

Historic Town of Guanajuato and Adjacent Mines

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


Historic Town of Guanajuato and Adjacent Mines

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

| Name | Coordinates | Property (ha) | Buffer zone (ha) | Total (ha) | Inscription year |
|--|-------------------|---------------|------------------|------------|------------------|
| Historic Town of Guanajuato and Adjacent Mines | 21.017 / -101.256 | 2167.5 | 0 | 2167.5 | 1988 |
| Total (ha) | | 2167.5 | 0 | 2167.5 | |

1.4 - Map(s)

| Title | Date | Link to source |
|---|------|---|
| Historic Town of Guanajuato and Adjacent Mines - Map of the World Heritage property | 2013 |  |

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [Update link to URL: https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/482/gallery/](https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/482/gallery/)

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

| | | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme | The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme |
|-------|---|---|---|
| 2.1.1 | International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) | | × |
| 2.1.2 | List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict) | | × |
| 2.1.3 | The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)) | | × |
| 2.1.4 | World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | | × |
| 2.1.5 | Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks | | × |

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

| | | |
|-------|--|---|
| 2.7.1 | 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention) | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.5 | UNESCO Global Geoparks | |
| 2.7.1 | There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | ✕ |
| 2.7.2 | The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.3 | The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme. | |
| 2.7.4 | The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme. | |

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Not aware

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

The cultural landscape of the Historic Town of Guanajuato and Adjacent Mines comprises a superb collection of Neoclassical and Baroque buildings as well as the industrial infrastructure for an extensive silver mine all set in a remarkable landscape of hills and deep winding valleys at an altitude of 2,084 metres.

In the mid-16th century, Spaniards discovered rich outcrops of silver in the hills of Guanaxhuata in central Mexico. They built four fortifications at Marfil, Tepetapa, Santa Anna, and Cerro del Cuarto to protect their mines. These forts formed the nuclei of the historic town whose urban evolution was dictated by the rugged topography. Unlike many colonial towns in the region that were laid out on a grid pattern, Guanajuato became a sprawling town stretching along the narrow winding valley exhibiting a remarkable adaptation of its layout to topography in the organization of its narrow streets, gardens, piazzas and buildings and subterranean streets. Additional infrastructure was built for water management particularly to in response to major flooding in the late 18th century.

By the 18th century, Guanajuato had become the world's largest silver-extraction centre and the impressive Baroque buildings like the Teatro Juárez, Hidalgo Market and the Alhondiga de Granaditas reflected its wealth. The churches of La Compañía (1745-1765) and La Valenciana (1765-1788) are considered to be masterpieces of the Mexican Churrigüesque style.

The property covers 190 hectares and includes the urban area of Guanajuato as well as evidence of its industrial heritage such as the "Boca del Infierno" a mineshaft that plunges 600 metres. The industrial past is also reflected in its intangible cultural heritage. The area's distinctive traditions have developed through a unique contribution of residents of the area, a combination of indigenous nomadic tribes and Spanish settlers all influenced by various religious orders,

Criterion (i): Guanajuato possesses several of the most beautiful examples of Baroque architecture in the New World. The churches of La Compañía (1745-1765) and above all La Valenciana (1765-1788) are masterpieces of the Mexican Churrigüesque style. In the field of the history of technology, Guanajuato may also pride itself on unique artistic achievements such as the "Boca del Infierno", a 12 metres in diameter that plunges a breathtaking 600 metres.

Criterion (ii): The influence of Guanajuato was felt in the majority of the mining towns of northern Mexico from the 16th to the 18th centuries. Though more modest due to the tardy appearance of the process of industrialisation, Guanajuato's place in world technological history is nonetheless far from negligible.

Criterion (iv): Guanajuato is an outstanding example of an architectural ensemble that incorporates the industrial and economic aspects of a mining operation. Just as the major 18th century hydraulic works are inextricably linked to an urban topography determined by the confines of the river path and mineral outcrops, so the Baroque buildings are directly linked to the wealth of the mines. The church of La Valenciana and the Casa Rul y Valenciana were financed by the most prosperous mines. The more modest operations of Cata and Mellado also boasted churches, palaces or houses located near the mines or in the town.

Criterion (vi) : Historic Town of Guanajuato and Adjacent Mines is directly and tangibly associated with world economic history, particularly that of the 18th century.

Integrity

The Historic Town of Guanajuato and Adjacent Mines is a cultural landscape defined by its industrial past and surrounding topography. The 190-hectare property contains the historic town, with Baroque and Neoclassical monuments, as well as significant industrial elements related to the silver mines. The cultural landscape also includes the roads and bridges, tunnels, an underground river and other natural elements.

The integrity of the historic town, in particular its layout and scale within the river valley, is threatened by population growth and the resulting urban pressure. New buildings, such as high rises and development in the upper part of the valley, threaten the overall characteristic of the landscape. The growth of the tourism industry is also likely to have a negative impact and threaten the integrity of the historic town if it is not carefully managed.

Authenticity

The surviving form of the historic town reflects its origins, based on the four original forts and on a formal urban plan, as well as its growth, dictated by topography in a winding valley. The city's present economy is still in part dependent on the ongoing mining operations. Major Baroque style buildings have been preserved and serve as witnesses to the city's former wealth and influence. The city retains an intangible heritage in its unique mixture of customs and traditions developed by the migration of people from other regions.

Protection and management requirements

Ownership of properties in the historic town is under a mixture of public ownership though the federal government and the municipality as well as private citizens. Deterioration to the city's rich cultural heritage prompted the authorities' concern resulting in the establishment of various laws and regulations for cultural heritage preservation and to prevent irreversible destruction. The 1953 law to protect the historic town was one of the first such laws in the country. Moreover, since 1982, protection for the historic town is the responsibility of *Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia* (INAH) under the Ministry of Public Education. Moreover, the national Ministry for Urban Development and Environmental Protection, which is responsible for urban growth and development, collaborates with the State of Guanajuato through a 1953 law for the protection and conservation of the City of Guanajuato.

The establishment of a school of architecture with an institute of restoration in the 1960s has provided assistance with certain local projects. Conservation efforts include reforestation of the hills surrounding the town as well as the preservation of the urban core with its Baroque buildings along with Neo-classical monuments from the 19th and early 20th centuries.

Currently there is a need to create a multidisciplinary working group to address the site's requirements, implement the city's management plan, and establish guidelines to control the growth and change. A proposed extension to the buffer zone around the 190-hectare inscribed property is currently under review.

Comment

The Management Plan is currently being implemented through the Management Unit of Historic Center of the City of Guanajuato, which has a multidisciplinary team.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

| | Brief identification of attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|-------|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 3.2.1 | Mining fortifications | | × | | |
| 3.2.2 | Vestiges of Haciendas de Beneficio | | × | | |
| 3.2.3 | Church of San Cayetano (Valenciana) | × | | | |
| 3.2.4 | Oratorio de San Felipe Neri (La Compañía) | × | | | |
| 3.2.5 | Casa Conde Rul | × | | | |
| 3.2.6 | | | | | |
| 3.2.7 | | | | | |
| 3.2.8 | | | | | |
| 3.2.9 | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3.2.10 | | | | | |
| 3.2.11 | | | | | |
| 3.2.12 | | | | | |
| 3.2.13 | | | | | |
| 3.2.14 | | | | | |
| 3.2.15 | | | | | |

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Answer referred to 11 attributes, but in the Q. 4.18.1, we have 5 lines to answer, it's not possible to add answers so we decided to leave only 5 attributes to keep coherence between the two questions.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | Not relevant | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | ✕ | | Negative ✕ | ✕ | | → | |

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | Not relevant | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | → | |

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Does not apply

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | 📉 | | |

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive ✕ | ✕ | | | ✕ | | ➡ | |
| 🔴 Negative | | | | | | | |

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔴 Current | 🟡 Potential | 🟢 Inside | 🟢 Outside | 📉 Decreasing | ➡ Stable | 📈 Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | ➡ | |

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | | ✕ | | | | | ↗ |

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | ↗ |

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | | ↗ |

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔨 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | → | |

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive | | | | | | | |
| 🔴 Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | → | |

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--------------|-----------|-------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | 🔥 Current | 🔥 Potential | 📍 Inside | 📍 Outside | ↘ Decreasing | → Stable | ↗ Increasing |
| 🟢 Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | → | |
| 🔴 Negative | | | | | | | |

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Outside

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | → | |

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| ✕ Relevant | Not relevant | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | → | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Does not apply

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant | <input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant | | | | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | | | |

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

| | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant | <input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant | | | | | | |
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|















| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|----------|---------|-----------|--------|---------|-----------------|--------|------------|
| Impact | Current | Potential | Inside | Outside | Decreasing | Stable | Increasing |
| Positive | | | | | | | |
| Negative | | | | | | | |

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| Relevant | Not relevant |
|----------|--------------|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative  |  | |  |  | | |  |

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):


- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):


- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|--|
| Relevant |  Not relevant |
|----------|--|

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Does not apply

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

| | |
|----------|----------------|
| Relevant | ✕ Not relevant |
|----------|----------------|

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | | |  |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.2 - Legal framework

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.3 - Governance

| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative ✕ | | ✕ | ✕ | | |  | |

4.13.4 - Management activities















Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant





| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive ✕ | ✕ | | ✕ | | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.5 - Financial resources

| | | | | | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| ✕ Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|------------|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|

| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative  |  | |  |  | |  | |

4.13.6 - Human resources

|  Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative  | |  |  |  |  | | |

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

|  Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive  |  | |  | | |  | |
|  Negative | | | | | | | |

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (01/08/2012):

- Not relevant

|  Relevant | | | | Not relevant | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | Impact | | Origin | | Trend of impact | | |
| Impact |  Current |  Potential |  Inside |  Outside |  Decreasing |  Stable |  Increasing |
|  Positive | | | | | | | |
|  Negative  |  | |  |  | |  | |

















4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

| Name | Impact | | Origin | | Trend | |
|---|---|---|--------|---|---|---|
| 4.1 Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
| 4.1.1 Housing |  |  | |  |  | |
| 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure |  |  | |  | |  |
| 4.2 Transportation Infrastructure | | | | | | |
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure |  |  | |  | |  |
| 4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure |  |  | |  |  | |




| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.3 Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.3.5 Major linear utilities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4 Pollution | | | | | | |
| 4.4.2 Ground water pollution | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4.3 Surface water pollution | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4.4 Air pollution | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4.5 Solid waste | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.4.6 Input of excess energy | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.6 Physical resource extraction | | | | | | |
| 4.6.1 Mining | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.6.2 Quarrying | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage | | | | | | |
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.9 Other human activities | | | | | | |
| 4.9.1 Illegal activities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13 Management and institutional factors | | | | | | |
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.2 Legal framework | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| 4.13.3 Governance | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  | |  |
| 4.13.4 Management activities |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.5 Financial resources | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  |  |  |
| 4.13.6 Human resources | | | | | | |
| |  | |  |  |  |  |
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |
| 4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  |  |  |
| Legend |  Current |  Potential |  Negative |  Positive |  Inside |  Outside |

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors



4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---------------|---|---|--|--------|---|---|
| 4.1.1 Housing | | | | | | |
| |  |  | | |  |  |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Restricted |
|  | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
|  | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
|  | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
|  | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Developement over the last 6 years | |

| | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |




| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |





4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| X | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| X | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| X | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| X | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure |   |  |  |
| | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| X | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| X | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| X | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| X | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
|---|------------|
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.3 Services Infrastructures

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|----------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.3.1 Water infrastructure | | | |
| | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> |




| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 4.3.5 Major linear utilities | | | |
| | <div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> | <div> <div></div> <div></div> </div> |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.4 Pollution

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.4.2 Ground water pollution | | | |
| |  |  |  |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| ✕ | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| 4.4.3 Surface water pollution | | | |
| | <div> <div>⊖</div> <div>📌</div> </div> | <div> <div>🕒</div> <div>🔄</div> </div> | <div> <div>↗</div> </div> |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |





| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 4.4.4 Air pollution | | | |
| | <div> <div>⊖</div> <div>📌</div> </div> | <div> <div>🕒</div> </div> | <div> <div>→</div> </div> |





| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| 4.4.5 Solid waste | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  |  |  |





| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |




| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.4.6 Input of excess energy |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| ✕ | Restricted |
| | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.6 Physical resource extraction

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.6.1 Mining | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | |



| | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|-----------------|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.6.2 Quarrying |  |  | |  | |  |
| | | | | | | |

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses | | | |
| |  |  |  |



| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage | | | |
| |  |  |  |




| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |




| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|--------|---|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system | | | | | | |
| | ⊖ | ⚡ | | ⦿ | | ➡ |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  | |  |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |






| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| ✕ | Increasing |

4.9 Other human activities

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--------------------------|--------|---|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.9.1 Illegal activities | | | | | | |
| | ⊖ | 🚧 | | | | ➡ |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| 4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  |  |  |




| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|------------|
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
|---|--------------------------|
| | One off or rare |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.13 Management and institutional factors





| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|---|
| 4.13.1 Management system/Management plan |  |  | |  | |  |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | On-going | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Minor | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Significant | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Major | | | | | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | High capacity | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Medium capacity | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Low capacity | | | | | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Decreasing | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Static | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Increasing | | | | | | | | | | |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|------------------------|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.13.2 Legal framework | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | | | | | | |
| | On-going | | | | | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Minor | | | | | | | | | | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |





| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|-------------------|---|--------|---|
| 4.13.3 Governance | | | |
| |    | |  |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |





| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| ✕ | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |




| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.13.4 Management activities |   |  |  |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | | | | | | | |
| | Restricted | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Localised | | | | | | |
| | Extensive | | | | | | |
| | Widespread | | | | | | |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | | | | | | | |
| | One off or rare | | | | | | |
| | Intermittent or sporadic | | | | | | |
| | Frequent | | | | | | |
| ✕ | On-going | | | | | | |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | | | | | | | |
| | Insignificant | | | | | | |
| | Minor | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Significant | | | | | | |
| | Major | | | | | | |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | | | | | | | |
| ✕ | High capacity | | | | | | |
| | Medium capacity | | | | | | |
| | Low capacity | | | | | | |
| | No capacity and / or resources | | | | | | |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | | | | | | | |
| | Decreasing | | | | | | |
| | Static | | | | | | |
| ✕ | Increasing | | | | | | |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|---|
| 4.13.5 Financial resources | | | | | | |
| |  |  | |  |  |  |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| ✕ | Frequent |
| | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| | Minor |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| ✕ | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| ✕ | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| ✕ | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|------------------------|---|---|---|
| 4.13.6 Human resources | | | |
| |  |  |  |




| | |
|--|------------|
| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
| | Restricted |
| ✕ | Localised |
| | Extensive |
| | Widespread |

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| | One off or rare |
| | Intermittent or sporadic |
| | Frequent |
| ✕ | On-going |

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| | Insignificant |
| ✕ | Minor |
| | Significant |
| | Major |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| | Medium capacity |
| ✕ | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| ✕ | Decreasing |
| | Static |
| | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | Origin | Trend |
|--|---|---|---|
| 4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities |  |  |  |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Frequent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Minor |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Significant |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | High capacity |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Medium capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Low capacity |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Decreasing |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Static |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Increasing |

| Name | Impact | | | Origin | | Trend |
|---|--------|--|--|--------|--|-------|
| 4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor | |
|---|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Restricted |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Localised |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Extensive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Widespread |
| Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | One off or rare |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Intermittent or sporadic |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Frequent |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | On-going |
| Impact - Impact on the attributes | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Insignificant |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | Minor |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------|
| × | Significant |
| | Major |
| Management response - Capacity of management to respond | |
| | High capacity |
| × | Medium capacity |
| | Low capacity |
| | No capacity and / or resources |
| Trend - Development over the last 6 years | |
| | Decreasing |
| × | Static |
| | Increasing |

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

| | Attribute | Preserved | Compromised | Seriously compromised | Lost |
|----------|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------------|------|
| 4.18.1.1 | Mining fortifications | | | × | |
| 4.18.1.2 | Vestiges of Haciendas de Beneficio | | × | | |
| 4.18.1.3 | Church of San Cayetano (Valenciana) | × | | | |
| 4.18.1.4 | Oratorio de San Felipe Neri (La Compañía) | × | | | |
| 4.18.1.5 | Casa Conde Rul | × | | | |

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property **are known and recognised** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

La loi fédérale sur les monuments et zones archéologiques, historiques et artistiques du 6 mai 1972 et son règlement du 8 décembre 1975 confèrent à la Fédération, à travers l'INAH, la responsabilité de la conservation du site. Le décret du 28 juillet 1982 établit la Zone de monuments historiques de Guanajuato. La loi générale pour les établissements humains de 1976 et le Plan partiel pour la ville de Guanajuato est responsable du contrôle du développement urbain. La loi de planification de l'Etat de 1951 et la loi de 1953 pour la protection de la ville de Guanajuato forment les bases juridiques des aspects de développement et de conservation de l'ensemble urbain historique. L'article 115 de la Constitution Nationale s'impose au niveau de l'Etat fédéral ainsi que de la municipalité.

Source: Dossier de proposition d'inscription

Comment

The protection is regulated at the municipal level through the Building and Maintenance Regulations for the City of Guanajuato and its Municipality 2011, as well as the Management Plan of the area declared a UNESCO heritage site of the municipality of Guanajuato, first and second stage 2018.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional)

not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2011 / Building and maintenance Regulations for the City of Guanajuato and its Municipality / Municipal Regulation / Municipal / -- / -- / 1917 /

Political Constitution of the United Mexican States / National Law / Cultural and Natural / -- / -- / 1934 and 2021 / Organic Law of the National Institute of Anthropology and History and Operating Regulations /

Federal Law / Cultural / -- / INAH / 2018 / Management Plan of the area declared heritage by UNESCO of the municipality of Guanajuato, first and second stage 2018. / municipal management plan /

Municipal / -- / Historic Center Management Unit / -- / 2012 and renovated in 2017 and 2018 / Territorial Code for the State and Municipalities of Guanajuato / state law / -- /

-- / Secretary of Environment and Territorial Planning of State / -- / 1999 /

1988 / General Law on Ecological Equilibrium and Environmental Protection and Regulations / National Law / Natural / -- / -- /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has **no buffer zone**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, **but there are some deficiencies in implementation** which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There are **major deficiencies in capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

Within the local legislative framework, they refer to the Built Heritage, however, it does not land on a regulation that is more specific for its conservation. Likewise, in the Territorial Planning Plan for the municipality of Guanajuato, the UNESCO Polygonal does not mention it in its Synthesis Charter.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

It was approved at a council meeting on September 14, 2018, the implementation of the Management Unit of the Historic Center of Guanajuato, which was ratified at the signing of the agreement between the National Institute of Anthropology and History and the Municipality on December 9, 2019, is a governing body in its capacity as guarantor of the Management Plan of the area declared a UNESCO heritage site of the municipality of Guanajuato, first and second stage 2018.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has

been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities** are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

| | | Not applicable | No mechanisms for participation | Some participation | Direct participation | Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes |
|----------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---|
| 5.3.15.1 | Local communities | | ✗ | | | |
| 5.3.15.2 | Local authorities | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.15.3 | Landowners in the property and the buffer zone | ✗ | | | | |
| 5.3.15.4 | Indigenous peoples | ✗ | | | | |
| 5.3.15.5 | Women | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.15.6 | Other specific groups | | | | ✗ | |
| | If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify | Organized civil society, such as professional associations | | | | |

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

| | | Not applicable | Non-existent | Poor | Fair | Good |
|-----------|---|----------------|--------------|------|------|------|
| 5.3.16.1 | Local communities | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.2 | Local/Municipal authorities | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.3 | Indigenous peoples | ✗ | | | | |
| 5.3.16.4 | Landowners | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.5 | Women | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.6 | Youth/Children | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.7 | Researchers | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.8 | Local Visitors/Tourists | | | | ✗ | |
| 5.3.16.9 | National/International tourists | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.10 | Tourism Industry | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.11 | Local businesses and industries | | | ✗ | | |
| 5.3.16.12 | NGOs | | | | | ✗ |
| 5.3.16.13 | Other specific groups | ✗ | | | | |
| | If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify | | | | | |

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

| | | Not applicable | No contribution | Limited | Significant | Full achievement |
|----------|--|----------------|-----------------|---------|-------------|------------------|
| 5.3.17.1 | The management system of the property contributes to gender equality | | | | ✗ | |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| 5.3.17.2 | The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants) | × | | | | |
| 5.3.17.3 | The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status | | | | × | |
| 5.3.17.4 | The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach | | | | × | |
| 5.3.17.5 | The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood | | | | × | |
| 5.3.17.6 | The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property | | | × | | |

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

| | | Project costs | Running costs |
|----------|--|---------------|---------------|
| 6.1.1.1 | Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.2 | Bilateral international funding | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.3 | World Heritage Fund (International Assistance) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.4 | Contribution from other conventions and programmes | 10 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.5 | International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.6 | Governmental (national/federal) | 40 % | 5 % |
| 6.1.1.7 | Governmental (regional/provincial/state) | 50 % | 10 % |
| 6.1.1.8 | Governmental (local/municipal) | 0 % | 75 % |
| 6.1.1.9 | In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.) | 0 % | 10 % |
| 6.1.1.10 | Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.11 | Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.) | 0 % | 0 % |
| 6.1.1.12 | Other | 0 % | 0 % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 100 % |

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

Does not apply the funding provided in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

There is **no budget** for the effective management of the World Heritage property **despite an identified need**

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

No funding for heritage protection

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

| | | From local communities % | From elsewhere % |
|---------|-------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 6.1.6.1 | Men | 50 % | 60 % |
| 6.1.6.2 | Women | 50 % | 40 % |
| | | Total 100 % | Total 100 % |

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **inadequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

| | |
|--------------|------|
| Conservation | Fair |
|--------------|------|

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Environmental sustainability | Poor |
| Community participation and inclusion | Fair |
| Risk preparedness | Poor |
| Capacity development and education | Fair |
| Administration | Fair |
| Research and monitoring | Poor |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion | Poor |
| Interpretation | Fair |
| Visitor management/tourism | Not available |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Poor |

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

| | |
|--|------|
| Conservation | Good |
| Environmental sustainability | Poor |
| Community participation and inclusion | Good |
| Risk preparedness | Fair |
| Capacity development and education | Good |
| Administration | Poor |
| Research and monitoring | Good |
| Awareness raising and public information/communication | Fair |
| Marketing and promotion | Fair |
| Interpretation | Good |
| Visitor management/tourism | Poor |
| Enforcement (custodians, police) | Fair |

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a small amount of research, but it is not planned

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

| | |
|--|----------------|
| Local communities | Poor |
| Local/municipal authorities | Poor |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Poor |
| Women | Poor |
| Youth/children | Poor |
| Researchers | Fair |
| Local visitors | Fair |
| National/international tourists | Fair |
| Tourism industry | Poor |
| Local businesses and industries | Poor |
| NGOs | Fair |
| Other specific groups | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe | |

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is **no education and awareness programme** for children and/or youth, despite an identified need

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

| |
|-------------------|
| Local communities |
| Tourism industry |
| NGOs |

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

| | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Visitor centre | Not needed |
| Site museum | Not needed |
| Information booths | Poor |
| Guided tours | Poor |
| Trails/routes | Poor |
| Printed information materials | Fair |
| Online (website, social media, etc.) | Not provided but needed |
| Transportation facilities | Poor |
| Other | Not needed |
| If 'Other' is selected, please specify | |

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

| |
|--|
| 1.6 million / 828 thousand / 2.4 million / 953 thousand / 972 thousand / |
|--|

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

| |
|--|
| Other |
| observatorioturistico.org by the Secretary of Tourism of the State of Guanajuato |

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor Profile 2020 of Guanajuato Capital prepared by the Secretary of Tourism of the State of Guanajuato

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

50 / 25 / 10 / 20 / 15-30 / 10- 25 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Visitor Profile 2020 of Guanajuato Capital prepared by the Secretary of Tourism of the State of Guanajuato

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is **no strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

There is no Tourism Development Plan

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is **not being actively managed despite an identified need**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **contact** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this **is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **not adequately presented and interpreted**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations**, but **not easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, but make **no contribution** to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

There is an economic benefit but it is not reflected in the conservation of the heritage or on the conscience of the citizen in the care of his patrimonial heritage

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **no monitoring** taking place at the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

| | Extend of indicators | Not applicable | No indicators | Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use | Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle |
|--------|--|----------------|---------------|---|--|
| 10.3.1 | State of conservation | | × | | |
| 10.3.2 | Effectiveness of the management system | | × | | |
| 10.3.3 | Character of governance | | × | | |

| | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|---|--|--|
| 10.3.4 | Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations | | × | | |
| 10.3.5 | Contribution to sustainable development | | × | | |
| 10.3.6 | Capacity development | | × | | |

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

| | |
|---|----------------|
| World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff | Fair |
| Local/municipal authorities | Poor |
| Local communities | Fair |
| Indigenous peoples | Not applicable |
| Landowners | Non-existent |
| Women | Poor |
| Researchers | Good |
| Tourism industry | Poor |
| Local businesses and industry | Poor |
| NGOs | Fair |
| Other specific groups | Not applicable |
| If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify | |

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is planned, but has not yet begun

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 5.1 | Boundaries and Buffer Zones | |
| 5.1.2 | The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners | × |
| 5.1.4 | The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by the management authority but are not known and recognized by local communities/landowners | |
| 5.2 | Protective Measures | |
| 5.2.3 | An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation | |
| 5.2.4 | The property has no buffer zone | |
| 5.2.5 | An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property | × |
| 5.2.6 | There are major deficiencies in capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property | |
| 5.3 | Management System/Management Plan | |
| 5.3.5 | No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property | |
| 5.3.7 | No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property | |
| 5.3.9 | No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property | |
| 5.3.11 | There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved | × |
| 5.3.12 | The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value | |
| 5.3.13 | The management system at the property is only being partially implemented | × |
| 5.3.17 | • In a limited manner , the management system of the World Heritage property does contribute to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property | |

| | | |
|--------|---|---|
| 6.1 | Funding | |
| 6.1.3 | There is no budget for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need | ✕ |
| 6.1.4 | Existing sources of funding are not secure | |
| 6.1.7 | Human resources are inadequate for the management needs of the World Heritage property | |
| 6.1.10 | No use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property | |
| 6.1.12 | There is no site-based capacity building plan or programme in place; management is implemented by external staff and skills are not transferred | |
| 7 | Scientific Studies and Research Projects | |
| 7.1 | Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is insufficient | |
| 7.2 | There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned | ✕ |
| 7.3 | Research results are shared with local communities and partners but there is no active outreach to national or international agencies | |
| 8 | Education, Information and Awareness Building | |
| 8.2 | There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need | ✕ |
| 9 | Visitor Management | |
| 9.7 | There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property | ✕ |
| 9.9 | Visitor use of the World Heritage property is not being actively managed despite an identified need | |
| 9.11 | There is contact but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory mattersThere is contact between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry but this is largely confined to administrative or regulatory matters | |
| 9.12 | The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted | ✕ |
| 10 | Monitoring | |
| 10.1 | There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need | ✕ |
| 10.2 | Information on the values of the World Heritage property is sufficient to define key indicators, but this has not been done | |

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

| 4.1 | Buildings and Development | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|--------------------|--|---|
| 4.1.1 | Housing | Based on criteria IV | Revision of the regulations for updating. Routine inspections regarding the state of deterioration and the use of the properties in the historic center. Advice and review of the projects that have the greatest impact on the area. | Monitoring through a quantification of licenses, suspension of work and sanctions in housing in the area | during the 6 years | Historic Center Management Unit | Financial and human resources |
| 4.1.4 | Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure | It has a negative impact on criteria IV | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyze and supervise any project related to tourism activity. Regulate all recreational events in the area. Enter into agreements with relevant institutions and associations. | Carry out monitoring with the support of the UG Tourism Observatory, Hotel Council and Guanajuato Business Coordinating Council. The Management Unit of the Historic Center will carry out the supervision actions. | During the 6 years | Tourism Observatory of the University of Guanajuato, Hotel Council, Guanajuato Business Coordinating Council, Management Unit of the Historic C., Directorate of Environment and Territorial Planning, Directorate of Tourism and Economic Development | Visitors, both internal and external, are a floating population in the city so it tends to be a difficult factor to regulate. |
| 4.3 | Services Infrastructures | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|---------|--|---|
| 4.3.5 | Major linear utilities | Based on criteria I and VI | Review together with the companies that have participation with their communication services, for the removal of the wiring that is no longer in use. As well as working collaboratively to hide the cable in feasible areas. | Periodic operation with companies to do probing and wiring cleaning | 6 years | The telephone and communications network service companies, the Federal Commission of Electricity, Public Roads and management unit of the Historic Center | Due to the orography of the city, which is in cañada, it is difficult for them to receive communication services in the area. |
|-------|------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|---------|--|---|

4.4 Pollution

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|------------------------|---|--|--|---------|--|---|
| 4.4.2 | Ground water pollution | Criteria IV and is affect La Subterránea an attribute that is part of the Exceptional Value | Underground Street Maintenance Plan Regular meetings with the agencies involved, Invitation to the neighbor for the maintenance of his hydraulic installations, mainly sanitary | Periodic reviews of leaks in the Underground in collaboration with SIMAPAG, Public Works Management, and General Directorate of Municipal Services Detection of polluting sources in the Underground Street | 6 years | SIMAPAG, Directorate of Public Works and General Directorate of Municipal Services, Management Unit of the Historic Center | The research results of the University of Guanajuato will be important to propose maintenance and conservation actions. |
|-------|------------------------|---|--|--|---------|--|---|

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.4.3 | Surface water pollution | | | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.8.1 | Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|--|--|--|---|---------|--|---|
| 4.8.6 | Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation | Based on all Criteria I, II, IV, and VI, Society is making a mistake by placing greater importance on secondary attributes over primary attributes | Disseminate the real attributes of Heritage. Establish Indicators of Tourism flow. Training of staff for the attention of the tourist from an approach of awareness of the heritage in the city. | Presentation of semi-annual results to the municipality. Control and supervision of events in the area. Supervision and review of the facilities intended for tourist activity. | 6 years | Management Unit of the Historic Center, Directorate of Tourism and Economic Development, Directorate of Fiscalización, y INAH Guanajuato Center. | To carry out the actions, it is important to have better internal communication between Directorates and to carry out work plans related to what is planned in the program. |
|-------|--|--|--|---|---------|--|---|

4.13 Management and institutional factors

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|---------------------|--|---|---|----------------|---|---|
| 4.13.5 | Financial resources | Based on all Criteria I, II, IV, and VI, each area must manage resources based on the programs that exist for the realization of conservation and care projects. | Periodic meetings in coordination with the Directorate Public Works to review the projects proposed to obtain financial resources. Coordination with the Directorate of Tourism and Development for the management of tourism promotion programs. | Based on the resources obtained, follow up on the programs and projects obtained with the scheduling of a meeting with the institutions, associations, or organizations involved. | During 6 years | Directorate of Public Works, Directorate of Tourism and Development, and Management of the Historic Center. | Part of the necessary tasks will be the search for resources for the realization of all the actions proposed. |
|--------|---------------------|--|---|---|----------------|---|---|

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.13.6 | Human resources | | | | | | |
|--------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4.13.8 | High impact research/monitoring activities | | | | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 5.1 | Boundaries and Buffer Zones | | | | |
| | Actions | Timeframe | Lead agency (and others involved) | More info / comment | |

| | | | | | |
|--------|---|---|-------------------|--|--|
| 5.1.2 | The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners | Diffusion through the social media | During two years | Management of the Historic Center | now we have a web page, it is easier to disseminate the information to more places |
| 5.2 | Protective Measures | | | | |
| 5.2.5 | An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property | Strategies of deeply monitor for each zone, and also get a lot of human resources to do it | During to 6 years | Directorate of Environment and Territorial Planning | It depends on economic resources to do it |
| 5.3 | Management System/Management Plan | | | | |
| 5.3.11 | There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved | Management of the Historic Center of Guanajuato city needs to get a bigger status like to Directorate in the coordination | During to 6 years | Municipal Council | Political will |
| 5.3.13 | The management system at the property is only being partially implemented | Lines of work to complete Management Plan and resources to put it in action | During to 6 years | Management of the Historic Center and Institute of Municipal Planning (IMPLAN) | Political will and economic resources to get it |
| 6.1 | Funding | | | | |
| 6.1.3 | There is no budget for effective management of the World Heritage property despite an identified need | To get support from Civilities Associations to do actions lines and more economic resources | During to 6 years | Management of the Historic Center | Political will |
| 7 | Scientific Studies and Research Projects | | | | |
| 7.2 | There is a small amount of research in the World Heritage property but it is not planned | Perform Seminary to know all Research about heritage in the zone, through the institutes involved | During to 6 years | Guanajuato University, Managent Municipal and State | Realize to short time possible to get results |
| 8 | Education, Information and Awareness Building | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| 8.2 | There is no heritage education and awareness programme for children and/or youth, despite an identified need | Conducting workshops in schools on heritage awareness in the city. Production of an illustrative manual on local heritage for children and young people. | workshops once a year and two years to make the manual | Management Unit of the Historic Center, Secretariat of Public Education of the state of Guanajuato | it is important to have the budget for the development of the activities |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|

| | | | | | |
|------|---|---|----------------|---|--|
| 9 | Visitor Management | | | | |
| 9.7 | There is no strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property | Working tables with relevant Directorates for the monitoring of visitors in the historic center. | 1 year | Directorate of Tourism and Management Unit of the Historic Center | The will of those involved to carry out this action is essential |
| 9.12 | The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is not adequately presented and interpreted | Diffusion of Outstanding Universal Value on web pages of municipal management Create Awareness Campaigns among to integrants Municipal Council, which has the last decision in the system | During 6 years | Management of the Historic Center | Getting support from Directore of Tourism is an important factor |

| | | | | | |
|------|---|--|---------|--|---|
| 10 | Monitoring | | | | |
| 10.1 | There is no monitoring taking place in the World Heritage property or buffer zone despite an identified need | Propose a Monitoring System, then carry out the work tables with all the dependencies involved in the monitoring of the different factors that intervene in the historic center. | 3 years | Management Unit of the Historic Center, Directorate of Economic Development and Tourism, Directorate of Public Works, IMPLAN, Directorate of Municipal Public Services, SIMAPAG, General Directorate of Inspection and Control | The will of those involved to carry out this action is essential. |

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been lost**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value **has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.**

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Conservation | No impact |
| Research and monitoring | Positive |
| Management effectiveness | Positive |
| Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples | No impact |
| Recognition | No impact |
| Education | Positive |

| | |
|---|----------------|
| Infrastructure development | No impact |
| Funding for the property | No impact |
| International cooperation | Negative |
| Political support for conservation | Negative |
| Legal/Policy framework | Negative |
| Advocacy | Negative |
| Institutional coordination | Positive |
| Security | Negative |
| Gender equality | Not applicable |
| Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities | Not applicable |
| Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status | No impact |
| Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood | No impact |
| Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties | Positive |
| Other | Not applicable |
| If 'Other', please specify | |

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

| |
|-----------------------|
| Synergies |
| State of Conservation |
| Management |
| Capacity Building |

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

| |
|-------------------------------|
| The World Heritage Convention |
|-------------------------------|

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

| | |
|--|------|
| State Party | Fair |
| Site Managers | Fair |
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Good |
| Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM) | Good |

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

| |
|--|
| Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage |
| Update of management plans |
| Awareness raising |

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

| |
|---|
| Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage |
|---|

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

80 hours / 20 hours / 120 hours /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

| | Additional resources | No | Yes |
|----------|--|----|-----|
| 15.3.5.1 | Human resources | | × |
| 15.3.5.2 | Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training | × | |

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report**15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?**

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

| | | Very Difficult | Difficult | Easy | Very easy |
|----------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| 15.4.2.1 | Ease of use of questionnaire | | | × | |
| 15.4.2.2 | Clarity of questions | | | × | |

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire**15.5. Training and Guidance****15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire**

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | Good |
| UNESCO (other sectors/field offices) | Not applicable |
| UNESCO National Commission | Good |
| ICOMOS International | No support |
| IUCN International | Not applicable |
| ICCROM international/regional | Good |
| ICOMOS national/regional | Good |
| IUCN national/regional | Not applicable |

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

| | |
|---|----------------|
| UNESCO World Heritage Centre | No support |
| State Party Representative (national Focal Point) | Good |
| UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office) | No support |
| National Commission for UNESCO | No support |
| ICOMOS International | No support |
| ICCROM International/regional | Fair |
| ICOMOS national/regional | No support |
| IUCN national/regional | Not applicable |
| IUCN International | Not applicable |

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

ICCROM team manager it currently

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: The Management Plan is currently being implemented through the Management Unit of Historic Center of the City of Guanajuato, which has a multidisciplinary team.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.