Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Historic Centre of Novgorod (west) and the Novgorod Kremlin	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Historic Centre of Novgorod (east) with the Yaroslav's Court Cluster and Our Lady of the Sign Monastery	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Zverin Monastery and its environs	0/0	?	?	0	1992
St Anthony Monastery	0/0	?	?	0	1992
The Nativity of Christ Church in the Field	0/0	?	?	0	1992
The Church of Our Saviour at Nereditsa	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Remains of the Annunciation Church at Gorodishche	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Peryn Monastery	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Yuriev Monastery	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Churches on Miachino Lake: St John the Alms Giver Church and the Resurrection Church	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Sts Peter and Paul Church on Silnishche	0/0	?	?	0	1992
Total (ha)			0		

Comment

During the retrospective inventory, the nomination, the total number of clusters, their coordinates and areas, and data on buffer zones were clarified. The unique archaeological cultural layer of the city, which is characterized by sterility and can be accurately dated using dendrochronology methods, was considered in a special way. A retrospective inventory of the Site has been sent to the UNESCO World Heritage Center.

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings - Map of the inscribed property	1990	
Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings - Map of the buffer zone	1990	

Comment

There are maps dated 1990. During the retrospective inventory, new cartographic material was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the UNESCO World Heritage Center.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Welcome to Novgorod the Great / Veliky Novgorod
- 2. Novgorodmuseum (in Russian)

Comment

https://novgorodmuseum.ru/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

	•		•
		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not
		designated and/or protected under this	designated and/or protected under this
		convention/programme	convention/programme

2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)	×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))	×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks	×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	

2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.7.2 - We are not party to the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict. 2.7.4, 2.7.5 - No contact due to another topic of the object

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

- 2.10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware
- 2.11 Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

- 2.12 Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.
- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The town of Novgorod, the earliest documentary reference to which dates from the 9th century, lay on the trade routes linking the Baltic and Scandinavian countries of northern Europe with Central Asia and Byzantium.

A serial property, the Historic Monuments of Novgorod and its Surroundings have a direct relation to the process of establishment of the Old Russian state in 9th-10th centuries. The urban aristocracy that governed the city-republic through a People's Assembly (Vece) invited a prince from the Swedish (Varangian) dynasty of the Rurikids to reign over the Russian lands throughout 700 years.

Due to the care of its Orthodox archbishops, Novgorod was one of the oldest and most important centres of Russian art and, more generally, of Russian culture. The most ancient Russian Old Church Slavonic manuscripts (11th century) were written at Novgorod, including an autonomous historiography (as early as the 12th century) and, in particular, the first complete translation into Slavonic of the Old and New Testaments (late 15th century). Novgorod was a birthplace of the national style of stone architecture, and one of the oldest national schools of painting.

The majority of historical monuments are associated with Novgorod Republic (12th-15th centuries) which itself was a unique phenomenon of Medieval Russia. It was only after the conquest of the two republics (1478, in the case of Novgorod) by the Muscovite rulers that the present Russian capital acquired cultural supremacy.

Novgorod's historic monuments are situated not only in the city centre but also in some outlying areas. In Novgorod itself, there is the district of Saint Sophia, including the Kremlin with its 15th-century fortifications, reinforced in the 17th century; the church of St. Sophia from the mid-11th century; and other monuments from the 12th to 19th centuries. There are monuments in the commercial district, including many of the oldest churches in the town, such as the Church of the Transfiguration, decorated with frescoes at the end of the 14th century by Theophanes the Greek, who was the teacher of Andrei Rublev. There are also four religious monuments from the 12th and 13th centuries outside the old town, including the famous Saviour Church on Nereditsa.

The outstanding archaeological and cultural layers of Novgorod of 10th-17th centuries occupy an area of about 347 ha, with a depth of 7-8 metres and are waterlogged and anaerobic, thus preserving organic materials.

Criterion (ii): An outstanding cultural centre, the birthplace of the national style of stone architecture, and one of the oldest national schools of painting, the town of Novgorod influenced the development of Russian art throughout the Middle Ages.

Criterion (iv): With the broad range of monuments conserved in Novgorod, the town is a veritable conservatory of Russian architecture of the Middle Ages and later periods (11th-19th centuries). These monuments alone suffice to illustrate the development of Russian architecture.

Criterion (vi): Novgorod was one of the major centres of Russian culture and spirituality; its monuments and the treasures they house bear living witness to this.

Integrity

The property Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings is a serial property, and the integrity of each of its components is provided by the buffer and protection zones. The attributes that express the Outstanding Universal Value can be found within the boundaries and prove the significant importance of the property as an an integral architectural ensemble that evolved harmoniously over the centuries. A significant proportion of the archaeological remains are within the boundaries of the property.

The components' attributes as well as the dynamic and functional links between them remain undamaged and completely meet the criteria of Outstanding Universal Value. The property suffers from a number of pressures relating to development, infrastructure and environmental change.

Authenticity

The Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings meet the criteria of authenticity, as their foundation dates and authorship are documented and confirmed by field studies.

The archaeological and cultural layer of the city has high authenticity and is dated by highly developed means of dendrochronology, which have received a worldwide recognition.

All the components of this World Heritage Property possess the characteristics of original artefacts. This serves as a basis for their use in international tourism. The monuments of the property have been conserved since the end of the 19th century and restoration activities were always preceded by overall research to ensure that materials identical to the originals would be used. This also had a positive impact on the understanding and conservation of the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

As a result, this World Heritage Property possesses attributes that fully express its Outstanding Universal Value.

Protection and management requirements

All the components of the property Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings are within the boundaries of the historical, architectural and archaeological nature reserve established by the Resolution of the Council of People's Deputies of Novgorod Region No. 366, dated 25 September 1985. The archaeological and cultural layer is under protection of the Regulation of the Council of Ministers of the Russian Federation No. 624, dated 4 December 1974.

Consequently, scheduled controls of the buildings within the nature reserve are constantly being performed, and ensure that archaeological excavations are undertaken before construction activities are carried out. Security zones of architectural monuments have been developed and approved. Since 2014, the Committee of State Protection of Cultural Heritage Sites of the Novgorod Region has been acting as a management group on the protection and management of the World Heritage property. In 1997, the Novgorod City Administration approved a cadastral plan of Cultural and Historical Heritage Sites of Novgorod, which improved the monitoring system.

Federal funds are used for the conservation of components of the World Heritage property administered by the Novgorod nature reserve as museum pieces, as well as the monuments managed by religious institutions. The monuments overseen by the City Administration are conserved by means of the municipal budget. From the last decade of the 20th century, activities have been carried out aiming at conservation of the property and have enabled the removal of ascertained threats of either development or neglect. The existing protection and management systems are considered effective.

Further improvements to the property could include: promotion of the property by local communities, enterprises, regional government and visitors; provision of adequate human resources for the management and monitoring of the property; research-based management; improvements to the monitoring of monuments; enhancement of the mechanisms to finance restorations; improvement of the visitor management system; development of scientific research projects with the participation of research studies institutes as a source of expert knowledge; continuation of archaeological studies; examination of the pressures exerted on the property; and study of the flow of tourists.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Urban planning characteristics of buildings and ensembles	×			
3.2.2	Landscape characteristics	×			
3.2.3	Views and their interrelations	×			
3.2.4	Architectural and structural characteristics	×			
3.2.5	Architectural and artistic characteristics	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Toponimic heritage, although intangible, is a significant factor in preserving Novgorod cultural identity and is an integral part of the components of the World Heritage Site "Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings", along with the material architectural and artistic attributes. All components of the World Heritage Site "Historic Monuments of Novgorod and Surroundings" are used for museum display or for their original purpose - as religious sites of the Russion Orthodox Church.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×	S		

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Ori		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×		×	>		

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			/
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	Origin		Trend of impact	
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			-
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

≭ Relevant	Relevant			Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			→	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant ** Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not	relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors	selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

positively

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow		

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Polovent	V Net relevant
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	ı	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact				Trend of impact				
Impact		Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×			7		
Negative									

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive	Positive							

○ Negative X X X

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Impact Current 9 F		Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	Increasing	
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		→	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	ppact		Inside	© Outside	➤ Decreasing → Stable ✓ Inc		Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		→	

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact			• Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×			×		→			

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Impact O Positive Negative X Potential X		Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X				×		→	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant	1	Not relevant						
	Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	pact Potential		Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing → Stable ✓ Increasing		Increasing	
Positive								
○ Negative X	×			×		→		

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			gin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

An increase in annual rainfall is one of the impacts of climate change on a World Heritage property.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			in Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×	×		×		\Rightarrow	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Jin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin	Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin				
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin	igin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact Origin						
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact			Origin Trend of impact			
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			-

4.13.6 - Human resources

Negative

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

≭ Relevant		Not relevant						
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative X	×		×	×		→		

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	i		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
Buildings and Development 1 Housing 2 Commercial development 4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure 5 Interpretative and visitation facilities Transportation Infrastructure 1 Ground transport infrastructure						
			9		Œ	•
Commercial development A Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
			9		Œ	S
1.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure		A	q	•	Œ	1
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	•	9	9	•	G	/
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	•	9	9		G	\rightarrow
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure						

		q	q		G	\rightarrow
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
		q	q	•		→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities						
				•	<i>€</i>	→
4.4 Pollution		,	,	3	3	
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
					<i>€</i>	→
4.4.3 Surface water pollution		•	•			
4.40 Gariago Mator portation		a Til	ma .		/eF	_
A A A A Society of the Control of th		7	7		9	_
4.4.4 Air pollution						
			4		G	→
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		4	9	•	F	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
		q	9		F	\rightarrow
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
			9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.3 Temperature						
		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.5 Dust						
		9	4	•	Œ	→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		q	9	•	F	→
4.7.7 Pests						
		4	9		G	→
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
		q	9	•	Œ	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	9	9	•	F	→
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	O	9	9	•	F	→
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	0	9	9	•	(→
4.9.6 Impacts of tourism Nicitation/Degraphics	O			()	(F	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	-1	-1	Q	G	
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities		<i>-</i> 23	<i>-</i> 23		net .	•
		9	9		F	3
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		9	9		G	→

4.9.5 Terrorism			9		Œ	⇒
4.9.6 Civil unrest			·			
			9		Œ	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
			9		G	→
4.10.2 Flooding		~3	~3		~	
		4	4		G	→
4.10.6 Temperature change		ma .	<i>(</i> 1)	(<i>78</i> 8	_
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts		7	7		G	
			9		Œ	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
		9	9		Œ	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9		©	\rightarrow
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	•	9	9		Œ	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	0	9	9		G	→
140.0		-21	<i>-</i> 21		od.	
4.13.3 Governance	•	9	4		G	→
4.13.4 Management activities	O	q	a		₹	→
4.13.5 Financial resources	•	q	q		Œ	,
4.13.6 Human resources	0	q	9		G	→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	0	q	9	•	G	→
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	0	9	9	•	(§	→
		4		•	G	
Legend	Insi	de		Outside	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			

			9		G	•
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
Nama		lmmaat		Origin		Trend
Name 4.1.2 Comm	nercial development	Impact		Origin		Trend
	·		g		Œ	S
			•			
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					

Minor

×

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	9	9	•	ઉ	7
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	retative and visitation facilities	Impact	a		Origin	100	Trend

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised X Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Localised X Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Intermittent or sporadic X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
X Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Management response - Capacity of management to respond
Management response - Capacity of management to respond
M. Disk sensels.
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years
Decreasing
Static

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	O	9	9		Œ	\rightarrow		
Spatial sca	lle - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted								
	Localised								
	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
×	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
	On-going								
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes								

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure						
		9	9		G	→

		~	~3	-	
		H	4	G	→
Spatial se	cale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
Impact - I	impact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impac	1		Origin		Trend
4.3.4 L	ocalised utilities						
			9	9	•		\rightarrow
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manag	ement response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impac	i		Origin		Trend
4.3.5 M	ajor linear utilities						
			9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.2 Grou	nd water pollution	ə q					
			9	9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial age	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
		q	9		G	→

		4	4	G.	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going .				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.4.4 Air pollution						
		q	9		Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
×	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
×	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste									
		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow			

Spatial sacricated A calcided Localised Localised A intermited Temportal bourse of the impact X			4	4	(G	\rightarrow
Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal = Cocurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Impact = Impa	Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
Extensive Widespread Tomport = Cocurrence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Prequent On-going Impact = Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Minor Management to respond Management to respond X Alignificant Minor Management to respond X One off or rare Management to respond Management to respond X One off or rare Management to respond Management t	×	Restricted					
Temporal state - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Impact - Impact to the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years Decreasing		Localised					
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent One-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Impa		Extensive					
Intermittent or sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Frequent Chr-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity of management or several capacity Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Widespread					
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Impact - Impact - Impact on the attributes Impact - Imp	Tempoi	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing	×	One off or rare					
Impact - Trend - Decreasing On-going On-going Impact - Trend - Decreasing Impact - Impact on the attributes Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Insignif		Intermittent or sporadic					
Insignificant Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Devreasing Decreasing		Frequent					
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		On-going On-going					
Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond Migh capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing	Impact	- Impact on the attributes					
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing	×	Insignificant					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Minor					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Significant					
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Major					
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing	Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond					
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing	×	High capacity					
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Medium capacity					
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing		Low capacity					
Decreasing		No capacity and / or resources					
	Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
X Static		Decreasing					
	×	Static					

Name

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 Wi	nd						
			q	9		Œ	→
Snatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Re	lative humidity		~	~3			
			q	9	•	F	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						

Impact

Origin

Trend

Intermittent or sporadic

One off or rare

	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temp	erature	_					
			q	9	•	(F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial sca	Restricted						
Spatial sca							
	Restricted						
	Restricted Localised						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive						
×	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
× Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant						
X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major						
X Temporal s X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
X Temporal s X Impact - Im X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.5 Dust							
		q	9	•	(\rightarrow	

		4	4	•	C.	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact	act		ipact		ct				Origin	Origin	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)												
			9	•	Œ	\rightarrow						

Snatial co	ale - Area affected by the factor
Spatial Sca	ale - Alea allected by the lactor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.7 Pests							
			q	9		ઉ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.8 Micro	4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
			9	9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritua	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		q	9	•	Œ	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.2 Socie	y's valuing of heritage	O	9	9	•	ઉ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chan	ges in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	O	P	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
				9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9	4	•	ઉ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						

Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

A.9.1 lliegal activities	Name	Name		Impact			Origin		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor X Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity No capacity of resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	4.9.1 Illega	4.9.1 Illegal activities							
X Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years				A	9		G	S	
Localised Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
Extensive Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	Restricted							
Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Localised							
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact X One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Extensive							
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Widespread							
Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact							
Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	One off or rare							
Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Intermittent or sporadic							
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Frequent							
Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		On-going On-going							
X Minor Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond X High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Insignificant							
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	Minor							
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Significant							
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Major							
Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	×	High capacity							
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Medium capacity							
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		Low capacity							
		No capacity and / or resources							
No. Description	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
The creasing	×	Decreasing							
Static		Static							

Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
		9	9		G	\rightarrow

			-1	-1		G	_	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
×	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - In	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
	Minor							
×	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond							
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.9.5 Terrorism						
			9		(\rightarrow

Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						

	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.6 Civil u	nrest					
			9		(\Rightarrow
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

.10.1 Storms			Origin		Trend	
				Œ		
			9		Œ	→
matical and a Aven offseted by the factor						
ipatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						
Localised						
Extensive						
Widespread						
emporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
One off or rare						
Intermittent or sporadic						
Frequent						
On-going						
mpact - Impact on the attributes						
Insignificant						
Minor						
Significant						
Major						
lanagement response - Capacity of management to respond						
K High capacity						
Medium capacity						
Low capacity						
No capacity and / or resources						
rend - Developement over the last 6 years						
Decreasing						
Static						
Increasing						
lame	Impact			Origin		Trend
.10.2 Flooding						
		9	9		F	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.6 Tem	perature change		_	_			
			9	9	•	C	\Rightarrow
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						

Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.7 Oth	er climate change impacts						
		•		9		\rightarrow	
Spatial so	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	Restricted						

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
		9	9		G	\rightarrow

			q	9		Œ	→	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor								
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
×	One off or rare							

	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
^	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.11.6 Fire	wildfire)					
			9		G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.13.1 Man	agement system/Management plan	O	9	9		(\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name 4.13.2 Lega	al framework	Impact	q	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
			•	•		4	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Gove	rnance	O	9	9		F	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	WIIIO						
	Significant						
×							
	Significant						
	Significant Major						
Manageme	Significant Major It response - Capacity of management to respond						
Manageme	Significant Major It response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity						

Irend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
~	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Mana	gement activities	O	9	9		ઉ	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	ncial resources	Impact		q	Origin	Œ	Trend
4. 13.3 Fillal	icial resources		9	7		9	
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						

	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	an resources	Impact	q	q	Origin	Œ	Trend
			•	•		3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						

Trend - Develo	pement over the last 6 years						
De	ecreasing						
× Si	tatic						
In	creasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low imp	pact research/monitoring activities	O	q	9	•	G	→
Spatial scale -	Area affected by the factor						
Re	estricted						
Lo	ocalised						
X Ex	xtensive						
W	lidespread						
Temporal scale	e - Occurence of the impact						
0	ne off or rare						
In	termittent or sporadic						
X Fr	requent						
0	n-going						
Impact - Impac	ct on the attributes						
In	significant						
М	inor						
× Si	ignificant						
М	ajor						
Management r	esponse - Capacity of management to respond						
× Hi	igh capacity						
М	edium capacity						
Lo	ow capacity						
Ne	o capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Develo	perment over the last 6 years						
De	ecreasing						
× St	tatic						
In	creasing						
Name	pact research/monitoring activities	Impact	q	9	Origin	F	Trend
4. 13.0 mgm m	pact research monitoring activities		9	-1	Q	·	→
	Area affected by the factor						
	estricted						
	ocalised						
	xtensive						
	lidespread						
	e - Occurence of the impact						

	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Questions 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 4.1.4, 4.1.5, 4.2.1, 4.2.4, 4.3.4, 4.3.5, 4.4.2, 4.4.3, 4.4.5, 4.7.1, 4.7.2, 4.7.3, 4.7.5, 4.7.6, 4.7.7, 4.7.8, 4.8.1, 4.8.2, 4.8.4, 4.8.6, 4.9.1, 4.9.2, 4.9.5, 4.9.6, 4.10.1, 4.10.2, 4.10.6, 4.10.7, 4.11.5, 4.11.6, 4.13.1, 4.13.2, 4.13.3, 4.13.4, 4.13.5, 4.13.6, 4.13.7, 4.13.8 are relevant for 604-001 to 604-011.

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Urban planning characteristics of buildings and ensembles	×			
4.18.1.2	Landscape characteristics	×			
4.18.1.3	Viewes and their interrelations	×			
4.18.1.4	Architectural and structural characteristics	×			
4.18.1.5	Architectural and artistic characteristics	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

- Federal law of 25.06.2002 73-FZ "On Cultural Heritage Properties (Monuments of History and Culture) of the Peoples of the Russian Federation"
- Regulations on the Protection Zones of Cultural Heritage Sites (Monuments of History and
- Culture) of the Nations of the Russian Federation (enacted by Decree of the Government
- of the Russian Federation, 2008);
- Law on Transfer to Religious Organisations of Assets Having a Religious Intended
- Purpose and Representing State or Municipal Property (2010)

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2001 / Land code of the Russian Federation / The RF Land code from 25.10.2001 136-FZ / Art. 99 of the Code also constrains business activities and establishes restrictions and protection zones for lands of historical and cultural significance. / http://www.consultant.ru/document/cons_doc_LAW_33773/

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Protection of cultural heritage sites occurs along three "vectors": general legislative basis (Russian and regional laws); regulatory documents defining the composition of sites, subjects for protection, boundaries of territories and protection zones of cultural heritage sites and modes of their use; regulatory framework and procedure for monitoring and overseeing the protection and preservation of cultural heritage sites.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

Federal Law 73-FZ «On cultural heritage sites (monuments of history and culture) of the peoples of the Russion Federation» prohibits new construction and economic development on cultural heritage sites that may violate requirements for preservation. The law identifies measures of preservation of cultural heritage. These include: conservation, repair, restoration, adaptive use, and research related to the former, design and construction work, and technical supervision.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Within the limits of their competence and official duties, all state bodies interact to ensure protection of the components and attributes of the OUV of the Heritage site. For more effective interaction with society and commercial organizations, residents of the city, for development of integrated policies for users of the components, for the implementation of the management lan, it is necessary to create an executive coordinating body for managing the Site.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Management plan 2021-2026 for Novgorod	N/A	Available	2020	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The policy for dealing with development proposals is fully based on the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The main document regulating urban development are the Master Plan of Novgorod the Great and the "Rules for Land Use and Development in Novgorod". Among the priorities listed in the Master Plan is preservation and use of cultural heritage sites. Master Plan contains sections for territorial planning, zones are: residential, public and business, industrial, recreational, engineering and transport infrastructures, zones for the protection of cultural heritage.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

The risk management policy is fully based on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

In accordance with international standards, all components of the World Heritage property have plans to reduce the risks and mitigate the effects of natural and man-made disasters. All objects have a safety data sheet. Full monitoring of all components of the World Heritage property is carried out to identify potential threats, analyze risks and ways to overcome them.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				

5.3.16.6	Youth/Children		×		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	12 %	32 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	88 %	68 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	30 %	30 %
6.1.6.2	Women	70 %	70 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Development of knowledge, abilities, skills and behavior of people directly responsible for the conservation and management of heritage sites, capacity Building for Institutions and Wider Audience, strengthening of Networks and Communication Channels.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

The Novgorod State integated Museum-Reserve (NGOMZ) annually holds conferences, seminars, and round tables dedicated to scientific research and interpretation of the World Heritage Site. NGOMZ produces several scientific publications and scientific periodicals based on the results of scientific conferences every year. he Center for the Restoration of Monumental Painting is working on the scientific restoration of the frescoes of the components.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Good
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	Russian Orthodox Church

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Local/municipal authorities	
Women	

Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Good
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Good
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

The number of visits to the Novgorod Kremlin and other components of the Heritage Site managed by NGOMZ reaches one million per year. NGOMZ works with museum visitors on the basis of an inclusive approach, in accordance with international standards, and in accordance with the state program of the Russian Federation "Accessible Environment" for 2011-2025.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

916974 / 806788 / 408588 / 900498 / 831090 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

The Novgorod Stete integated Museum-Reserve annual reports, form 8NK.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

60 / 50 / 10 / 12 / 10 / 20 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Expert opinion of the head of the scientific and educational service of the Novgorod Stete integated Museum-Reserve.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

A strategy for the socio-economic development of the Novgorod region has been developed. This project supports local tourism initiatives and the formation of integrated tourism programs and routes.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, through the UNESCO Tourism Management Assessment Tool

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

In terms of museum activities, we ensure the sustainable development of tourism by managing the following indicators: protection of cultural heritage, visitor flow management when visiting cultural sites.

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Benefits from tourism development for the local population: job creation, income growth, revival of local cultural values, development of folk art, traditions, customs, folk crafts, creative industries.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

At the regional level, a strategy for the socio-economic development of the region has been adopted, within the framework of which a section "Tourism" has been developed, which sets out indicators for the development of the tourism industry, including the development of certain types of tourism, criteria for economic efficiency, target indicators for managing the tourist flow, and the formation of integrated tourism programs and routes.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations	×			
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good

Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
Pleas	e select 0 more issues.	
☑ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development								
4.1.1	Housing	Criteria - (ii), (iv); 3.2.2 Landscape characteristics	Views of the ensembles and buildings from open areas - the Volkhov river basins, Lake Ilmen, city streets and squares, viewing platforms and high points.	Determining the impact on outstanding universal value.	once a year	Directorate of the Ministry of culture of the Russian Federation for the Northwestern Federal District	Legal protection, status of the World Heritage site, development and approval of borders and modes of land use of the buffer zone.		
4.4	Pollution								
4.4.4	Air pollution	Architectural and artistic characteristics	Monitoring, The program "Environmental Protection of the Novgorod Region for 2014 -2024"	Monitoring of air pollution	Regularly	Environmental monit services, the Novgor integated Museum-R	od State	None	
4.7	4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion - iv. Architectural and structural characteristics, Architectural and artistic characteristics	Monitoring and control, mitigation measures in case of need	Monitoring of monuments	Regularly	The Novgorod State in Museum-Reserve	ntegated	None	

4.7.5	Dust	Architectural and artistic characteristics	Monitoring, The program Environmental Protection of the Novgorod Region for 2014 -2024".	Monitoring of paint coating	once a year	The Novgorod integated Musi		Visual monitoring components of the Site in use by The State integated Museum-Reserv out by The Novg integated Museus staff.	he Heritage ne Novgorod re is carried porod State
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Criterion - iv. Architectural and structural characteristics, Architecturand artistic characteristics	Measures to control water disposal	Monitoring of architectural monuments	Reg	jularly	The Novgorod St Museum-Reserve	•	None
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	Criterion - iv. Architectural and structural characteristics, Architectural and artistic characteristics		Monitoring of paint coating, monitoring of constructs	once a year	The Novgorod Si Museum-Reserv	e	Visual monitoring components of the Site in use by The State integated Museum-Reserve out by The Novgo integated Museum staff.	e Heritage e Novgorod e is carried prod State
4.9	Other human	n activities							
4.9.2	Deliberate destruction of heritage	Criteria - (ii), (iv), (vi); 3.2.4 Architectural and structural characteristics, 3.2.5 Architectural and artistic characteristics		J		e years Minist Russi the No	torate of the try of culture of the an Federation for orthwestern al District	Russian Fed the Northwe	culture of the deration for estern trict responds agarding the dout within ries of the
4.10	Climate char	nge and severe weather eve	ents						
4.10.6	Temperature change Criteria - (iv); 3.2.4 Monit Architectural and structural characteristics, 3.2.5 Architectural and artistic characteristics		Monitoring			once a The Novgorod State integated Museum-Reserve		Criterion - iv. Visual monitoring of the components of the Heritage Site in use by The Novgorod State integated Museum-Reserve is carried out by The Novgorod State integated Museum-Reserve staff.	
4.11	Sudden ecol	logical or geological events							
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire) Criterion - (iv); 3.2.4 Architectural and structural characteristics, 3.2.5 Architectural and artistic characteristics Fire protection measures are provided for all architectural monuments.		measures are provided for all architectural	Drawing up status reports in case of fire.	status reports of fire. culture of the Russian		ussian ne ederal District, State integated	components of the Heritage Site in use by The Novgorod rict, State integated	
4.13	Managemen	t and institutional factors							
4.13.8	High impact research/monitori activities	Criterion - (iv); 3.2.4 Architectural and structural characteristics, 3.2.5 Architectural and artistic characteristics	Conducting research studies with the preparation of acts of the technical condition of architectural monuments.	Monitoring of architectural monuments.	once a year		n Federal Novgorod ted	Visual monitorial components of Site in use by T Novgorod State Museum-Reser out by The Nov integated Muse staff and Direct Ministry of culture Russian Federa	the Heritage The integated ve is carried gorod State eum-Reserve orate of the

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment

5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	Planned to use the Policy Paper on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Sites.	In the next	5 years	The Novgorod State integated Museum-Reserve	It is an important factor for facility management.
6.1	Funding					
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Increasing the budget to f the needs of the manager the World Heritage proper	ment of	In the next 5 years	The Novgorod State integated Museum-Reserve	It is an important factor for facility management.

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Very positive
Infrastructure development	Very positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Very positive
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable

Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Very positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Very positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Very positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Within the framework of the joint project of the Russian Federation and the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development "Preservation and use of the cultural heritage of Russia" in 2016-2018, restoration work was carried out with the conservation of the Church of the Annunciation on Gorodische, 12-14 centuries. At the same time, archaeological work was carried out at the site. As a result, the ruins of the Church of the Annunciation have been turned into an object of museum display as material evidence of the existence of the prince's residence on Gorodische.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

200 / 10 / 100 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire				×
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions				×

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Good
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Good
ICOMOS national/regional	Good
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Geographic information table

Reason for update: During the retrospective inventory, the nomination, the total number of clusters, their coordinates and areas, and data on buffer zones were clarified. The unique archaeological cultural layer of the city, which is characterized by sterility and can be accurately dated using dendrochronology methods, was considered in a special way. A retrospective inventory of the Site has been sent to the UNESCO World Heritage Center.

Map(s)

Reason for update: There are maps dated 1990. During the retrospective inventory, new cartographic material was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the UNESCO World Heritage Center.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.