## ity of Bath

## World Heritage Property Data

# I - Name of World Heritage property y of Bath

#### ? - World Heritage property details

#### 3 - Geographic information table

lame	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
lity of Bath	51.381 / -2.359	2900	0	2900	1987
otal (ha)		2900	0	2900	

## I - Map(s)

ïtle	Date	Link to source
City of Bath World Heritage Site Boundary	2006	

#### mment

e World Heritage Centre identified in December 2022 that they did not hold an up-to-date clear map which showed the delimitation of the property. We are in the process iducing a map as requested and are making amendments in line with comments from the World Heritage Centre, with support from Historic England. The revised map will submitted for the approval of the World Heritage Committee at 46COM along with others from the UK State Party.

#### 5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Bath Assembly Rooms
- 2. Map of the World Heritage site
- 3. www.bptlearning.org.uk
- 4. City of Bath

#### mment

k 1 is correct, please continue to use: https://www.ourplaceworldheritage.com/ Link 3 is expired, please use:

ps://magic.defra.gov.uk/MagicMap.aspx?&startTopic=GreyRasters&chosenLayers=baseIndex&box=374117:164363:376126:165284&useDefaultbackgroundMapping=fal: k 4 is expired, please use: https://www.bath-preservation-trust.org.uk/world-heritage-site-and-landscape-setting/

Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

# - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the inventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is not designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
11.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

City of Bath 1 of 52

of II

se

City of Bath 2 of 52

- ? Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary
- 3 Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 igue Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three ars?
- I Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of ernational Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?
- 5 Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if levant) in the next three years?
- 3 Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geoparl relevant) in the next three years?
- ' Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	34
.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	
.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
.7.1	There is <b>no contact</b> with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>regularly</b> communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager <b>also manages</b> this designation/programme.	

- 3 Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)
- ) Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the angible Cultural Heritage?
- 10 Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the

City of Bath 3 of 52

k

City of Bath 4 of 52

#### angible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage operty?

9

# 12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme or nich you aware.

th Curse Tablets are included on the UK Memory of the World register. These Roman tablets were added in June 2014.

#### Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

- Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

# atement of Outstanding Universal Value

#### ef synthesis

- e city of Bath in South West England was founded in the 1st century AD by the Romans who used the natural hot springs as a thermal spa. It became an important centre the wool industry in the Middle Ages but in the 18th century under the reigns of George I, II and III it developed into an elegant spa city, famed in literature and art.
- e City of Bath is of Outstanding Universal Value for the following cultural attributes: The Roman remains, especially the Temple of Sulis Minerva and the baths complex used around the hot springs at the heart of the Roman town of Aquae Sulis, which have remained at the heart of the City's development ever since) are amongst the most nous and important Roman remains north of the Alps, and marked the beginning of Bath's history as a spa town.
- e Georgian city reflects the ambitions of John Wood Senior (1704-1754), Ralph Allen (1693-1764) and Richard "Beau" Nash (1674-1761) to make Bath into one of the st beautiful cities in Europe, with architecture and landscape combined harmoniously for the enjoyment of the spa town's cure takers.
- e Neo-classical style of the public buildings (such as the Assembly Rooms and the Pump Room) harmonises with the grandiose proportions of the monumental ensemble ch as Queen Square, Circus and Royal Crescent) and collectively reflects the ambitions, particularly social, of the spa city in the 18th century.
- e individual Georgian buildings reflect the profound influence of Palladio (1508-1580) and their collective scale, style and the organisation of the spaces between buildings tomises the success of architects such as the John Woods (elder 1704-1754, younger 1728-1782), Robert Adam (1728-1792), Thomas Baldwin (1750-1820) and John Imer (1738-1817) in transposing Palladio's ideas to the scale of a complete city, situated in a hollow in the hills and built to a picturesque landscape aestheticism creating ong garden city feel, more akin to the 19th century garden cities than the 17th century Renaissance cities.

Iterion (i): Bath's grandiose Neo-classical Palladian crescents, terraces and squares spread out over the surrounding hills and set in its green valley, are a demonstration excellence of the integration of architecture, urban design and landscape setting, and the deliberate creation of a beautiful city. Not only are individual buildings such as Assembly Rooms and Pump Room of great distinction, they are part of the larger overall city landscape that evolved over a century in a harmonious and logical way, wing together public and private buildings and spaces in a way that reflects the precepts of Palladio tempered with picturesque aestheticism.

th's quality of architecture and urban design, its visual homogeneity and its beauty is largely testament to the skill and creativity of the architects and visionaries of the h and 19th centuries who applied and developed Palladianism in response to the specific opportunities offered by the spa town and its physical environment and natural ources (in particular the hot springs and the local Bath Oolitic limestone). Three men – architect John Wood Senior, entrepreneur and quarry owner Ralph Allen and ebrated social shaper and Master of Ceremonies Richard "Beau" Nash – together provided the impetus to start this social, economic and physical rebirth, resulting in a citt played host to the social, political and cultural leaders of the day. That the architects who followed were working over the course of a century, with no master plan or gle patron, did not prevent them from contriving to relate each individual development to those around it and to the wider landscape, creating a city that is harmonious an ical, in concord with its natural environment and extremely beautiful.

terion (ii): Bath exemplifies the 18th century move away from the inward-looking uniform street layouts of Renaissance cities that dominated through the 15th–17th nuries, towards the idea of planting buildings and cities in the landscape to achieve picturesque views and forms, which could be seen echoed around Europe particularly he 19th century. This unifying of nature and city, seen throughout Bath, is perhaps best demonstrated in the Royal Crescent (John Wood Younger) and Lansdown escent (John Palmer). Bath's urban and landscape spaces are created by the buildings that enclose them, providing a series of interlinked spaces that flow organically, are tvisually (and at times physically) draw in the green surrounding countryside to create a distinctive garden city feel, looking forward to the principles of garden cities veloped by the 19th century town planners.

**Iterion (iv):** Bath reflects two great eras in human history: Roman and Georgian. The Roman Baths and temple complex, together with the remains of the city of Aquae list hat grew up around them, make a significant contribution to the understanding and appreciation of Roman social and religious society. The 18th century development is a unique combination of outstanding urban architecture, spatial arrangement and social history. Bath exemplifies the main themes of the 18th century oclassical city; the monumentalisation of ordinary houses, the integration of landscape and town, and the creation and interlinking of urban spaces, designed and veloped as a response to the growing popularity of Bath as a society and spa destination and to provide an appropriate picturesque setting and facilities for the cure taker disciplinary. Although Bath gained greatest importance in Roman and Georgian times, the city nevertheless reflects continuous development over two millennia with spectacular medieval Abbey Church sat beside the Roman temple and baths, in the heart of the 18th century and modern day city.

#### egrity

mains of the known Roman baths, the Temple of Sulis Minerva and the below grounds Roman archaeology are well preserved and within the property boundary as are areas of Georgian town planning and architecture, and large elements of the landscape within which the city is set. Despite some loss of Georgian buildings prior to cription, the Georgian City remains largely intact both in terms of buildings and plan form. An extensive range of interlinked spaces formed by crescents, terraces and ares set in a harmonious relationship with the surrounding green landscape survive. The relationship of the Georgian City to its setting of the surrounding hills remains arly visible. As a modern city, Bath remains vulnerable to large-scale development and to transport pressures, both within the site and in its setting that could impact versely on its garden city feel and on views across the property and to its green setting.

#### thenticity

e hot springs, which are the reason for the City's original development, are of undoubted authenticity. The key Roman remains are preserved, protected and displayed hin a museum environment, and the Roman Baths can still be appreciated for their original use. The majority of the large stock of Georgian buildings have been itinuously inhabited since their construction, and retain a high degree of original fabric. Repairs have largely been sympathetic, informed by an extensive body of cumentation, and aided by a programme of restoration in the late twentieth century. More vulnerable is the overall interaction between groups of buildings in terraces, scents and squares and views to the surrounding landscape that contributed to the City's visual harmony. There is a need for new developments to respect the planning the Georgian terraces, to respect the scale and rhythm of its structures, and to contribute to picturesque views.

#### otection and management requirements

City of Bath 5 of 52

e UK Government protects World Heritage properties in England in two ways. Firstly, individual buildings, monuments and landscapes are designated under the Planning sted Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 and the 1979 Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act, and secondly through the UK Spatial Planning system der the provisions of the Town and Country Planning Acts.

vernment guidance on protecting the Historic Environment and World Heritage is set out in National Planning Policy Framework and Circular 07/09. Policies to protect, mote, conserve and enhance World Heritage properties, their settings and buffer zones are also found in statutory planning documents.

- e Bath and North East Somerset Local Plan contains a core policy according to which the development which would harm the qualities justifying the inscription of the orld Heritage property, or its setting, will not be permitted. The protection of the surrounding landscape of the property has been strengthened by adoption of a pplementary Planning Document, and negotiations are progressing with regard to transferring the management of key areas of land from the Bath and North East merset Council to the National Trust.
- e City of Bath World Heritage Site Steering Group was established as a non-executive committee consisting of representatives from 14 organisations with interest in the an independent chairperson. Members represent national government, Bath and North East Somerset Council elected members and officers, surrounding Parish uncils, heritage bodies, and the city business group, resident's associations, both universities and the tourism company.
- e Steering Group oversees the production and implementation of the World Heritage Site Management Plan. This plan aims to address the key tensions between velopment and conservation of the city-wide property.
- e main pressures currently facing the site are large-scale development and the need for improved transport. The need for development to be based on an understanding the distinctiveness and Outstanding Universal Value of the Georgian City continues to be guided by the policy framework listed above. A UNESCO/ICOMOS Mission sessed the development at Bath Western Riverside in 2008 and concluded that the Outstanding Universal Value and Integrity would not be adversely impacted by the ase one development. Subsequent phases are planned but not yet timetabled.

insport improvements are based principally around a bus-based network and pedestrianisation, as outlined in the Management Plan.

urism is managed by Bath Tourism Plus, an independent company. The Destination Management Plan has been updated by a 'Destination Marketing Strategy' for Bath, ich aims to promote growth in value of tourism rather than in volume.

#### mmen

veral references in the Protection and Management section are now outdated. Circular 07/09 has largely been superseded by subsequent guidance. The Steering Group s been renamed Advisory Board and membership numbers have changed from 14 to 21. Subsequent development phases at Bath Western Riverside (now Bath rerside) are now progressing. Bath Tourism Plus no longer exists and is now Visit Bath. The Destination Marketing Strategy is no longer current.

# ? - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline s suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Los
.2.1	Roman Archaeology	×			
.2.2	The Hot Springs	×			
.2.3	Eighteenth Century Town Planning	×			
.2.4	Georgian Architecture	×			
.2.5	The Green Setting of the City	×			
.2.6	Georgian architecture reflecting 18th Century social ambitions	×			
.2.7					
.2.8					
.2.9					
.2.10					
.2.11					
.2.12					
.2.13					
.2.14					
.2.15					

#### 3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

e property is an extensive urban site which was inscribed in 1987. As such, the city has undergone significant change, which is on-going. These changes are not however insidered to have compromised the attributes of OUV and this position is validated by monitoring information.

Factors Affecting the Property

1. Buildings and Development

#### I.1 - Housina

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside, Outside

	Not relevant
--	--------------

City of Bath 6 of 52

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive							
		×	×	×		$\Rightarrow$	

## I.2 - Commercial development

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
mpact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>								
		×		×		$\Rightarrow$		

## 1.3 - Industrial areas

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×	×	×		$\Rightarrow$	

## 1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×				7
Negative							

# I.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

ere continues to be pressure for new housing development inside and immediately outside of the boundary of the Property. Any negative impact of these is largely sociated with views across the historic city.

## 2. Transportation Infrastructure

## 2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

				Not relevant			
	Impact Origin		Origin	gin Trend of impact			
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\Rightarrow$	
○ Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

City of Bath 7 of 52

#### 2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant X Not relevant

## 2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>™</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
○ Negative X	×		×	×	<b>S</b>		

## 2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 3. Services Infrastructures

#### 3.1 - Water infrastructure

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	© Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X		×	×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

€ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×	×	×	×			-
	×	×	×	×			<b>&gt;</b>

## 3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 3.4 - Localised utilities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

City of Bath 8 of 52

Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
		×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

# 3.5 - Major linear utilities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

lood defence gate immediately adjacent to Pulteney Bridge is no longer operational. The Environment Agency have previously proposed removing it, which would be a ual enhancement. To date this has not been undertaken, no doubt due to lack of funding. The potential for small scale renewable energy measures is increasing, secially the demand for solar panels on dwelling houses. The impact is both positive in terms of carbon reduction, but potentially harmful if poorly sited.

#### 4. Pollution

#### I.1 - Pollution of marine waters

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

## I.2 - Ground water pollution

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>™</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

## I.3 - Surface water pollution

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### I.4 - Air pollution

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
Negative X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	

## I.5 - Solid waste

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
	The recent of th

#### I.6 - Input of excess energy

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

City of Bath 9 of 52

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X	×		×			<b>→</b>	

## I.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

rbon monoxide levels from vehicle emissions have been at unsafe levels in some areas. A 'Clean Air Zone' to prohibit some polluting vehicles was introduced in 2022 and be strengthened. Low levels of light spill have caused concern with regard to endangered bat species, especially along the River Avon Corridor. See concerns regarding cking for concerns relating to ground water impact.

## 5. Biological resource use/modification

## 5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
<ul><li>5.2 - Aquaculture</li><li>evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	★ Not relevant
<ul><li>5.3 - Land conversion</li><li>⇒vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	※ Not relevant
<ul> <li>5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals</li> <li>vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	※ Not relevant
<ul><li>5.5 - Crop production</li><li>vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	★ Not relevant
<ul><li>i.6 - Commercial wild plant collection</li><li>vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	※ Not relevant
<ul> <li>5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection</li> <li>⇒vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):</li> <li>Not relevant</li> </ul>	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
<ul><li>5.8 - Commercial hunting</li><li>⇒vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):</li><li>Not relevant</li></ul>	
Relevant	✗ Not relevant
i.9 - Subsistence hunting vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):  • Not relevant	

## 5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant

City of Bath 10 of 52

× Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 3. Physical resource extraction

#### 3.1 - Mining

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 3.2 - Quarrying

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
○ Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

#### 3.3 - Oil and gas

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		$\Rightarrow$	

## 3.4 - Water (extraction)

vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	✗ Not relevant
----------	----------------

5.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively ep quarry mining of carboniferous limestone in the Mendip Hills has the potential to impact upon the thermal water springs within Bath. Planning proposals for these ivities are monitored. The potential for shale gas fracking within the thermal springs catchment area remains a concern. At present this activity is prohibited but this is sject to potential national political re-thinking.

## 7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

#### 7.1 - Wind

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 7.2 - Relative humidity

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 7.3 - Temperature

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

City of Bath 11 of 52

#### 7.4 - Radiation/Light

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 7.5 - Dust

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 7.7 - Pests

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 7.8 - Micro-organisms

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

## 7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

## 3. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

## 3.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 3.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	• Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>→</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 3.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 3.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 3.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

# 3.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	Inside	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			,

#### 3.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

2019 the tourist numbers reached record high levels. This generated concern from residents about negative impacts, for example high levels of coaches and the increasir e of private houses as holiday accommodation. This upward trend was paused during the Covid pandemic. It remains to be seen if numbers will return to 2019 levels. ciety's valuing of heritage remains crucial, due (for example) to the high number of houses in private ownership and the widespread use of volunteers.

# 9. Other human activities

#### ).1 - Illegal activities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 1.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 3.3 - Military training

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
N.A. Man	

#### ).4 - War

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not releva	nt
-----------------------	----

#### ).5 - Terrorism

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×		<b>→</b>	

## 3.6 - Civil unrest

vious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

City of Bath 13 of 52 **).7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively** not necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively not necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively not necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively necessary necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively necessary necess

#### 10. Climate change and severe weather events

#### 10.1 - Storms

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 10.2 - Flooding

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside

• Relevant			rectionalit				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
<ul><li>Positive</li></ul>							
○ Negative X		×	×			$\Rightarrow$	

Not relevant

## 10.3 - Drought

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact	Impact			Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	• Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive							
○ Negative X		×		×			P

#### 0.4 - Desertification

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

#### 10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
----------	----------------

# 10.6 - Temperature change

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant

#### 0.7 - Other climate change impacts

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	× Not relevant

10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positivel anges in climate and more extreme weather events are noticeable but have yet to be problematic on a macro scale. Flooding from the river and groundwater remains a c. The potential impact of prolonged drought on the thermal waters is not fully understood.

## 11. Sudden ecological or geological events

#### 11.1 - Volcanic eruption

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

City of Bath 14 of 52

Relevant × Not relevant 11.2 - Earthquake evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant 11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013): • Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside Relevant × Not relevant 11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013): Not relevant Relevant × Not relevant

#### 11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positive

## 12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

#### 12.1 - Translocated species

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

# 2.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 2.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

## 2.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant X Not relevant

#### 12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

City of Bath 15 of 52

Relevant	X Not relevant

## 2.6 - Modified genetic material

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant
Cicvant	Not relevant

I 2.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positivel asive American Crayfish caused severe erosion to lakes and dams at Prior Park Gardens. This required a multi-million pound project to eradicate the crayfish and rebuild maged historic structures.

## 13. Management and institutional factors

#### 13.1 - Management system/Management plan

Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
mpact	Current	Potential	• Inside	Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$		
Negative								

## 13.2 - Legal framework

Relevant		Not relevant					
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	© Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

## 3.3 - Governance

Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
mpact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>Outside</b>	Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\rightarrow$	
Negative							

# 13.4 - Management activities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
○ Positive    ×	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 13.5 - Financial resources

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>G</b> Outside	<b>№</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			$\Rightarrow$	
Negative							

#### 13.6 - Human resources

	Not relevant
· Notestain	

City of Bath 16 of 52

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	<b>G</b> Current	Potential	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>	<b>Outside</b>	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
npact	Current	Potential	Inside	<b>©</b> Outside	<b>▶</b> Decreasing	<b>⇒</b> Stable	Increasing
O Positive X	×		×			<b>→</b>	
Negative							

## 13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

evious answer Cycle 2 (25/06/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	Not relevant

- I 3.9 Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positive comprehensive management system is in place with positive impacts. Examples of this include the employment of a full-time World Heritage Manager, an Advisory Board ich meets regularly, water-source monitoring and a legal framework. The legal framework includes aspects such as restrictive covenants on land to prohibit development.
- 14. Other factor(s)
- |4.1 Other factor(s)
- 15. Factors Summary Table

## 15.1 - Factors Summary Table

lame	Impact		Origin			Trend
.1 Buildings and Development						
.1.1 Housing						
	•		9	•	Œ	<b>→</b>
.1.2 Commercial development						
	•		9		Œ	<b>→</b>
.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
			9	•	F	$\rightarrow$
.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0	9		•		7
.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	0	9		•		$\rightarrow$
			9		F	<b>→</b>
.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
		9		•	Œ	•
.3 Services Infrastructures						
.3.1 Water infrastructure	0		9	•		$\rightarrow$
.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	•	9	9	•	G	P
		9	9	•	Œ	-
.3.4 Localised utilities						

City of Bath 17 of 52

	•		9		Œ	<b>→</b>
.4 Pollution						
.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	•		q		Œ	<b>→</b>
.4.4 Air pollution						
		q		•		<b>→</b>
.4.6 Input of excess energy						
		q		•		<b>→</b>
.6 Physical resource extraction						
.6.2 Quarrying						
			q		Œ	<b>→</b>
.6.3 Oil and gas						
			a		<b>(</b> \$	<b>→</b>
.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	0	q		<b>(</b> )		<b>→</b>
10.1 Kituan opintuan kengious and associative uses		•				
2.2. Contracts contribute of the classes	0	q		<b>(</b> )		_
.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage	•	4		Q		
.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						
			4		<b>G</b>	
.9 Other human activities						
.9.5 Terrorism						
			9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
.10.2 Flooding						
			9	•		<b>→</b>
.10.3 Drought						
			9		<b>G</b>	1
.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species						
.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species						
		9			G	<b>→</b>
.13 Management and institutional factors						
.13.1 Management system/Management plan	0	9		•		<b>→</b>
.13.2 Legal framework	0	9		•		<b>→</b>
.13.3 Governance	0	q		•		<b>→</b>
.13.4 Management activities	0	9		•		<b>→</b>
.13.5 Financial resources	•	q		•		<b>→</b>

City of Bath 18 of 52

.13.6 Human resou	rces				0	9		•	<b>→</b>
.13.7 Low impact r	esearch/monitoring activiti	es			0	9		•	<b>→</b>
egend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	<ul><li>Inside</li></ul>		ď	Outside	

# 16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

# 16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

Buildings and Development

lame	Impact Original Control of the Contr		Origin	Origin		
.1.1 Hous	sing					
		•	4	•	F	<b>→</b>
patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
(	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
<	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
mpact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
<	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
lanagem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
(	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
<	Static					
	Increasing					

lame	Impact		Origin		Trend		
.1.2 Commercial development							
			9		Œ	$\rightarrow$	

patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
<	Restricted

	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
emporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
<	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
npact - Im	pact on the attributes					
<	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
<	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - Dev	elopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
<	Static					
	Increasing					
lame		Impact		Origin		Trend
.1.4 Major	visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure					
			-CR		n/a	

lame	Impact	t		Origin		Trend
.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
			9	•	Œ	<b>→</b>

patial sca	patial scale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
<	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
<	On-going					
npact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
<	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					

City of Bath 20 of 52

	High capacity
	Medium capacity
<	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
rend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
<	Static
	Increasing

lame	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		Trend
.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	0	q		•	1														

patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
<	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
<	On-going On-going
mpact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
ζ	Minor
	Significant
	Major
lanagem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
ς	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
rend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
<	Increasing

## ? Transportation Infrastructure

lame	Impact		Impact		Impact		Impact		mpact Orig		Origin	
.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure	0	q		•		$\rightarrow$						
			9		Œ	<b>→</b>						

patial	scale -	Area	affected	by the	factor

<	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
<	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
npact - In	pact on the attributes					
<	Insignificant					
	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
<	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
<	Static					
	Increasing					
lame		Impact	t	Origin		Trend
.2.5 Effec	2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure					
			4	•	Œ	•

natial cas	le - Area affected by the factor			
patiai sca	le - Area arrected by the factor			
<	Restricted			
	Localised			
	Extensive			
	Widespread			
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact			
<	One off or rare			
	Intermittent or sporadic			
	Frequent			
	On-going			
mpact - Im	pact on the attributes			
	Insignificant			

City of Bath 22 of 52

Minor
Significant
Major

lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
<	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
<	Static
	Increasing

## **3 Services Infrastructures**

Increasing

lame		Impac		Origin	Trend
	r infrastructure	impac ©	9	• Origin	Trend
.s. i wate	IIIII dadi ucture		-1		
patial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
<	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
<	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
npact - In	pact on the attributes				
<	Insignificant				
	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
<	Static				

lame	Impact		Origin		Trend	
.3.2 Renewable energy facilities	0	9	9	•	Œ	P
		q	4	•	Œ	-

City of Bath 23 of 52

patial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
<	Widespread					
emporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
ζ	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
npact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
<	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
<	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
ζ	Increasing					
lame		Impact		Origin		Trend
.3.4 Local	ised utilities		_			
			9		F	$\Rightarrow$
patial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
Ç	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
emporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
<	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going On-going					
	nact on the attributes					

City of Bath 24 of 52

Insignificant
Minor
Significant

	Major
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
<	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
rend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
<	Static
	Increasing

## I Pollution

lame		Impact		Origin		Trend	
.4.2 Grou	nd water pollution						
				9		<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
<	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
<	Intermittent or sporadic						
	Frequent						
	On-going On-going						
mpact - Ir	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
ζ	Minor						
	Significant						
	Major						
lanagem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						
<	High capacity						
	Medium capacity						
	Low capacity						
	No capacity and / or resources						
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years						
	Decreasing						
ζ	Static						
	Increasing						

lame	Impact	Origin	Trend
.4.4 Air pollution			

			q	•	<b>→</b>
enatial and	ale - Area affected by the factor				
ipatiai sca	Restricted				
	Localised				
<					
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
<	On-going				
npact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
<	Significant				
	Major				
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
<	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				
lame		Impac	i	Origin	Trend
.4.6 Input	of excess energy				
			9	•	<b>→</b>
patial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
<	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				

On-going npact - Impact on the attributes Insignificant Minor 26 of 52

One off or rare

Frequent

Intermittent or sporadic

City of Bath

	Significant
	Major
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
<	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
rend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
<	Static
	Increasing

Impact

Origin

Trend

# 3 Physical resource extraction

Decreasing
Static
Increasing

lame

.6.2 Quarrying											
				9		F	<b>→</b>				
patial sc	ipatial scale - Area affected by the factor										
	Restricted										
<	Localised										
	Extensive										
	Widespread										
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact										
	One off or rare										
	Intermittent or sporadic										
<	Frequent										
	On-going On-going										
npact - lı	npact on the attributes										
	Insignificant										
	Minor										
<	Significant										
	Major										
lanagem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond										
	High capacity										
<	Medium capacity										
	Low capacity										
	No capacity and / or resources										
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years										

ne I	Impact	Origin	Trend	
------	--------	--------	-------	--

.6.3 Oil an	d gas				
			9	<b>G</b>	<b>→</b>
patial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
<	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
<	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
npact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
<	Significant				
	Major				
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
<	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				

# 3 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Intermittent or sporadic

Frequent
On-going

lame

.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses		•	9		•		<b>→</b>	
patial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor							
<	Restricted							
	Localised							
	Extensive							
	Widespread							
emporal	emporal scale - Occurence of the impact							
	One off or rare							

Impact

Origin

Trend

City of Bath 28 of 52

npact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
<	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
<	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
<	Static					
	Increasing					
lame		Impact		Origin		
.8.2 Socie	's valuing of heritage	0	q	•		<b>→</b>
patial sca	le - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
<	Widespread					
emporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
<	On-going					
npact - Im	pact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
<	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
<	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - De	relopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
<	Static					
	Increasing					

City of Bath 29 of 52

.8.6 Impa	ts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation				
			9	Œ	/
patial sca	ele - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
<	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal:	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
<	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
npact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
<	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
	Static				
(	Increasing				

Impact

Origin

Trend

## ) Other human activities

lame

lame	Impact		Origin		Trend
.9.5 Terrorism					
	•	9		G	$\rightarrow$

patial sca	cale - Area affected by the factor		
<	Restricted		
	Localised		
	Extensive		
	Widespread		
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact		
<	One off or rare		
	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going On-going		

City of Bath 30 of 52

npact - In	npact - Impact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
<	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	lanagement response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
(	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
<	Increasing					

## 10 Climate change and severe weather events

Decreasing Static

lame		Impac	i		Origin		Trend				
.10.2 Floo	oding										
					•		<b>→</b>				
inatial so	ipatial scale - Area affected by the factor										
patiai 30											
	Restricted										
ζ	Localised										
	Extensive										
	Widespread										
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact										
<	One off or rare										
	Intermittent or sporadic										
	Frequent										
	On-going										
npact - In	pact on the attributes										
	Insignificant										
<	Minor										
	Significant										
	Major										
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond										
	High capacity										
<	Medium capacity										
	Low capacity										
	No capacity and / or resources										
rend - De	rend - Developement over the last 6 years										

City of Bath 31 of 52

•	Ingragain
	Increasin

lame	Impact		Origin		Trend	
.10.3 Drought						
			9		Œ	-

patial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
<	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
emporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
¢	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going On-going
mpact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
<	Minor
	Significant
	Major
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
<	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
<	Increasing

# |2 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

lame	Impact		Origin		Trend	
.12.3 Invasive/Alien freshwater species						
		9			Œ	$\rightarrow$

		9		F	$\rightarrow$
patial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
(	Restricted				
	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
<	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				

	Frequent
	On-going On-going
mpact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
<	Minor
	Significant
	Major
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
(	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
rend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
(	Increasing

## 13 Management and institutional factors

lame		Impact		Origin	Trend	
.13.1 Mar	agement system/Management plan	•	q		•	<b>→</b>
patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
ς	Widespread					
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
<	On-going					
npact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
<	Significant					
	Major					
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
ς	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years					

City of Bath 33 of 52

	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				
lame		Impact		Origin	Trend
.13.2 Leg	al framework	<b>O</b>	9	•	<b>→</b>
patial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
<	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
<	On-going On-going				
npact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
<	Significant				
	Major				
lanageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				
					-
.13.3 Gov	ernance	Impact	П	Origin	Trend
patial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
<	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				

City of Bath 34 of 52

	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
ζ	On-going				
	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
ζ.	Significant				
	Major				
lanagem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				
lame	aggement getivities	Impact		Origin	Trei
. 13.4 Wa	nagement activities	<b>O</b>	7	9	
patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
(	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
<	On-going				
mpact - li	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
<	Significant				
	Major				
lanagem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years				

City of Bath 35 of 52

	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				
lame	ancial resources	Impact	9	Origin	Trend
. 10.0 1 111			•		
patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
<	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
<	On-going On-going				
npact - In	npact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
<	Significant				
	Major				
lanagem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				
lame		Impact		Origin	Trend
.13.6 Hur	nan resources		9	•	<b>→</b>
patial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
	Localised				
(	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				

City of Bath 36 of 52

	Intermittent or sporadic				
<	Frequent				
	On-going On-going				
mpact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
<	Significant				
	Major				
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
	Decreasing				
<	Static				
	Increasing				
lame		Impac		Origin	Tren
.13.7 Low	impact research/monitoring activities	•	9	•	<b>→</b>
patial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
<	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
emporal	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
<	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
npact - In	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
<	Minor				
	Significant				
	Major				
lanageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond				
	High capacity				
<	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
rend - Do	velopement over the last 6 years				
. c.iu - De	- Stopenish Stor tile tuet o your				

City of Bath 37 of 52

	Decreasing
<	Static
	Increasing

#### 4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

# 4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

The City of Bath is both a standalone World Heritage Property and also part of the transnational Great Spa Towns of Europe World Heritage Property (inscribed 2021).

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

# 4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	The hot springs	×			
4.18.1.2	Roman Archaeology	×			
4.18.1.3	Georgian Town planning and architecture	×			
4.18.1.4	The social setting	×			
4.18.1.5	Relationship with the surrounding countryside	×			

### 5. Protection and Management of the Property

#### 5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

### 5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

### 5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

# 5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

# 5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has no known and recognised buffer zone

# 5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The inscribed area is extensive (29 square km) and encompasses the entire city. Beyond the boundary, an identified area is covered by planning policy as set out in an adopted Setting Study. This area is also covered by restrictive designations including Green Belt and Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.

#### 5.2. Protective Measures

### 5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Specific policy protecting the World Heritage site in the local development plan. Conservation Area; listed historic buildings; scheduled monuments; much of immediate surrounding designated as Green Belt; Cotswold Area of outstanding natural beauty; specific buildings and monuments protected by designation.

Source: Periodic Report (Cycle I) Section II Summary

# 5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

# 5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

# 5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has no buffer zone

# 5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for the broader setting of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection of the property, contributing to the maintenance of its Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity

City of Bath 38 of 52

#### 5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

- 5.2.7 Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice
- 5.2.8 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property
- 5.3. Management System/Management Plan
- 5.3.1 Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint regional/local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A management plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

# 5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

#### 5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
City of Bath World Heritage Site Management Plan	N/A	Available	2003	
"The City of Bath World Heritage Site Management Plan 2010-2016"	N/A	Available	2010	
Management Plan 2016-2022 for the City of Bath	N/A	Available	2016	

# 5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

- 5.3.6 If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.
- 5.3.7 Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

- 5.3.8 If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:
- 5.3.9 Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property?

  Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties
- 5.3.10 If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done
- 5.3.11 Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

### 5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is fully adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

# 5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

#### 5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

City of Bath 39 of 52

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				×	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone				×	
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women				×	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

# 5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					×
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners					×
5.3.16.5	Women					×
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children					×
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists					×
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists					×
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry					×
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries					×
5.3.16.12	NGOs					×
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

# 5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the World Heritage Convention

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach					×
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood					×
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property					×

City of Bath 40 of 52

- 5.3.18 Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above
- 5.3.19 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan
- 6. Financial and Human Resources
- 6.1. Funding

# 6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	90 %	90 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	10 %	10 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	0 %	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

#### 6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

#### 6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

# 6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over the medium-term and planning is underway to secure funding over the long-term

- 6.1.5 Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure
- 6.1.6 Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	60 %	60 %
6.1.6.2	Women	40 %	40 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

# 6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property

# 6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good

Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

# 6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

- 6.1.11 If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.
- 6.1.12 Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; all technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally

- 6.1.13 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training
- 7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects
- 7.1 Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is adequate

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is considerable research but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared with local communities and some national agencies

- 7.4 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects
- 8. Education, Information and Awareness Building
- 8.1 Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Good
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good

City of Bath 42 of 52

Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industries	Fair
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

# 8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned and effective education and awareness programme for children and youth that contributes to the protection of the World Heritage property

### 8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Women
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists
Tourism industry
Local businesses and industries
NGOs

# 8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Good
Site museum	Good
Information booths	Not needed
Guided tours	Good
Trails/routes	Good
Printed information materials	Good
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Good
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

### 8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

A new Bath World Heritage Centre interpreting the OUV of the site was opened to the public in May 2022.

# 9. Visitor Management

### 9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

All figures are for Bath & North East Somerset: Staying Visitors - Domestic: 655,000 International: 68,000. Day Visits: 3,665,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 489,000 International: 108,000. Day Visits: 2,369,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 353,000. Day Visits: 5,072,000 / Staying Visitors - Domestic: 829,000 International: 829,000 Inte

### 9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries	
Accommodation establishments	
Tourism industry	
Other	

City of Bath 43 of 52

All of the above are from data provided by Office of National Statistics (ONS) and from the Great British Day Visit Survey (GBDVS), Great British Visitor Survey and International Passenger Survey. Additional insights on footfall, accommodation occupancy and visitor attraction visits are provided to an independent research company to include to ensure the national data is correct

#### 9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Two overnight stays

#### 9.4 - Please provide the source of information

UK STAYING VISITORS Average nights per trip 2.46 OVERSEAS STAYING VISITORS Average nights per trip 8.26 DAY VISITS Only 4 hours plus counted

#### 9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

53.49 / 31.16 / 3.16 / 18.26 / 0 / \$16.38 /

#### 9.6 - Please provide the source of information

The figures were provided by the VisitWest Volume and Value Study and the Bath & North East Somerset Council Levelling Up Fund data collection. Entertainment and recreation figures are combined under figure for Admission fees.

# 9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

#### 9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

#### 9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made

### 9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

### If a different system, please specify

Local monitoring measures

# 9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

# 9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The Outstanding Universal Value of the property is adequately presented and interpreted

### 9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

# 9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

Fees are collected and make a substantial contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

#### 9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

#### If 'Yes', please specify

Many measures, for example restricting and discouraging the use of polluting vehicles, especially coaches.

# 9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

### If 'Yes', please specify

Tourism can provide benefits to communities in many ways: Economic benefits - Tourism can provide direct jobs to the community, such as tour guides or in the hospitality industry (hotels, bars and restaurants). Indirect employment is generated through other industries such as agriculture, food production, creative industries (art, music performance) and retail (souvenirs). As well as providing jobs, the additional investment and spending brought by tourism can support a wide variety of services including water and energy distribution, roads and transport services, health services, shops, garages, leisure and entertainment facilities, and outdoor amenities. Infrastructure development such as airports, roads, schools, hospitals, and retail areas have the potential to benefit the local community and can aid economic development by allowing more trade and a better flow of goods and services. Social benefits - By showcasing distinct the characteristics of their ways of life, history and culture, tourism can produce a sense of pride and identity for communities. Conservation benefits - Tourism can provide financial support for the conservation of World Heritage, making the destination more authentic and desirable to visitors and adding value to the local tourism business.

# 9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

# 10. Monitoring

# 10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

City of Bath 44 of 52

# 10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved

### 10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system				×
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations				×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development				×
10.3.6	Capacity development				×

# 10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

# 10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Good
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Local businesses and industry	Good
NGOs	Good
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

# 10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee? Implementation is underway

### 10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

Later phases of the Bath Western Riverside Development are still to be completed. This development was the subject of recommendations from the 2008 Joint UNESCO/ICOMOS reactive monitoring mission to Bath.

# 10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

# 11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

# 11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.4	The property has <b>no buffer zone</b>	×
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	Some use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	×
5.3.9	Some use has been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property	×

City of Bath 45 of 52

6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.7	Human resources <b>partly meet</b> the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	×
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	×
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	×
Pleas	se select 0 more issues.	
□ Ple	ase save this question to reflect changes	

# 12. Summary and Conclusions

# 12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

# 12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and	d Development							
4.1.4	Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure	Demand for visitor accommodation continues.	To be addressed through the update Local Plan	New and propos developments	ed	Ongoing	Bath & North E Somerset Cou		
4.3	Services Infra	astructures							
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilities	Demand for renewable energy facilities, especially within the setting, is increasing.	Largely addressed through the planning system	Applications received	i. Or	ngoing	Bath & North East Somerset Counci	Ongoing	
4.4	Pollution								
4.4.4	Air pollution	Airborne pollution, largely as a result of vehicle emissions, is problematic in parts of the site.	Introduction of a Clean Air Zone in 2022, the fir outside of Central London	Air quality is monitored and th Clean Air Zone w adapted as neces	e rill be	ngoing	Bath & North East Somerset Council	- 3- 3	
4.4.6	Input of excess energy	Impact predominantly upon ecology of the property through excess nocturnal lighting.	Replacement street lighting is being rolled-out but wider measures to cover other lighting such as that for sports facilities is being investigated.	Various 'dark skies' monitoring methods are being used.	On-going, discussion underway	ns S tt- w u	ath and North East comerset Council is ne lead agency, rith both Bath niversities also nvolved alongside cological groups.	The issue of excess nocturnal lighting predominantly impa upon species such bats, but is also increasingly consid to be detrimental to human health.	acts as
4.6	Physical reso	ource extraction							
4.6.2	Quarrying		Continue to engage with applications to enlarge or alter quarries as necessary	Monitor new applica and continue to mor flow of the springs		ngoing	Bath & North Eas Somerset Counci Somerset County Council	il,	

City of Bath 46 of 52

4.6.3	Oil and gas	The risk of fra re-emerged re the Governm re-examining Any fracking i coal-fields so would risk im the aquifers of thermal water property.	recently, with lent I this option. In the buth of Bath spacting upon carrying	Continue to moni activity and lobby politically.	dec	nitor relevant cisions and earch	Ong	oing	Som	& North East lerset Council and Government	the	Ongoing
4.8	Social/Cultural	uses of herita	age									
4.8.6	Impacts of tourism/Visitation/R	Impacts of Risk of excessive or tourism/Visitation/Recreation poorly controlled mass tourism		tourism plan a range of m control the p	tourism plan to outline nu a range of measures to an control the potential ad negative aspects of		Monitor visitor Ongoing numbers, impacts and actions to address this			Bath & North East Somerset Council and Visit West		Ongoing
4.10	Climate change	e and severe v	weather events	•								
4.10.2	Flooding	The River Avruns through property and flood. Rivers attributes surthe grade II* Cleveland Pocan be subje periodic flood	are s d can risk h riside meas into t class into t river tools has eated to meas dding.	e properties which ubject to flood have mitigation sures incorporated the buildings. The course as a whole extensive sures in place to ent widespreading, such as anent bankside ering.	Flooding is constar monitored responsit agencies	d by the	related ar periodic. ' brings the threat of r flooding, a heavy do	e greatest river although wnpours to surface	Agency	vironment takes the lead ling matters.	few e OUV appro- listed prope have risk. need asse	ding is a risk to very elements of the . Of the oximately 5,000 I buildings in the erty, only a handful been at direct flood This situation will to be monitored to ss climate change cations.
4.10.3	Drought	natural envi Buildings more of masonry and parks a surrounding are at risk fr receiving into water. Impa	lings and the ironment. hay be at risk cracking and g countryside rom plants adequate	No action has yet been necessary with regard to direc impact on historic buildings. Major parks and gardens are all managed and have coped with summer water shortages to date.	respondent management	gencies nsible for gement of par ardens monito ts and direct dingly. The Ho g flows are ored.	a s ks alt or rai les	rought is gene summer issue though patterr infall have bee ss predictable cent decades.	ns of en in	Bath and North E Somerset Counci the lead agency. Wessex Water ar the agency responsible for was supply and are members of the World Heritage Si Advisory Board.	l are e ater	Drought has the potential to be an emerging risk due to climate change.
4.12	Invasive/alien	species or hyp	per-abundant s	species								
4.12.3	Invasive/Alien freshwater species	issues but occurred. includes d by the bur non-native Crawfish i lakes at P Gardens.		e stated, a majo project was re to repair the b of of lakes and eradicate the Crawfish.	r quired	Individual inci monitored by appropriate a	the	This is an and ongoi issue.		In the example cited, the Natio Trust were the lead agency.	onal	As stated, this has yet to become a major risk.

# 12.2. Summary - Management Needs

# 12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and	Boundaries and Buffer Zones										
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment							
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	As for question 5.1.3, the property has a defined setting and adopted and well-established planning policy guidance to manage this. No further action is needed.	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable							
5.2	Protective Meas	ures										

City of Bath 47 of 52

Some see les les peer mode of les peer mode of le peer mode of	5.2.4		o buffer	define well-e	r question 5.1.3, the property has a ed setting and adopted and established planning policy guidance to age this. No further action is needed.		Not applicable		Not applicable		Not applicable	
been mode of the Peloty Discussion of the Pelo	5.3	Management System/Management Plan										
been mask of Bristeley for Reducting Risks from Disasters at World Federage budget is unikely being controlled by the property.  1.1.3 The workship was a manufacture of the property of the p	bee the Do the Cli on He Pro		en made of Policy cument on Impacts of mate Change World ritage operties at	ongoing and will be written into the replacement World Heritage Management Plan 2022-2028							Work is already underway.	
The available budget is acceptable budget is necessable budget is necessable budget is acceptable budget in the foreseasable acceptable budget in the foreseasable acceptable budget in the foreseasable in the further improved to fully most the management needs of the World Heritage property  8.1.7 Human resources party meet the management needs of the World Heritage property  9. Some use has been made of the World Heritage property  9. Some use has been made of the World Heritage property  9. Development at the World Heritage property  9. Proposals to increase staff capacity to meet the increased worldcade associated with the Great Spa Towns of Europe inscription (2021) site currently being considered.  8.1.10 Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity  9. Development at the World Heritage property  9. Development at the World Heritage property but to the Property.  9. Visitor Management  9. There is a strategy for Capacity Capac	been the S Redu from at W Herit Prop		en made of Strategy for ducing Risks in Disasters World ritage operties at	be updated periodically or ks		Or	0 0				Ongoing	
budget is acceptable but out of the further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage properly  5.1.7 Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage properly  5.1.10 Sene use has been made of the World Heritage properly  5.1.10 Description of the World Heritage properly  6.1.10 Description of the World Heritage properly but there are armbitions to develop a Sustainable Tourism Strategy for the City of Sustainable Tourism Strategy for the City of Sustainable Tourism Strategy for the City of Sustainable Tourism Strategy for the World Heritage properly but there are a some deficiencies in implementation but the property is managed but the property is desirable.  6.1.10 Description of the World Heritage properly is desirable.  6.1.10 Description of the World Heritage properly is desirable.  6.1.10 Description of the World Heritage properly is desirable.  6.1.10 Description of the World Heritage properly is desirable.	6.1		Funding									
resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property  5.1.10  Some use has been made of the World Heritage property  Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property  7. There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation  7. Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made  8. Wish the Management  8. As with answer 9.7, an updated strategy to deficiencies in implementation  8. As with answer 9.7, an updated strategy to the World Heritage property is desirable.  8. As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known. Beat Somerset Council.  8. As resources allow. At present there is a recovery strategy in place post-pandemic but this requires updating.  8. As resources allow. At present there is a recovery strategy in place post-pandemic but this requires updating.  8. As resources allow. At present there is a recovery strategy to the City of the Ci	6.1.3		budget is acceptable be could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritag	ut	to be improved within the foreseeable future as it is largely dependent upon local government finance, which		Ongoing				Ongoing	
been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property  3.7 There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation  3.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation  3.9 Visitor use of the World Heritage property is desirable.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known. East Somerset Council.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known. East Somerset Council.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known. East Somerset Council.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known. East Somerset Council.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known. East Somerset Council.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known. East Somerset Council.  As resources allow. At present there is no known timescale.  As resources allow. At present there is no known timescale.  As resources allow. At present there is no known timescale.  As resources allow. At present there is no known timescale.	6.1.7		resources partly meet to management needs of the World Heritage		meet the increased workload associated with the Great Spa Towns Europe inscription (2021) are currently	of	Before Summer 2023				Ongoing	
There is a Strategy to Sustainable Tourism Strategy for the City of Bath. At present, there is a recovery strategy in place post-pandemic but this requires updating.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. No fixed timeframe is currently known.  As resources allow. At present there is no known timescale.  Visit West and Bath & North East Somerset Council.  Ongoing  Ongoing	6.1.10		been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development the World Heritage	f	and have incorporated the approach within so far as it is relevant and useful	ונ	Ongoing				Ongoing	
strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation  Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made  Sustainable Tourism Strategy for the City of Bath. At present, there is a recovery strategy in place post-pandemic but this requires updating.  East Somerset Council.  East Somerset Council.  As resources allow. At present there is no known timescale.  As resources allow. At present there is no known timescale.  Ongoing  Ongoing	9	Visitor M	lanagement									
World Heritage address and manage visitor impact on the property is property is desirable.  managed but improvements could be made  there is no known timescale. East Somerset Council.	strategy manage tourism a and its d impacts World H property there are deficien		gy to Sustainable Tourism Strategy for the City of e visitors, a activity strategy in place post-pandemic but this requires updating.  s on the Heritage ty but tre some encies in						(	Ongoing.		
10 Monitoring	World Heritage property is managed but improvements		eritage ad is pro d but ments	dress	and manage visitor impact on the					(	Ongoing	
	10	Мо	nitoring									

City of Bath 48 of 52

10	0.2	Information on	Local monitoring of issues such as	As resources allow	Bath & North East Somerset	Ongoing
		the values of	buildings at risk is ad hoc and would		Council	
		the World	benefit from being periodic. Also,			
		Heritage	monitoring of views from fixed			
		property is	points at regular intervals would be			
		adequate and	beneficial.			
		key indicators				
		have been				
		defined but				
		monitoring of				
		the status of				
		indicators				
		could be				
		improved				

Summary - Management Needs completed

- 12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property
- 12.3.1 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

  The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved
- 12.3.2 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is intact
- 12.3.3 Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been maintained.

### 12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values and the state of conservation of the World Heritage property are intact

- 12.3.5 Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.
- 13. Impact of World Heritage Status
- 13.1 Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Research and monitoring  Management effectiveness  Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples  Recognition  Education	Positive Very positive Positive Very positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples  Recognition	Positive
Recognition	
	Very positive
Education	
	Positive
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	Very positive
Political support for conservation	Very positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	Not applicable
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

City of Bath 49 of 52

#### 13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

#### 14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

The long-established system of governance at City of Bath World Heritage Property ensures that a sustainable balance is maintained between the needs of a modern living city and the conservation of the OUV. This is an urban, cultural site with an extensive boundary and the participatory style of management has successfully gathered all relevant stakeholders together around the same table.

#### 14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Sustainable Development
Synergies
State of Conservation
Management
Governance
Capacity Building

# 15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

#### 15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

#### 15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

# 15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Good

# 15.2. Use of Data

### 15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

Advocacy

# 15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

# 15.3. Timing and resources

### 15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

Focal points of other international conventions/programmes

# 15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

# 15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

# 15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

16/8/8/

#### 15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		×
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

# 15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

#### 15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

#### 15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			×	

### 15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The 'percentage complete' bar was very useful, but an indication of where the information was incomplete (that is, in which section) would also be wecolmed. Much time was spent going back through the fields looking for one missing answer.

### 15.5. Training and Guidance

### 15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

### 15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Good
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Not applicable
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

# 15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

#### 15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

### 15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

# 15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

# • Map(s)

Reason for update: The World Heritage Centre identified in December 2022 that they did not hold an up-to-date clear map which showed the delimitation of the property. We are in the process of producing a map as requested and are making amendments in line with comments from the World Heritage Centre, with support from Historic England. The revised map will be submitted for the approval of the World Heritage Committee at 46COM along with others from the UK State Party.

# Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Reason for update: Several references in the Protection and Management section are now outdated. Circular 07/09 has largely been superseded by subsequent guidance. The Steering Group has been renamed Advisory Board and membership numbers have changed from 14 to 21. Subsequent development phases at Bath Western Riverside (now Bath Riverside) are now progressing. Bath Tourism Plus no longer exists and is now Visit Bath. The Destination Marketing Strategy is no longer current.

- 15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise
- 15.7.1 Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.

City of Bath 52 of 52