Archaeological Site of Mystras

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Archaeological Site of Mystras

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Archaeological Site of Mystras	37.081 / 22.367	54.43	1202.52	1256.95	1989
Total (ha)		54.43	1202.52	1256.95	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Archaeological site of Mystras, scale 1:50000	2008	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
 - 1. Mystras
 - 2. www.culture.gr

Comment

https://m.facebook.com/people/ - - Ephorate-of-Antiquities-of-Laconia/100080674175470/ https://www.culture.gov.gr/mystras-edu/

- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

- 2.2 Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 2.3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

Archaeological Site of Mystras 1 of 44

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Since Byzantine chant is the medieval sacred music featuring in all religious services of the Christian churches following the Eastern Orthodox rite, the churches that are still in use (housing religious services) are associated with the Byzantine chant (Inscribed in 2019 (14.COM) on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Archaeological Site of Mystras 2 of 44

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Mystras, the 'wonder of the Morea', lies in the southeast of the Peloponnese. The town developed down the hillside from the fortress built in 1249 by the prince of Achaia, William II of Villehardouin, at the top of a 620 m high hill overlooking Sparta. The Franks surrendered the castle to the Byzantines in 1262, it was the centre of Byzantine power in southern Greece, first as the base of the military governor and from 1348 as the seat of the Despotate of Morea. Captured by the Turks in 1460, it was occupied thereafter by them and the Venetians. After 1834 the inhabitants of Mystras gradually started to move to the modern town of Sparta leaving only the breath-taking medieval ruins, standing in a beautiful landscape.

Mystras, as the centre of Byzantine power, quickly attracted inhabitants and institutions; the bishopric was transferred there from Sparta, with its cathedral, the Metropolis or church of Hagios Demetrios, built after 1264. Many monasteries were founded there, including those of the Brontochion and the monastery of Christos Zoodotes (Christ the Giver of Life). Under the Despots, Mystras reached its zenith with the building of churches, outstanding examples of Late Byzantine church architecture, such as Hagioi Theodoroi (1290-1295), the Hodegetria (c. 1310), the Hagia Sophia (1350-1365), the Peribleptos (3rd quarter of the 14th century), the Evangelistria (late 14th – early 15th century) and the Pantanassa (c. 1430). The city was a major piece on the political chessboard of the time and was developed and beautified as befitted its role as a centre of power and culture. The city's complex history is clearly evident in its fortifications, palaces, churches, convents, houses, streets and public squares.

Mystras' distinct architecture is influenced by the so-called "Helladic" school of Byzantine architecture as well as the architecture of Constantinople. The painting of churches reflects the quality and the eclecticism of the art of Constantinople. Elements of Romanesque and Gothic art are also present as a result of the city's wide range of contacts during the 14th and 15th centuries. The beauty of its churches, which during the Palaeologan Renaissance were covered with magnificent frescoes, the renown of its libraries and the glory of its writers, including the philosopher Georgios Gemistos Plethon and his pupil, the intellectual Bessarion, later cardinal of the Roman Catholic church, who brought neo-platonic humanism to Italy, gave substance thereafter to the legend of the Wonder of the Morea. Mystras is therefore a truly outstanding example of late Byzantine culture which influenced the rest of the Mediterranean world and beyond.

Criterion (ii): Mystras constitutes a medieval city whose art, the fruit of the so-called Palaeologan Renaissance, influenced the development of Late Byzantine and Post-byzantine art. The influence of the art of Mystras during the late and post Byzantine era is visible on a large number of monuments in the Peloponnese (such as Geraki, Mani, Longanikos, Leontari, Roinos) especially in painting. During the late Byzantine period the radiance of the art of the Despotate seems to influence the artistic streams which are developed throughout the Greek territory – including that of the Cretan School painting – always in combination with the powerful influence exerted by the art of Constantinople. This influence can be easily seen on the works of post-Byzantine painters, such as Xenos Digenis originating from the Despotate who was active in Crete, Aitolia, and Ipeiros or the family of Phokas in Crete and many others. The heritage of Mystras is apparent not only in architecture and painting but also in intellectual aspects. Distinguished intellectuals of Mystras, amongst them, Georgios Gemistos Plethon, the Neoplatonist philosopher, aroused the interest of the West for the interpretation of Platonic philosophy and the study of ancient Greek texts, thus contributing to the European Renaissance.

Criterion (iii): Mystras constitutes a unique example of a Byzantine city, an expression of flourishing urban society within the late Byzantine Empire. As a political and administrative provincial centre of the Byzantine state, Mystras became a unique intellectual, cultural and artistic centre.

Criterion (iv): Mystras is an exceptional example of a well-preserved fortified late-Byzantine city with elaborate spatial planning organization, and fortifications with the citadel on top of the hill and two fortified precincts at the lower level. The urban fabric of the city includes palaces, residences and mansions, churches and monasteries, as well as constructions related to the city's water supply and drainage and to commercial and craft-based activities. Various architectural styles are applied in ecclesiastical architecture, but the so-called "mixed type of Mystras" (in which a three aisled basilica at ground level is combined with a five-domed cross-in-square at the level of the gallery) is dominant. The splendid complex of palaces, one of the few Byzantine survivals, the impressive mansions and the urban residences clearly demonstrate the high quality of life of the city's inhabitants in the two last centuries of the Byzantine Empire.

Integrity

Mystras was a living settlement from the 13th century to the 19th century when it gradually started to be deserted due to the foundation of the new town of Sparta. It retained its completeness as a fortified urban unit of the late-Byzantine period. The boundaries of the property include all the significant attributes. Its well-preserved monuments strongly demonstrate its importance as one of the most notable administrative and ecclesiastical centres of its era. Three of the most important religious monuments of Mystras, the Metropolis or church of Hagios Demetrios, Hodegetria and Pantanassa, maintain occasionally their religious use. Potential risks to the city are the impact of wind and rain, and there is some risk of earthquake.

Authenticity

Mystras constitutes a monumental late-Byzantine complex with distinct and well-preserved elements such as land-planning, street planning, secular and ecclesiastical architecture, and artistic production. Its authentic urban character, which has not been affected by human interventions, has been preserved through the centuries. The most important monuments on the site give the visitor the chance to perceive various aspects of the Byzantine culture. The restoration works on selected monuments on the site are carried out according to research and scientific studies and with the use of traditional techniques and materials and aim to restore the values represented.

Protection and management requirements

The property is protected by the provisions of the Archaeological Law 3028/2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general", and by separate ministerial decrees, published in the Official Government Gazette. Protection and management are carried out by the Ministry of Culture, Education and Religious Affairs through the responsible regional service (Ephorate of Antiquities of Lakonia).

The "Committee for the Restoration of the Monuments of Mystras", supervised by the Ministry of Culture, Education and Religious Affairs, has the responsibility to carry out restoration works on the monuments of Mystras and to promote the values of the property.

Since 1989 significant works have been carried out at the property including restoration work on the palaces and the residence of Laskaris; redisplay of the exhibits of the Museum of Mystras where selected items bear witness to the mutual relations between Mystras and Western Europe; reorganization of the archaeological store; creation of a sculpture exhibition at the northern atrium and the semi-open space of the Museum; conservation of the paintings and sculptures of the churches; installation of infrastructure works such as a water supply network, a sewage system, electricity in case of emergency; provision of visitor services and facilities including information signs, two ticket offices, drinking water facilities, lavatory facilities nearby the central entrance and a canteen outside the central entrance. In addition, there has been research on the architecture of the monuments and the spatial arrangement as well as conservation studies focusing on the mural, sculptural and floor decoration of the most important monuments of Mystras.

Visitor numbers are high especially during the summer period. There is also increased interest from schools and university students.

An events program, including information and educational events, musical and theatrical performances, exhibitions, educational programs and publications, supports the promotion and presentation of this property to the public and facilitates a multi-levelled approach by the public.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

Archaeological Site of Mystras 3 of 44

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Exceptional example of fortified late-Byzantine city with elaborate spatial planning organization, and fortifications with the citadel on top of the hill and two fortified precincts at the lower level	×			
3.2.2	The monuments of Mystras strongly demonstrate its importance as one of the most notable administrative and ecclesiastical centres of its era	×			
3.2.3	The palace of Mystras is the only palatial complex preserved today in the southeast Europe	×			
3.2.4	The art of Mystras (architecture, painting, sculpture), the fruit of the so-called Palaeologan Renaissance, influenced the development of Late Byzantine and Post-byzantine art	×			
3.2.5	The heritage of Mystras is apparent in intellectual aspects. Distinguished intellectuals of Mystras, amongst them, Georgios Gemistos Plethon, the Neoplatonist philosopher, aroused the interest of the West for the interpretation of Platonic philosophy and the study of ancient Greek texts, thus contributing to the European Renaissance	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- The Archaeological Law 3028/2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general" has been replaced by the Law 4858/2021 "Ratification of the legislation Code for the protection of antiquities and cultural heritage in general" (Government Gazette 220/A/19.11.2021). - The Ministry of Culture was re-established on 27/6/2023, thus the Ministry of Culture, Education and Religious Affairs has been renamed to Ministry of Culture.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

✗ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact				Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

Archaeological Site of Mystras 4 of 44

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×			/
Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			1
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

× Relevant	Not relevant						
Impact			Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×		×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

X Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Polovont	♥
Relevant	X Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Mystras 5 of 44

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×	×	×	×			,
Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

* Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X		×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Archaeological Site of Mystras 6 of 44

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

★ Relevant	K Relevant			Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×		×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Not relevant Not relevant	Relevant	X Not relevant
---------------------------	----------	----------------

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Mystras 7 of 44

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

televant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Outside

vant	X Not relevant
------	----------------

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

×	Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Mystras 8 of 44

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current Potential		Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
	×	×	×			\Rightarrow	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×	×	×			<i>P</i>

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Re	elevant	X Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×			\rightarrow	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✗ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	№ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive X	ж	×	ж	×		→	

Archaeological Site of Mystras 9 of 44

Nec	gative		

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×	×			/	
Negative								

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Mystras 10 of 44

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.6 - Civil unrestPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively	selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.10. Climate change and severe weather events	
4.10.1 - StormsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - Flooding Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): ■ Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.3 - Drought Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): ◆ Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): ● Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic watersPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.6 - Temperature changePrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.7 - Other climate change impactsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors positively	selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events	
4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014): ◆ Not relevant	

ant	✗ Not relevant
-----	----------------

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Mystras 11 of 44

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×	×	×		→	

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		\Rightarrow		

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X		×	×	×		→		

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Archaeological Site of Mystras 12 of 44

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

★ Relevant		Not relevant					
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×				•
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✗ Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×			,
Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×				-

Archaeological Site of Mystras 13 of 44

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive	×	×	×				,
Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (30/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×			→	
Negative							

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact	ı		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing	O	9	P		Œ	\rightarrow
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	O	9	P		Œ	/
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9		•	C	/
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	q	9		F	\rightarrow
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	O	9	9		G	\rightarrow
4.3 Services Infrastructures						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 14 of 44

4.3.1 Water infrastructure	•	9	9	•	Œ	1
4.3.5 Major linear utilities	•		9		Œ	→
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion	•	9	9		Œ	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
			q	•	G	→
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
		q	q	•		→
4.7.3 Temperature						
			q	•	G	1
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
		9	9	•		\rightarrow
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	q	9	•	G	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9		•	G	-
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			9	•	G	→
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition					-4	
			9	•	(→
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			en.		æ	
A 40 Management and health and for the			q	•	Ç	→
4.13 Management and institutional factors		<i>-</i> 23	<i>-</i> 23			20
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	②	4	4	•		
4.13.2 Legal framework	•	q		()	<i>18</i>	-
T. I. S. L.		-1		Ġ)	G	,
4.13.3 Governance	•	q		()	(G	
	9	-1		Çı	Ç	
4.13.4 Management activities	©	A	a	()	(E	→
			•		4	
4.13.5 Financial resources	•	q	q	•		7
4.13.6 Human resources	•	q	q	•		/
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	•	q	q	•	G	>

Archaeological Site of Mystras 15 of 44

4.13.8 High impact	research/monitoring acti	vities			•	9	9	•		\rightarrow
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	de		Outsid	de	

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.1 Hous	ng	O	9	9		Œ	→
Spatial sag	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×							
	Localised						
	Extensive						
T	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
**	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Impact	a	9	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.1.4 Wajor	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	•	7	7		G	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 16 of 44

	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name	prototive and visitation facilities	Impact			Origin	ng.	Trend
	retative and visitation facilities	Impact ③	9	q	Origin	F	Trend 🎤
4.1.5 Interp			9	9		F	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor		9	9		Œ	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted		q	9		Œ	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor		4	q		Œ	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive		ब	q		Œ	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread		q	q		Œ	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact		q	q		©	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread icale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare		q	व		(Trend
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Grale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic		q	ब		(G	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread icale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent		4	4		E	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocale - Occurence of the impact Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going		q	q		(Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread ccale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes		q	व		(Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant		q	व		(Trend
4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Cocurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor		4	ब		E	Trend
4.1.5 Interp	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant		द	4		(Trend
4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca X Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		q	व			Trend
4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca X Temporal s X Impact - Im	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread Scale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major Int response - Capacity of management to respond		q	<i>ब</i>			Trend
4.1.5 Interp Spatial sca X Temporal s X	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor Significant Major		व	ब		E	Trend

Archaeological Site of Mystras 17 of 44

	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure		•	9	9		F	\rightarrow
Custial ass	le. Asses offered by the feature						
Spatiai sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	O	A	A		F	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted

Archaeological Site of Mystras 18 of 44

	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
×	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure		O	A	A	•	Œ	/
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 19 of 44

Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	Unany willisten	Impact	~ 7	Origin	ndi	Trend
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities	②	9		G	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.3 Land conversion	•	9	9		Œ	\rightarrow

Archaeological Site of Mystras 20 of 44

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor								
	Restricted								
	Localised								
×	Extensive								
	Widespread								
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact								
	One off or rare								
	Intermittent or sporadic								
	Frequent								
×	On-going On-going								
Impact - In	npact on the attributes								
×	Insignificant								
	Minor								
	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend								
4.7.1 Wind															
				9	•	Œ	→								
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor														
	Restricted														
×	Localised														
	Extensive														
	Widespread														
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact														
	One off or rare														
×	Intermittent or sporadic														
	Frequent														
	On-going														
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						Impact - Impact on the attributes								

Archaeological Site of Mystras 21 of 44

	Insignificant								
	Minor								
×	Significant								
	Major								
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity								
	Medium capacity								
	Low capacity								
	No capacity and / or resources								
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years								
	Decreasing								
×	Static								
	Increasing								
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend					

Name	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		ct		pact		pact		t		it .		mpact		Impact		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity																											
		q	9	•	→																						

		4	9	•	→
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
------	--------	--------	-------

Archaeological Site of Mystras 22 of 44

4.7.0 10.11				9	•	Œ	7
0	le Avender de Avender fan de						
Spatiai sca	le - Area affected by the factor Restricted						
	Localised						
~							
×	Extensive						
Tammanala	Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact						
remporal s	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
Invest In	On-going						
impact - im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
**	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
	(rain/water table)				. 3		
			q	q	•		→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
remporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 23 of 44

	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impac	act Origin			Trend	
4.8.1 Ris	cual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	•	q	9	•	G	→
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact -	Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 24 of 44

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.8.6 Impac	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	•	9		•	Œ	7
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
Opuliui 30u	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

One off or rare

Frequent

Intermittent or sporadic

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.2 Eart	hquake						
				9	•	Œ	→
• • • •							
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 25 of 44

	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name				Origin		Trend
4.11.5 Eros	on and siltation/Deposition		<i>~</i> 3			
			9	•	G	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managemer	t response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					

Archaeological Site of Mystras 26 of 44

	rea		

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)						
				9	•	G	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
×	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Mar	J.13.1 Management system/Management plan		A	9	•	-
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial SC	ale - Alea allected by the lactor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					

Archaeological Site of Mystras 27 of 44

	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name				Origin		Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework		O	9	•	G	→
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Archaeological Site of Mystras 28 of 44

×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact	q		Origin	Œ	Trend
4.13.3 Gov	ernance	O	4		•	G.	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Man	agement activities	O	9	9	•	C	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
×	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 29 of 44

	Frequent					
×	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impact		~3	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Fina	ncial resources	O	4	9	•	-
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
×	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Archaeological Site of Mystras 30 of 44

	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources	•	9	9	•		1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
	Significant						
×	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		<i>-</i> 23	Origin	000	Trend
4.13.7 LOW	impact research/monitoring activities	O	9	9	•	F	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						

Archaeological Site of Mystras 31 of 44

×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name		Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.8 High	impact research/monitoring activities	O	4	9	•	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					

Archaeological Site of Mystras 32 of 44

×	Static
	Increasing

- 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)
- 4.17.1 If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor
- 4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Mystras is an exceptional example of fortified late-Byzantine city with elaborate spatial planning organization, and fortifications with the citadel on top of the hill and two fortified precincts at the lower level.	×			
4.18.1.2	The monuments of Mystras strongly demonstrate its importance as one of the most notable administrative and ecclesiastical centres of its era.	×			
4.18.1.3	The palace of Mystras is the only palatial complex preserved today in the southeast Europe.	×			
4.18.1.4	The art of Mystras (architecture, painting, sculpture), the fruit of the so-called Palaeologan Renaissance, influenced the development of Late Byzantine and Post-byzantine art.	×			
4.18.1.5	The heritage of Mystras is apparent in intellectual aspects. Distinguished intellectuals of Mystras, amongst them, Georgios Gemistos Plethon, the Neoplatonist philosopher, aroused the interest of the West for the interpretation of Platonic philosophy and the study of ancient Greek texts, thus contributing to the European Renaissance.	×			

- 5. Protection and Management of the Property
- 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones
- 5.1.1 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value
- 5.1.2 Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

- 5.1.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property
- 5.2. Protective Measures
- 5.2.1 Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The Archaeological Site of Mystras, as all movable and immovable monuments, archaeological sites and the cultural heritage of Greece in general, from ancient to contemporary ones, are protected by the Law 3028/2002 «On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general». Furthermore, the 5th Ephorate has proceeded with the designation and delimitation of the boundaries of the Archaeological Site of Mystras (Ministry of Culture Decision 24700/744/9.5.2001) in order to achieve a more effective protection of the site.

The designated Archaeological Site has been extended (Ministry of Culture Decision 24700/744/9.5.2001 Government Gazette 626/. /25.5.2001). The Archaeological Site includes the medieval residential complex of Mystras and the historically and archaeologically documented surrounding landscape, including an important number of monuments and traditional settlements.

The 5th Ephorate has submitted a proposal to the Central Archaeological Council, for the delimitation of a zone where building construction is prohibited (zone A) and of a zone having specific building and land use restrictions (zone B).

The existing protection arrangements are considered sufficiently effective.

Source: Nomination File; Periodic Reporting Cycle I

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2021 / Ratification of the legislation Code for the protection of antiquities and cultural heritage in general / Law 4858/2021 (Government Gazette 220/A/19-11-2021) /
The links to the English text of the Law 3028/2002, which has been codified by Law 4858/2021 are: 1) https://www.law-archaeology.gr/index.php/en/greek-cultural-herutage-law, and 2) https://www.bsa.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/Archaeological-Law-3028-2002.pdf

Archaeological Site of Mystras 33 of 44

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

An annual work plan or business plan

- 5.3.3 Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property
- 5.3.4 Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is fully based on the agreed World Heritage policy

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?** The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the

Archaeological Site of Mystras 34 of 44

following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities	×				
5.3.15.2	Local authorities	×				
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities				×	
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women				×	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				×	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

Archaeological Site of Mystras 35 of 44

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	100 %	100 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is adequate** for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	70 %	0 %
6.1.6.2	Women	30 %	100 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Not applicable
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Poor

Archaeological Site of Mystras 36 of 44

Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not applicable
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Not applicable
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good

Archaeological Site of Mystras 37 of 44

Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Indigenous peoples	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Not needed
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

- 8.5 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building
- 9. Visitor Management
- 9.1 Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

139.512 / 80.491 / 39.775 / 143.472 / 145.959 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

- 9.4 Please provide the source of information
- 9.5 What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

150 / 40 / 10 / 12 / 15 / 15 /

- 9.6 Please provide the source of information
- 9.7 Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

- 9.8 Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7
- 9.9 Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Archaeological Site of Mystras 38 of 44

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Financial benefits, tourism development, reduction of unemployment, investments in the tourism sector (hotels, restaurants, etc.)

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Not applicable
Local communities	Not applicable
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Good

Archaeological Site of Mystras 39 of 44

Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Not applicable
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs
- 11.1 Identification of Priority Management Needs

10	Monitoring					
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×				
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×				
Plea	ise select 0 more issues.					
□P	☐ Please save this question to reflect changes					

- 12. Summary and Conclusions
- 12.1. Summary Factors affecting the Property
- 12.1.1 Summary Factors affecting the Property

4.7	Local condit	ions affecting physic	al fabric					
4.7.1	Wind	Criterion (iii), (iv).	Surveys and restoration works are carried out eve year on the monuments of the property in order to ensure the static adequace of the monuments.	f supervision of the Ephorate	e	Annually	Ministry of Culture	The risk is potential.
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Criterion (iii), (iv).	Restoration work is being carried out on the monuments to protect then from the inflow of moisture Conservation work is being carried out on the frescoes	Ephorate		Annually	Ministry of Culture	The relative humidity affects the state of preservation of the frescoes in the churches
4.7.3	Temperature	Criterion (iii), (iv).	Within the property, a fire protection system is to be constructed as part of the project "Fire protection works of the archaeological site of Mystras". The Ephorate carries out deforestation and vegetation clearance operations every year.	All the projects are carried out under the supervision of the Ephorate	"Fire	2-2025: for the project protection works of archaeological site of iras"	Ministry of Culture	The rise in temperatur during the summer season combined with the dryness of the atmosphere makes the property vulnerable to the risk of fire.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	(iv).	Surveys and restoration works are carried out every year on the monuments of the property in order to ensure the static adequacy of the monuments. There is lightning protection in the Palace complex. A	All the projects are carried out under the supervision of the Ephorate	lightnin	mmissioning of the g protection study e place in 2023.	Ministry of Culture	Frequent rainfall can affer the static adequacy of the ruined monuments on the property. The fall of lightning during strong storms can cause damag to the electricity supply network of the archaeological site, as we

Archaeological Site of Mystras 40 of 44

		is to	tning protection study be prepared for the ire archaeological site.				as minor damage to the monuments.		
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events								
4.11.2	Earthquake	Criterion (iii), (iv).	Surveys and restoration works are carried out every year on the monuments of the property in order to ensure the static adequacy of the monuments.	All the projects are carried out under the supervision of the Ephorate	Annually	Ministry of Culture	During the last century, no strong earthquakes have struck the area. The risk is potential.		
4.11.5	Erosion and siltation/Deposition	Criterion (iii), (iv).	rock and soil	All the projects are carried out under the supervision of the Ephorate	•	linistry of ulture	During the period 2015-2017 the project "Fixation of rocky slopes in the Archaeological Site of Mystras" has been implemented. The risk is potential.		
4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	Criterion (iii), (iv).	Within the property, a fire protection system is to be constructed as part of the project "Fire protection works of the archaeological site of Mystras". The Ephorate carries out deforestation and vegetation clearance operations every year.	All the projects are carried out under the supervision of the Ephorate	2022-2025	Ministry of Culture	For the year 2023 the Forestry Department of Sparta is also carrying out clearing operations in the forest areas in and around the property.		

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

10	Monitoring				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
10.1	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	There is considerable monitoring concerning the state of conservation and the use of the property. All competent authorities cooperate in order to improve it.	on going	Ministry of Culture, Ephorate of Antiquities of Lakonia	none
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	Cooperation among relevant authorities for the improvement of the monitoring.	On going	Ministry of Culture	none

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

Archaeological Site of Mystras 41 of 44

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	Very positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Very positive
Advocacy	Not applicable
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Very positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Not applicable
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level
- 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Archaeological Site of Mystras 42 of 44

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

20 / 0 / 100 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Good
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable

Archaeological Site of Mystras 43 of 44

IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Archaeological Site of Mystras 44 of 44