# Royal Domain of Drottningholm

#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### 1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Royal Domain of Drottningholm

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year	
Royal Domain of Drottningholm	59.323 / 17.883	162.429	3227.63	3390.059	1991	
Total (ha)		162.429	3227.63	3390.059		
1.4 - Map(s)						
Title				Date	Link to source	
Royal Domain of Drottningholm - Map of the	2006					
Royal Domain of Drottningholm - Map of the	2019					

#### Comment

New maps are being produced in conformity with the updated technical specifications.

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Drottningholm Court Theatre
- 2. Swedish National Heritage Board
- 3. The National Property Board of Sweden
- 4. Drottningholm Palace, The Royal Court

<sup>5.</sup> 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Stockholm County Administrative Board is currently working with listings according to the International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection and List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection in Stockholm County.

# 2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Yes

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands

of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{No}}$ 

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

See answer 2.2

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property? No

NO

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World

#### 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### **Brief synthesis**

The Royal Domain of Drottningholm, situated on the island of Lovön close to Stockholm, is an exceptionally well-preserved ensemble of gardens and buildings with original interior furnishings. It includes Drottningholm Palace, the Palace Theatre, the Chinese Pavilion, Canton Village, the gardens and part of Malmen, and has been used for pleasure and summer recreation from the Baroque era until today. As the current home of the Swedish Royal Family, Drottningholm upholds a cultural continuity with the original purpose of the site.

Drottningholm Palace is representative of 17th and 18th century western and northern European architecture, and the palace grounds were also created during that period. The palace was created with strong references to 17th century Italian and French architecture. The interiors reflect Sweden's ambitions as one of the most powerful nations of 17th century Europe, from both cultural and political viewpoints. Leading Swedish architects worked together with the best craftspeople in Europe to create a unique ensemble of buildings with rich and lavish interiors.

The Palace Theatre is the only surviving 18th century theatre where the original machinery is still regularly used and the original stage sets are preserved. The sophisticated stage machinery, built by Georg Fröman according to drawings prepared by Christian Gottorp Reuss, is still fully intact, permitting quick changes of scene with the curtain up. A unique collection of stage sets, the dressing rooms, the storerooms, the scenery, and the large auditorium, seating 400 spectators, are preserved. Historical opera productions performed at the theatre are often staged and accompanied by music performed on authentic period instruments by the Drottningholm Theatre Orchestra.

The Chinese Pavilion with its incomparable combination of architecture, interior decoration and collections is preserved and is a symbol of 18th century contacts between Europe and Asia. Together with Canton Village, which includes former buildings for manufacture and living quarters for members of the royal court, this ensemble of buildings gives a comprehensive picture of court life during this era, with touches of influences from distant places.

The gardens were created during different periods and show both continuity and changes in fashion over time. The French formal garden, the rococo garden and the ideal landscape garden are preserved side by side. The French formal garden holds the world's largest collection of sculptures by Adriaen de Vries.

Malmen is an adjoining 18th century residential area for courtiers and officials of the royal court as well as a site for various palace offices. Malmen was granted a town charter in the late 18th century. The buildings in this area still partly retain their original functions, and their facades are important features of this historical setting.

The surrounding area has been part of the Crown Estate since the 16th century. The character of the landscape is a result of the way it has been used and farmed to support the Crown's need of supplies and to uphold the King's household. This continuous use and the way it is and has been managed over the years is still visible in the landscape.

Criterion (iv): The ensemble of Drottningholm is the best example of a royal residence built in the 18th century in Sweden and is representative of all European architecture of that period, heir to the influences exerted by the Chateau of Versailles on the construction of royal residences in western, central and northern Europe.

#### Integrity

No significant changes have been made to this World Heritage property since the time of inscription. The unique whole that existed then is still present and maintains all the necessary attributes to convey the Outstanding Universal Value of the property. The Drottningholm Palace, the Palace Theatre, the Chinese Pavilion, and the gardens remain intact and represent a royal domain with important elements of 17th and 18th century Swedish and European history.

The Royal Domain of Drottningholm has been an intercultural meeting place for centuries, from the time of its construction by architects and workers of different nationalities to the theatre activities and tourism of today. For centuries, the Drottningholm area has been used for pleasure and summer recreation. Theatre performances and the interest shown by visitors to Drottningholm both maintain this tradition and its function as the home of the Swedish Royal Family.

#### Authenticity

The historical setting, with the Drottningholm Palace, the Palace Theatre, the Chinese Pavilion, the gardens and the facades of Malmen's buildings, is intact in form and material from the 17th and 18th centuries. The primary guidelines for this property focus on conservation and not restoration, and on maintaining the original forms, the original materials, and the designed landscape.

#### Protection and management requirements

The most important Swedish legislation safeguarding the buildings and gardens of this World Heritage property is the Ordinance for State-owned Listed Buildings. The Swedish National Heritage Board, the County Administrative Board of Stockholm and Ekerö Municipality are the national, regional and local authorities responsible for granting permits for alterations to the World Heritage property and for managing the different protection zones. When Drottningholm was inscribed on the World Heritage List the boundaries of the area corresponded with the area it was given as a State-owned Listed Building in 1935. In 2014 the area of the State-owned Listed Building was extended. The current area of the inscribed property is 162.429 ha.

Three main stakeholders operate within the Drottningholm World Heritage property: the National Property Board, the Drottningholm Palace administration and the Drottningholm Palace Theatre. They work together in long-standing continuous cooperation. A management plan for the World Heritage property was adopted in 2007 by these three stakeholders. A buffer zone has been proposed where the boundaries coincide with the Nature reserve at Lovön, which was established at the end of 2015. The area of the Nature reserve will strengthen the level of protection for the area.

Current developments in the infrastructure of Greater Stockholm will affect the Drottningholm area in the future. Road 261 passed through the World Heritage property long before Drottningholm's nomination, but the traffic situation has changed significantly. Preliminary assessments indicate that adverse impacts, defined as functional, visual and noise disturbances during the construction of the Stockholm Bypass and Ekerö Road extension, are expected to affect to different degrees the attributes of the property, as well as create permanent visual changes in the pastoral landscape when the road is completed. Given these conclusions, all involved parties will aim to limit the negative impacts and work to identify new possibilities and solutions for improved accessibility to the area in conjunction with the developments related to the ongoing Stockholm Bypass and Ekerö Road extension project.

The parties will also consider the Heritage Impact Assessment which has been done in connection with the infrastructure projects. The recommendations and the results of this assessment will assist in identifying potential courses of action to maintain the attributes of the property, its authenticity, and its integrity.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Brief identification of attribute

3.2.1	The Royal Palace	×			
3.2.2	The Palace Theatre		×		
3.2.3	The Chinese Pavilion	×			
3.2.4	The gardens	×			
3.2.5	The World Heritage Property as a whole		×		
3.2.6	The buffer zone (Lovö Island)			×	
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

#### 3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The attributes 3.2.5 and 3.2.6 are considered compromised due to increased traffic on road 261 that runs through the property. The ongoing construction of a new major highway (Stockholm Bypass) has a serious impact on the buffer zone. The Palace Theatre's collection of original sets from the 18th century is in urgent need of preservation. The sets and fonds are unique but the organic material make them decompose over time. The collection has never been repaired why the need is now urgent.

# 4. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):
  - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin	in Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×		$\rightarrow$			
<ul> <li>4.1.2 - Commercial development</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>									
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt					
<ul><li>4.1.3 - Industrial areas</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
<ul> <li>4.1.4 - Major visitor accommension</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2</li> <li>Relevant, Positive, Potent</li> </ul>	2013):	associated infras	structure						
Relevant	Relevant			X Not relevant					
<ul> <li>4.1.5 - Interpretative and vi</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07// • Relevant, Positive, Current</li> </ul>	2013):	25							
X Relevant				Not relevant					

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Urban sprawl might affect the buffer zone in the future, although it is presently protected as a Nature Reserve. A visitor centre within the world heritage site has been discussed and may become a reality within five to ten years.

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×	×			1	
<ul> <li>4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>								
Relevant	Relevant			t				
<ul> <li>4.2.3 - Air transport infrast</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/</li> <li>Relevant, Positive, Currer</li> </ul>	(2013):							
Relevant			X Not relevant					
<ul> <li>4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside</li> </ul>								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Coutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>		

#### 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Not relevant

Negative

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×	×			1

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Heavy traffic on Road 261 which goes through the site causes a lot of visual impact and a barrier that is difficult to cross. Traffic noise is spread over a large area of the historic park.

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013): • Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant					
<ul> <li>4.3.2 - Renewable energy factorial fa</li></ul>	2013):								
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	→ Stable			
Positive									
Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>			
<ul> <li>4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>									
Relevant			× Not releva	ant					
<ul> <li>4.3.4 - Localised utilities</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>									
× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×		×	<b>→</b>				
<ul> <li>4.3.5 - Major linear utilities</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Poter</li> </ul>	2013):								
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.3.6 - Please comment as positively Increased focus on renewable er	-					operty either r	egatively or		
<ul> <li>4.4. Pollution</li> <li>4.4.1 - Pollution of marine of Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>									
Relevant			× Not releva	ant					
<ul> <li>4.4.2 - Ground water pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside</li> </ul>									
Relevant				× Not relevant					
4.4.3 - Surface water pollut Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant									
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				

Current

9 Potential

Inside

Cutside 🍼

> Decreasing

Impact

Increasing

⇒ Stable

O Positive				
Negative X	×	×		1

#### 4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$		
4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant	/2013):							

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6 - Input of excess energy	
revious answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):	

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Air pollution from traffic can be a problem when traffic is heavy and congested. Nutrient-rich runoff from farmland and road 261 into the dams of the English park causes excessive vegetation growth.

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):
  - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.5.2 - Aquaculture</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.5.3 - Land conversion</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	× Not relevant

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×	<b>N</b>		
Negative							

### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	S Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×	×		×	<u>×</u>					
Negative										
4.5.6 - Commercial wild pla	nt collection									
Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2  Not relevant										
Relevant			× Not relevant	X Not relevant						
4.5.7 - Subsistence wild pla Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant										
Relevant			× Not relevant	t						
4.5.8 - Commercial hunting Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant										
X Relevant			1	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×			×	J	→	J			
Negative										
4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting										
Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2     Relevant, Positive, Curren	2013):	e, Outside								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t						
4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood pro Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Relevant, Positive, Curren	2013):	e, Outside								
Relevant			X Not relevant							
<ul> <li>4.5.11 - Please comment as positively</li> <li>There is a risk that traditional agr</li> <li>4.6. Physical resource ext</li> <li>4.6.1 - Mining</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2)</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	iculture becomes					roperty either	negatively or			
Relevant			X Not relevant							
<b>4.6.2 - Quarrying</b> Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/: • Not relevant										
Relevant	Relevant				X Not relevant					
4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant										
Relevant			× Not relevant	t						
<b>4.6.4 - Water (extraction)</b> Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2	2013):									

Not relevant

# 4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

### 4.7.1 - Wind

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

Relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	levant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×				1

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×				/	

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$		

#### 4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	<b>9</b> Potential	Inside	Outside	Solution State	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×				1	
4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table) Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):								

#### Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Relevant		X Not relevant	X Not relevant					
<ul><li>4.7.8 - Micro-organisms</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>									
X Relevant			I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×						

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Sets, fonds and props of the Palace Theatre are completely unique and are invaluable documents of a bygone art and theatre era. The collection consists of objects that are mostly created from organic materials such as glue paint, cardboard, rag paper, wood and linen cloth. The materials decompose over time and thus a need for action is required. The collection has never before been repaired by a conservatorwhy the need is now urgent.

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×			×		<b>→</b>	
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

#### 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	<b>9</b> Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							
4.8.3 - Indigenous hunti	4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting						

#### 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

#### Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		$\rightarrow$	

#### 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		$\rightarrow$		

#### 4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>				
Negative										
4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant	(2013):									

× Not relevant

# **4.9.5 - Terrorism** Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

X Relevant	X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		

Relevant

O Positive						
Negative X		×	×		$\rightarrow$	
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07 • Not relevant	/2013):					
Relevant			× Not relevant			

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

# 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013): Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×				1		
<ul> <li>4.10.2 - Flooding</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Poter</li> </ul>	,								
Relevant			× Not releva	nt					
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant									
Relevant			X Not releva	nt					
<ul> <li>4.10.4 - Desertification</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>									
Relevant			× Not releva	nt					
<ul> <li>4.10.5 - Changes to oceani</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>									
Relevant			X Not relevant						
4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Relevant, Negative, Poter	/2013):								
X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	<b>9</b> Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive									
Negative X		×	×				1		
4.10.7 - Other climate chan Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/ • Not relevant									
Relevant			× Not releva	nt					

# 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Future, heavier storms may damage the old trees of the park. Increased temperature may increase the risk of plant diseases, on trees and shrubs (like ashtree, horse chestnut and boxwood).

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):
  - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.11.2 - Earthquake</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside</li> </ul>	

X Relevant			I	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$		

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):
  - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								

Negative X	×	×	×		1
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien fres Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07 • Not relevant	-				
Relevant			× Not relevant		
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien mar Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07 • Not relevant	•				
Relevant			× Not relevant		
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant sp Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07 • Relevant, Negative, Curre	/2013):				
Relevant			× Not relevant		
4.12.6 - Modified genetic n Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07 • Not relevant					
Relevant			× Not relevant		

# 4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Plant diseases are presently damaging the trees of the park (ash and elm).

# 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant			l	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×	<b>N</b>		
Negative							

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (12/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

# 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
1.1.1 Housing						
	9		9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢		9	۹		<b>→</b>
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
	٢	9		٢	۴	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	٢	9		٢		<b>→</b>

4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	9	4		٢	Ċ	1
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
	9		9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.3.4 Localised utilities						
	6		<i>i</i> ]		14	<b>→</b>
4.4 Pollution					3	
4.4.3 Surface water pollution						
	9	4		٢		1
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	0	9		٢		$\rightarrow$
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	4	9		Ċ	\$
		<i>-</i>	-7		100	
4.5.5 Crop production	٢	4	4		<u>দ</u>	3
4.5.8 Commercial hunting	٢	4			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	9	4	9	٢		
4.7.3 Temperature						
			-	٢		
	•		4	Q		
4.7.4 Radiation/Light						
	0	4		٢		<b>→</b>
4.7.5 Dust						
	0	4		۲		
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0	4		۲		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
	0	9			11	
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0				G	
	9	4		٩		<b>→</b>
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	٢	9		٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
	9		9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
		<i>1</i> 11	<i>1</i> 3		18	
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage	<b>U</b>	-1	-1	Q	Ģ	
4.3.2 Democrate uestruction of neritage						

				٢		9	٢	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.9.3 Military training				٢	9		۲		<b>→</b>
4.9.5 Terrorism									
				٢		9	٢		<b>→</b>
4.10 Climate change and severe weather e	events								
4.10.1 Storms									
				9		9	٢		
4.10.6 Temperature change									
				٢		9	٢		1
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological even	nts								
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)									
				9	9		٢		<b>→</b>
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abun	dant species								
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						-7			-
				9	4	4	٢		
4.13 Management and institutional factors				0	a			115	
4.13.1 Management system/Management	pian			<b>v</b>	-1		٢	Ģ	~
4.13.2 Legal framework				0	<i>i</i>		۲	18	
4.15.2 Legal Hanework				•	-1			Ģ	
4.13.3 Governance				0	Ø		۲		<b>→</b>
4.13.4 Management activities				٥	9		۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>
-									
4.13.5 Financial resources				٢	9		٢	Ċ	\$
4.13.6 Human resources				٢	4		۹	Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring ac	tivities			٢	4		۲		<b>→</b>
Legend 4 Current	I Potential	Negative	Ositive	<li>Ins</li>	ide		( Outsi	de	
		J		0.10			0 0 0 0 0		

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

# 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend	
4.1.1 Housing							
		0		9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						

	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	pretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond

×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin			Trend
4.2.1 Gr	ound transport infrastructure						
		0	9		٢	Ċ	1
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
							_
Name	rine transport infrastructure		4		Origin		Trend →
4.2.4 WC		•	-1		Ģ		-
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### Name

Name	Impact	t	Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
	9	9	۲	Ċ	1

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opullar oou	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major

# Management response - Capacity of management to respond

Manageme	in response - capacity or management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.2 Rene	wable energy facilities						
		٢	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurrence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	· On-going						
Impact - In	apact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.4 Loca	lised utilities						

		0	9	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempora	I scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
impuot	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managen	nent response - Capacity of management to respond				
managen	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
~	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trond P	levelopement over the last 6 years				
rrena - L					
	Decreasing				
	Static				

# 4.4 Pollution

х

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.4.3 Surfa	ce water pollution				
		0	4	٩	1
Snatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
Opatial Sec					
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - In	pact on the attributes				

	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

× Increasing

Name	Impact	ct		npact		mpact		Origin		Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution										
	0	9		۲		<b>→</b>				

# Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

# 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.4 Liv	.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals		9	9		Ċ	\$
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
		Impact					
Name	Name 4.5.5 Crop production		4	9	Origin	Ċ	Trend
4.0.0 01		0	-1	-1		Ģ	20
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						

# Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact

One off or rare

Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	riequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	ŧ	Origin		Trend
4.5.8 Commercial hunting	٢	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>

Spatia	scale -	Area	affected	by the	e factor
--------	---------	------	----------	--------	----------

Spatial Sca	ile - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relati	ve humidity					
		0	9	9	٢	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.7.3 Temp	erature			~7		
		0		4	٢	

# Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

intermited a gala and a gala a							
NameSolutionimpairim		Intermittent or sporadic					
<pre>main and a series of a se</pre>							
<pre>Net of the second of the</pre>							
x       apiaca       y         tay       bit       y         tay       tay       y         tay       tay       y         tay       tay       y         tay       tay       tay         tay       tay       tay       tay         tay       tay       tay       tay       tay         tay	Impact -						
Any a							
Main and the second of the	×						
main account or management to respond <ul> <li></li></ul>							
in a gasty of or records of the second of							
An equation of the second o	Manager						
a       a         Sequence       Sequence         a       a         a       a         a       a         a       a         a       a         b       a         a       a         b       a         a       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         a       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         a       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         a       a         b       a         a       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a         b       a <t< td=""><td></td><td>High capacity</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		High capacity					
a         training         a         a         a         a         b         a         a         b         a         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         b         c         b         c         c         c         c         c         c       c     <		Medium capacity					
Weight of the state spaces         Relation of the state space of		Low capacity					
main               mean	×	No capacity and / or resources					
<pre>Mail Ansignment of the second of the se</pre>	Trend - [	evelopement over the last 6 years					
New series of the series of		Decreasing					
Name       Impart		Static					
A Radiad       Image: Ima	×	Increasing					
A Radiad       Image: Ima							
a       q				1	Origin		Trend
Sparler default by the factor      Sparler default by the factor      For default defaul	4.7.4 Na	4 Radiation/Light		<i>i</i> n an	<i>•</i>		-
kaikade           kaikade <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>9</th><th></th><th></th><th>~</th></td<>				9			~
Ke         Localised           Kensive         Exensive           Webspread         Webspread           Termer - Course of the impact         Exensive           Important of or rare         Important or sporadic           Important of or grave         Important or sporadic           Important or sporadic         Important or sporadic <td>Spatial s</td> <td>cale - Area affected by the factor</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Spatial s	cale - Area affected by the factor					
kensive           kensive <td< td=""><td></td><td>Restricted</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		Restricted					
image: minipage: minipa	×	Localised					
Tended		Extensive					
heimitent or sporadic inemitent or sporadic inemittent or		Widespread					
intermittent or sporadic       intermittent or	Tempora	scale - Occurence of the impact					
Fequent         Fequent         Inspirit		One off or rare					
*       Province         fight       Instributes         instributes       Instributes         *       Mixer         instributes		Intermittent or sporadic					
Impact - Impact		Frequent					
inignificant         kinor         ginificant         kijor         kij	×	On-going					
<ul> <li>kinole</li> <li>kinole</li></ul>	Impact -	mpact on the attributes					
significant       Major       Magement to respond       Migh capacity of management to respond       Vertication       Migh capacity       Vertication       Modum capacity       No capacity and / or resources		Insignificant					
Major         Management correspond         Mijor apacity         Major apacity         No capacity and / or resources	×	Minor					
Management response - Capacity of management to respond         High capacity         Medium capacity         No capacity and / or resources		Significant					
High capacity         Medium capacity         Low capacity         No capacity and / or resources		Major					
Kedium capacity     Medium capacity     Low capacity     No capacity and / or resources	Manager	nent response - Capacity of management to respond					
Low capacity           No capacity and / or resources		High capacity					
No capacity and / or resources		Medium capacity					
	×	Low capacity					
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years		No capacity and / or resources					
	Trend - I	evelopement over the last 6 years					

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact	:	Origin	Trend
4.7.5 Dust					
		٢	9	۲	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
opana oo	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
×	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
×	Increasing				

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.8 Micro-organisms				
	0	9	٢	/
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				

opatial sea	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare

	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact	t	Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritual	/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢	9		Ċ	<b>→</b>
		0	9	٢		<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					

×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					
		_				
Name		Impac		Origin		Trend
4.8.2 50	ciety's valuing of heritage	٢	9	۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial s	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Tempora	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manager	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - I	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac	:t	Origin		Trend

	impuot	•		ongin	menta
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation					
	٢		9	٢	<b>→</b>

# Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact				

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
Manageme	High capacity
	High capacity
	High capacity Medium capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity
×	High capacity Medium capacity Low capacity No capacity and / or resources
×	High capacity   Medium capacity   Low capacity   No capacity and / or resources   velopement over the last 6 years

# 4.9 Other human activities

Name	Name Impact		Oriș		Origin	Origin	
4.9.1 Illega	9.1 Illegal activities						
		9	9	9	٢	Ċ	$\rightarrow$
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						

No capacity and / or resources

#### Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact Ori		Origin		Trend	
4.9.2 Delibe	arate destruction of heritage						
		0		9	۲	G	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant

×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.9.3 Military training		9		٢		<b>→</b>
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.9.5 Terrorism						

Spatial Sca	ile - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity

۲

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

# 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.1 S	4.10.1 Storms				
		9	9	٢	
• • • •					
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact -	Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.6 T	emperature change				
		9	9	٢	1
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				

	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

		Impact			
Name	Name			Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire	4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)				
		0	9	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
×	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				

	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
	4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species				Origin	Trenu
4.12.2 1110			~			
		9	9	4	٢	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

# 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢	9		۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	
	Localised	
	Extensive	
×	Widespread	
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare	
	Intermittent or sporadic	
	Frequent	
×	On-going	
Impact - Impact on the attributes		
	Insignificant	
	Minor	
×	Significant	
	Major	
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond	
×	High capacity	
	Medium capacity	
	Low capacity	
	No capacity and / or resources	
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing	
×	Static	

Increasing

Name		Impact				Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework		9		۲	Ċ	<b>→</b>

# Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant

×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.3 Governance		9		۲		<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		
4.13.4 Management activities		9		۹	Ċ	<b>→</b>	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin		
4.13.5 Financial resources		4		۲	Ċ	<b>N</b>	

# Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant

	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
×	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
				-	~	Trena
4.13.6 Hum	.13.6 Human resources		9	٩	Ċ	⇒
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9	۲	<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
×	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
×	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

# 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Royal Palace	×			
4.18.1.2	Palace Theatre		×		
4.18.1.3	Chinese Pavilion		×		
4.18.1.4	The gardens	×			
4.18.1.5	The property as a whole		×		

# 5. Protection and Management of the Property

# 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Buildings owned by the State as the Royal domain of Drottningholm are protected on order of the Gouverment by "Förordningen om statliga byggnadsminnen" – The Cultural Heritage Edict (SFS 1988:1229). The Swedish National Heritage Board (RAÄ) is the supervisory authority when it comes to the Drottningholm area's cultural history environments and for the national historical building, Clause 5 of FSBM (1988:1229).

Often the protective order may cover an area adjoining the building to ensure that this area also is kept in an appropriate way. To ensure a careful and qualified care of the state owned castles and other stately owned protected buildings that have special needs it is stated in the edict that a castle architect should be appointed. The purpose of this is to secure continuity of care, form and development of the monument and that measures are based on extensive knowledge about it. Once a building has been listed the protective order is meant to govern the continuing upkeep and care of the building. However, it is possible for the owner to apply for permission by the County Administration to make changes to the building contrary to the protective order, if he can claim special reasons. A breach of the protective rules for historic buildings may lead to consequences of different kinds. The County Administration may issue injunctions for restoring damaged buildings, enforced by contingent fines. There could also be penalties.

When the decision is taken for the Nature Reserve the County Administration will grant permits for alterations in the buffer zone according to regulations in the Environmental Code.

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

#### Comment

The Lovö Nature Reserve was formally established in 2015 and equals in space the buffer zone of the World Heritage Property.

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2013 / Förordning (2013:558) om statliga byggnadsminnen (The Cultural Heritage Edict, SFS 2013:558, included in The Swedish Cultural Heritage Act ) / The edict regulates the preservation of the The Royal Domain of Drottningholm, being the same property as the World Heritage property. /

1998 / Miljöbalken 1998:808 (The Swedish Environmental Code 1998:808) / This law regulates the preservation of the Lovö Nature Reserve, having essentially the same extension as the Word Heritage Buffer Zone. /

2010 / The Swedish Planning and Building Act (SFS:900) / This law regulates all planning and building in Sweden. /

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

#### 5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

# 5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

### 5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

# If 'Other', please specify

#### 5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

A management plan

An environmental management framework

## 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

A number of documents regulate the management of Drottningholm, among those are: The regulations included in the 2014 decision of the Swedish National Heritage Board to extend the Listed property Drottningholm The Maintenance Plan of 2015, covering parks and grounds Budget planning by the National Property Board Budget planning by the Palace Administration and the Palace Theatre

## 5.3.4 - Management Documents

## Comment

The World Heritage Council is currently working on an update of the existing Management Plan and expect to have an updated version in the spring of 2023.

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

# 5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

## **5.3.12** - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

## 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	

5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×			
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×
5.3.16.5	Women				×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers			×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries			×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs			×	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention* 

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

# 6. Financial and Human Resources

# 6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	65 %	51 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	08 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	25 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	35 %	16 %

6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

# 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Rents are a substantial funding source and are included in Commercial activities.

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

#### 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure** 

# 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

The answer to question 6.1.4 refers primarily to the the Theatre's original sets from the 18th century which are in urgent need of preservation. Sets, fonds and props are completely unique and are invaluable documents of a bygone art and theatre era. There is no secure funding of the conservation of the sets since the budget of the Theatre is limited and much dependant on sales and donations. For most of the World Heritage site government grants secure funding over the medium-term.

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	10 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	10 %
		Total 80 %	Total 20 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair

Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

## 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate** 

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value? There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated? Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

# 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

## 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not provided but needed
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

# 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

700 000 / 700 000 / 700 000 / 700 000 / 700 000 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries
Tourism industry
Other
Registries from shops within the property.

# 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

# 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0/1/2/1/0/1/

### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Since there is free admission to the palace park we do not know the exakt number of visitors to the World Heritage site. Therefore, the average daily visitor expenditure is very approximate. The average daily expenditure of the visitors who pay admission the the Royal Palace and to the Chineses Pavillion is approximately USD 15.

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

# 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property? Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

# 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

## If a different system, please specify

Our own administrative reporting system.

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

# 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted

## 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives? Not applicable

# If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? Yes

If 'Yes', please specify Economic benefits from restaurants etc.

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

## 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation		×		
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

# **10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?** No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

## 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

The status of buildings and grounds at Drottningholm are constantly monitored by the on site staff from the National Property Board and from the Royal Palace Administration. Also, the Swedish National Heritage Board perform monitoring by yearly meetings with the staff on site. There is presently no need for the implementation of any additional monitoring systems.

# 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

# 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	×
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes	

# 12. Summary and Conclusions

# 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

# 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transporta	tion Infrastructure					
4.2.1	Ground transport infrastructure	Criteria iv is expressed by the "exceptionally well-preserved ensemble of gardens and buildings intact in form and material from the 17th and 18th centuries". Road 261 runs through the property and occupies an increasing area of the Domain.	Actions have been taken to reduce the impact of the road, however with minor effect. The present construction works are beyond discussion.	Monitoring of the construction works is carried out by the National Property Board, The Administration of the Royal Palace of Drottningholm and the Swedish National Heritage Board.	The present construction works on the road might go on until 2026.	The National Property Board, the Administration of the Royal Palace of Drottningholm, the Swedish National Heritage Board	No comments

4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Criteria iv is expressed by the "exceptionally well-preserved ensemble of gardens and buildings intact in form and material from the 17th and 18th centuries". Intense traffic on Road 261 creates noise, visual disturbance and a physical barrier	bee redu neg of th how min The exp incr	been taken to reduce the negative impact of the road, however with minor effect. The traffic is expected to increase over		The development of the traffic on road 261 is monitored by the Swedish Transport Administration. The Swedish National Heritage Board has the responsibility to ensure that traffic does not further impact the OUV of the property in a negative way.		Continuous.		The Swedish Transpor Administration and the Swedish National Heritage Board.		d the	No comments.	
4.4	Pollution													
4.4.3	Surface water pollution	The dams of "the well preserved ensemble of gardens" (criteria iv) may suffer from water pollutio originated from neighbouring farmland o the intersecting road 261	served ensemble of presently being dens" (criteria iv) may taken to reduce to reform water pollution inflow of polluted water. ghbouring farmland och		g is for the time being e the by the Swedish Tran		ing monitored ongoing ar		and dams to respor until traffic Nation		oreservation of the - s in the park is the onsibility of the nal Property Board.			
4.4.4	Air pollution	Air pollution from road traffic may affect the experience when visitin the parts of the park closest to road 261. Air pollution may also affec fragile fabrics in the pal and in the Palace theat	t ace			Air pollution is not monitored.		increased use		ased use c ric cars air t decrease	use of and grour and grour responsib		rounds is t	n of buildings ne the National
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric														
4.7.2	Relative humidity	The preservation of buildings and furnishings may be more difficult with increasing humidity due to climate change.	nishings equipment to control icult with humidity. lity due			The humidity is Continuous. constantly monitored.		nuous.	s. The National Property Board			o comments.		
4.7.3	Temperature	High temperatures may affect fragile fabrics in the Palace and in the Palace Theatre.	re he te	hades are being educe sunlight an ence increased emperatures in th poms.	ıd	montite	eratures are Ongoing. Tem ored and recorded in are expected to of the Palace. future.				to rise in the buildings is responsibilit			
4.7.4	Radiation/Light	Criteria iv is expressed by the "exceptionally well-preserved ensemble of gardens and buildings intact in form and material from the 17th and 18th centuries. The illumination of road 261 and the car headlights affect the historic property.	ber rec of t how min The	tions have en taken to duce the gative impact the road, wever with nor effect. e traffic is pected to crease over ne.	The development of the traffic on road 261 is monitored by the Swedish Transport Administration. The Swedish National Heritage Board has the responsibility to ensure that traffic does not further impact the OUV of the property in a negative way.		1 is Swedish stration. ional as the ensure ot e OUV of	is Adr Swedish Swu tration. Her onal s the usure ot OUV of		Administra Swedish I	e Swedish Transport ninistration and the edish National itage Board.		No comments	
4.7.5	Dust	Dust may negatively affect the original sets, fonds and props of the Palace Theatre and other fragile materials.	f	Wherever possible, fragile materials are being protected from dust.		are taking place		-		Ongoing.		The preservation of s fonds and props is th responsibility of the N Property Board.		is the
4.7.8	Micro-organisms	Micro-organisms may negatively affect buildings and fragile materials of the interior.		Climate control reduce risk of damage due to micro-organisms.		ue to in order to		gs are being inspected ( r to detect fungi or other I micro-organisms.				building is the re	servation of the s and interiors sponsibility of onal Property	
4.11	Sudden eco	logical or geological event	S											
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	expressed by the contract of the property. There can be a constant threat the thread t	constant Nationa and the he Roy	protection is a tant issue for the onal Property Board the Administration of Royal Palace of ningholm.				on systems lace and		ous The National P Board, The Administration Royal Palace o Drottningholm a Palace Theatre		he tration o alace of gholm a	f the	No comments

# 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

# 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan											
		Actions	Timeframe		Lead agenc	y (and oth	ners involved)	More info / com	ment			
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	When it comes to UNESCO's policy and strategy documents, they are used indirectly through the National Heritage Board's national strategy for World Heritage as well as through other national strategies and documents.			The Swedish Board.	n National	Heritage					
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	When it comes to UNESCO's policy and strategy documents, they are used indirectly through the National Heritage Board's national strategy for World Heritage as well as through other national strategies and documents.	-		The Swedish National Heritage Board.							
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	Coordination between different bodies managing the property is constantly improved.	Ongoing.		National Her Drottninghol Administratio	The National Property Board The National Heritage Board The Drottninghol Palace Theatre Indinistration The Administration of the Royal Palace of Drottningholm						
6.1	Funding											
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are <b>not</b> secure	The National Property Board is fu yearly, hence no guarantee for lo funding. The funding of the Roya Administration and the Palace Th largely based on income from co activities, thus very sensitive for in pandemics.	ong term I neatre is mmercial	Ongoing.		Drottning	ninistration of the	re Administration				
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	When it comes to UNESCO's po strategy documents, they are use through the National Heritage Bo national strategy for World Herita as through other national strategy documents.	ed indirectly pard's age as well	-		The Swe	dish National Her	itage Board.				
7	Scientific Studies a	nd Research Projects										
7.2	amount of r research in the r World Heritage property but it in	Today, the World Heritage Council doe not have the opportunity to conduct esearch under its own auspices. It take place in connection with identified need n projects or based on requests from national authorities.	es	onally			The World Herita	ge Council	-			
9	Visitor Managemen	ıt										

9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	The Administration of the Royal Palace of Drottningholm works on a strategy to manage the impacts of tourism on the World Heritage property.	-		The Admini Drottninghc	stration of the Royal Palace of	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted	Clearer signage as well as information on-line. A Visitor Centre is being considered which would facilitate the presentation of the OUV.	2023-2	2030		al Property Board and the ion of the Royal Palace of Im.	
10	Monitoring						
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	Monitoring is taking place, but not towards improving understanding of OUV. The World Heritage Council will look into that issue.		2023-2030		The World Heritage Council	•
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	There is a long term need for this, but focus on not be on this in the near future.	will	-		The World Heritage Council	-

# 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

**12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?** The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

**12.3.2** - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property has been compromised by factors described in this report

# 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being severely degraded and have had an impact on the state of conservation of the World Heritage property

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The degradation of the cultural values refers primarily to the critical condition of sets and fonds of the Palace Theatre and to the widening of road 246.

### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

## 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive

Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

## 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Poor
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

# 15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

## 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

# 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

**15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? No

## 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

40 / 40 / 120 /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		×

### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire? Most required information was accessible.

# 15.4.2 - Was the guestionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

# 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The number of questions and alternatives could be reduced, in order to concentrate the questionnaire and reduce the amount of time required for the completion. Some questions are vague and open for different interpretations, which takes time and makes the filling-in a bit arbitrary. Some essential English words can be translated in different ways into Swedish. It would help if the Swedish State Party (the National Heritage Board) provided translations of these essential words.

## 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	No support
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	No support
ICCROM international/regional	No support
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	No support

## 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	No support
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

## 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

# 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

# • Map(s)

Reason for update: New maps are being produced in conformity with the updated technical specifications.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.