# Xanthos-Letoon

#### 1. World Heritage Property Data

#### **1.1 - Name of World Heritage property** Xanthos-Letoon

#### 1.2 - World Heritage property details

#### 1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
	0/0	?	?	?	
	0/0	?	?	?	
Xanthos	36.356 / 29.319	112	34.4	146.4	1988
Xanthos bis [outlier]	0/0	0.4	?	0.4	1988
Letoon	36.327 / 29.298	14	29	43	1988
Total (ha)		126.4	63.4	189.8	

#### 1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Antalya_Xanthos	2009	
Mu la – Letoon	2009	

#### 1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Xanthos-Letoon
- 2. Turkey on the World Heritage List
- 3. xanthos-letoon.org
- 4. Kültür ve Turizm Bakanlı ı (in Turkish)
- 5. https://www.instagram.com/ksanthosletoonkazisi/ https://www.facebook.com/profile.php?id=100053842172430 https://twitter.com/KazLetoon

#### 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# 2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

#### 2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

#### 2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

#### 2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

#### Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### Brief synthesis

Made up of two neighboring settlements located in the southwestern part of Anatolia, respectively within the boundaries of Antalya and Mu Ia Provinces, Xanthos-Letoon is a remarkable archaeological complex. It represents the most unique extant architectural example of the ancient Lycian Civilization, which was one of the most important cultures of the Iron Age in Anatolia. The two sites strikingly illustrate the continuity and unique combination of the Anatolian, Greek, Roman, and Byzantine civilizations. It is also in Xanthos-Letoon that the most important texts in Lycian language were found. The inscriptions engraved in rock or on huge stone pillars on the site are crucial for a better understanding of the history of the Lycian people and their Indo-European language.

Xanthos, which was the capital of ancient Lycia, illustrates the blending of Lycian traditions with the Hellenic influence, especially in its funerary art. The rock-cut tombs, pillar tombs and pillar-mounted sarcophagi in Xanthos are unique examples of ancient funerary architecture. Their value was already recognized in Antiquity and they influenced the art of neighboring provinces: the Mausoleum of Halicarnassus is for instance directly influenced by the Xanthos Nereid Monument. The fact that some architectural and sculptural pieces of the sites were taken to England in the 19th century, including the Monument of Harpy, the Tomb of Payava and the Nereid Monument, led to their word-wide recognition, and consequently the Xanthos marbles became an important part of the history of ancient art and architecture.

East of the Xanthos River (E en Çayı), the first monumental zone includes the old Lycian Acropolis, which was remodeled during the Hellenistic and Byzantine periods. At that time, a church was built at the northeast corner, while an advanced defensive structure fortified the western side of the citadel along the river. Directly north of the Acropolis stands a very beautiful theatre that dominates the Roman agora. This area also features great Lycian funerary monuments imitating woodwork, which are characteristic of the archaeological landscape of Xanthos and rise up spectacularly from the ruins. There is a second, more complex archaeological zone that extends between the Vespasian Arch to the south and the Hellenistic Acropolis to the north. The lower part of the town, which includes the Hellenistic Agora and Byzantine churches, was located in this part of the site.

Letoon, on the other hand, was the cult center of Xanthos, the ancient federal sanctuary of the Lycian province and Lycian League of Cities. As many inscriptions found at the site demonstrate, the federal sanctuary was the place where all religious and political decisions of the ruling powers were declared to the public. The famous trilingual inscription, dating back to 337 B.C., features a text inLycian and Greek as well as an Aramaic summary and was discovered near the temple of Apollo. In the sanctuary of Letoon, three temples are dedicated to Leto, Artemis and Apollo. In addition, the site includes the ruins of a nymphaeum dating back to Hadrian, built on a water source that was considered sacred.

Criterion (ii): Xanthos-Letoon directly influenced the architecture of the principal ancient cities of Lycia such as Patara, Pinara, and Myra, as well as the neighboring provinces. The Halicarnassus Mausoleum, which was ranked as one of the Seven Wonders of the Ancient World, is directly influenced by Xanthos' Nereid Monument.

**Criterion (iii)**: Xanthos-Letoon bears exceptional testimony to the Lycian civilization, both through the many inscriptions found at the two sites and through the remarkable funerary monuments preserved within the property. The longest and most important texts in the Lycian language were found in Xanthos-Letoon. The inscriptions, most of which were carved in rock or on huge monoliths, are considered exceptional evidence of this unique and long-forgotten Indo-European language. The rock art tombs, pillar tombs and pillar-mounted sarcophagi represent a novel type of funerary architecture. The rich series of Lycian tombs in Xanthos and Letoon enable us to fully understand the successive acculturation phenomena that took place in Lycia from the 6th century onwards.

#### Integrity

The inscribed property includes all the necessary attributes, mainly original monuments and archaeological remains, which convey its Outstanding Universal Value. All components remain largely intact and are not affected by the negative effects of tourism or modern settlements.

Today, the only factor threatening the integrity of the property is the paved road that has crossed the antique city for many years. Within the framework of the revised Conservation Legislation put into force in 2004, the Regional Council for Conservation of Cultural Heritage decided to close this road in 2010. In addition, wire fence was used to surround the area. However, as these measures could not be implemented efficiently, further action is necessary to ensure that the integrity of the property is no longer impacted. These include the rerouting of the road according to suggestions made in the Conservation Plan.

#### Authenticity

Xanthos-Letoon has retained the authenticity of its features, largely due to the property's distance from any modern settlement.

The monuments revealed during archaeological excavations have gone through important restoration and conservations works, which have not impacted their authenticity in terms of design and layout. The most important project was the reconstruction of the temple of Leto in its original setting between 2000 and 2007. The architectural pieces that belonged to the temple of Leto, which were found during excavations carried out since 1950s, enabled the successful completion of this project. Some important restoration, conservation and consolidation works were also carried out on the Early Christian Church and monumental nymphaeum.

#### Protection and management requirements

The Antique City of Xanthos and Letoon was registered as a 1st degree archaeological site and is subject to National Conservation Legislation. The inscribed property is also within the boundaries of "Environment Protection Zone", under the responsibility of the Ministry of Environment and Urbanization. The Regional Conservation Council and Special Environmental Protection Agency approved the conservation plan for Xantos in 2001 and the related Regional Conservation Council approved the Conservation Plan for Letoon in 2006. Both planning tools have been implemented and require systematic monitoring and review to ensure their efficiency for the management of the property.

The monuments and archaeological remains within the sanctuary of Letoon are threatened by seasonal rising of the ground water table. Mitigation efforts were made in 2006 with the construction of water channels to lessen the level of water during excavation works. Another issue for Letoon is the visual pollution created by many greenhouses in the fertile alluvial lands of the site. As for Xanthos, the presence of the paved road cutting through the site requires additional measures to be fully addressed.

The Ministry of Culture and Tourism has started works for the preparation of a Landscaping Project for Xanthos and Letoon that will address the issues of the property, including environmental control and the preservation of the monuments. Within the framework of this project, the site of Letoon will be equipped with

recreation and promenade areas. This project will also address questions of visitor management, develop awareness-raising policies, and aim to actively involve both the local communities and the visitors.

# 3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Xanthos tomb monument		×		
3.2.2	Xanthos Dipylon monument		×		
3.2.3	Xanthos roman theatre		×		
3.2.4	Belly-Dancers Sarcophagus	×			
3.2.5	Xanthos south Gate	×			
3.2.6	Xanthos upper agora		×		
3.2.7	Xanthos Eastern Basilica	×			
3.2.8	Xanthos North Gate		×		
3.2.9	Letoon Sanctuary Theater	×			
3.2.10	Letoon Sanctuary Portico		×		
3.2.11	Letoon Sanctuary Temple of Leto		×		
3.2.12	Letoon Sanctuary Temple of Apollo		×		
3.2.13	Letoon Sanctuary Temple of Artemis		×		
3.2.14	Letoon Sanctuary Hadrian's Fountain		×		
3.2.15	Letoon Sanctuary Priest House		×		

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

### 4. Factors Affecting the Property

#### 4.1. Buildings and Development

#### 4.1.1 - Housing

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):   Relevant     Relevant     * Not relevant     * Relevant     Not relevant     Not relevant     * Relevant     Not relevant     * Relevant     Impact     Origin	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014): • Not relevant  Relevant  A.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities  Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014): • Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014): • Not relevant          Relevant       X Not relevant         4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities         Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014): • Not relevant	
Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):	
4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	
Relevant X Not relevant	
<ul> <li>4.1.3 - Industrial areas</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant X Not relevant	
<ul> <li>4.1.2 - Commercial development</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside</li> </ul>	
Relevant X Not relevant	

O Positive				
Negative X	×	×	<b>N</b>	

# 4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

- The use of the asphalt road passing through the city of Xanthos makes it difficult to protect the artifacts in the city and therefore creates a negative effect. - The visitor center in Letoon has a positive effect on the promotion of the area. The center has a ticket office, exhibition hall, and restrooms for visitors to the archaeological site. In addition, the excursion route of the archaeological site has been defined with signboards and road pavements. - ziyaretçi merkezinin i levi

#### 4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

#### 4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):
  - Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×			×		<b>→</b>		
<ul> <li>4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>								
Relevant			× Not relevant	t				
4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure         Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):         • Relevant, Positive, Current, Outside         X Relevant    Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$		
Negative								
<ul> <li>4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014): <ul> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul> </li> </ul>								
Relevant X Not relevant								
<b>4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure</b> Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):								

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The roads between the neighborhood where transportation is provided to Letoon Ruins, which is inside from the Fethiye-Ka road, are insufficient for transportation. The lack of sufficient information signs on the main road creates difficulties for visitors who want to come to the city.

#### 4.3. Services Infrastructures

#### 4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing

O Positive					
Negative X	×		×		1
<ul> <li>4.3.2 - Renewable energy fa</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>					
Relevant		× Not relevant			
4.3.3 - Non-renewable ener Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant					
Relevant		X Not relevant			
<ul> <li>4.3.4 - Localised utilities</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Curre</li> </ul>					
Relevant		× Not relevant			
<ul> <li>4.3.5 - Major linear utilities</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07// • Relevant, Negative, Curre</li> </ul>	2014):				
Relevant		X Not relevant			

# 4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Agricultural activities in the buffer zone may cause incrasing the groundwater in the letoon

#### 4.4. Pollution

#### 4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Relevant	× Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.4.2 - Ground water pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.4.3 - Surface water pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.4.4 - Air pollution</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.4.5 - Solid waste</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
X Relevant	Not relevant

_	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	Secreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				1

#### 4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The presence of agricultural wastes due to agricultural activities in the vicinity of the Letoon Ruins, which is between modern structures and greenhouses, and the problems experienced in the evacuation of rainwater reflect negatively on the site.

#### 4.5. Biological resource use/modification

#### 4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.5.2 - Aquaculture</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.5.3 - Land conversion</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
X Relevant	Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				

#### 4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

#### 4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

#### 4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

#### 4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.5.8 - Commercial hunting</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

# 4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Intensive agricultural activities carried out around the Letoon Ruins cause the development of weeds and herbaceous plants in the ruins. These wild plants have a devastating negative effect on historical remains.

#### 4.6. Physical resource extraction

#### 4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>4.6.2 - Quarrying</li><li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.6.3 - Oil and gas</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.6.4 - Water (extraction)</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

#### 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 4.7.1 - Wind

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):
  - Not relevant

× Relevant			I	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							

Negative X	×	×	$\rightarrow$	

## 4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact C		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

#### 4.7.3 - Temperature

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):
  - Not relevant

X Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

#### 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):
  - Not relevant

Relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.7.5 - Dust</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside</li> </ul>	

× Not relevant

### 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Orig		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$		
<ul> <li>4.7.7 - Pests</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>								
Relevant			× Not relevan	t				

#### 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

# 4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Natural factors such as wind, temperature and humidity have a destructive effect on the remains.

#### 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

#### 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

### Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
			ve Not Televan				
4.8.2 - Society's valuing of Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Relevant, Positive, Curren	2014):						
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×				
<ul> <li>4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting,</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>		collecting					
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
4.8.4 - Changes in tradition Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	-	and knowledge	system				
Relevant			× Not relevan	nt			
4.8.5 - Identity, social cohe Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/: • Not relevant	-	in local populat	ion and com	munity			
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
<ul> <li>4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/\ Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Relevant, Negative, Curre</li> </ul>	2014):	eation					
X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	C Outside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×				
Negative							
4.8.7 - Please comment as	necessary on I	now the factors	selected as I	relevant in 4.8 a	re affecting the pro	perty either n	egatively or
<b>positively</b> Xanthos Ancient City and Letoon their values, the historical sites a people living in the region will inc	re promoted.When	n the number of visi	tors to the ruins	s is increased with	national and internation	nal promotions, th	

### 4.9. Other human activities

#### 4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				1
4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	-						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.3 - Military training Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	/2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.9.4 - War Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	(2014):						
Relevant			X Not relevant				
4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	(2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				
4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	/2014):						
Relevant			× Not relevant				

# 4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

With the illegal excavations carried out in and around the ruins, historical artifacts are damaged. In addition, since the modern asphalt road passing through the city of Xanthos continues to be used, the entrances to the city cannot be controlled. These reasons have a negative effect by causing damage to the historical ruins in the city.

#### 4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>		

#### 4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

#### Relevant

× Not relevant

### 4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.6 - Temperature change</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside</li> </ul>	
Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the season when precipitation increases in Letoon Ruins, the waters flowing from the vicinity of the ruins are collected in the low elevation area and the underground waters rise, and it is completely under water. This causes a destructive effect on the remains through the formation of wild plants.

#### 4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant	
<ul> <li>4.11.2 - Earthquake</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>		
X Relevant	Not relevant	

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Coutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X		×	×	×		<b>→</b>	

#### 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul> <li>4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide</li> <li>Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	

Relevant

× Not relevant

#### 4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

X Relevant	K Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X		×	×			$\rightarrow$			

#### 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

# 4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Fires that may occur in the ancient city of Xanthos, which is located in the forest area, will lead to the destruction of the structures in the area.

#### 4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 4.12.1 - Translocated species

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):
  - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species	

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	<b>9</b> Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×				
4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien fres Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	•	:					
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien mari Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant	•						
Relevant			X Not relevar	nt			
4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant sp Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.12.6 - Modified genetic m Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/ • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not relevar	nt			
4.12.7 - Please comment as	s necessary on	how the factors	selected as	s relevant in 4.12	2 are affecting the	property eithe	r negatively or

### positively

Weeds have a negative effect on the historical remains in the ruins.

#### 4.13. Management and institutional factors

#### 4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

× Relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	oact 4 Current 4 Potential		Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>			

### 4.13.2 - Legal framework

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact	pact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$			
Negative									

#### 4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant	I	Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$			

#### 4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin				
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

#### 4.13.5 - Financial resources

X Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	<ul> <li>Inside</li> </ul>	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive									
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$			

#### 4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin	Drigin Trend of impact						
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive										
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$				

### 4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

```
× Not relevant
```

#### 4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (25/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

# 4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Financial support and training of experts in the field are extremely important for the continuation of scientific research carried out in the ruins and the protection of the remains.

4.14. Other factor(s)

#### 4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

#### 4.15. Factors Summary Table

#### 4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		(	Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
	0	9	(	٩		<b>N</b>
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
	0	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	٢	9	(	0		<b>→</b>
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
	0	4			۴	1
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	0	4	(	۹		1
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.3 Land conversion						
	0	4	(	۹		1
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
	0	4	(	۹		<b>→</b>
4.5.5 Crop production						
	0	4	(	۹		<b>→</b>
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
	0	4	(	0		<b>→</b>
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	0	4	(	0		<b>→</b>
4.7.3 Temperature						
	0	9	4	۹		<b>→</b>
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	٢	9	(	٩		<b>→</b>
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
	0	4	¢	۲		1

4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	0	9		٢		<b>→</b>
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	9		٢		1
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	0	4		٢		1
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.2 Flooding						
	0	4		۲		<b>→</b>
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2 Earthquake						
			~3		~	
	0		4	٢	¢	<b>→</b>
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	0		4	٢		<b>→</b>
4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
	0	4		٢		<b>→</b>
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
4.12.2 Invasive/Alien terrestrial species						
	0	4		۲		
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan						
	0	9		٩		<b>→</b>
4.13.2 Legal framework	0	9		٩		<b>→</b>
4.13.3 Governance						
	0	<b>1</b> 3				
	•	-1		٢		
4.13.4 Management activities						
	9	9		٢		<b>→</b>
4.13.5 Financial resources						
	9	9		٢		<b>→</b>
4.13.6 Human resources						
	0	9		٢		<b>→</b>
Legend Current Potential ONegative OPositive	<li>Insid</li>	de		C Outsi	de	

### 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

### 4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities					
	0	9		٢	<b>N</b>

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Grou	nd transport infrastructure						
		0	9			Ċ	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	Impact - Impact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	Management response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						

...

lame		Impact			Trend
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure		4		۲	<b>→</b>

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Increasing

opullaroou	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend



Spatial scale - Area affected b	

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.4 Pollution

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid	waste				
		0	9	۲	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				

Impact - In	Impact - Impact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					
	Increasing					

# 4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion					
		0	9	۹		
<b>a</b>						_
_	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
×	Decreasing					
	Static					

#### Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin			Trend
4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals						
	0	4		٢		<b>→</b>

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.5.5 Crop production						
	0	9		٢		$\rightarrow$

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	le - Area anected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

# 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.1 Winc					
		0	4	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	apact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				

×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.7.2 Relat	ive humidity				
		0	9	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Nama		Impost		 Origin	Trand

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.3 Temperature						
	٢	4	۲		<b>→</b>	

### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

•	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	0	9		٢		<b>→</b>

Spatia	scale -	Area	affected	by the	factor
--------	---------	------	----------	--------	--------

Spatial Sca	
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing

×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.2 So	ciety's valuing of heritage				
		0	9	۲	1
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact ·	Impact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.8.5 Ide	ntity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community		-		
		0	9	٢	<b>→</b>

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare

Image Image						
Big       Big         Big       B		Intermittent or sporadic				
Note: <ul> <li></li></ul>		Frequent				
Reginant         Mason         Mason         Approx		On-going				
An end of the set o	Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
A general and	×	Insignificant				
Marian energy of an ageneration respond          Main capacity of management to respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Main capacity of an ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Main capacity of an ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Table Interval of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Main capacity of an ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Table Interval of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Main capacity of an ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Main capacity of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Main capacity of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Main capacity of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond       Interval of the ageneration respond         Specific respondence of the ageneration respondence of the agen		Minor				
Name of the segond         Is a regardly of an angement to respond         Is a regardly of a r		Significant				
kip       kip         kip       k		Major				
Mailan capacity         ior capacity and or resources         Tender tensources	Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
in a general of the set of the s		High capacity				
<pre>main and a sequency of the sequency of th</pre>	×	Medium capacity				
Percessing         Kence         Incentry       Incentry         Note       Incentry         Asso in control to trained vision decreation       Incentry         Second to trained vision decreation       Incentry         Secon		Low capacity				
Decreasing         X       Selection         Name       Imperitor       Origin       Normality         As b mpace to tourism Visitation/Recreation       Imperitor       Origin       Normality       Normality         Restricted by the factor       Imperitor       Origin       Normality       Normality       Imperitor       Origin       Normality         Restricted by the factor       Imperitor       Origin       Normality       Normality       Imperitor       Imperito		No capacity and / or resources				
Resc       Impact       Orgin       Meno         Assess       Impact       Orgin       Meno       Meno <th>Trend - De</th> <th>velopement over the last 6 years</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
increasing         Name       mpact       Origin       Tend         4.6 mpact       Impact       Origin       Impact		Decreasing				
Name       Impact       Origin       Tend         4.8.0 Impact       9'       0	×	Static				
4.8.8 Import       9 <t< td=""><th></th><td>Increasing</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>		Increasing				
4.8 throws the station Recreation       Image: Statistic Statis Statis Statistic Statistic Statistic Statistic Stati						_
Area affected by the factor       Image: Area affected by the factor         Restricted       Coalised         Accalised       Coalised         Midespread       Image: Area affected by the factor         Temporal Concerned of the impact       Image: Area affected by the factor         Midespread       Image: Area affected by the factor         Midespread       Image: Area affected by the factor         Minerritet or sporadic       Image: Area affected by the factor         Minerritet or sporadic       Image: Area affected by the factor         Image: Area affected by the factor       Image: Area affected by the factor         Minerritet or sporadic       Image: Area affected by the factor         Minor       Image: Area affecter         Minor       Image: Area affecter         Minor       Image: Area affecter     <						Trend
Restricted           Restricted           Localised           Extensive           Extensive           Widespread           Temporal           Fequent           Intermittent or sporadic           On-going           Insignificant           Xing           Significant           Significant           Micor           Hangement to respond           Micor           Hangement to respond	4.8.6 Impa	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	٢	4	٢	
Restricted           Restricted           Localised           Extensive           Extensive           Widespread           Temporal           Fequent           Internitient or sporadic           Internit						
Kaseline       Kocalised         Kaseline       Kaseline         Kaseline       Videspread         Temport       - Cocurence of the impact         Construction of or rare       On off or rare         Kaseline       Intermittent or sporadic         Yaseline       Fequent         Intermittent or sporadic       On-oping         Impact - textributes       Insignificant         Yaseline       Minor         Yaseline       Minor         Hageline       High capacity of management to respont	Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
kanakan		Restricted				
kieweree       Wedepreed       Temporal       Courence of the impact       Internitient or sporadic       Kiewere       Frequent       On-going       Internitient of sporadic       Insignificant       Kiewere       Insignificant       Minor       Insignificant       Minor       Insignificant       High capacity of management to respond       High capacity	×	Localised				
Temporal       Second of the impact         Import - Occurence of the impact       Internitient or sporadic         Import - Im		Extensive				
No off or rare       Intermittent or sporadic       Frequent       On-going       Impact - Ur the attributes       Insignificant       Significant       Significant       Minor       Significant       Minor       High capacity of management to respond		Widespread				
Internitient or sporadic       Frequent       on-going       Impact - to the attributes       Insignificant       Significant       Significant       Minor       Impact - to the attributes       Impact - to the attributes       Impact - to the attributes	Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact				
Fequent       Cn-going       Impact - Uncertainty test       Insignificant       Minor       Significant       May       May       High capacity of management to respond		One off or rare				
Impact - We attributes         Impact - We attribut	×	Intermittent or sporadic				
Impact - Impact on the attributes         Insignificant         X       Minor         Significant         Major         Image: Not Server Se		Frequent				
Insignificant         Minor         Significant         Major		On-going				
Minor       Significant       Magor         Management to respond       High capacity of management to respond	Impact - In	pact on the attributes				
Significant       Major       Management to respond       High capacity						
Major Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity		Insignificant				
Management response - Capacity of management to respond High capacity	×					
High capacity	×	Minor				
	×	Minor Significant				
X Medium capacity		Minor Significant Major				
		Minor Significant Major nt response - Capacity of management to respond				

Low capacity
No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.9 Other human activities

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.9.1 Illega	activities				
		0	9	٢	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				

### 4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Floo	ding				
		0	9	٢	
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				

	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

# 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact	t .		Origin		Trend
	4.11.2 Earthquake				Singin		nena
La	inguno						
		0		9	٢	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - I	mpact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	ipact		Origin		Trend
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition						
	0		9	۲		<b>→</b>

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial Sca	
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.11.6 Fire	(wildfire)				
		0	9	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.12.2 Inva	sive/Alien terrestrial species				
		٢	9	۹	
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
×	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
×	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - In	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

### 4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Mar	agement system/Management plan				
		0	4	٢	<b>→</b>
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
opulai so	Restricted				
	Localised				
×	Extensive				
~	Widespread				
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
remporar	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
	npact on the attributes				
×	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
	al framework		9	()	⇒
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal sc	ale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Imp	act on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Managemen	t response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Deve	elopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance					
	0	9	٢		<b>→</b>

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

opullal soc	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

#### Management response - Capacity of management to respond

manageme	
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities					
	0	9	۲		<b>→</b>

#### Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted			
	Localised			
×	Extensive			
	Widespread			
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact			
	One off or rare			
×	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes			
×	Insignificant			
	Minor			
	Significant			
	Major			
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity			
×	Medium capacity			
	Low capacity			
	No capacity and / or resources			
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years			
	Decreasing			
×	Static			
	Increasing			
Name		Impact	Origin	Trend

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.13.5 Financial resources						
	0	9		٢		<b>→</b>

	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
×	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
Managemer	t response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
×	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
×	Static				
	Increasing				
		Impact			
Name	Name			Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hum	an resources				
		0	9	٢	<b>→</b>

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Xanthos Tomb	×			
4.18.1.2	Xanthos Dipylon Monument		×		
4.18.1.3	Xanthos Roman Theatre			×	
4.18.1.4	Xanthos Bellys Sarcophagus		×		
4.18.1.5	Letoon sanctuary theatre	×			

#### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners

#### 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

#### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The property falls within the framework of Turkey's Protection of Cultural and Natural Properties Act No. 2863, and also Statutory Decrees No 644 and 648. Both Xanthos and Letoon are registered as the "First Degree Archaeological Site" to be preserved and they are both left within "Patara Special Environmental Conservation Zone" boundaries.

Source: Nomination File; Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

#### If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property? No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

#### 5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

#### 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being only partially implemented

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the **Outstanding Universal Value of the property?** 

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			×		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	

5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	×			
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples		×		
5.3.15.5	Women		×		
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify				

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				×	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					×
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)		×			
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

#### 5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The value of cultural assets is explained to the local people with the works carried out in the area. The increase in the number of visitors coming to see the ruins will make an economic contribution to the local people.

#### 5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Central and local governments can carry out more activities by making joint plans

#### 6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely

#### represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	%	95 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	%
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	%	5 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 0 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

# 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are  $\ensuremath{\textit{secure over both the medium- and long-term}}$ 

#### 6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

# 6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	80 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	20 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Poor
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Poor
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Poor
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Poor
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Poor

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Not available

Environmental sustainability	Not available
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Not available
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Not available
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Not available
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Not available
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not available

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property? No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

#### 6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

#### 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

# 7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

#### 7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects The continuation of scientific research and the improvement of working opportunities in the field is only possible with the provision of different financial resources

#### 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

# 8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Fair
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Poor
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Poor
Other specific groups	Not applicable

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue? There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Youth/children	
Researchers	
Local Visitors	
Vational/international tourists	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Poor
Site museum	Not needed
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Not provided but needed
Trails/routes	Poor
Printed information materials	Poor
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Poor
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

#### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

#### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

58.523 / 29.256 / 26.014 / 35.912 / 27.893 /

#### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Fethiye Museum

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

40 USD / 20 USD / 10 USD / 3 USD / 10 USD / 10 USD /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Fethiye museum and team of excavation

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

**9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?** There is **some management** of the visitor use of the World Heritage property

## 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

#### If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters

#### 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

### If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{No}}$ 

#### If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

#### 10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

#### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×		
10.3.3	Character of governance		×		
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×		
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development		×		
10.3.6	Capacity development		×		

#### 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

#### 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Non-existent
Indigenous peoples	Non-existent
Landowners	Non-existent
Women	Non-existent
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Non-existent
Tourism industry Local businesses and industry	Non-existent
Local businesses and industry	Non-existent

#### 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

#### 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

### 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

#### 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.4	An adequate legal framework in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.11	There is little coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need	
5.3.17	• The management system of the World Heritage property does not provide ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
6.1.12	There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property	
9.9	There is <b>some management</b> of the visitor use of the World Heritage property	
9.11	There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters	
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted	×
10	Monitoring	

10.1	There is <b>considerable monitoring</b> at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved
Pleas	se select 5 more issues.
D Ple	ease save this question to reflect changes

#### 12. Summary and Conclusions

#### 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

#### 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1 **Buildings and Development** 4.1.5 Interpretative Attributes reflect the This issue will be followed The excavation team and The excavation team long term and visitation outstanding universal value up by the excavation team museum are monitoring Museum and all the facilities of the field. Meanwhile, and museum. this issue. relevant institutions Interpreting activities can be improved. 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure 4.2.1 It will be evaluated within all relevant institutions Ground The road passing through the Monitoring will be determined long term the framework of the transport archaeological site of xanthos when management plan is in infrastructure affects the area to a lesser necessary law. place. extent. Services Infrastructures 4.3 4.3.1 Water water pipes to greenhouses Necessary action plans will be Necessary monitoring long term the excavation team, infrastructure located in the buffer zone plans will be created created when management museum and all relevant affect the area. when the area is institutions plan is carried out. managed by the site manager. 4.5 Biological resource use/modification 4.5.4 Livestock It does not This issue will be addressed in This issue will be continuously long term the excavation team, museum and farming/Grazing affect the area the management plan and an monitored all related institions of domesticated action will be planned for it. so much animals 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.1 Wind It does not affect Actions on this issue will be a monitoring plan will be long term Museum , team of attributes so much addressed in the management addressed in the managaement excavation and all related plan. istitions plan 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.2 Society's the attributes of the training programs will be permanently long term Ministry of culture and valuing of field are not very well organized for the tourism and the excavation heritage known by the society community team 4.9 Other human activities 4.9.1 Illegal activities illegal activities are related observations will permananetly permanently museum and the under observation by be increased by the excavation team the excavation team excavation team 4.13 Management and institutional factors 4.13.1 Ministry of culture Management a management plan should a management plan will permanently permanently system/Management be prepared for more be put on the agenda for and tourism and comprehensive protection of all related plan site the attributes institions 4.13.3 Governance The need for a management plan should permanently permanently Ministry of culture management plan has be prepared and tourism and emerged for the protection all related institions of the attributes

4.13.4	Management activities	the preparation of a management plan has come to the agenda	management plan should be prepared	permanently	permanently	Ministry of culture and tourism and all related institions		
Summary - F	Summary - Factors affecting the Property completed							

### 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

### 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and I	Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and oth involved)	ners More info / comment	
5.1.4	The buffer zones of World Heritage pro- are known and recognised by th management aut but are not know recognized by loo communities/land	operty management planning e hority n and cal	o site medium term	the excavation team an related institions	nd all Participation in management planning will solve this problem.	
5.3	Management S	System/Management Plan				
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property		rried short term	Disaster and emergency management presidency (AFAD), Icomos, Museum and related institions		
6.1	Funding					
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	While making the site management plan, studies will be carried out for human resources needs under various headings.	e medium term	the excavation team, municipality of fethiye and related institions	<ul> <li>Priority should be given to the local administration during site management activities.</li> </ul>	
7	Scientific Studies and	nd Research Projects				
7.2	considerable b	Research on management needs will be carried out within the scope of site management planning.	medium term	the excavation team,museum and related institions	Research priorities will be determined in the process of site management planning.	
9	Visitor Management					
9.12	The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not presented or interpreted	Virtual information methods to be a within the scope of the visitor e management plan	created short term	Museum and the relevant Municipality	Existing content has been prepared, but the necessary financial support is not available.	
Summary -	Management Need	ds completed				

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

# **12.3.2** - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact

# 12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

#### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted** 

#### 12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

#### 13. Impact of World Heritage Status

#### 13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Very positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Not applicable
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	No impact
Legal/Policy framework	No impact
Advocacy	No impact
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Governance

#### 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The property's Outstanding Universal Value

The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity

Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Poor

15.2. Use of Data

#### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

#### 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.3. Timing and resources

#### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff	
UNESCO National Commission	

# **15.3.2** - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

#### 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

four month / two month / four month /

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

#### 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

# 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire		×		
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

#### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

#### 15.5. Training and Guidance

#### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Fair
ICCROM international/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

#### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

	-
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
ICCROM International/regional	Fair
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.