

New Lanark

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property


New Lanark

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
New Lanark	55.663 / -3.783	146	667	813	2001
Total (ha)		146	667	813	

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Map showing the inscribed property and its buffer zone	2000	

Comment

The World Heritage Centre identified in December 2022 that they did not hold an up-to-date clear map which showed the delimitation of the property. We are in the process of producing the requested map in line with the World Heritage Centre's technical requirements, with support from Historic England. It will be submitted for the approval of the World Heritage Committee in advance of 46COM along with others from the UK State Party.

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [New Lanark Conservation Trust](#)
2. [Historic Scotland](#)
3. [Royal Commission on the Ancient and Historical Monuments of Scotland \(RCAHMS\)](#)
4. [New Lanark](#)

Comment

'RCAHMS' link no longer works. The link can now be found under the National Record of the Historic Environment on HES:
<https://www.historicenvironment.scot/archives-and-research/archives-and-collections/national-record-of-the-historic-environment/>

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

It may be beneficial moving forward for different co-ordinators at ground level to communicate with each other across designations for the purpose of networking and understanding each others areas of remit as this happens rarely if ever, particularly across countries.

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

Robert Owen Collection

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

New Lanark is an exceptional example of a purpose-built 18th century mill village, set in a picturesque Scottish landscape near the Falls of Clyde, where in the early years of the 19th century, the Utopian idealist Robert Owen (1771-1858) inspired a model industrial community based on textile production. It was there that Owen first applied his form of benevolent paternalism in industry, building on the altruistic actions of his father-in-law, David Dale. It was there, too, that he formulated his Utopian vision of a society without crime, poverty, and misery. New Lanark prospered under his enlightened management.

The village was founded in 1785, and the cotton mills, powered by water-wheels, were operational from 1786 to 1968. At the turn of the 19th century the mill buildings formed one of the largest industrial groups in the world.

The creation of the model industrial settlement at New Lanark, in which planning and architecture were integrated with a humane concern on the part of the employers for the well-being of the workers, is a milestone in social and industrial history. The moral, social and environmental values which underpinned Robert Owen's work at New Lanark provided the basis for seminal material and intangible developments that have had lasting influences on society over the past two hundred years.

New Lanark is a unique reminder that the creation of wealth does not automatically imply the degradation of its producers. The village offered a cultural response to the challenges presented by industrial society and was the test-bed for ideas that sought to improve the human condition around the world. The nature and layout of New Lanark inspired other benevolent industrialists to follow his example, and this movement laid the foundations for the work of Ebenezer Howard (1850-1928) in creating the concept of the Garden City. The social and economic systems that Owen developed were considered radical in his own time but are now widely accepted in modern society.

The imposing mill buildings, the spacious and well designed workers' housing, and the dignified educational institute and school still survive to testify to Owen's humanism.

Criterion (ii): When Richard Arkwright's new factory system for textile production was brought to New Lanark the need to provide housing and other facilities for the workers and managers was recognised. It was there that David Dale and Robert Owen created a model for industrial communities that was to spread across the world in the 19th and 20th centuries.

Criterion (iv): New Lanark saw the construction not only of well designed and equipped workers' housing but also public buildings designed to improve their spiritual as well as their physical needs.

Criterion (vi): The name of New Lanark is synonymous with that of Robert Owen and his social philosophy in matters such as progressive education, factory reform, humane working practices, international cooperation, and garden cities, which was to have a profound influence on social developments throughout the 19th century and beyond.

Integrity

The property encompasses all of the elements necessary to clearly express its Outstanding Universal Value and ensure complete representation of the property's significance. The appearance of the buildings of the village is now close to that of the early nineteenth century, during Owen's management, based on the physical evidence, archaeology, graphic and written archive material available. In restoring the village to its historic state, some later 20th century structures have been removed to focus on those elements that contributed to the property's Outstanding Universal Value.

Authenticity

The level of authenticity at New Lanark is high. The process of conservation and rehabilitation has now been in progress for almost half a century, and major projects continue to the present day. The village has seen little change from its heyday of cotton production in the early nineteenth century. Where elements are missing or have been replaced, the property is clearly interpreted to reflect this. Where rebuilding or reconstruction have been necessary, this has been carried out to the best conservation standards, based on full historic records. Repair and restoration has been undertaken using appropriate traditional materials and workmanship, following original designs wherever possible, and always respecting existing historic fabric. The original weir, lade and waterways which provided water-power to the mills from the 1780s are still in use today.

Protection and management requirements

World Heritage properties in Scotland are protected through the following legislation. The Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 and The Planning etc. (Scotland) Act 2006 provide a framework for local and regional planning policy and act as the principal primary legislation guiding planning and development in Scotland. Additionally, individual buildings, monuments and areas of special archaeological or historic interest are designated and protected under The Planning (Listed Building and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 and the 1979 Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act.

The Scottish Historic Environment Policy (SHEP) is the primary policy guidance on the protection and management of the historic environment in Scotland. Scottish Planning Policy (SPP) sits alongside the SHEP and is the Government's national planning policy on the historic environment. It provides for the protection of World Heritage properties by considering the impact of development on the Outstanding Universal Value, authenticity and integrity.

The management of the World Heritage property New Lanark is the responsibility of its three main partners: South Lanarkshire Council, Historic Scotland and the New Lanark Trust. The New Lanark Management Plan is endorsed and strategically overseen by the management partners, who also assume responsibility for its implementation.

The sustainable management of tourism at New Lanark is addressed in the Management Plan. The Partnership Group, through the implementation of the

Management Plan, ensures that present and future tourism within the property is developed in an environmentally and economically sustainable way for the benefit of the local community.

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Exceptional example of a purpose-built 18th century mill village	✗			
3.2.2	Set in a picturesque landscape near the Falls of Clyde	✗			
3.2.3	History as a model settlement	✗			
3.2.4	Test-bed for Robert Owen's benevolent paternalism and Utopian ideals	✗			
3.2.5	Planning, architecture and buildings integrated with a humane concern for the well-being of workers	✗			
3.2.6	Moral, social and environmental values underpinning Robert Owen's work	✗			
3.2.7	Policies tested here inspired policy to improve the rights of working people throughout history and are standard in 21st century	✗			
3.2.8	Inspiration for other benevolent industrialists	✗			
3.2.9	Millworkers' housing, Institute, Schoolhouse and other buildings still survive and have been restored close to that of the early Nineteenth Century	✗			
3.2.10	Synonymous with Robert Owen and progressive education, factory reform, humane working practices, international cooperation.	✗			
3.2.11	Buildings and landscape designed to improve the social, spiritual and working conditions of villagers, workers and people	✗			
3.2.12	David Dale and Robert Owen as the creators of a model community which inspired similar communities to spread throughout the 19th and 20th centuries	✗			
3.2.13	Restoration of the site conducted with traditional building skills and as close as possible to that of Nineteenth Century appearances and architecture, using original site plans as guidance	✗			
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

New Lanark continues to be interpreted and conserved to a remarkable standard. We are dedicated to preserving the history of progressive and radical reform which took place on site, drawing attention to the improvements Robert Owen made to the lives of working people and how these values and ideals are still relevant today.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant




















Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant




✗ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative 							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.1.4 - Potential increase in footfall, litter, pollution, positive village ethos 4.1.5 - NLT is currently in partnership with the Scottish Wildlife Trust and Lanark Community Development Trust on a current Heritage in Place project. If successful, New Lanark will be involved in producing new interpretation along the Falls of Clyde that will explain and interpret water power. There will be small interp boards at 6 sites, only two of which are directly within New Lanark's grounds.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive 							
 Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

As part of our work with Lanark Development Trust and listed in our 2019-2023 Management Plan, we have held discussions and proposed to the council redevelopment of the Beeches Access Road to ease traffic burdens and concerns revolving around our current site road infrastructure. It should be noted however that this proposal has failed to gain traction since it was first proposed in the 1980s. Although LDT are leading the re-proposal, we do not anticipate this becoming relevant for some time.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	📉 Decreasing	→ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕		✕	✕				📈
➖ Negative							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔥 Current	🔥 Potential	📍 Inside	📍 Outside	📉 Decreasing	→ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕		✕	✕				📈
➖ Negative							

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

NLT is currently in the exploratory phase of a turbine expansion project. New Lanark currently has one Boving turbine from the 1930s which powers Mills 1, 2 and 3. The aim is to introduce new turbines to power the entire village, make New Lanark entirely carbon neutral and offset the worst of the energy crisis upon the villagers of New Lanark as well as providing a consistent revenue stream to the site.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant










4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕		✕		✕		→	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

New Lanark is a tourist destination and village with residential and self-catering facilities. Our maintenance team work to ensure cleanliness and appropriate refuse disposal across the site, however large footfall in the summer months can result in an increase in litter directly within the WHS and its environments.

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant











4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕			
 Negative							

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

NLT and Scottish Wildlife Trust work together to ensure conservation of the surrounding Buffer Zone Woodlands and Falls of Clyde Woodlands are maintained and conserved. We are currently undertaking a project to assess for tree movement due to the impact of increased wet and windy weather.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively








4.6.2 - This is no longer a threat, as any quarry expansion into the buffer zone has been refused and shut down by government ministers.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕			✕			

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):




- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕			✕			

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

New Lanark is currently undertaking a project to assess the impact of increased and consistent wet and windy weather on water levels, shifting of the woodlands, landslides and erosion of the retaining walls directly along the river and within the woodland grounds.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant










4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕		✕	✕			→	
 Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

New Lanark is currently undertaking a large-scale, site-wide re-interpretation project which will last a number of years.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant	<input type="checkbox"/> Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Not relevant
----------	--

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Increased wet and stormy weather has led to an increase in interiors being flooded, erosion of retaining walls by the river Clyde and possibility of landslides.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	Decreasing	Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative ✕		✕		✕			

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The increase in wet and stormy weather has heightened the potential for landslides to affect the WHS, surrounding environment and buffer zone.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.3 - Governance

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕		✕	✕		➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.13.5 - Financial resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕	✕		📉		

4.13.6 - Human resources

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
------------	--------------	--	--	--	--	--	--

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing

Positive							
Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (23/07/2013):

- Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
----------	--------------

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

















































Financial - Reduction in available funding since Brexit, impact of COVID on Trusts reserves and issues with staffing supply have all been factors over the past 6 years. We are looking to become self-sufficient within the next decade.






























4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table







Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						
4.3 Services Infrastructures						
4.3.1 Water infrastructure						
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities						
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.5 Solid waste						
						
4.5 Biological resource use/modification						
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production						
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1 Wind						
						
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
						
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						

						
4.10.2 Flooding						
						
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide						
						
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
Legend	 Current	 Potential	 Negative	 Positive	 Inside	 Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors






4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Restricted
<input type="checkbox"/>	Localised
<input type="checkbox"/>	Extensive
<input type="checkbox"/>	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	One off or rare
<input type="checkbox"/>	Intermittent or sporadic
<input type="checkbox"/>	Frequent
<input type="checkbox"/>	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Insignificant
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Minor
<input type="checkbox"/>	Significant
<input type="checkbox"/>	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	High capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Medium capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	Low capacity
<input type="checkbox"/>	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread





Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread




Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	 		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes

	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major




Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.4 Pollution






Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
--	------------

	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.5.10 Forestry/Wood production						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.1 Wind						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor							
	Restricted						
✕	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact							
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
✕	On-going						
Impact - Impact on the attributes							
	Insignificant						
✕	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
Management response - Capacity of management to respond							
	High capacity						
✕	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
Trend - Development over the last 6 years							
	Decreasing						
	Static						
✕	Increasing						

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage



Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
✕	Widespread					
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact						
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
✕	On-going					
Impact - Impact on the attributes						

	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events




Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.1 Storms						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.2 Flooding			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing





4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.4 Avalanche/Landslide			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.4 Management activities						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources			
	⊖	📢	🕒

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
✕	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	⊕	📢	🕒

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare

✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Exceptional example of a purpose-built 18th century mill village	✕			
4.18.1.2	Set in a picturesque landscape near the Falls of Clyde	✕			
4.18.1.3	History as a model settlement	✕			
4.18.1.4	Test-bed for Robert Owen's benevolent paternalism and Utopian ideals	✕			
4.18.1.5	Planning, architecture and buildings integrated with a humane concern for the well-being of workers	✕			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are **adequate to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are **known by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The buffer zones are **adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The buffer zones of the World Heritage property are **known and recognised by both** the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

The whole of the area is within the New Lanark Conservation Area, one of the first to be designated in 1973 under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act. Within a designated Conservation Area no buildings which are not protected by other statutory instruments (Ancient

Monuments, Listed Buildings) may not be demolished without authorization. Following a review of Listed Buildings and scheduled monuments within the site by Historic Scotland in 2009, there are currently 29 listed buildings and 2 Scheduled Monuments in the World Heritage Site. It is noteworthy that no fewer than 21 of these are protected as category A buildings, the highest level, reflecting the highest national and international interest of New Lanark. There is a number of Listed Buildings in the buffer zone. The Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 makes it a criminal offence to alter, damage, or destroyed any Scheduled Ancient Monument without the written consent of the Scottish Ministers. There is one Ancient Monument (the Museum Stair at Double Row) in the nominated area and two in the buffer zone. In addition to these statutes, some measure of protection, especially of gardens and designed landscapes, is also conferred by the Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedures) Scotland Order (1992), under which developers must consult the Scottish Ministers when any development is proposed which may have an adverse impact on Listed Buildings, Ancient Monuments, or historic landscapes and gardens on the official Inventory of Gardens and Designed Landscapes.

These plans are set within the framework of the land-use planning system established in the United Kingdom by the Town and Country Planning Act (1947). The regional strategic Strathclyde Structure Plan (1995) includes a policy specifying New Lanark as being of special heritage significance. At local level the Lanark Local Plan (1983) lays down policies for the conservation and management of New Lanark, stressing the need for a development policy. The consultative document for the Lower Clydesdale Local Plan (1999) concentrates on traffic and the need to establish links between New Lanark and Lanark, reflecting the progress made since 1983.

Source: Advisory Body Evaluation; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for effective management and protection**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The **legal framework** for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an **adequate basis for** effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **adequate capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

The Planning etc. (Scotland) Act 2006 substantially amends the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 as the primary legislation guiding planning and development in Scotland. The Act outlines the relevant development planning and development management systems, enforcement and powers of intervention, and gives necessary weight to national and local planning policy frameworks.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.
Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)
Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property
Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management
Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups
A code of practice developed by industry
An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations
A management plan
An annual work plan or business plan
A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan
A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

New Lanark benefits from a multi-leveled Management System designed to ensure the overall goals, objectives and protections of the WHS are met. This Management System is a collaboration between our external partners and staff departments, and is designed to make sure everyone knows what they should be doing to promote and protect the property. The system operates on a top down approach, beginning with the Site Management Plan and moving down through departmental action plans and frameworks.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
New Lanark World Heritage Site Management Plan 2013-2018	N/A	Available	2013	
New Lanark World Heritage Site Management Plan 2019-2023	N/A	Available	2019	

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

No use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **adequate coordination** between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **fully implemented and monitored**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

No annual work/action plan exists despite an identified need

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities			✗		
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone			✗		
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	✗				
5.3.15.5	Women					✗
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	✗				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				✗	

5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers				×	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				×	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				×	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				×	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property	×				

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

5.3.17.1 - The management system ensures all genders on site are treated equally and ensures we maintain a level of gender equality among our staff 5.3.17.2 - Fresh air as we are a natural site, nothing else 5.3.17.3 - We are committed to equal opportunities and show this through our equal employment opportunities and volunteer programme 5.3.17.4 - We are committed to promoting human rights across all facets of the site 5.3.17.5 - We work with local development trusts

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	40 %	40 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	35 %	35 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	3 %	3 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %

6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	15 %	15 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	6 %	6 %
6.1.1.12	Other	1 %	1 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is acceptable** but **could be further improved** to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **secure over both the medium- and long-term**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	80 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	20 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are **adequate** for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Fair
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Not applicable

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Poor
Community participation and inclusion	Fair
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Some use has been made at a higher management level and we have worked towards increasing our involvement and partnerships with Scottish Wildlife Trust, local communities, schools and expanding our volunteer programme.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A **site-based** capacity building plan or programme has been developed **but it is not implemented** and skills are not being transferred

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

Most staff are internal as regards the management, conservation and interpretation of the site and property and we are working towards implementing and creating a site-wide capacity building plan for our 2024-2029 Management Plan and funding applications.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects**7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?**

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **acceptable** for most key areas but there are gaps

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **small amount of research**, but it is **not planned**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are **not shared at any level**

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

Included in our 2024-2029 Management Plan is our commitment to expanding and developing our Research Programme. At present, there are gaps in knowledge at New Lanark and we aim to research these areas in order to improve our understanding of the site, as well as show it to the public through interpretation. We aim to invite researchers to work on larger projects, and we want to begin sharing this at a larger level outside of interpretation in the visitor attraction.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building**8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups**

Local communities	Fair
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Poor
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth **but it only partly meets the needs**

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Women

Youth/children

Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Poor
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

As part of our 2024-2029 Management Plan, we are committed to developing our learning offer to other groups and improving our Visitor Facilities.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

33,000 / 81,000 / 20,000 / 72,000 / 80,000 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Accommodation establishments

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One day (no overnight stay)

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

DigiTickets - our online ticket booking system. We also operate a Hotel on site who use their own booking systems, but as they are a separate entity from the Visitor Attraction, there is no way of distinguishing between Visitors on Hotel Stays or here for day trips. Therefore, only data regarding tickets paid through the Visitor Attraction has been included.

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

0 / 10 / 15 / 15.11 / 0 / 10 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a **strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but it is **not implemented**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

New Lanark aims to explore better ways to track and document visitor numbers, expenditure and manage visitor footfall.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

No

If a different system, please specify

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to **present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In one location and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected, and make some contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Electric Bus Scheme provided in partnership with Lanark Development Trust, South Lanarkshire E3 Tourism Initiative, VisitScotland, Visit Lanarkshire, UNESCO Scotland Trail

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Not applicable

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable monitoring but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving the understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance			×	
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

Key indicators are documented in our Management Plan's yearly Action Plan, which covers all aspects of the site and charts projects and actions from inception to delivery. These are kept in line with relevant policy and framework changes from all levels. As part of our 2024-2029 Management Plan, these Monitoring Indicators have been tweaked and the new set will be implemented upon publishing of the new Management Plan in January 2024.

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Not applicable
Women	Fair
Researchers	Poor
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Not applicable

Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.3	Management System/Management Plan		
5.3.5	No use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property		
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property		
5.3.9	No use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property		
5.3.14	There is no existing annual work/action plan for the property despite an identified need		
6.1	Funding		
6.1.3	Le budget dont on dispose est acceptable, mais pourrait être augmenté ultérieurement afin de répondre entièrement aux besoins de la gestion pour ce bien du patrimoine mondial		
6.1.10	La Stratégie de renforcement des capacités du patrimoine mondial a été utilisée pour ce bien du patrimoine mondial		
6.1.12	Un plan ou programme local de renforcement des compétences a été développé mais n'est pas mis en œuvre et il n'y a pas de transfert de compétences		
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects		
7.2	Il y a un peu de recherche mené dans le périmètre du bien du patrimoine mondial, mais cela n'est pas planifié		
7.3	Les résultats de la recherche ne sont communiqués à aucun niveau		
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building		
8.2	Il existe un programme planifié d'éducation et de sensibilisation des enfants et/ou des jeunes mais il ne répond que partiellement aux besoins		
9	Visitor Management		
9.7	Il y a une stratégie pour gérer le flux de visiteurs, l'activité touristique et ses impacts sur le bien du patrimoine mondial mais elle n'est pas mise en œuvre		
9.9	L'usage par les visiteurs du bien du patrimoine mondial est géré, mais des améliorations pourraient être apportées		
9.12	La présentation et l'interprétation de la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien sont acceptables mais pourraient être améliorées		
10	Monitoring		
10.1	Il y a un suivi important dans le périmètre du bien du patrimoine mondial, mais il n'est pas axé sur les besoins de la gestion et/ou l'amélioration de la compréhension de la valeur universelle exceptionnelle		
10.2	L'information sur les valeurs du bien du patrimoine mondial est appropriée et des indicateurs clés ont été définis, mais le suivi de l'état des indicateurs pourrait être amélioré		

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Résumé - Facteurs affectant le bien

4.1	Buildings and Development						
4.1.4	Vastes infrastructures et/ou installations touristiques/de loisirs	If there is a potential increase in litter and pollution, this could negatively affect the historic fabric and character of the site.	We aim to continue monitoring this through the busier summer periods	We plan to implement stricter monitoring to accurately track our visiting numbers and use the data to implement relevant approaches	2 years	New Lanark Trust	NA

4.4	Pollution						
4.4.5	Déchets solides	If there is a potential increase in litter and pollution, this could negatively affect the historic fabric and character of the site.	We aim to continue monitoring this through the busier summer periods	Our Maintenance Team carry out regular litter checks to properly clear the site and the situation is currently stable.	Ongoing	New Lanark Trust	NA
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.1	Vent	Material fabric of the site, built environment, historic fabric	New Lanark is currently undertaking a project to assess the impact of increased and consistent wet and windy weather on water levels, shifting of the woodlands, landslides and erosion of the retaining walls directly along the river & within woodland	Ongoing	2 Years +	New Lanark Trust & Associated 3rd Party, potentially South Lanarkshire Council	NA
4.7.6	Eau (pluie/nappe phréatique)	Material fabric of the site, built environment, historic fabric	New Lanark is currently undertaking a project to assess the impact of increased and consistent wet and windy weather on water levels, shifting of the woodlands, landslides and erosion of the retaining walls directly along the river & within woodland	Ongoing	2 Years +	New Lanark Trust & Associated 3rd Party, potentially South Lanarkshire Council	NA
4.10	Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1	Tempêtes	Historic fabric, historic structures, physical character of the site	Increased wet and stormy weather has led to an increase in interiors being flooded, erosion of retaining walls by the river Clyde and possibility of landslides. We have commissioned monitoring reports to assess and tackle this	Subsequent reports and relevant actions/recommendations given by them	2 Years +	New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council, 3rd Party	NA
4.10.2	Inondations	Historic fabric, historic structures, physical character of the site	Increased wet and stormy weather has led to an increase in interiors being flooded, erosion of retaining walls by the river Clyde and possibility of landslides. We have commissioned monitoring reports to assess and tackle this	Subsequent reports and relevant actions/recommendations given by them	2 Years +	New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council, 3rd Party	NA
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.4	Avalanche/glisement de terrain	Historic fabric, historic structures, physical character of the site, access	The increase in wet and stormy weather has heightened the potential for landslides to affect the WHS, surrounding environment and buffer zone. We have commissioned monitoring reports to assess and tackle this	Subsequent reports and relevant actions/recommendations given by them	2 Years +	New Lanark Trust, South Lanarkshire Council, 3rd Party	NA
4.13	Management and institutional factors						
4.13.5	Ressources financières	Entire site, criteria and attributes	Financial - Reduction in available funding since Brexit, impact of COVID on Trusts reserves and issues with staffing supply have all been factors over the past 6 years. We are looking to become self-sufficient within the next decade.	Ongoing	5 Years +	New Lanark Trust, partners	NA

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Résumé - Besoins en matière de gestion

5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
		Actions	Calendrier	Organisation chef de file (et autres organismes engagés)	Informations / commentaires supplémentaires
5.3.7	Le document d'orientation sur les impacts du changement climatique n'a pas été utilisé pour le bien	As part of our 2024-2029 Management Plan, we are overhauling how we approach policy to better use the recommendations provided to us where relevant and if possible within our capacity and budget	5 years +	New Lanark Trust, WHUK, HES	NA
5.3.9	La Stratégie pour réduire les risques liés aux catastrophes sur les biens du patrimoine mondial n'a pas été utilisée pour ce bien	As part of our 2024-2029 Management Plan, we are overhauling how we approach policy to better use the recommendations provided to us where relevant and if possible within our capacity and budget. Reports will advise us on risk and recommendations	5 years +	New Lanark Trust, WHUK, HES	NA
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	Le budget dont on dispose est acceptable , mais pourrait être augmenté ultérieurement afin de répondre entièrement aux besoins de la gestion pour ce bien du patrimoine mondial	New Lanark Trust have submitted funding applications to receive large lump sums of funding in order to complete essential maintenance, develop the our offer and grow the site.	5+ years	New Lanark Trust	NA
6.1.10	La Stratégie de renforcement des capacités du patrimoine mondial a été utilisée pour ce bien du patrimoine mondial	New Lanark Trust will commit in its Management Plan to review usage of external strategies and find solutions for better implementation .	2+	New Lanark Trust	NA
6.1.12	Un plan ou programme local de renforcement des compétences a été développé mais n'est pas mis en œuvre et il n'y a pas de transfert de compétences	New Lanark Trust are exploring ways to better implement capacity building plans within our current strategies and make them more effective across divisions.	2+	New Lanark Trust	NA
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects				
7.2	Il y a un peu de recherche mené dans le périmètre du bien du patrimoine mondial, mais cela n'est pas planifié	We are inviting people and academics to conduct research into aspects of the property where we have identified gaps and alongside our research framework. Up until this stage research has been conducted in-house on an ad hoc basis.	Less than 12 months	New Lanark Trust	NA

7.3	Les résultats de la recherche ne sont communiqués à aucun niveau	As part of our next Management Plan, we are exploring ways to make our research and findings accessible to the public, showing our working and justification for its usage.	3+	New Lanark Trust	NA
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	Il existe un programme planifié d'éducation et de sensibilisation des enfants et/ou des jeunes mais il ne répond que partiellement aux besoins	We plan to enhance and expand our educational offering to include scheduled workshops, regular activities and events for children and young people which cover a number of themes relevant to the site.	Less than 12 months	New Lanark Trust	NA
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	Il y a une stratégie pour gérer le flux de visiteurs, l'activité touristique et ses impacts sur le bien du patrimoine mondial mais elle n'est pas mise en œuvre	We plan to improve how we accurately track data for visitors including footfall and expenditure. Following this we will seek recommendations for managing visitor footfall and tourism activity, though at the moment it is not having a serious impact.	12-24 months	New Lanark Trust	AN
9.12	La présentation et l'interprétation de la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien sont acceptables mais pourraient être améliorées	We are aiming to improve our interpretation building upon research conducted and other areas of improvement, as there are areas of the site which could be better publicised. This will be part of a larger interpretation redevelopment	12-36 months	New Lanark Trust	NA

Résumé - Besoins en matière de gestion completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Compte tenu de l'analyse faite à travers ce rapport, quel est l'état actuel d'authenticité du bien du patrimoine mondial?

L'authenticité du bien du patrimoine mondial a été **préservée**.

12.3.2 - Compte tenu de l'analyse faite à travers ce rapport, quel est l'état actuel d'intégrité du bien du patrimoine mondial?

L'intégrité du bien du patrimoine mondial a été **préservée**.

12.3.3 - Compte tenu de l'analyse faite à travers ce rapport, quel est l'état actuel de la valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien du patrimoine mondial?

La valeur universelle exceptionnelle du bien a été **préservée**.

12.3.4 - Quel est l'état actuel des autres valeurs du bien ?

D'autres valeurs culturelles et/ou naturelles importantes et l'état de conservation du bien du patrimoine mondial sont **intacts**.

12.3.5 - Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'état de conservation du bien

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Veuillez évaluer l'impact du statut de patrimoine mondial sur chacun des points suivants

Conservation	Très positif
Recherche et suivi	Très positif
Efficacité de la gestion	Très positif
Qualité de vie des communautés locales et groupes autochtones	Positif

Reconnaissance	Positif
Éducation	Positif
Aménagement d'infrastructures	Positif
Financement du bien	Positif
Coopération internationale	Positif
Soutien politique pour la conservation	Très positif
Cadre juridique/de politique générale pour la conservation	Positif
Promotion	Positif
Coordination institutionnelle	Très positif
Sécurité	Sans objet
Égalité des genres	Pas d'impact
Services/avantages pour les communautés locales au niveau de l'écosystème	Positif
Inclusion sociale et équité et renforcement des opportunités pour tous, indépendamment de l'âge, du sexe, du handicap, de l'origine ethnique ou géographique, de la religion ou de la situation économique ou autre	Très positif
Promotion d'un développement économique local inclusif et amélioration des moyens de subsistance	Très positif
Contribution à la prévention des conflits, en respectant la diversité culturelle sur le périmètre et à proximité des biens du patrimoine	Sans objet
Autre	Sans objet
Si vous avez choisi « Autre », précisez :	

13.2 - Commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant le statut de patrimoine mondial et ses impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Exemple de bonne pratique de protection, d'identification, de conservation ou de gestion du bien du patrimoine mondial

New Lanark Trust recently wrapped up our TH/CARS project, a regeneration scheme which restored historic elements of the site and developed them for residential use. The programme spanned 10 years and allowed us to introduce traditional building skills workshops, learning opportunities and really develop the site past what was available.

14.2 - Définissez les sujets couverts par cet exemple de bonne pratique au niveau du bien

Développement durable
État de conservation
Gestion

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Le processus de soumission des Rapports périodiques a-t-il amélioré la compréhension des points suivants ?

La Convention du patrimoine mondial.
Le concept d'intégrité et/ou d'authenticité.
L'efficacité de la gestion du bien pour en maintenir la valeur universelle exceptionnelle.
Le suivi et l'établissement de rapports.

15.1.2 - Veuillez noter le suivi apporté aux conclusions et recommandations du précédent exercice de soumission des Rapports périodiques par les entités suivantes

État partie	Bon suivi
Gestionnaires de sites	Bon suivi
Centre du patrimoine mondial	Bon suivi
Organisations consultatives (ICOMOS, UICN, ICCROM)	Bon suivi

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - Comment les autorités responsables du bien prévoient-elles d'utiliser les données enregistrées à l'occasion de ce cycle de Rapports périodiques ?

Révision des priorités/stratégies/politiques de protection, gestion et conservation du patrimoine

Mise à jour des plans de gestion
Sensibilisation

15.2.2 - Commentaires sur l'utilisation des données de ce cycle de soumission des Rapports périodiques

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entités ayant participé au remplissage de ce questionnaire en ligne (cocher autant de cases que nécessaire)

Gestionnaire/coordonateurs/personnel du site.

15.3.2 - L'équilibre entre les genres a-t-il été respecté et appliqué dans le remplissage du présent questionnaire ?

L'équilibre entre les genres est explicitement **intégré et efficacement mis en œuvre** dans le processus.

15.3.3 - Avez-vous eu suffisamment de temps (environ dix mois) pour recueillir les informations nécessaires et remplir ce questionnaire ?

Oui

15.3.4 - Estimez le temps (heures de travail) nécessaire pour remplir ce questionnaire

20-30 / 5-10 / 20-30 /

15.3.5 - Avez-vous mobilisé des ressources supplémentaires pour remplir ce questionnaire ?

		Non	Oui
15.3.5.1	Ressources humaines	✗	
15.3.5.2	Ressources financières pour l'organisation des réunions de consultation/formations	✗	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - Quel était le degré d'accessibilité des informations requises pour compléter ce questionnaire ?

La **majeure partie** des informations requises était accessible.

15.4.2 - Le questionnaire était-il facile à utiliser et clair à comprendre ?

		Très difficile	Difficile	Facile	Très facile
15.4.2.1	Facilité d'utilisation du questionnaire				✗
15.4.2.2	Clarté des questions			✗	

15.4.3 - Suggestions pour améliorer le questionnaire du Rapport périodique

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Veuillez évaluer le soutien des entités suivantes en matière de formation et de conseils pour compléter le questionnaire

Centre du patrimoine mondial de l'UNESCO	Bon soutien
UNESCO (autres secteurs/bureaux hors-siège)	Bon soutien
Commission nationale pour l'UNESCO	Bon soutien
ICOMOS international	Soutien moyen
UICN international	Soutien moyen
ICCROM international/régional	Bon soutien
ICOMOS national/régional	Soutien moyen
UICN national/régional	Soutien moyen

15.5.2 - Veuillez évaluer le niveau d'aide reçu des entités suivantes pour remplir le questionnaire du Rapport périodique

Centre du patrimoine mondial de l'UNESCO	Bon soutien
Représentant de l'État partie (Point focal national)	Bon soutien
Autres secteurs de l'UNESCO (par ex. bureaux hors siège)	Bon soutien
Commission nationale pour l'UNESCO	Bon soutien
ICOMOS international	Bon soutien
ICCROM international/régional	Bon soutien
ICOMOS national/régional	Bon soutien

UICN national/régional	Bon soutien
UICN international	Bon soutien

15.5.3 - Les sources de formation en ligne sur la soumission de Rapports périodiques, préparées par le Centre du patrimoine mondial, vous ont-elles aidé à remplir ce questionnaire ?

Oui

15.5.4 - Veuillez fournir d'autres commentaires sur les ressources de formation en ligne et les changements que vous aimeriez voir mise en œuvre.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Résumé des actions qui exigeront un examen formel du Comité du patrimoine mondial

- **Carte(s)**

Reason for update: The World Heritage Centre identified in December 2022 that they did not hold an up-to-date clear map which showed the delimitation of the property. We are in the process of producing the requested map in line with the World Heritage Centre's technical requirements, with support from Historic England. It will be submitted for the approval of the World Heritage Committee in advance of 46COM along with others from the UK State Party.

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Veuillez fournir des commentaires, conclusions et/ou recommandations concernant l'évaluation de l'exercice de soumission des Rapports périodiques

15.7.2 - Merci d'avoir répondu à toutes les questions. Nous vous invitons à contacter votre Point focal pour qu'il/elle valide et envoie le questionnaire au Centre du patrimoine mondial.