Itchan Kala

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property Itchan Kala

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)		Inscription year	
Itchan Kala	41.378 / 60.36	37.5	0	37.5		1990	
Total (ha)		37.5	0	37.5			
1.4 - Map(s)	I.4 - Map(s)						
Title			C	Date	Link to se	ource	
Itchan Kala - Map of the	inscribed property		2	2012			

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. Organisation des villes du patrimoine mondial (OVPM)(only in French)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years? No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value Brief synthesis

Itchan Kala, the inner fortress of Khiva, is located to the South of the Amu Darya River (known as the Oxus in ancient times) in the Khorezm region of Uzbekistan and it was the last resting-place of caravans before crossing the desert to Persia. Itchan Kala has a history that spans over two millennia. The inner town has 26 hectares and was built according to the ancient traditions of Central Asian town building, as a regular rectangle (650 by 400 meters) elongated from south to north and closed by brick fortification walls that are up to ten meters high.

The property is the site of 51 ancient monumental structures and 250 dwellings and displays remarkable types of architectural ensembles such as Djuma Mosque, Oq Mosque, madrasahs of Alla-Kulli-Khan, Muhammad Aminkhon, Muhammad Rakhimkhon, Mausoleums of Pahlavon Mahmoud, Sayid Allavuddin, Shergozikhon as well as caravanserais and markets. The attributes are outstanding examples of Islamic architecture of Central Asia. Djuma Mosque, a mosque with a covered courtyard designed for the rugged climate of Central Asia, is unique in its proportions and the structure of its inner dimensions (55m x 46m), faintly lit by two octagonal lanterns and adorned with 212 columns. The madrasahs, which make up the social areas, have majestic proportions with a simple decoration, and they form another type of Islamic architecture specific to Central Asia.

The place of the architectural heritage of Itchan Kala in the history of Central Asian architecture is determined not only by the abundance of surviving architectural monuments, but also by the unique contribution of Khorezmian master builders to Central Asian architecture and preservation of its classical traditions. The domestic architecture of Khiva, with its enclosed houses with their courtyard, reception room with portico or avian supported by delicately sculptured wooden posts, and private apartments, is also an important attribute of the property that can be studied in its 18th- and 20th-century morphological variants.

However, the outstanding qualities of Itchan Kala derive not so much from the individual monuments but also from the incomparable urban composition of the city, and from the harmony with which the major constructions of the 19thand 20th centuries were integrated into a traditional structure.

Criterion (iii): With the coherent and well preserved urban ensemble of the inner town of Khiva, Itchan Kala bears exceptional testimony to the lost civilizations of Khorezm.

Criterion (iv) : Several monuments of Itchan Kala constitute remarkable and unique types of architectural ensembles, built according to the ancient traditions of Central Asia, which illustrate the development of Islamic architecture between the 14th to the 19th century.

Criterion (v): The domestic architecture of Khiva, with traditional architectural style, represents an important example of human settlements in Central Asia by virtue of its design and construction.

Integrity

The boundaries of the property are appropriately drawn encompassing the high fortification walls of the city. All the elements that express the outstanding universal value of Itchan Kala are included within the property.

The total area of the Itchan Kala with its square shaped defensive walls and surroundings has remained intact. The madrasahs, mosques, narrow traditional streets, settlements, caravanserai, marketplaces and minarets are being maintained.

A degree of natural threats exists, in particular termite infestation of wooden structures, soil salinity and humidity affecting the foundations. These threats need to be controlled and necessary actions should be taken in order to retain the intactness of the property.

Authenticity

Itchan Kala retains authenticity and has been maintained in its original state. The restorations that have been carried out have respected the traditional building techniques and the use of traditionally treated local materials such as baked brick, wood and stone.

Protection and management requirements

Itchan Kala was designated as a Reserve under the Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Uzbek SSR in 1967. The property is now classified as a site of national importance.

Relevant national laws and regulations concerning the World Heritage property include: (i) The Law on Protection and Exploitation of Cultural Heritage Properties, 2001; (ii) The Law on Architecture and City-building, 1995; (iii) The Instructions on Rules of Recording, Safeguarding, Maintaining, Utilisation and Restoration of Historical and Cultural Monuments, 1986; (iv) The Instructions on Organization of Buffer Zones for Historical and Cultural Monuments, 1986. The above mentioned laws, rules and instructions are legislative norms applied for the protection of the cultural heritage of Uzbekistan. The execution of these legislative norms is under the responsibility of the Ministry of Culture and Sport and the overall control is undertaken by the Legislative chamber of Oliy Majlis (Parliament) of the Republic of Uzbekistan. For the enforcement of these laws and legislative acts, the Ministry of Culture and Sports develops a state programme aimed at research, conservation and utilization of the cultural heritage of Khorezm region, in particular Itchan Kala. The programme is submitted to and to be approved by the Cabinet of Ministries of Uzbekistan.

Individual architectural Monuments of the Reserve were protected under a number of legislative acts of the state policy of the USSR on the preservation of monuments of history and culture. In 1967 the property was granted the legal status of the State Architectural and Historic Reserve (Resolution no. 61) of the Council of Ministers of the Uzbek SSR. Since 1969 it is a museum and reserve.

Management and controls are carried out by the Ministry of Culture and Sports, through the Principal Board on Monuments Protection, at national level and by the Khorezm Regional Inspection on Preservation and Restoration of Objects of Cultural Heritage at regional level. The use, maintenance and monitoring of the monuments within the boundary of the property are carried out by Itchan Kala Reserve Directorate.

Funding is provided by the State, the Regional and the Itchan Kala Reserve budgets. The state of conservation of the property has improved over the past 15 years. A 10-year complex programme is in the process of being prepared by the Main Department on Preservation and Restoration of Objects of Cultural Heritage and a buffer zone is being defined. To sustainably attend to conservation and management concerns, a management plan is needed as well as resources for its comprehensive implementation.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	543	×			
3.2.2					
3.2.3					
3.2.4					
3.2.5					
3.2.6					

3.2.7			
3.2.8			
3.2.9			
3.2.10			
3.2.11			
3.2.12			
3.2.13			
3.2.14			
3.2.15			

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant			X Not relevant				
 4.1.2 - Commercial develop Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ Relevant, Positive, Currer 	(2011):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.1.3 - Industrial areasPrevious answer Cycle 2 (28/06/Relevant, Positive, Currer							
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.1.4 - Major visitor accom Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ • Relevant, Positive, Currer Relevant	Structure						
Relevant			 Not relevant 				
Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/	 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside 						
× Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The factor selected in 4.1.5 is affecting positively to the property.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Subscreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	Jourient	×		×	» Decreasing		• increasing
		~		^		~	
Negative							
4.2.2 - Underground transp Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ • Not relevant		lre					
Relevant			× Not relevar	ıt			
4.2.3 - Air transport infrast Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevar	ıt			
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infi Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ Relevant, Positive, Currer 	2011):	de					
Relevant			× Not relevar	ıt			
4.2.5 - Effects arising from Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ • Not relevant	-	rtation infrastru	cture				
Relevant			× Not relevar	ıt			
4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively All above mentiones factors do not exist within the property and respectively do not affect it.							
4.3. Services Infrastructur	res						
 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ Relevant, Positive, Current 	2011):	e, Outside					
Relevant			× Not relevar	it			
4.3.2 - Renewable energy fa Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevar	ıt			
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ Relevant, Positive, Currer 	2011):	e, Outside					
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×	J		J
Negative							
4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): • Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside							
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		

Current

×

Potential

×

Inside

×

Cutside

×

Secreasing

Impact

O Positive X

Increasing

⇒ Stable

Negative			

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				
Negative X		×		×			

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

At the moment of inscription of the site into the World Heritage List on its territory and in buffer zone there were no enterprises polluting an environment and negatively affecting to the site. For the reporting period such enterprises within the limits of cities Khivas did not construct.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

One of the factors of negative affecting, as a consequence of extensive land tenure, is soil salinity and underground waters. By Principal Board on Protection of Cultural Monuments bodies this problem is rised to the national level, and are taken the preventive measures against soil salinity and underground waters on the basis of rational land tenure and conducting an agriculture.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.3 - Land conversion Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	5
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.5 - Crop production Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

One of the factors of negative affecting, as a consequence of extensive land tenure, is soil salinity and underground waters. By Principal Board on Protection of Cultural Monuments bodies this problem is rised to the national level, and are taken the preventive measures against soil salinity and underground waters on the basis of rational land tenure and conducting an agriculture.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.3 - Oil and gasPrevious answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.4 - Water (extraction)Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

n/a

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	I	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		→	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	avant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X	×	×	×	×			1	

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

K Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin Trend of imp		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The cases of vandalizm, theft and destructions of cultural monuments by the local population are not fixed. The only natural factor negativly influences on monuments of city is the drying the Aral sea. It is a global problem resolving of which is depended on the international community. One of the factors of negative affecting, as a consequence of extensive land tenure, is soil salinity and underground waters. By Principal Board on Protection of Cultural Monuments bodies this problem is rised to the national level, and are taken the preventive measures against soil salinity and underground waters on the basis of rational land tenure and conducting an agriculture.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive X	×	×	×	→	
Negative					

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant				
	Impact C		Origin	Origin Trend c		of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X		×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×				→	
Negative							

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		→	
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Since the property is well known and famous not only abroad but also very visited place within Uzbekistan. In some points it affects positively in preservation of heritage in governmental level, but in other hand the toutist flow affects negatively.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):● Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.5 - TerrorismPrevious answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrestPrevious answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 The cases of vandalizm, theft, terrorism and destructions of cultur 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events 4.10.1 - Storms Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside 	
Relevant	× Not relevant
 4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): • Not relevant	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): • Not relevant Relevant	X Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	
4.10.7 - Other climate ch							

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

N/A

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		→	

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

One of the above mentioned factors affecting the property is earthquake, of course it is not as often as in other countries but unfortunately it happens.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Not relevant

× Relevant	X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		\rightarrow		
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien fres Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ • Not relevant		i						
Relevant	Relevant		X Not relevant					
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien mari Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/ • Not relevant	-							

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.12.6 - Modified genetic material Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Currently, only in the territory of Ichan-kala of 49 cultural heritage sites, 31 monuments are effected with termites, as well as 280 houses in mahalla "Mevaston" and 210 houses in the mahalla "Yangi turmush". It was impossible to clear the wooden structures from termites with the help of traditional method (Pollinating or spraying with insecticide dust or with gaz). In accordance with the Order of the Cabinet of Ministers of the Republic of Uzbekistan from January 20, 2009 No. 07 / 120-13. the Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Uzbekistan jointly with the Khorezm region municipality has developed a program for research, conservation, restoration, adaptation for modern use and liquidation of the consequences of the termites of cultural heritage sites in Khiva until 2020 to control the situation Despite the efforts being made to date, it has been determined that the effect of termites does not stop.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow				
Negative										

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (28/06/2011):

Not relevant

Relevant

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

N/A

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s) N/A

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

				.				
Name	Impact	I		Origin		Trend		
4.1 Buildings and Development								
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	→		
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure								
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	٢		9		Ċ	→		
4.3 Services Infrastructures								
		-	-		05			
4.3.3 Non-renewable energy facilities	٢	4	4	٢	Ċ			
4.3.4 Localised utilities	٢	9	9	٢	Ċ			
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	٢	9		۲				
	0		9		æ			
					3			
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric								
4.7.1 Wind	٢		9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow		
	9	9	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow		
4.7.2 Relative humidity								
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	1		
4.7.3 Temperature	٢		9	۲	Ċ	\rightarrow		
	9		9	٢	Ċ	→		
4.7.5 Dust								
		_	~		æ			
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	0	4	4	0	জ জ			
	٢		9	٢		~		
	9		4	٢	Ċ	→		
4.7.7 Pests								
	9	9	9	٢	Ċ	1		
4.7.8 Micro-organisms								
	0	4	9	٢	Ċ	\rightarrow		
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage								
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→		
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage								
	9		9	۹	Ċ	→		
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→		

4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢		9			→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.6 Temperature change						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	0		9	۲	14	→
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition	-			3	3	
			~73			
	9		9	٢	Ċ	→
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9	9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.3 Governance	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.4 Management activities	•		9	٩		
4.15.4 management activities			-1	9		-
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.6 Human resources	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢		9	۲	Ċ	→
Legend Current Potential O Negative O Positive	 Insi 	de		C Outsid	do	
	Insi	ue		GUUSI	ue	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Name		Impact			Origin	
4.1.5 Interp	4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities		9	9	۲	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
				Origin		Trena
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure	٢	4		Ċ	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.3 Non-r	enewable energy facilities	٢	9	9	٩	Ċ	
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Spatial Sca	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact						
remporars	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
Impact - Im	On-going pact on the attributes						
impact - im							
	Insignificant Minor						
	Significant						
Managama	Major						
wanageme	ht response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
Trond Do	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.4 Local	3.4 Localised utilities		9	9	٩	Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						

Restricted

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	٢	9		۲		
	0		9		Ċ	

4.3.5 Wajor	4.3.5 Major linear utilities		4		٩	
		0		9		Ċ
Spatial sca	ile - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
Itchan Kala						

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend		
4.7.1 Wind		٢		9	٢	Ċ	→
		0	9	9	٢	٢	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
×	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Nome		Immed			Origin		Trond
Name 4.7.2 Relat	ive humidity	Impact			Origin		Trend
		٢	9	9	٢	Ċ	-
		-			4	4	

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Tempo	ral scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact	- Impact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
x	Significant
	Major
Manage	ement response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
x	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.3 Temperature	٥	9	٢	Ċ	→
	٢	9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

manageme	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.5 Dust						
	6	9	4		1	1

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water	(rain/water table)	٢	9	٢	Ċ	→
		9	4	٢	Ċ	→

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.7 Pests						
	0		<i>i</i>]		100	7

	•
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
Trend - De	Velopement over the last 6 years Decreasing
Trend - De	

ame		Impact			Origin	
4.7.8 Micro-organisms						
	0	9	9	٢	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses			9	۹	Ċ	→
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

ame		Impact			Origin	
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
	0		9	٢	Ċ	→

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

manageme	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Chang	4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system		9	٢	۴	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

Ū	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	٢	9			→

	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impa	cts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢		4	٢	Ċ	→
		9		9	•	۴	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major


Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

				_			
Name		Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.10.6 1	emperature change						
		9		9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact	- Impact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

ľ	Varme	Impact	Origin	Trend



Spatial scale	- Area affected by the factor
×F	Restricted
l	Localised
E	Extensive
١	Widespread
Temporal sca	ale - Occurence of the impact
* 0	One off or rare
1	Intermittent or sporadic
F	Frequent
C	On-going
Impact - Impa	act on the attributes
× 1	Insignificant
r	Minor
S	Significant
1	Major
Management	response - Capacity of management to respond
ł	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
L	Low capacity
1	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Devel	lopement over the last 6 years
[Decreasing
× 5	Static
1	Increasing

Name	Impact	:		Origin		Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	0		9	۲	Ċ	

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant

	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact	•		Origin		Trend
	sive/Alien terrestrial species	impac			ongin	·······	
4.12.2 1114				1	٩		
		•		7	Q	G	~
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
×	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		٢		9	٢	Ċ	→
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	· On-going						
Impact - In	appact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	Name				Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Leg	4.13.2 Legal framework		4	4	٢	٢	→

Spatial sc	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			

Frequent

×

On-going

	Un-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Managemen	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity

Low capacity No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

me		Impact			Origin	
4.13.3 Governance			9	۲	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.4 Management activities	٢		9	۲		→

Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact			Origin	
4.13.5 Financial resources			9	۲	Ċ	→

	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			

×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin		Trend	
4.13.6 Human resources		9	٢	Ċ	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
×	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant			
	Minor			
×	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities		0	9	۲	٢	→
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

 Decreasing

 X

 Static

 Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

N/A

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1		×			
4.18.1.2		×			
4.18.1.3		×			
4.18.1.4		×			
4.18.1.5		×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Itchan Kala was created as a reserve and taken uder State protection in 1969. Now, the site received the status of museum-reserve. The status of Itchan Kala is protected by the National Law on the Protection of Cultural Heritage (2001). The boundaries and buffer zone of the property is identified accroding to the sam law. In 1967 the property was granted the legal status of the *State Architectural and Historic Reserve* (Resolution No. 616 of 30 November 1967 of the Council of Ministers of the Uzbek SSR).

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced? There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is not adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented? An annual work/action plan **exists but few of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities			×		
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		×			
5.3.15.5	Women		×			
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups		×			
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children				×	
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				×	

5.3.16.13	Other specific groups		×	
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify			

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality			×		
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)			×		
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status			×		
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach			×		
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood			×		
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property			×		

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	70 %	70 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	30 %	30 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	80 %	80 %

6.1.6.2	Women	20 %	20 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Poor
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving

understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

 $\label{eq:results} \mbox{Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies}$

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Poor
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is no need for an education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Indigenous peoples	
Youth/children	
Researchers	
National/international tourists	
Tourism industry	
NGOs	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Fair
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

70 / 50 / 50 / 40 / 30 / 100 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

There is $\ensuremath{\textit{some management}}$ of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted? The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location, but not easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives? Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities? Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of monitoring, but it is not planned

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained? Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation			×	
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Local communities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Poor
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Poor
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is complete

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	×
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is not adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	

5.3.14	An annual work/action plan exists for the property but few of the activities are being implemented	
5.3.17	 In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to gender equality In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does integrate a human rights-based approach In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood In a limited manner, the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property 	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	х
9.9	There is some management of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	х
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done	
	se select 1 more issues. ease save this question to reflect changes	

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

Please assess the current negative factors in question 4.16 before filling in the summary table.

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
5.2	Protective Measures				

5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
5.3	Management Syst	tem/Management Plan			
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptablebut could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
7 :	Scientific Studies and	d Research Projects			
	research in the re	epartment should always be ady for any problems that ay arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
9	Visitor Manageme	nt			

9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
10	Monitoring				
10.1	There is a small amount of monitoring at the World Heritage property, but it is not planned	The regional offices of the department should always be ready for any problems that may arise	The current annual plan will be the basis for the implementation of the next annual work plan. An overall work plan will also be considered if necessary. The evaluation includes the implementation of the annual report, the annual plan as its compone	The Department of Cultural Heritage under the Ministry of Culture and its regional offices are responsible for the World Heritage Site. These organizations are supported by local governments. Citizens must fulfill their obligations to maintain WH	All activities carried out within the boundaries of the World Heritage Site and protected areas are supervised by the regional departament. All types of monitoring activities should be carried out after the completion of work processes and only with
Summary - I	Vanagement Nee	ds completed			

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	No impact
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	No impact

Institutional coordination	No impact
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	No impact
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development	
State of Conservation	
Management	
Governance	
Capacity Building	

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy
Other

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Focal points of other international conventions/programmes
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local communities
ICOMOS International
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has been given limited consideration and implementation is in process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

378 / 378 / 7 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Poor
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Good
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable

ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.