Mount Athos

- 1. World Heritage Property Data
- 1.1 Name of World Heritage property

Mount Athos

- 1.2 World Heritage property details
- 1.3 Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Mount Athos	40.267 / 24.217	33042.3	0	33042.3	1988
Total (ha)		33042.3	0	33042.3	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Mount Athos - map of the World Heritage property	2008	

- 1.5 Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)
 - 1. Hellenic Ministry of Culture
- 2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)
- 2.1 Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

- 2.4 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

 No
- 2.5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Mount Athos 1 of 46

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Since Byzantine chant is the medieval sacred music featuring in all religious services of the Christian churches following the Eastern Orthodox rite, the churches that are still in use (housing religious services) are associated with the Byzantine chant (Inscribed in 2019 (14.COM) on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of Humanity)

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Not aware

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

We have no additional elements

- 3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value
- 3.1 Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Mount Athos 2 of 46

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

Cloaked by beautiful chestnut and other types of Mediterranean forest, the steep slopes of Mount Athos are punctuated by twenty imposing monasteries and their subsidiary establishments. Covering an area of just over 33,000 hectares, the property includes the entire narrow rocky strip of the easternmost of the three peninsulas of Chalcidice which jut into the Aegean Sea in northern Greece. The subsidiary establishments include *sketae* (daughter houses of the monasteries), *kellia* and *kathismata* (living units operated by the monks), where farming constitutes an important part of the monks' everyday life. An Orthodox spiritual centre since the 10th century, Mount Athos has enjoyed a self-administered status since Byzantine times. Its first constitution was signed in 972 by the emperor John I Tzimiskes. The 'Holy Mountain', which is forbidden to women and children, is also a recognized artistic site. The layout of the monasteries (which are presently inhabited by some 1,400 monks) had an influence as far afield as Russia, and its school of painting influenced the history of Orthodox art. The landscape reflects traditional monastic farming practices, which maintain populations of plant species that have now become rare in the region.

Criterion (i): The transformation of a mountain into a sacred place made Mount Athos a unique artistic creation combining the natural beauty of the site with the expanded forms of architectural creation. Moreover, the monasteries of Athos are a veritable conservatory of masterpieces ranging from wall paintings (such as the works by Manuel Panselinos at Protaton Church ca. 1290 and by Frangos Catellanos at the Great Lavra in 1560) to portable icons, gold objects, embroideries and illuminated manuscripts which each monastery jealously preserves.

Criterion (ii): Mount Athos exerted lasting influence in the Orthodox world, of which it is the spiritual centre, on the development of religious architecture and monumental painting. The typical layout of Athonite monasteries was used as far away as Russia. Iconographic themes, codified by the school of painting at Mount Athos and laid down in minute detail in the *Guide to Painting* (discovered and published by Didron in 1845), were used and elaborated on from Crete to the Balkans from the 16th century onwards.

Criterion (iv): The monasteries of Athos present the typical layout of Orthodox monastic establishments: a square, rectangular or trapezoidal fortification flanked by towers, which constitutes the *peribolos* of a consecrated place, in the centre of which the community's church, or the catholicon, stands alone. Strictly organised according to principles dating from the 10th century are the areas reserved for communal activities (refectory, cells, hospital, library), those reserved solely for liturgical purposes (chapels, fountains), and the defence structures (arsenal, fortified towers). The organization of agricultural lands in the idiorrythmic *sketae* (daughter houses of the monasteries), the *kellia* and *kathismata* (living units operated by the monks) is also very characteristic of the medieval period.

Criterion (v): The monastic ideal at Mount Athos has preserved traditional human habitations, which are representative of the agrarian cultures of the Mediterranean and have become vulnerable through the impact of change within contemporary society. Mount Athos is also a conservatory of vernacular architecture as well as agricultural and craft traditions.

Criterion (vi): An Orthodox spiritual centre since the 10th century, the sacred mountain of Athos became the principal spiritual home of the Orthodox Church in 1054. It retained this prominent role even after the fall of Constantinople in 1453 and the establishment of the autocephalous patriarchy of Moscow in 1589. Mount Athos is directly and tangibly associated with the history of Orthodox Christianity which, in varying degrees, is present in more than 20 nations in the 20th century. It is no exaggeration to say that this thousand-year-old site, where the weight of history is palpable in the countryside, the monuments and the precious collections have been built up over time, has retained even today its universal and exceptional significance.

Criterion (vii): The harmonious interaction of traditional farming practices and forestry is linked to the stringent observance of monastic rules over the course of centuries, which has led to the excellent preservation of the Mediterranean forests and associated flora of Mount Athos.

Integrity

Closely associated with the history of Orthodox Christianity, Mount Athos retains its Outstanding Universal Value through its monastic establishments and artistic collections. All the monasteries are well-preserved due to on-going restoration projects carried out according to approved plans. The materials used for restoration are traditional and environmentally friendly.

Mount Athos encompasses an entire peninsula of 33,042 ha, an area of sufficient size to maintain a rich flora and fauna that has been well conserved by careful management of the forests and traditional agricultural practices. Although the natural environment is maintained, it is also vulnerable to forest fire, infrastructure development (mainly roads), and seismic activity. Monastic activities have kept their traditional character due to rules which have remained relatively unchanged throughout the centuries, and the evolution of monastic life should not harm the environment.

Authenticity

The property reflects adequately the cultural values recognized in the inscription criteria through the setting of the monasteries and their dependencies, together with the form, design and materials of the buildings and farms, their use and function, and the spirit and feeling of the place.

Mount Athos has an enormous wealth of historic, artistic and cultural elements preserved by a monastic community that has existed for the last twelve centuries and constitutes a living record of human activities.

Protection and management requirements

Mount Athos has a peculiar self-administered system under Hellenic Constitutional Law. While the sovereignty of the Hellenic State remains intact (article 105), management is exercised by representatives of the Holy Monasteries, who comprise the Holy Community (article 105). The Hellenic State has placed the responsibility for the protection and conservation of the natural and cultural property into public agencies, namely the Ministry of Education and Religious Affairs, Culture and Sports, General Secretariat of Culture, through the responsible 10th Ephorate of Byzantine Antiquities, the Centre for the Preservation of the Athonite Heritage, the Ministry of Environment, Energy and Climate Change, and the Ministry of Foreign Affairs (Directorate for Churches – Mount Athos Administration). The monuments are protected by the provisions of the Archaeological Law 3028/2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general", and by separate ministerial decrees published in the Official Government Gazette.

Restoration and conservation works, co-funded by the European Union, are performed by the Hellenic State (10th Ephorate of Byzantine Antiquities and Centre for the Preservation of the Athonite Heritage). There is on-going collaboration between the responsible services of the Ministry of Education and Religious Affairs, Culture and Sports; the General Secretariat of Culture; and other Ministries with the monastic community. However, it should be stressed that the scheduling and execution of all work concerning individual Holy Monasteries requires their consent as well as that of the Holy Community.

Sustaining the Outstanding Universal Value of the property requires ongoing conservation of the buildings including their finishes and mural paintings, as well as of manuscripts and artworks. Studies concerning the installation of infrastructure in the monastery buildings, including fire protection, have been undertaken.

Protection and management of the forests, including provision of major infrastructure, is the subject of specialized programs planned by the monasteries, in cooperation with the Holy Community and relevant scientists.

Promotion of Mount Athos' cultural heritage includes conferences, publications and more recently the internet. Mount Athos is well-known to the Orthodox Christian world and attracts many thousands of visitors, scholars and pilgrims every year.

Once finalised and agreed upon, the Management Plan prepared by the Holy Community will address forest management in terms of ecological sustainability; road and port (arsana) construction and maintenance; waste management; the need for a consistent approach to conservation for all monasteries; and a risk

Mount Athos 3 of 46

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	wall paintings, portable icons, gold objects, embroideries and illuminated manuscripts	×			
3.2.2	typical layout of Orthodox monastic establishments	×			
3.2.3	agricultural and craft traditions	×			
3.2.4	monastic ideal in the principal spiritual centre of Orthodoxy	×			
3.2.5	Mediterranean forests and associated flora	×			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The Archaeological Law 3028/2002 "On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general" has been replaced by the Law 4858/2021 "Ratification of the legislation Code for the protection of antiquities and cultural heritage in general" (Government Gazette 220/A/19.11.2021). The Ephorate of Antiquities of Chalcidice and Mount Athos is now responsible for Mount Athos (former 10th Ephorate of Byzantine Antiquities) The Ministry of Culture was re-established on 27/6/2023, thus the Ministry of Culture, Education and Religious Affairs has been renamed to Ministry of Culture.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

	Relevant	X Not relevant
--	----------	----------------

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant	Not relevant

Mount Athos 4 of 46

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	♦ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

_

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant	1	Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×	×		→	
Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

V Hot Following	Relevant	✗ Not relevant
-----------------	----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin		Origin	Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×		×	×		→			
Negative									

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin	Origin T		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
② Positive X	×		×	×		→		
	×		×	×		\Rightarrow		

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant	
-------------------------	--

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Mount Athos 5 of 46

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Origin		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×		×			→		
Negative								

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact O		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	n Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact Orig		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	Y Not referent
Relevant	X Not relevant

Mount Athos 6 of 46

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

* Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		→	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→			
Negative									

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Mount Athos 7 of 46

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→		
Negative								

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			ı	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	
Negative							

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow		
Negative								

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

Mount Athos 8 of 46

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant Not relevant

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Gurrent	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×		→			
	×	×	×	×		→			

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
1.0.0	THE TOTAL THE TENT OF THE TENT

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

× Relevant			1	Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	G Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×		→	
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

Mount Athos 11 of 46

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside



4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
Relevant	Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	→ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×	×	×	×		\rightarrow	

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

Mount Athos 12 of 46

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

Not relevant

Relevant

* Not relevant

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or

positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			P
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
Positive X	×	×	×	×			1			
Negative										

4.13.3 - Governance

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive 🗶	×	×	×	×			7			
Negative										

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

Mount Athos 13 of 46

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin									
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×	×		→				
Negative										

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant				Not relevant						
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact						
Impact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing			
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			7			
Negative										

4.13.6 - Human resources

× Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	→ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×				1		
Negative									

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

★ Relevant				Not relevant					
	Impact Origin				Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive X	×	×	×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2014):

• Relevant, Positive, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact Origin			Trend of impact					
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	G Outside	▶ Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing		
○ Positive ★	×	×	×	×		→			
Negative									

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)


4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name			Origin	Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	O	9	•	C	\rightarrow

2.1 Ground transport Infrastructure							
1.2. 1.2.	4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.4 Marino transport infrastructure	4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	O	q		•	G	→
4.2.4 Marino transport infrastructure 4.3 Services infrastructures 4.1 Water infrastructures 4.1.4 Water infrastructure 4.1.4 Water infrastructure 4.1.2 Renovable corery facilities 4.1.2 Renovable corery facilities 4.1.2 Renovable corery facilities 4.1.3 A Localized utilities 4.1.3 A Localized utilities 4.1.4 Solid water 4.1.5 Major infrastructure 4.1.5 Disciplinal resource uture/modification 4.1.5 Major infrastructure 4.1.5 Major infrastructure 4.1.5 Disciplinal resource uture/modification 4.1.5 Major infrastructure 4.1.5 Major infrastructure 4.1.5 Commercial wild plant collection 4.1.5 Renovable infrastructure 4.1.6 Major infrastructure 4.1.7 Water (nainweter table) 4.1.8 Major infrastructure 4.1.8 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.1 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.2 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.2 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.3 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.4 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.5 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.6 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.7 Environmental wild plant collection 4.1.8 Environmental wild plant collection 4.							
4.3 Pervices Infrastructures 4.3.1 Water infrastructure 4.3.2 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.6 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.7 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.8 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.1 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.1 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.3 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Ronewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Ronewable energy facilities 4.4.5 Ronewable energy facilities 4.5.7 Subdisferus energy facilities 4.5.7 Sub	4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	O	q		•	C	→
4.3 Pervices infrastructures 4.3.1 Water infrastructure 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.6 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.7 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.8 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.8 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.1 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.1 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.3 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.6 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.7 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.8 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.8 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.1 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.1 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.3 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.6 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.7 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.8 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.9 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.1 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.3 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.4 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.5 Renewable energy facilities 4.3.6 Renewable energy facil							
### ### #### #########################	4.2.4 Marine transport infrastructure	•	q		•	Œ	→
3.1 Water infrastructure			q		()	G	→
1.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.4 Localised utilities 4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.4.7 Solid waste 4.5.6 Solid waste 4.5.6 Solid waste 4.5.7 Solid waste 4.5.7 Solid waste 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.8 Solid Waste Solid	4.3.1 Water infrastructure	O	q		@		→
4.3.4 Localised utilities 4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.4.7 Solid waste 4.5.6 Solid waste 4.5.6 Solid waste 4.5.7 Solid waste 4.5.7 Solid waste 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.8 Solid Waste Solid							
4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.4.4 Pollution 4.4.5 Solid waste 4.5.5 Solid waste 4.5.5 Solid waste 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.6 Crop production 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.6 Crop production 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.9 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q	4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	•	q	q	•	Œ	→
4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.3.6 Major linear utilities 4.3.6 Major linear utilities 4.3.7 Solid waste 4.4.7 Politurion 4.5.8 Solid waste 4.5.8 Solid waste 4.5.9 Solid waste 4.5.9 Solid waste 4.5.9 Crop production 4.5.1 Fishing collecting aquatic resources 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.6 Crop production 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.9 A							
4.3.5 Major linear utilities 4.4 Pollution 4.4.5 Solid waste 4.5. Solid waste 4.5. Biological resource use/modification 4.5. I Fishing/collecting aquatic resources 4.5. Crop production 4.5. Crop production 4.5. Commercial wild plant collection 4.5. Subalistence wild plant collection plant collection 4.5. Subalistence wild plant collection 4.5. Subalist	4.3.4 Localised utilities	O	q	9	•	C	→
4.4 Pollution 4.4.5 Solid waste 4.5 Solid waste 4.5 Biological resource use/modification 4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subalstence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subalstence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.8 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.8 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.8 Waster (rain/waster table) 4.5.8 Waster (rain/waster table) 4.5.8 Waster (rain/waster table) 4.5.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.5.8 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.5.8 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.5.8 Limpacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.5.8 Limpacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.5.8 Limpacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation			q	9	@	Œ	\rightarrow
4.5. Solid waste	4.3.5 Major linear utilities	O	q	9	•	C	→
4.5. Solid waste							
4.5 Biological resource use/modification 4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 To Forestry/Wood production 4.5.9 Forestry/Wood production 4.5.10 Fo	4.4 Pollution						
4.5 Biological resource use/modification 4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Water (rain/water table) 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.7.1 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.7.1 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.7.1 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.7.1 Since (wildfire) 4.7.1 Since (wildfire)	4.4.5 Solid waste						
4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Water (rain/water table) 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.1 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.5 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			q	9	•	C	→
4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.5 Crop production 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.8 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.1 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)							
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.7.10 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.5 Fire (wildfire)	4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources	O	4	9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection 4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.7.10 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.5 Fire (wildfire)			~	~2		~	
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11.5 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.5.5 Crop production	•	4	4	(I)	G	→
4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11.5 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection	()	a	a	(₹	→
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production 4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)			•	•	3	3	
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 3	4.5.7 Subsistence wild plant collection	O	q	q	()	C	→
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 3							
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production	O	q	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.7.6 Water (rain/water table) 4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)							
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage 4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	O	q	9	•	G	\rightarrow
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.5 Fire (wildfire)			q	9	()	G	→
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation 4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)							
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	O	A	A	•	G	→
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.0.C laurante of taxarion Ministration/Parametics		679			ne .	
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events 4.11.2 Earthquake 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire) 4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)	4.o.o impacts of tourism/visitation/recreation		9	9	4	(F	→
● ■ ● ■ ■ ● ■ ● ■ ●	4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events		•	•	4	4	
● ■ ● ■ ■ ● ■ ● ■ ●	4.11.2 Earthquake						
□				q	@	G	→
□	4.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			q	q	@	G	→
	4.13 Management and institutional factors						

Mount Athos 15 of 46



- 4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors
- 4.16.1 Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors
- 4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.1.5 Interp	pretative and visitation facilities	•	q	•	Œ	\rightarrow
0	Associated by the feeter					
Spatial Sca	lle - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - In	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					

Mount Athos 16 of 46

	No capacity and / or resources									
Trend - Developement over the last 6 years										
	Decreasing									
×	Static									
	Increasing									

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Groun	d transport infrastructure	O	q	•	C	→
Snatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
opana ooa	Restricted					
~	Localised					
×						
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
×	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.2.3 Air transport infrastructure	•	9	•	G	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised

Mount Athos 17 of 46

	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
×	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
×	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
Name		Impac		Origin		Trend
4.2.4 Marin	e transport infrastructure	O	9	•	(→
			9	•	F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
×	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					

Mount Athos 18 of 46

×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact		Orig		Trend
4.3.1 Wat	er infrastructure	o 9		•		→
Spatial so	ale - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial St						
~	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - I	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
×	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - D	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities			4	•	Œ	\rightarrow

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted	

Mount Athos 19 of 46

×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		~3	Origin	-	Trend
4.3.4 Local	sed utilities		9	9	••	E	→
			0	0	3	3	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Mount Athos 20 of 46

Significant

High capacity

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name	No constitute	Impact		e/3	Origin	04	Trend
4.3.5 Major	linear utilities	O	9	9	•	F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
×	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
×	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend		
4.4.5 Solid waste						
		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
Restricted						

Mount Athos 21 of 46

×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.1 Fishii	4.5.1 Fishing/collecting aquatic resources		q	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						

Mount Athos 22 of 46

Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.5 Crop p	roduction	O	4	9	•	(→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		 ?	Origin		Trend
4.5.6 Comm	4.5.6 Commercial wild plant collection		4	9	•	(→

Mount Athos	23 of 46

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Restricted

×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		_	Origin		Trend
4.5.7 Subsi	stence wild plant collection	•	9	9	•	(→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×							
×	Restricted						
×	Restricted Localised						
	Restricted Localised Extensive						
	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread						
	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurrence of the impact						
	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare						
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic						
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent						
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going						
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes						
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant						
Temporal s	Restricted Localised Extensive Widespread cale - Occurence of the impact One off or rare Intermittent or sporadic Frequent On-going pact on the attributes Insignificant Minor						

Mount Athos 24 of 46

	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Fore	stry/Wood production	•	9	9	•	ઉ	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
	High capacity						
×	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	relopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Static Increasing

Name	Impact	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)	O	9	q	•	Œ	\rightarrow
		9	P	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						

Mount Athos 25 of 46

	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going Control of the Control of t
Impact - Im	ppact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.1 Ritua	4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
	Localised						
×	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						

Mount Athos 26 of 46

	Major							
Management response - Capacity of management to respond								
×	High capacity							
	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							
	Increasing							
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend	
4.8.6 Impa	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	O	9	9	•	(→	
			4	9	•	C	→	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted							
×	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							
	Intermittent or sporadic							
	Frequent							
×	On-going							
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes							
	Insignificant							
×	Minor							
	Significant							
	Major							
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity							
×	Medium capacity							
	Low capacity							
	No capacity and / or resources							
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing							
×	Static							

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			

			P	•	ઉ	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					
				Origin		
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend

4.11.6 Fire	.11.6 Fire (wildfire)						
			9	9	•	F	\rightarrow
Spatial sca	Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
×	Restricted						
	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						

Mount Athos 28 of 46

	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

	Imp					
Name		Impac	_	Origin		Trend
4.13.1 N	anagement system/Management plan	O	9	•	Œ	
Spatial	scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Tempor	al scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
Impact -	Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Manage	ment response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend -	Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend

4.13.2 Lega	Legal framework I scale - Area affected by the factor Restricted	O	9	9	•	Œ	1
Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name 4.13.3 Gov		Impact		<i>~</i> 3	Origin	Œ	Trend
4.13.3 GOV	ernance	•	9	9	•	G.	
Spatial sca	ile - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going Control of the Control of t						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						

Mount Athos 30 of 46

	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managemei	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact		_	Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Mana	gement activities	O	9	9	•	G	→
Spatial scal	e - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	t response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						

Name	Impact	į		Origin		Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	O	q	9	•	Œ	

Mount Athos 31 of 46

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					
Name	an resources	Impact		en.	Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Hull	an resources	O	9	9	•	
Spatial sca	e - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					

Mount Athos 32 of 46

×	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
	Static						
×	Increasing						
Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	0	9	9	•	F	→
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
×	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
×	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond						
×	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
×	Static						
	Increasing						
Name		Impact		F.72	Origin		Trend
4.13.8 High	impact research/monitoring activities	O	9	9	•	Œ	\rightarrow

33 of 46
3

Spatial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	wall paintings, portable icons, gold objects, embroideries and illuminated manuscripts	×			
4.18.1.2	typical layout of Orthodox monastic establishments	×			
4.18.1.3	typical layout of Orthodox monastic establishments	×			
4.18.1.4	monastic ideal in the principal spiritual centre of Orthodoxy	×			
4.18.1.5	Mediterranean forests and associated flora	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are known and recognised by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The establishment of a Management Body for the area, as is stipulated in the Laws 1650/1986 and 2742/1999, has not progressed with regard to the Peninsula of Mount Athos. In general, first an area is legislated as a protected area (article 21 of the Law 1650/86), and then a system is set up for its management and operation at a local level. The organizational scheme is selected on the basis of objective criteria (importance, land surface, location, financial viability, particular religious importance, etc) to ensure the best management of the area, to the extent possible, and following consultations with the local community. In general, activities in the area have a limited effect on the environment, being small-scaled, and given the existing institutional framework, the expert opinion of the competent Ministry (YPEHODE) is not required. Issues regarding the natural environment are taken care of by the Ecclesiastical Authorities.

The relevant laws are:

- Ministry of Culture decision /5980/16.10.1965, Government Gazette 714/29.10.1965
- Presidential Decree 941/77 «On the Organization of the Ministry of Culture and Sciences », Government Gazette 320/ /17-10-1977.
- 2557/97 «Institution laws, measures and actions for cultural heritage», article 7 (on the special conservation status in Mount Athos).
- Government Gazette 271/ /24-12-1997
- Presidential Decree 264/99 « Organization and execution of Conservation Work in Mount Athos» Government Gazette 215/ /19-10-1999.
- Law 3028/2002 «On the Protection of Antiquities and Cultural Heritage in general », Government Gazette 153/ /28-6-2002.
- Presidential Decree 191/2003 «Organization of the Ministry of Culture », Government Gazette 146/ /13-6-2003.
- Law "On Sanction of the Constitutional Law of Mount Athos" (Gov. Gaz. 309/ /16- 9- 1926).
- P. D. "Region of Special Natural Beauty" (Gov.Gaz.11/ /24-01-1992).
- P.D. "Determination of a Control of Structures Zone..." (Gov. Gaz. 326/ /26-4-2002).
- Decision 4789 of the General Secretary of the Region of Central Macedonia... (Gov. Gaz. 332/ /10-8-2010).

The protection arrangements are considered sufficiently effective.

Source: Nomnination File; Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2021 / Ratification of the legislation Code for the protection of antiquities and cultural heritage in general / Law 4858/2021 (Government Gazette 220/A/19-11-2021) / - / - / - /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

An annual work plan or business plan

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Mount Athos has a singular self-governing system under the Greek constitutional law.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is fully based on the agreed World Heritage policy

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- **5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?** The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and all of its activities are being implemented and monitored

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×

5.3.16.5	Women	×			
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	×			
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry	×			
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×			
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×			
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify				

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality		×			
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status		×			
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	30 %	30 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	70 %	70 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

Mount Athos 37 of 46

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is adequate for effective management of the World Heritage property

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	100 %	90 %
6.1.6.2	Women	0 %	10 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

Mount Athos 38 of 46

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Youth/children	Good
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Good
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is no need for an education and awareness programme for children and/or youth

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Other specific groups

There are no education and awareness programmes at the property

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Not needed

Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

Minor increase / Minor increase / Static / Static / Static /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

Transportation services

Tourism industry

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0 / 0 / Non estimated / 10-25 USD / Non estimated / Non estimated /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a planned and effective strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Through the relevant services of the monastic communities and of the Greek State

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

Mount Athos 40 of 46

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

There are benefits for the local communities

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined for measuring the state of conservation and are being used in monitoring of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				X
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				X
10.3.3	Character of governance				X
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				X
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Not applicable
Local businesses and industry	Not applicable
NGOs	Non-existent
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

- 10.7 Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.
- 10.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring
- 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3 Management System/Management Plan

 The management system of the World Heritage property does not contribute to gender equality The management system of the World Heritage property does not contribute to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status 	×
Monitoring	
There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	×
e select 0 more issues.	
ase save this question to reflect changes	
	There is considerable monitoring at the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.2	Transportatio	n Infrastructure										
4.2.4	Marine transport infrastructure	There are no negative effects for the criteria and the attributes of the property	the use a	ant no actions g taken at the	by the author	nuous monitoring e competent state rities, the Holy nunity and the 20 steries.		nenever is eded.	th	he Holy Community, ne 20 monasteries and ne state authorities.	No cor	nments.
4.3	Services Infra	structures										
4.3.4	Localised utilities	There are no negative effects for the criteria and the attributes of the property	insignif compet take the measur number towers,	ects are icant, however th tent authorities e following res: control of the r of cell phone approval of tt studies etc.	ie a	continuous monitoring nd control.	,	On going.	the 2	Holy Community, 0 monasteries and tate authorities.	No comm	nents.
4.4	Pollution											
4.4.5	Solid waste	Solid waste does affect the criteria according to whic property was inso in the WHList.	h the	egular collection olid waste.	of	Continuous monitori	ing.	On going	ŀ	Holy Community.		none
4.7	Local condition	ons affecting physic	cal fabric									
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	There are no negative effects for the criteria and the attributes of the property. Instead there is an effort f saving water.	or wate		Continu and cor	ious monitoring ntrol.	On g	going.	20 mona	y Community, the asteries and the thorities.	No comm	ents.
4.8	Social/Cultura	al uses of heritage										
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/R	for the o	e effects riteria attributes	The Holy Comcontrols the nu of the visitors of Mount Athos.	ımber	Continuous monitoring and control.		On going.		e Holy Community d the 20 monasteries.	No con	nments.
4.11	Sudden ecolo	gical or geological	events									
4.11.2	Earthquake	There are no negative effects the criteria and attributes of the property.	for for the build earth	evant static studie he protection of ding complexes o hquakes are roved.		Continuous monitori and control.	ing	On going	the	Holy Community, 20 monasteries and state authorities.	No com	ments.

Mount Athos 42 of 46

negative effects for authorities take all the the criteria and the necessary measures.	4.11.6	Fire (wildfire)	There are no	The competent	Continuous monitoring.	On going.	The Holy Community, the	No comments.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			negative effects for	authorities take all the			20 monasteries and the	
attack at the			the criteria and the	necessary measures.			state authorities.	
attributes of the			attributes of the					
property			property					

Summary - Factors affecting the Property **completed**

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.3	M	lanagement	System	/Manage	ement Plan									
					Actions		Timefram	ie			nd agency (and other	ers	More info / comm	ent
5.3.17		system World Heritag proper not contri gende equali • The manag system World Heritag proper not contri social inclus equity impro oppor for all, irresp of age disabi ethnic origin religio econo	ge ty does bute to gement n of the ge ty does bute to sion and ving tunities , ective e, sex, sitty, city,		Mount Athos is forbidde be accessible to women theological reasons sinc creation of the monastic community in the 10th c AD.	for e the	No timefra	ame		No	agency		No comments	
6.1		Funding												
6.1.3				infrastru	Illenic State finance restor ucture projects at the prop Il funding and European F ms.	erty thro		On g	going.		The competent st	tate aut	thorities.	-
8	Educa	ation, Inform	ation an	d Aware	eness Building									
8.2				re no ecu	nature of the property uational programmes at	No t	imeframe			No age	ency	No	comments	
9	Visito	r Manageme	ent											
9.7					nunity is responsible ne number of visitors	On goi	ng		The Holy Con monasteries	nmunity a	and the 20	No o	comments	
9.9				ly Comm	nunity is responsible se.	On goi	oing The Holy Community a monasteries		and the 20	No o	comments			
10	Moni	itoring												
10.1	monithe V Herita proper not d towar	itoring at Vorld age erty but it is lirected	director needs under Collab autho	ed towar s of the p standing poration a rities will	the property is anyway dist the management property and improves of the OUV. In among all relevant contribute to the pof the management.	On	going			lture/Epl	and the 20 monaster horate of Antiquities Athos		No comments	

Mount Athos 43 of 46

improving	
understanding	
of Outstanding	
Universal Value	

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

 The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

 The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Very positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Positive
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Not applicable
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	Positive
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

- 13.2 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts
- 14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention
- 14.1 Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level
- 14.2 Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Mount Athos 44 of 46

Synergies
State of Conservation
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

The World Heritage Convention
The concept of Outstanding Universal Value
The property's Outstanding Universal Value
The concept of Integrity and/or Authenticity
The property's Integrity and/or Authenticity
Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Fundraising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

1007307207

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

	Very Difficult	Difficult	Easv	Verv easy	
	very Dimount	Dilliouit	Lucy	voly casy	

15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire	×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions	×	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Fair
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

- 15.5.4 If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?
- 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee
- 15.6.1 Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

No item were proposed for update

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.2 Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

Mount Athos 46 of 46