Monastery of Horezu

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Monastery of Horezu

Comment

The name change of the World Heritage property, from "Monastery of Horezu" to "Hurezi Monastery", is being considered. The current name is associated to the city situated nearby - Horezu, while the historic and recognized name of the monastery is actually the "Hurezi Monastery". The minor modification is being prepared and it will be submitted to the World Heritage Committee according to the Operational Guidelines.

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Monastery of Horezu	45.183 / 24.017	22.48	57.29	79.77	1993
Total (ha)		22.48	57.29	79.77	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Monastery of Horezu - inscribed property and buffer zone	2010	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. The Horezu monastery
- 2. Patrimoniu (in Romanian)
- 3. Manastirea Hurezi (in Romanian)
- 4. Nu am g sit pagina (in Romanian)
- 5. Manastirea Hurezi (in Romanian)

Comment

Since most of the previous links are outdated or not valid, a new list is proposed, as follows: 1. https://www.facebook.com/hurezimonastery 2. https://patrimoniu.ro/ro/romania-in-unesco/articles/situri-culturale-unesco 3. https://patrimoniu.ro/ro/profiles/manastirea-horezu 4. http://hurezi.arhiepiscopiaramnicului.ro/ 5. https://www.crestinortodox.ro/biserici-manastiri/mitropolia-olteniei/manastirea-hurezi-68220.html

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Yes

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

There are intentions to inscribe the region "Oltenia de sub munte" / "Oltenia under the mountain", comprising also the Monastery of Horezu, as a UNESCO Global Geopark. "Oltenia de sub munte" covers the territory of six localities in Vâlcea County and the area stands out with its varied landscape and many natural and cultural landmarks dotting the hills, valleys and peaks at the southern foothills of the Southern Carpathians.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Craftsmanship of Horezu ceramics

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Comment

The Draft of the Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is currently being worked on and it will be further advanced to the World Heritage Committee according to the Operational Guidelines.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	The Great Church	×			
3.2.2	The Ensemble of the Bolnita (the infirmary)	×			
3.2.3	The Saints Apostles skit	×			
3.2.4	The Saint Stephan skit	×			
3.2.5	The sacred precinct	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

As mentioned above, the Draft of the Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is currently being worked on and it will be further advanced to the World Heritage Committee according to the Operational Guidelines. All the key attributes of the property are generally preserved.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×			P

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×			
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside 							
Relevant			× Not relevan	t			

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In recent years, the OUV of the Monastery of Horezu has started to be endangered, especially due to the chaotic way of building in its buffer zone and in the surrounding areas. The last few years have been marked by the erection of numerous constructions in the immediate vicinity of the monastery which, through their architecture, inconsistent with the characteristics of the area, directly affect the visibility of the monument, implicitly endangering its authenticity and integrity.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastruct Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	ture

× Not relevant

Relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant × Not relevant 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside Not relevant × Relevant Origin Trend of impact Impact Impact 4 Current Potential Inside 🦉 Outside Decreasing → Stable Increasing O Positive

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Negative X

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): • Not relevant
- Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.2 - Aquaculture Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact Origin			Origin	Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	

Negative				
 4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
 4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 				
Relevant	X Not relevant			
4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.5	are affecting the p	roperty either	negatively or
4.6. Physical resource extraction				

4.6.1 - Mining

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - QuarryingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Coutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×		×		→		

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Relevant

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	elevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		a	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are different environmental or biological factors that contribute (or have the potential to contribute) to the deterioration of the fabric of heritage site, like relative humidity, temperature and rainfall. Also a negative impact of micro-organisms has been observed in recent years.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			\rightarrow		
Negative X		×		×		→		
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	-							
Relevant	Relevant		X Not relevant					
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/Not relevant		collecting						
Relevant			× Not relevant					
 4.8.4 - Changes in tradition Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ Not relevant 	-	and knowledge	system					

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

× Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			→	
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Positive: - The religious and cultural uses of the monastery have a positive impact as they enhance different values and promote social cohesion Negative: - Lack or insufficient interpretation outside and inside of the monastery - Increase of vendors outside the site

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant		Not relevant		
Impact	Origin		Trend of impact	

2

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	
4.9.2 - Deliberate destructi Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

 Not 	relevant
-------------------------	----------

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		\rightarrow	

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.10.4 - Desertification

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
- Not relevant

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×		×		→	

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

|--|

4.10.6 - Temperature change

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In some areas, the natural environment in the property and the buffer zone started to degrade, due to climate change related issues (rising temperature, pests etc.), affecting particularly ancient trees (eg. sweet chestnut)

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant		× Not relevant	
4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2 • Not relevant	2014):		
X Relevant		Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin	Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	
4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wav Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	-						
Relevant	Relevant X Not relevant						
4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landsli Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/ • Relevant, Negative, Poter							

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		→		
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien	freshwater species							

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×					
Negative X	×		×	×		\rightarrow			

4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×	×		→			
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow			

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×		→		
Negative X	×			×		\Rightarrow		

Not relevant

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative X	×			×		\rightarrow		

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→			
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow			

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact			
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			→	
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X		×	×			→		
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Positive: - an improvement of the legislative system in recent years and the installation of the new UNESCO Organizing Committees (COU) in the summer of 2022 Negative: - no efficient management plan, the existing one being outdated and non-operational - at a national level, the management system should better integrate different levels of interventions - no special or sufficient funds for researching, restoring or managing the site

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
	0	9	9		۲	1
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
	0		9		۲	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	٢	4		٢	۴	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	0		9		٢	→

4.7.2 Relative humidity	0	4	4	٩	Ċ	→
4.7.3 Temperature						
	0	4	9		Ċ	→
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
4.7.7 Pests	0	4	9	٢	Ċ	→
4.1.1 Pests	0	<i>i</i>	<i>i</i>		18	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms		-1	-1		9	
	0	4	9	٢	۴	→
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	4		٢		→
	٢		9		۴	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢		9	٢		→
	0	9			۴	→
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0		9		٢	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	٢		9		Ċ	→
4.10.2 Flooding						
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.10.3 Drought						
	9		9	٩	Ċ	→
4.10.4 Desertification						
	0		9		Ċ	→
4.10.6 Temperature change						
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts	0	4	4	٩	٢	→
	0	4	4	۲	œ	1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events				4	4	
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	9	4	9		۴	→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
	٢		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	4		٢	Ċ	1
	٢	9		٢	۲	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9		٢	۴	→

					0	9			Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance	4.13.3 Governance				٢	9		۲	Ċ	→
					0	9			Ċ	→
4.13.4 Managemen	t activities				٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	→
					0	4			Ċ	→
4.13.5 Financial res	sources				٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	→
					0	9	9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.6 Human reso	urces				٢		9	٢		→
					0		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.13.7 Low impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			٢		9	۲		→
					0		9	٢	Ċ	→
Legend	4 Current	4 Potential	Negative	O Positive	Insi	de		C Outsi	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

		Impact					
Name					Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Housi	ng						
		0	4	4		Ċ	1
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Opatial Sea							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1.2 Commercial development						
	9		9		Ċ	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.4.3 Surfa	4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
				9		Ċ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop	4.5.5 Crop production		9		۲	Ċ	→
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						

Decreasing

Static

×

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.5.7 Sub	4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection		9		۹	Ċ	→
Quality							
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	ne Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.7.1 Wind	4.7.1 Wind					
		0	9		Ċ	→
Snatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor					
opution sol						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					

Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	0	9	9	٢	C	→

le - Area affected by the factor
Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread
icale - Occurence of the impact
One off or rare
Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going
pact on the attributes
Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major
nt response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
Medium capacity
Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								

Name	e Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.7.3 Tem	perature						
		0	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Nome		Imme -			Onlaria		Trond
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend

Name	impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact

×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
		_					
Name 4.7.7 Pest		Impac	t		Origin		Trend
4.1.1 1 000		٢	4	9	a	14	→
		•	-1	-1	Q	G	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
Impact - Ir	Insignificant						
Impact - In							
	Insignificant						

Managem	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years						

Major

U	Decreasing
×S	Static
Ir	Increasing

Name	Name Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.7.8 Micro	organisms						
		0	4	9	۹	٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	I/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9		۲		→
		0		9		Ċ	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						

X One of or rare Intermitient or sporadic Foquent Proguent Origoing Impact - Intermitient as transmission of the attributes Second of the attribute X Assignificant X Significant X Significant X Significant X Major X Medium capacity of management to respond X Medium capacity of resources X Medium capacity of resources X Bacreasing X Satisci Increasing Increasing X Satisci Noreasing Increasing X Satisci Noreasing Increasing X Satisci Increasing Increasing X Increasing X Increasing X Increasing
Figure Figure Seque <
Analysis Ananay Ananay Ana
Impact - we that stributes X Insignificant Minor Significant Significant Significant Major Significant Major Significant Major Significant Maior Significant Maior Significant Maior Significant Magement to respond Significant Magement to respond Significant Modum capacity Significant No capacity and / or resources Significant / Significant Tered Decreasing Significant Significant / Significant Name Increasing Asset in currism/Visitation/Recreation Significant Significant S
K Insignificant Minor Significant Significant Significant Major Imagement or respond Magement or response - Capacity of management to respond Imagement or respond Medium capacity Imagement or respond X Medium capacity Medium capacity Imagement or respond X Medium capacity Mocapacity Imagement or respond More Imagement or respond X Medium capacity Mocapacity Imagement or respond Y Medium capacity Medium capacity Imagement or respond Mocapacity Imagement or respond Mocapacity Imagement or respond Mocapacity Imagement or respond Mocapacity Imagement or respond Static Imagement or respond Increasing Imagement or respond Management or respondent o
Minor Minor Significant Major Mago Minor Mago Major Management to respond Secondary Medium capacity Secondary No capacity Secondary No capacity and / or resources Secondary Tend - Decreasing Decreasing Naic Staic Name Increasing Name Increasing Name Increasing Name Increasing
Major Magement or esponse - Capacity of management to respond High capacity High capacity Medium capacity Kalow Modium capacity Modium capacity <tr< th=""></tr<>
Major Magement or esponse - Capacity of management to respond High capacity High capacity Medium capacity Kalow Modium capacity Modium capacity <tr< th=""></tr<>
Image: Provide the set of the set
 Medium capacity Low capacity Iso capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Static Increasing Name As.6 Impact Intrent - Market Contributive Contributing Contributive Contributing Cont
Image: Notice of the second
No capacity and / or resources No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Xatic Increasing Name Impact Origin Trend Static Origin Impact Origin Impact Impa
Image: series
Image: Parameter paramet
Increasing Impact Origin Trend 4.8.6 Impact
Increasing Impact Origin Trend 4.8.6 Impact of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 0 1
Name Impact Ofigin Trend 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Impact <
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Image: Constraint of the second sec
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Image: Constraint of the second sec
⊖ ┩
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor
X Restricted
Localised
Extensive
Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact
One off or rare
X Intermittent or sporadic
Frequent
On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes
X Insignificant
Minor
Significant
Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond
High capacity
X Medium capacity

Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years									
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									

4.9 Other human activities

koaised koa	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
keiseie elseise coslesi	4.9.1 Illegal	9.1 Illegal activities						
keiseie elseise coslesi			٢		9		Ċ	→
keiseie elseise coslesi	Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
kaise ka	×							
kensive kensive Remove Remove Vectore of the impact Vectore of of or rare intermittent or sporadie intermittent or sporadie propan propandie intermittent or sporadie propandie propandie propandie material propandie material information mark information	~~							
Main Main Interpretation Second Interpretation Second Interpretation Interpretation Interpretation Interpretation <								
Temporal Section of the impact Fequent Intermittent or sporadic Prequent On-going Intermittent on the attributes Integrificant								
Image: Market of a rare I	Temporal s							
Frequent Frequent on-going maintificant insignificant insignint insignint	×							
A period of a p								
mpact - Image Infraent Insignificant Insigni								
Iniginificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond Medium capacity of management to respond Medium capacity In operating Modium capacity Decreasing In operating Static								
kiele Minor significant Major Managemet to response - Capacity of management to respond Maior Managemet to response - Capacity of management to respond Maior Maior Medium capacity Modium capacity Medium capacity No capacity and / or resources No capacity and / or resources Frend - Decreasing Decreasing Kei Static	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
Significant Significant Major Managemet - Capacity of management to respond Main capacity Major Main capacity Moin capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Main Capacity Significant Main Capacity and / or resources Significant A (Significant A (Sign		Insignificant						
Major Managemet Space Capacity of management to respond Maine Capacity of management to respond Maine Capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Import Descent over the last 6 years Decreasing Maine Capacity Static	×	Minor						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing Decreasing Static		Significant						
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Frenct- between to ver the last 6 years Decreasing Static		Major						
Medium capacity k k K Medium capacity Low capacity Low capacity and / or resources Low	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources		High capacity						
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Development over the last 6 years Decreasing K Static	×	Medium capacity						
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static		Low capacity						
Decreasing Static		No capacity and / or resources						
K Static	Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
		Decreasing						
Increasing	×	Static						
		Increasing						

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact Origin			Trend		
4.10.1 Storms							
		0		9		٢	→
Snatial sca	ile - Area affected by the factor						
opullal sol							
×	Restricted						
	Localised						

	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	npact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact	:		Origin		Trend	
4.10.2 Flooding							
	0		9		Ċ	→	

oputiai sou	e - Alea allected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	mpact		Origin	
4.10.3 Drought					
	0	9	٢	C	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.10.4 Desertification						
		0	9		۴	→
Spotial con	Ile - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial Sca	ie - Alea allecteu by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					

	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Namo		Impact	Origin	Trond

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	0	9	9	٢	۴	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Impact

Origin

Trend

Name

4.10.7	Other	climate	change	impacts
--------	-------	---------	--------	---------

		0	9	9	٩	Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.11.2 Earth	nquake						
		9	9	9		Ċ	→
Spatial cas	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca							
	Restricted						
×	Localised						

	Extensive			
	Widespread			
_				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
×	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - In	npact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
	Medium capacity			
×	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)			

1.6 Fire (wildfire)		
	0	9

		0	9	٢	Ċ	→
Snatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
oputiui soul						
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal so	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	t response - Capacity of management to respond					

High capacity

	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.12.2 Inva	sive/Alien terrestrial species					
		0	9	٢	٢	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9		۹	Ċ	
	٢	9		۲	Ċ	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive × Widespread Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare × Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going Impact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor × Significant Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity × Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing × Static Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	4		٢	Ċ	→
	٢	4			Ċ	→

Re	Restricted
× Lo	ocalised
E	xtensive
W	Videspread
Temporal scale	le - Occurence of the impact
O	Dine off or rare
× In	ntermittent or sporadic
Fr	requent
O	Dn-going
Impact - Impac	ct on the attributes
In	nsignificant
ж М	linor
Si	Significant

	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	٢	4		۲	Ċ	→	
		0	4			Ċ	→	
Spotial coa	le - Area affected by the factor							
×	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
×	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
×	Insignificant							
	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
×	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	4	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow
	٢	9			Ċ	→

Extensive Extensive Widespread Temporal One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Fequent On-going		
k kalaska kalaska kalaska kalaska kalaska kalaska kalaska totak	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
kensive kenses k		Restricted
kiespread Temperature bendford rate kiespread	×	Localised
Temperature Cocurence of the impact Product rate Product rate Intermittent or sporadic Product rate Intermittent or sporadic <th></th> <th>Extensive</th>		Extensive
k Ore off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent joing Ore off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Sporadic Intermittent or sporadic Sporadic Intermittent or the attribute Sporadic Intermittent or the attrise Sporadic		Widespread
k Internitent or sporadic internitent or sporadic Frequent or-going total total infidiration infid	Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
Fequent Fequent Ingoing		One off or rare
inposition Instant Instant <th>×</th> <th>Intermittent or sporadic</th>	×	Intermittent or sporadic
Impact - Weithingtonia Insignificant X Insignificant Significant Significant X Significant Major Impact - Veithingtonia Major Impact - Veithingtonia Major Impact - Veithingtonia Major Impact - Veithingtonia Main capacity Impact - Veithingtonia Impact - Veithingtonia Impact - Veithingtonia		Frequent
k Insignificant Minor Significant Major Management to respond Migh capacity of management to respond Migh capacity Migh capacity Modium capacity Mo capacity and / or resources Tert-tert to tert te tast 6 years Perceasing Main		On-going
Minor Significant Major Mage Mage <th>Impact - Im</th> <th>pact on the attributes</th>	Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
significant kape Abage	×	Insignificant
Major Mage Mage <		Minor
Management to respond High capacity Medium capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources		Significant
High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Low capacity C		Major
 kedium capacity kow capacity ko capacity and / or resources Tend - Decreasing kedium capacity kedium capacity	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
Low capacity No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing & Decreasing Static		High capacity
No capacity and / or resources Trend - Decreasing & Static	×	Medium capacity
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years Decreasing X Static		Low capacity
Decreasing X Static		No capacity and / or resources
X Static	Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
		Decreasing
Increasing	×	Static
		Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow
	0	9	9	۹	Ċ	→

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal se	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Imp	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

·····g-···	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	9	۲		→
	0	9	٢	٢	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
		Impost	Origin	Trond
Nama		Impost	Origin	Trond

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	٢		→
	0	9	٢	Ċ	→

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
^	
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The Great Church	×			
4.18.1.2	The Ensemble of the Bolnita (the infirmary)	×			
4.18.1.3	The Saints Apostles skit	×			
4.18.1.4	The Saint Stephan skit	×			
4.18.1.5	The sacred precinct	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones do not limit the ability to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they could be improved

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The boundary of the buffer zone could be extended to the south of the property, where local developments started to affect the property, endangering its authenticity and integrity. Also, an increase in the awareness of the local community could benefit to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Ownership of the ensemble is vested in the Monastic Community of Horezu, which cornes under the authority of the Bishop of Rimnic.

National legislation:

- 1. Government Decision No. 738/2008 establishing the measures necessary for financing, developing and updating documentation landscaping and urban areas with historical monuments that are part of the World Heritage List;
- Order of the Minister of Culture and Cults No. 2660/2008 approving the Methodological Norms for classification and inventory of historic monuments;
- 3. Government Decision No. 1268/2010 on the approval of the protection and management of historic monuments inscribed in the UNESCO World Heritage List, amended by Government Decision no. 1102/2011.

Local Legislation:

- 1. The decision of the Horezu Town Council No. 22/2010 regarding the approval of General Urban Plan of Horezu town, Valcea county;
- The decision of the Horezu Town Council No. 77/2010 regarding the approval of participation in "Program to protect water resources, integrated water supply, sewage treatment, sewage and wastewater treatment plants", by project "Establishment of sewerage network in Romanii de Sus (Upper Romans), Romanii de Jos (Lower Romans), Horezu town, Valcea county";
- 3. The decision of the Horezu Town Council No. 05/01.02.2012 regarding the rehabilitation and development of tourism of general and touristic infrastructure in the cultural-historical zone Horezu Depression planning of platform and organizational space of Ceramic Fair "Hurez Rooster";
- 4. The decision of Valcea County Council No. 51/2012 on the establishment of the UNESCO Organizing Committee for Hurezi Monastery, Valcea county, historical monument on the World Heritage List, as amended by Decision of Valcea County Council No. 71/2014.

Source: 1990 Advisory Body Evaluation, Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

The protective legislation should be updated as follows: Historic monuments and archaeological sites: - Law 422/2001 regarding the protection of historic monuments defines the status of historic monuments, the protection regimes and measures, the competent authorities, and the actors responsible for their conservation. World Heritage: - Government Order no. 47/2000 regarding the establishment of protection measures for historical monuments that are inscribed in the World Heritage List, approved by Law 564/2001 special scientific, legal, administrative, financial, fiscal and technical protection measures are established for the historical monuments included in the World Heritage List, aimed at ensuring the security, maintenance and current repairs, conservation, consolidation and restoration, as well as the enhancement of the monuments, ensembles and sites of which they are composed. - Government Order no. 857/2021 approving the framework regulation and the composition of the UNESCO organizing committees. The Framework Regulation lays down the general rules for the establishment and functioning of UNESCO Organizing Committees. UNESCO Organizing Committees (UOCs) are established for historic monuments as monuments, ensembles or sites of outstanding universal value from the historical, artistic or scientific point of view, inscribed on the World Heritage List. A committee includes members of the national, regional and local cultural authorities, representatives of the police and the county emergency inspectorate, representatives of the owners, members of the local community, the chief architect of the territoriality competent county council, representatives of the tourism and town planning authorities, a scientific officer, representatives of museum, university or academic institutions, and the coordinator of the historic monument. Planning and urbanism: - Law 5/200 for the approval of the National Spatial Development Plan, Section III, Protected areas - Law 350/2011 on territorial and urban planning - Law 24/2007 regarding the regulation and administration of green spaces in the urban areas Constructions: - Law 50/1991 regarding execution and authorization of construction works Environment and forestry: - Law no. 137/1995 regarding environmental protection - Government's Emergency Ordinance no. 57/2007 regarding the regime of nature protected areas, conservation of natural habitats, flora and fauna (EU Directives no. 79/409/CEE on the conservation of wild birds and 92/43/CEE on the conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora transposed into national legislation) - Law no. 46/2008 regarding the approval of the forestry code Specific legislation for the Monastery of Horezu: - Decision of the Horezu Town Council No. 22/2010 regarding the approval of General Urban Plan of Horezu town, Valcea county - Decision of the Valcea County Council No. 159/2022, regarding the approval of the composition of the UNESCO Organizing Committee for the Hurezi Monastery, Valcea County and its Organization and Functioning Regulations

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property is inadequate to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The existing legislation for historic monuments and their designated protection areas is taken into consideration at all planning levels, ensuring an integrated protection of the cultural values. Besides being a World Heritage Site, the Monastery of Horezu is also inscribed on the List of Historical Monuments in Romania, the official document attesting the national protection status. All interventions to be carried out on the historic monument must be approved by the Ministry of Culture.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Private ownership/management

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Traditionally all issues related to the management of the monastic community are controlled by the Mother Abbess. The regulatory system for the protection and management of historical monuments inscribed on the World Heritage List in Romania came back into operation in 2021, after being expired since 2015. Since the new legislation was adopted (Government Decision 857/2021), new premises were created, in the first instance, by installing the new COU (UNESCO Organizing Committee) for the Monastery of Horezu. It is supported by the Vâlcea County Council and includes representatives of public authorities involved in the protection of cultural heritage and other connected areas such as tourism, emergency situations, urbanism and territorial planning etc., as well as representatives of the local administration, local community, including a nucleus of experts specialized in heritage protection (besides an ad hoc scientific council that existed already). The COU will produce an annual work plan with prioritized budgeted actions for the management of the property.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

The site has a Management Plan (2013) but it is outdated and only partially implemented. It needs to be revised according to the "Managing Cultural World Heritage" Resource manual.

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **not adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the

following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners			×		
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×		
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×		
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality	×				
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)		×			
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status		×			
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach		×			
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood		×			
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property		×			

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

In 2022 was installed the new UNESCO Organizing Committee (COU) for the Monastery of Horezu. Even though a management system is in place and it includes different levels of implications from authorities and local communities, its effectiveness is yet to be proven.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	30 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	45 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	15 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	90 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Governmental (regional/provincial/state) = European Funding through Regional Development Agencies The running costs are mostly insured by the owner - Archbishopric of Ramnic / Monastery of Horezu

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are not secure

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

Until recently there were no special funds in Romania for researching, restoring or maintaining the UNESCO monuments. Even though a dedicated UNESCO fund was created, within the National Restoration Program, in order to start to finance the protection and enhancement of historical monuments inscribed in the World Heritage List and in the Indicative List, due to the lack of funds, the program, did not start to allocate funds in this regard.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	25 %	17 %
6.1.6.2	Women	75 %	83 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor

Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Not available
Research and monitoring	Not available
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are not shared at any level

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Poor

Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Youth/children

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not provided but needed
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Poor
Trails/routes	Not provided but needed
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Not needed
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

there is no available data on the estimated number of visitors / there is no available data on the estimated number of visitors / there is no available data on the estimated number of visitors / there is no available data on the estimated number of visitors / there is no available data on the estimated number of visitors / there is no available data on the estimated number of visitors /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

Being a religious site, the Monastery of Horezu does not sell entrance/visit tickets. Also, there is no clear monitoring of visitors. For these reasons, it is not possible to estimate the number of visitors received by the monastery in recent years.

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Being a religious site, the Monastery of Horezu does not sell entrance/visit tickets. Also, there is no clear monitoring of visitors. For these reasons, it is not possible to estimate the number of visitors received by the monastery in recent years.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

unknown / unknown / no admission fees / unknown / unknown /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

There is no visitor monitoring system in place, the required information being unavailable at this time.

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property in place.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of monitoring**, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained? Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		×		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

There are no relevant key indicators in place, but some monitoring activities are implemented by the authority that administrates the sites.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Non-existent
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

Although the property benefits from some monitoring activities, the development and implementation of a monitoring plan is necessary and being considered.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.5	The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is inadequate to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is not adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	×
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	×
5.3.17	 The management system of the World Heritage property does not provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) The management system of the World Heritage property does not contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status The management system of the World Heritage property does not integrate a human rights-based approach The management system of the World Heritage property does not contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood The management system of the World Heritage property does not contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	×

6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	
7.3	Research results are not shared at any level	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need	
9.11	Although the tourism industry is active in the property, there is no contact between tourism operators and those responsible for the World Heritage property	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings ar	nd Development						
4.1.1	Housing	and attributes are not directly affected. The	Ongoing attempts for better implementation the legislative protecti system	of	toring.	Permanent	Ministry of Culture together with the local administration	A monitoring protocol should be developed and implemented.
4.7	Local condi	tions affecting physical fa	bric					
4.7.1	Wind	The criteria and all attributes are slightly affected but their state of conservation is not in danger.	No actions had been taken.	No monitoring.	P	ermanent	Monastery of Horezu and other institutional, cultural and educational partners	A monitoring protocol should be developed and implemented.
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Some attributes are slightly affected but their state of conservation is not in danger.	Implementation of hu reduction systems in affected areas	•	onitoring.	Permanent	Monastery of Horezu	All affected attributes should be protected. A monitoring protocol should be developed and implemented.
4.7.3	Temperature	All attributes are slightly affected but their state of conservation is not in danger.	No actions had been taken.	No monitoring.	F	Permanent	Monastery of Horezu	A monitoring protocol should be developed and implemented.
4.10	4.10 Climate change and severe weather events							

4.10.6	Temperature change	All attributes are sligh affected but their stat of conservation is no danger. Part of the vegetation has been severely damaged.	te had been t in taken.	ło monitoring.	Permanent	Ministry of the Environment and other institutional organizations	In some areas, the natural environment in the property and the buffer zone started to degrade, due to climate change related issues (rising temperature, pests etc.), affecting particularly ancient trees (eg. sweet chestnut)
4.11	Sudden ecologi	ical or geological eve	ents				
4.11.2	Earthquake	Earthquake represent a severe potential affecting factor, that could affect all attributes.	Current and future consolidation projects	Monitoring of the sta of conservation	ate Permanent	Monastery of Horezu	Although the property is not located in a perimeter with a high seismic risk, in the last year there have been a series of earthquakes of low and medium intensity in the area and there is a risk that the phenomenon will repeat in the future.
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Fire represent a severe potential affecting factor, that could affect all attributes.	Current and future consolidation projects, with special attention to fire related integrated interventions.	No monitoring	Permanent	Monastery of Horezu	Unexpected fires always represent a risk for the property.
4.13	Management ar	nd institutional factor	s				
4.13.1	Management system/Managemen plan	World Heritage t criteria and attributes are not affected.	Development of a new and operational management plan	No planned monitoring	2023-2028	Organizing Committee	There is no efficient management plan, the existing one being outdated and non-operational. Traditionally all issues related to the management of the monastic community are controlled by the Monastery.
4.13.2	-	criteria and m	mplementation and nonitoring of the legal ramework	No monitoring	Permanent	Ministry of Culture and other responsible institutional organizations	The COU for the Monastery of Horezu was established in the summer of 2022, this creating the premises for a better implementation of the legal framework.
4.13.5	Financial resources	All atributes	Special funds for researching, restoring c maintaining World herit Sites		On going	Ministry of Culture	A special UNESCO line was created within National Restoration Program in order to start to finance the protection and enhancement of historical monuments inscribed in the World Heritage List and in the Indicative List.

Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones							
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment			
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	Community raising awareness	On going	The Monastery of Horezu together with Valcea County Council and other institutional, culture and education partners.	There is a need of awareness rising within the local community regarding the buffer zone of the World Heritage property and the legal aspects derived by it.			

5.2	Protective Measures					
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	Better coordination between all the involved institutions, monitoring of the implementation of the existing legal framework	Permanent	Valcea County Council, Ministry of Council, all the involved institutions of local level	coordi institut	is a clear need for a better ination between all the involved tions in order to implement the ished legal framework.
5.2.5	The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone is inadequate to ensure the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	Better coordination between the national and local responsible institutions	Permanent	Horezu municipality and other responsible institutions	there i coordi	though a legal framework is in place, is a clear need for a better ination between the national and responsible institutions.
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	Better coordination between the national and local responsible institutions	Permanent	All the responsible institutions.	there i capac	though a legal framework is in place, is a clear need for more ity/resources at the national and evel for implementation.
5.3	Management Sys	stem/Management Plan				
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Better coordination between the national and local responsible institutions	Permanent	All the responsible institu	utions.	Even though a legal framework is in place, there is a clear need for a better coordination between the national and local responsible institutions. A new management plan should be developed and implemented.
5.3.12	The management system/plan is not adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	anagement management plan Committee stem/plan is ta dequate maintain the operty's utstanding			Even though a management system is in place, there is a clear need for a better coordination between the national and local responsible institutions. A new management plan should be developed and implemented.	
5.3.14			UNESCO Organizing Committee		There is a clear need for an annual work/action plan for the property.	
6.1	Funding					

6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	Special funds for researching, restoring or maintaining World heritage Sites	Permanent	Ministry of Culture	A special UNESCO line was created within National Restoration Program in order to start to finance the protection and enhancement of historical monuments inscribed in the World Heritage List and in the Indicative List, but there are no funds.
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	Special funds for researching, restoring or maintaining World heritage Sites	Permanent	Ministry of Culture	A special UNESCO line was created within National Restoration Program in order to start to finance the protection and enhancement of historical monuments inscribed in the World Heritage List and in the Indicative List, but there are no stable funds.
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	Monitoring plan	2023-2033	UNESCO Organizing Committee	A monitoring system should be developed and implemented at the the property.

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

For a a continuous preservation of the authenticity and the integrity of the property in the future it is recommended that an integrated conservation plan is developed.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Positive
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive

Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

The development and implementation of a Management Plan that include objectives regarding benefits to local communities and social inclusion and equity is recommended.

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Not needed
Site Managers	Not needed
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Responsible persons for local designated sites under other international conventions/ programmes

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

50 / 20 / 50 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Not all required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support
IUCN International	No support

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

Name of World Heritage property

Reason for update: The name change of the World Heritage property, from "Monastery of Horezu" to "Hurezi Monastery", is being considered. The current name is associated to the city situated nearby - Horezu, while the historic and recognized name of the monastery is actually the "Hurezi Monastery". The minor modification is being prepared and it will be submitted to the World Heritage Committee according to the Operational Guidelines.

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee Reason for update: The Draft of the Retrospective Statement of Outstanding Universal Value is currently being worked on and it will be further advanced to the World Heritage Committee according to the Operational Guidelines.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.