within 1 year	Safranbolu Municipality, The MoCT, Directorate General for Cultural Heritage and Museums,	The boundaries of the management area need to be delineated by taking account of organic linkages between cultural and natural areas and the wider settings.

5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.5	An <b>adequate legal framework</b> exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, <b>but there are some deficiencies in implementation</b> which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Monitor implementation of decisions taken by the regional preservation council, and other relevant official bodies to ensure an effective implementation of the current legal framework	ongoing	Safranbolu Municipality, Regional Preservation Council	none

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.5	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	Give consideration to the integration of the HUL approach into the management plan to be prepared.	Years 5	Safranbolu Municipality	none
5.3.9	<b>No use</b> has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	Integrate the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties within the management plan to be prepared.	Years 5	Safranbolu Municipality	none

5.3.11	There is <b>coordination</b> between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, <b>but it could be improved</b>	Increase the coordination between different administrative bodies through the process of preparation of a management plan for the site	ongoing	Safranbolu Municipality and other relevant administratives	none
6.1	<b>Funding</b>				
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	Increase the capacity of the Human Resources for the management need of the property	2 years	Safranbolu Municipality	none
7	<b>Scientific Studies and Research Projects</b>				
7.2	There is <b>considerable research</b> in the World Heritage property but it is <b>not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value</b>	Develop specific research programmes for the management needs and improving understanding of OUV	5 years	Universities and other relevant inst.	none
8	<b>Education, Information and Awareness Building</b>				
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth <b>but it only partly meets the needs</b>	Improve the current education programmes to increase awareness of the WH property and its attributes of OUV among children and youth, and increase publication oeducation materials (guidebook etc.) to be used within the scope of the training program.	2+ years	Provincial Directorate of Culture and Tourism; Provincial Directorate of Education; Universities	none
9	<b>Visitor Management</b>				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property <b>is acceptable but improvements could be made</b>	Improve the current strategies and mechanisms that have been used for the interpretation and presentation of OUV, Prepare a visitor management plan to effectively manage visitors, tourism activities and its impact	2+years	Safranbolu Municipality; Provincial Directorate of Culture and Tourism	none
10	<b>Monitoring</b>				
10.1	There is a <b>small amount of monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	Define key indicators for monitoring at the WH within the context of preparation of the management plan	Safranbolu Municipality	2 + years	none

Summary - Management Needs completed

## 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

### 12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

### 12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

### 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

### 12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

## 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Very positive
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Very positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Very positive
Security	Not applicable
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

## 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Derenin açılması, projenin üniv. ve mimarlar odası i birli i ile hazırlanması soküm , ustalar, hizmet elemanlarının yeti tirilmesi, e itim

### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation
Management

## 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
-------------------------------

The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

### 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Fair

## 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

## 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
UNESCO National Commission
Local communities
Non-Governmental Organizations
ICOMOS national/regional

### 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been **explicitly considered** in the process **but there are still deficiencies in the implementation.**

### 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

120 / 48 / 90 /
-----------------

### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

## 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

**Most** required information was accessible.

### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

##### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	Fair
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

##### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

##### 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

##### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

#### 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

##### 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

#### 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

##### 15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.