

Historic Centre of Puebla

1. World Heritage Property Data


1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Historic Centre of Puebla

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Historic Centre of Puebla - Map of the World Heritage property	2013	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

1. [World Monuments Watch](#)

Comment

<https://centrohistorico.pueblacapital.gob.mx/> <https://www.facebook.com/PueblaCiudadPatrimonio/> <https://www.facebook.com/GerenciaCHPuebla/>
<https://twitter.com/gerenciachpue?s=21> https://instagram.com/gerenciapue?utm_medium=copy_link_ciudadespatrimonio.mx/puebla/

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

The World Heritage property Historic Center of Puebla is not designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programs shown in Table 2.1

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

Not applicable

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	✕
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

The Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Assets in the Event of Armed Conflict, the Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention), the Man and the Biosphere Program (MAB), and UNESCO Global Geoparks do not apply to the site "Historic Center of Puebla"

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

Yes

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware

Artisanal talavera of Puebla and Tlaxcala (Mexico) and ceramics of Talavera de la Reina and El Puente del Arzobispo (Spain) making process was inscribed in 2019 (14.COM 10.b.23). This processes of making the artisanal talavera is the first Mexican artisanal technique with a transcontinental Mexico-Spain binational inscription on this List. Traditional Mexican cuisine - ancestral, ongoing community culture, the Michoacán paradigm inscribed in 2010 (5.COM 6.30) Which is loaded with symbols.

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

Yes

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you are aware.

The Palafoxian Library of Puebla contains more than 41 thousand books and unique manuscripts registered in 2005. Collection ID: 2004-20 "José María Lafragua" Historical Library, of the Autonomous University of Puebla (BUAP). 2015. Recognized by titles such as: Medicinal Opera 16th century Mexican print. General Municipal Archive of Puebla, of the Municipal Government of Puebla. 2015. Comprises manuscripts and prints from the years 1532 to 1963.

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief Synthesis

The city of *Puebla de los Ángeles* was founded *ex nihilo* in 1531, among the boundaries of the indigenous dominions of Tlaxcala, Cholula and Cuauhtinchan, following Spain's regal recommendations to not take possession of indigenous territories. The original city *Ciudad de los Angeles* was laid out according to a Renaissance urban grid formed by rectangular squares laid out in a northeast-southeast orientation.

The city is situated in the Valley of Cuertlaxcoapan at the foot of one of Mexico's highest volcanoes, known as Popocatepetl. It commands a strategic location on the commercial and cultural trade route between the Port of Veracruz and Mexico City, approximately 100 kilometres to the west, which allowed Puebla to be an important intermediate point and a core part of the Atlantic axle for over two centuries.

The city exercised considerable influence in the 16th century and was the recipient of several nobility titles during this century. 1532, it received the "Title of city" (as the city was founded in 1531) and in 1538 the "Coat of arms"; both given by Charles V and signed by his wife, Elizabeth from Portugal. In 1558, it received the appointment as "*Noble and Loyal City of Los Angeles*" and, in 1576, by means of another Royal decree, it was declared "*Very Noble and Very Loyal City of Los Angeles*". Many buildings from the 16th and 17th century have survived including the university founded in 1587 as Colegio del Espíritu Santo, major religious structures such as the Cathedral (dating from 1575), and fine buildings like the former archbishop's palace, the location of the Palafox Library established in 1646 and credited with being the first library in the Americas. Many houses are clad in coloured tiles known as *azulejos*. The use of these tiles illustrates a new aesthetic concept and the fusion of European and American styles particular to the Baroque district of Puebla.

Reform laws in the mid-19th century required the closing of many religious institutions, which impacted the urban landscape. However, this era also saw the rise of high-quality public and private architecture.

Criterion (ii): Puebla's strategic location on a major transportation corridor permitted the exportation of its regional style of Baroque architecture, a fusion of European and indigenous styles, after the 16th century. The urban design of the historic centre based on a Renaissance grid plan has exerted a considerable influence on the creation of colonial cities across the country.

Criterion (iv): As an untouched urban network, the Historic Centre of Puebla is composed of major religious buildings such as the Cathedral, the churches of Santo Domingo, San Francisco, and the Jesuit Church, superb palaces including the old archbishop's palace the location of the Palafox Library, the university, and many houses whose walls are covered with gaily coloured tiles (*azulejos*).

Integrity

The Historic Centre of Puebla has retained its integrity primarily through the retention and extension of the original Renaissance grid plan laid out the mid-16th century. It is currently preserved by the protected perimeter or buffer zone around the historic core. Moreover, there are a large number of religious, public and residential buildings illustrating the city's evolution from the 16th to the 19th century.

One of the threats to the property's integrity is its overall deterioration and the lack of regular maintenance of the building stock. The exceptional character of the religious architecture, for the most part, is well preserved and retains a great part of its original design. Because there are many public buildings, they are found in various states of deterioration and restoration. In general, the buildings in the best condition are those still used for their original purpose as administrative, educational and cultural institutions. Furthermore, these buildings often have restoration programmes in place to preserve their historic values. While some of the deterioration to residential buildings has been addressed, this is, for the most part, not adequate. Much of this restoration is the result of municipal programmes.

Additional threats within the historic core have been identified relating to uncontrolled tourism development as well as inappropriate demolitions and development. The region is subject to natural disasters, such as strong earthquakes and floods. Damage occurred during the 1999 earthquake has been largely repaired.

Authenticity

The original urban rectangular grid plan, based on Renaissance design, is still partially visible although due to rapid population growth and industrialization, it is becoming increasingly difficult to distinguish the historic city. During the mid-19th century, the Reform Laws (1857) resulted in major changes in the use of buildings including the closing of many large convents. Regardless, the historic centre still contains many significant religious buildings such as the Cathedral, the churches of San Francisco, Santo Domingo, the Jesuit Church, and the former archbishop's palace.

The construction systems and the handling of materials illustrate the architectural styles through time, the historical events, and the evolution of the city. All these attributes, provide the Historical Centre of Puebla the necessary elements to preserve its 'spirit of the place' safeguarding its cultural authenticity.

Protection and management requirements

Restoration of individual buildings dates from the 1940s when private sector funds were provided for the restoration of the Cathedral. During the middle of the century, the government supported the façade restoration of the Church del Carmen. Since the 1970s, heritage protection and restoration has been carried out in a more organized fashion with additional regulations and government programmes at both the State and local levels. Specific legislation is under the Political Constitution of the United States of Mexico, General Law of Human Settlements, Political Constitution of the State of Puebla, Urban Development Law of the State of Puebla, and municipal Organic Law. The city is protected through the "Law of protection and preservation of typical villages and natural beauty of the State of Puebla

The *Instituto Nacional de Antropología e Historia* (INAH) provides technical assistance for restoration with the assistance of *Instituto Nacional de Bellas Artes* (INBA), the Ministry of Infrastructure of the State of Puebla, the Ministry of Public Work and Urban Development of the City of Puebla and the Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla. Financing is provided primarily through INAH with federal, state, and municipal funds for specific projects. A revised *Plan de Regeneración y/o Redensificación Urbana de la Zona de Monumentos y su entorno Ciudad de Puebla* was completed in September 2012. Today, a university consortium has been created with the participation of the main institutions of the State Higher Education which are currently in charge of the performance of updating the Partial Program of the Historical Centre and the realization of the management plan to establish the goal image to be reached in 2031.

Out of the need of having an organization responsible for the rescue, preservation, protection, promotion and diffusion of the Historical Centre, the agency for the Historical Centre and Heritage is in the process of being created.

The *Plan de Regeneración y/o Redensificación Urbana de la Zona de Monumentos y su entorno Ciudad de Puebla*, whose completion was encouraged by the World Heritage Committee in 2003, has documented strategies to address a number of the concerns related to the preservation of the historic core including the preservation of historic and artistic monuments and sympathetic infill development.

Comment

It is necessary that the data reflected in the paragraphs that make up the "Protection and management requirements" section be updated since some Federal, State and Municipal entities have changed their name, attributions or organizational structure. Likewise, the programs and plans of this section, are 10 years behind, thus, it is necessary to include instruments such as "Partial Program for Sustainable Urban Development of the Historical Center of the municipality of Puebla in 2015"

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	Urban layout (Original Renaissance grid)	✕			
3.2.2	Architectural styles		✕		
3.2.3	Monumental heritage of Puebla		✕		
3.2.4	Traditional trades		✕		
3.2.5	Traditional holidays	✕			
3.2.6					
3.2.7					
3.2.8					
3.2.9					
3.2.10					
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

The attributes mentioned in section 3.2 were generated based on the Resource Manual "Preparation of nominations for World Heritage", which convey an outstanding universal value of form and design, materials, use and traditions.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕		➡	

4.1.2 - Commercial development

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕		➡	

4.1.3 - Industrial areas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕			➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕			➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

There are areas of urban growth around the Historic Center of Puebla, which, if not monitored, can become a potential negative factor due to changes in the horizon. On the other hand, it is observed that the infrastructure such as hotels and restaurants, has had stability in its integration into the area, likewise, the placement of interpretive and visiting facilities was promoted during prior years by the authorities focused on the tourism sector.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
➕ Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Potential, Outside

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside














Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The vibration generated by the frequent passage of motor vehicles, as well as the oversized vehicles for the width of the roads in the Historic Center of Puebla, could affect the historic buildings that already have some type of damage and/or deterioration, especially in roads where public and tourist transport is concentrated.

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):


- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.4 - Localised utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.5 - Major linear utilities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No subsection of section 4.3 Infrastructure Services was selected.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant
















Relevant	 Not relevant
----------	--

4.4.2 - Ground water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

 Relevant	Not relevant
--	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative 							

4.4.3 - Surface water pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant










4.4.4 - Air pollution

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	










4.4.5 - Solid waste

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant

Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Although it's a worldwide phenomenon, it's necessary to generate pollution mitigation actions at all levels. Pollution can generate conservation problems for the buildings and monuments of the site and the inconvenience in the stay of locals and visitors. The local government, through specialized areas, has generated measurements of air pollution and will carry out comparative measurements on a regular basis to follow-up the World Heritage and Sustainable Development Policy of 2015

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.2 - Aquaculture

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.6 - Commercial wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.8 - Commercial hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.9 - Subsistence hunting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No subsection of section 4.5 Use/modification of biological resources was selected.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4.6.1 - Mining

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.2 - Quarrying

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.3 - Oil and gas

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.4 - Water (extraction)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant

✕ Not relevant

4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No subsection of section 4.6 Extraction of physical resources was selected.

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕		✕		✕		➡	

4.7.3 - Temperature

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.4 - Radiation/Light

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.5 - Dust

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		➡	

4.7.7 - Pests

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.8 - Micro-organisms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The affection by rain and humidity is reflected in properties that have a relative abandonment or that it isn't possible for owners to carry out periodic maintenance due to the size of the properties themselves, or due lack of knowledge, for which the local government provides accompaniment to the owners, tenants and/or residents of any property located on the site, to identify what preventive actions can be carried out to avoid the deterioration of properties due to the rainy seasons.

4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage

4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕			➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕			➡	

4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant				✕ Not relevant			
----------	--	--	--	----------------	--	--	--

4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕			➡	

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside










✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕			➡	

4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
------------	--	--	--	--------------	--	--	--

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	
 Negative							

4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Part of the results of the census carried out by the National Institute of Statistics and Geography reflects a downward trend in residential use of the world heritage site, which also complicates social cohesion. To mitigate this affectation, the local government generates agreements with the institutions that promote housing to generate social housing projects on the site. On the other hand, the site has maintained its tourist attraction, which favors locals and businesses.

4.9. Other human activities

4.9.1 - Illegal activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕			→	

4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		→	

4.9.3 - Military training

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant			✕ Not relevant				
----------	--	--	----------------	--	--	--	--

4.9.4 - War

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant			✕ Not relevant				
----------	--	--	----------------	--	--	--	--

4.9.5 - Terrorism

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant			✕ Not relevant				
----------	--	--	----------------	--	--	--	--

4.9.6 - Civil unrest

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant			✕ Not relevant				
----------	--	--	----------------	--	--	--	--

4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the case of illegal constructions, the Management of the Historical Center and Cultural Heritage generates a "Provisional Suspension" action so that the authorities in charge of carrying out administrative processes, suspensions, and sanctions can generate the corresponding proceedings. On the other hand, the site, being a metropolitan center, is prone to many demonstration marches, which lead to the painting of monuments, to which maintenance actions are generated days after these events.

4.10. Climate change and severe weather events

4.10.1 - Storms

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕		➡	

4.10.2 - Flooding

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Inside

✕ Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive							
🔴 Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕		➡	

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.4 - Desertification

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.5 - Changes to oceanic waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.6 - Temperature change

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Climate change has been noticeable in the area, because the rainy seasons are no longer distinguishable. There are temporary floods and in specific areas and they cannot be avoided because there used to be a river on the site, which is now below street level. Cleaning actions carried out on the pluvial installation mitigate the unwanted effects of flooding.











4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Current, Potential, Outside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕		✕			

4.11.2 - Earthquake

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Negative, Potential, Inside, Outside

✕ Relevant			Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive							
 Negative ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			

4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire)

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The Historic Center of Puebla was affected by the last earthquake that occurred on September 19th, 2017. Currently, no other event of this type has occurred. Reconstruction progress was reported as requested by Decision WHC-18/42.COM 7B. Likewise, the site is located 57.9 km from the "Popocatepetl" volcano, which, according to information from the National Center for Disaster Prevention, has had "exhalation" activity and a slight emission of water vapor and gases.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien marine species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.6 - Modified genetic material

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✖ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

No subsection of section 4.12 Invasive/exotic or hyperabundant species was selected

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

✖ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖			📈
🔴 Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

✖ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.13.3 - Governance

✖ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		➡	
🔴 Negative							

4.13.4 - Management activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):











- Relevant, Positive, Current, Potential, Inside

✖ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	🔴 Current	🟡 Potential	🟢 Inside	🟢 Outside	📉 Decreasing	➡ Stable	📈 Increasing
🟢 Positive ✖	✖	✖	✖	✖		➡	
🔴 Negative							











4.13.5 - Financial resources

✖ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

4.13.6 - Human resources

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------











	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕	✕			
 Negative							

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

✕ Relevant	Not relevant
------------	--------------

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	 Current	 Potential	 Inside	 Outside	 Decreasing	 Stable	 Increasing
 Positive ✕	✕	✕	✕				
 Negative							

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (31/07/2012):

- Not relevant

Relevant	✕ Not relevant
----------	----------------

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The World Heritage site has the appropriate legal framework to manage its development and conservation, from the national to the local level, and to improve its application, work is being done to monitor the Management Plan for the Historic Center of Puebla, which is under technical review. Moreover, federal and local institutes, as well as the tertiary sector, generate monitoring and perception actions for visitors and locals reflected in "Tourist Observatories" to improve site services.






















4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

don't apply

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend	
4.1 Buildings and Development						
4.1.1 Housing						
						
4.1.2 Commercial development						
						
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure						
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities						
4.2 Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						

4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
	⊖	⚠		⊕		➡
4.4 Pollution						
4.4.2 Ground water pollution						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.4.4 Air pollution						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.4.5 Solid waste						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
	⊖		⚠		↻	➡
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊕		➡
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕		➡
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕		➡
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕		➡
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.9 Other human activities						
4.9.1 Illegal activities						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕		➡
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events						
4.10.1 Storms						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.10.2 Flooding						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	➡
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
	⊖	⚠	⚠		↻	➡
4.11.2 Earthquake						
	⊖	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡
4.13 Management and institutional factors						
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	🔑
4.13.2 Legal framework	⊕	⚠	⚠	⊕	↻	➡

4.13.3 Governance						
4.13.4 Management activities						
4.13.5 Financial resources						
4.13.6 Human resources						
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities						
Legend	Current	Potential	Negative	Positive	Inside	Outside

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors





4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.1 Housing			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static

	Increasing
--	------------

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.2 Commercial development			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes




	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.1.4 Major visitor accommodation and associated infrastructure			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent




	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	+	🔊	🔊	📍		➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static

Increasing

4.2 Transportation Infrastructure

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.1 Ground transport infrastructure						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes





	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.2.5 Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure						
						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor







	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact


	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic

	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
✕	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.4 Pollution

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.2 Ground water pollution			
	     		
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted		
	Localised		
	Extensive		
✕	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
	One off or rare		
✕	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
✕	Insignificant		
	Minor		
	Significant		
	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity		
✕	Medium capacity		
	Low capacity		
	No capacity and / or resources		
Trend - Development over the last 6 years			

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.4 Air pollution			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes



✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.4.5 Solid waste			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor





	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic






	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.7 Local conditions affecting physical fabric

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.7.2 Relative humidity						
						






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.7.6 Water (rain/water table)			
	  	 	






Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.8 Social/Cultural uses of heritage

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.1 Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
	Static
✕	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.8.2 Society's valuing of heritage			
	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.4 Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system						
	➖	🔊	🔊	🌐		➡️

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources






Trend - Developement over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.5 Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community						
	➖	🔊	🔊	🌐		➡️

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurence of the impact	
--	--

	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.8.6 Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	

	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.9 Other human activities

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.1 Illegal activities			
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>







Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.9.2 Deliberate destruction of heritage			
	<div> <div></div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>	<div> <div></div> <div></div> </div>

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	

	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
✕	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.10.1 Storms			
	  	 	
Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor			
	Restricted		
✕	Localised		
	Extensive		
	Widespread		
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact			
	One off or rare		
✕	Intermittent or sporadic		
	Frequent		
	On-going		
Impact - Impact on the attributes			
✕	Insignificant		
	Minor		
	Significant		
	Major		
Management response - Capacity of management to respond			
	High capacity		
✕	Medium capacity		
	Low capacity		

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.10.2 Flooding						
	➔	🔊	🔊		🌱	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
✕	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
✕	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources





Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.11.1 Volcanic eruption						
	➔	🔊	🔊		🌱	➡

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive






	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
✕	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.11.2 Earthquake			
			
			

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
✕	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan	  	 	





Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major






Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	  	 	







Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised

	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.3 Governance						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
✕	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity

	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	  	 	







Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
✕	Widespread

Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going

Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major

Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources

Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	  	 	




Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive

	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
✕	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin		Trend
4.13.6 Human resources						

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
✕	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity

	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact	Origin	Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	  		

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor	
	Restricted
	Localised
✕	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal scale - Occurrence of the impact	
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
✕	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Impact on the attributes	
	Insignificant
	Minor
✕	Significant
	Major
Management response - Capacity of management to respond	
	High capacity
	Medium capacity
✕	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Development over the last 6 years	
	Decreasing
✕	Static
	Increasing

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

doesn't apply

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	Urban layout (Original Renaissance grid)	✕			
4.18.1.2	Architectural styles		✕		

4.18.1.3	Monumental heritage of Puebla		×		
4.18.1.4	Traditional trades		×		
4.18.1.5	Traditional holidays	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The boundaries **do not limit the ability to maintain** the property's Outstanding Universal Value but they **could be improved**

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries **are known** by the management authority **but are not known** by local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The property has **no buffer zone**, but there is a need for one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has **no known and recognised** buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property

The possibility of a buffer zone for the Historic Center of Puebla is being studied, in a perimeter area of 482.9 hectares, in which specific strategies must be applied to reinforce the protection, transition and integration of the city with the Historic Center. In addition, 299 artistic buildings inventoried and recognized by the National Institute of Fine Arts and Literature are located on this surface, so it's expected that the area will protect said buildings.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

Loi de protection et de conservation des monuments et des beautés naturelles de l'état de Puebla, approuvée le 15-IX-1932 et publiée le 14-X-1932. La "zone de monuments historiques de la ville de Puebla de Zaragoza" a été décrétée par le gouvernement fédéral le 17 novembre 1977 sur la base de la loi fédérale sur les monuments et zones archéologiques, artistiques et historiques et publiée du 6 mai 1972 et son règlement du 3 décembre 1975. La zone de monuments historiques de la ville est protégée par :

-Le règlement et la délimitation de la zone de monuments historiques de Cholula, élaborés par le ministère de l'enseignement public (SEP) et l'institut national d'anthropologie et d'histoire (INAH), en juin 1978. La zone archéologique de Cholula se trouve protégée aussi par la loi fédérale du 6 mai 1972 et est administrée par l'INAH.

Source: Dossier de proposition d'inscription

Comment

The updated applicable regulation for the site is included in section 5.2.2, please include it in the "Protection Measures" section

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

1972 / Archaeological, Artistic and Historical Monuments and Federal Zones Law / National regulation / United Mexican States / Institutional / 1977 /
Federal Decree by which it is declared as a "Historical Monuments Zone" in Zaragoza Puebla city, of Puebla State / local regulation / Municipality of Puebla / Institutional / 1986 / Protection and Conservation of Typical Populations and Natural Beauties Law by Puebla State /
state regulation / Puebla State / Institutional / 1987 / Historic Center of Puebla inscription on the World Heritage List of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization UNESCO / International regulation /
National and local regulation / Institutional / 2001 / Municipal Organic Law by Puebla State / state regulation / Puebla State /
Institutional / 2005 / State Executive Decree which declares part of Puebla city "Typical Monumental Zone" Municipality with the same name, of the Puebla State / state regulation / Puebla State /
Institutional / 2015 / Urban Development and Sustainability of the Historic Center of Puebla Partial Program / local regulation / Puebla Municipality / Institutional /
2016 / Historical Monuments Properties Inventory, of the Historical Monuments National Coordination by Anthropology and History National Institute / National regulation / United Mexican States / Institutional /
2016 / Sustainable Urban Development Program by Puebla Municipality / local regulation / Puebla Municipality / Institutional / 2021 /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An **adequate legal framework** for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists **but there are some deficiencies in implementation**

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The property has **no buffer zone**

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

There is **no legal framework** for controlling use and activities in the broader setting of the World Heritage property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is **acceptable capacity/resources** to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice

There is a legal framework, programs and management plan for the Historic Center of Puebla, however, some habitants or construction companies do not respect all the guidelines for the protection of the heritage site due to lack of knowledge, or defiance. Some buildings may show an alteration, generally on the inside.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

The local authorities may need the support from other levels of government, i.e. national or state authorities or even education authorities. The site has been successfully preserved, but more support necessary to improve the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value and in consequence, improve the preserving actions of local habitants, businesses and public in general.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property

Public management system at national level

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

Currently, the Historic Center of Puebla implements the normative called "Partial Program for Sustainable Urban Development of the Historic Center of the Municipality of Puebla" and the Regulatory Code for the Municipality of Puebla, instruments that help management and regulation in the site. In addition to this, the Municipality of Puebla is in the process of approving the Management Plan for the Historic Center of Puebla to complete its regulation and protection.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Comment

Take into account the comments expressed in 5.3.3

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

Some use has been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done.

The Management Plan for the Historic Center of Puebla, which is in the approval process, is aligned with the Recommendations on the Historic Urban Landscape of 2011; Furthermore, The municipality is performing internal studies to define an adequate buffer zone to protect the heritage site and it's urban landscape.

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

No use has been made of the World Heritage Policy for Climate Change

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

The Management Plan for the Historic Center of Puebla (which is in the approval process), indicates that the property needs an updated count of affectations due to climate change, in such a way that the authorities assess the future damage and generate monitoring indicators.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ?

The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

The city of Puebla has a Risk Atlas, and there is also the Risk Management Directorate for Civil Protection, which is in charge of responding in the event of some type of disaster. Also, the Management of the Historic Center and Cultural Heritage carries out a Contingency Protocol for the Historic Center of Puebla, in case of an earthquake disaster.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state; local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is **coordination** between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, **but it could be improved**

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **only partially adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being **only partially implemented**

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan **exists and many of its activities are being implemented**

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the

following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities				✗	
5.3.15.2	Local authorities				✗	
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone	✗				
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples			✗		
5.3.15.5	Women				✗	
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups					
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities					✗
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					✗
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples				✗	
5.3.16.4	Landowners				✗	
5.3.16.5	Women				✗	
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children			✗		
5.3.16.7	Researchers				✗	
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists			✗		
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists			✗		
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				✗	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				✗	
5.3.16.12	NGOs				✗	
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups					
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality				✗	
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)				✗	
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status				✗	
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach				✗	
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				✗	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				✗	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

The Management Plan for the Historic Center of Puebla (which is in the approval process), provides the necessary tools to encourage coordination between the government, inhabitants and agents that affect the area. It is structured with six management strategies: Urban and economic revitalization, Habitability, Heritage, Mobility, Safety and risk prevention, Community life and citizenship; each strategy is made up of action guidelines with various programs with the inclusion of specific projects

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

The municipality of Puebla seeks that the Management Plan be complemented with consolidation, triggering, strategic and reorganization projects, harmonizing with the regulation, operation and monitoring instruments such as the Partial Sustainable Urban Development Program of the Historic Center of Puebla

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	25 %	0 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	%	0 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	75 %	0 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	0 %	0 %
6.1.1.12	Other	%	0 %
		Total 100 %	Total 0 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

There are none.

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available **budget is inadequate** for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are **not secure**

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

To ensure a better management of the site, it's monuments and heritage, it's necessary to have local and federal budgets which maintain a constant amount, unlike current budgets that tend to drop abruptly

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	49 %	1 %
6.1.6.2	Women	49 %	1 %
		Total 98 %	Total 2 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources **partly meet** the management needs of the World Heritage property

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Fair
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not available
Risk preparedness	Poor

Capacity development and education	Not applicable
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Poor
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Poor
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

Conservation	Poor
Environmental sustainability	Not applicable
Community participation and inclusion	Not applicable
Risk preparedness	Poor
Capacity development and education	Not available
Administration	Fair
Research and monitoring	Not available
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Not available
Interpretation	Not available
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

Through the implementation of strategies at the municipal level, based on the festivities and traditions of the historic neighborhoods of the Historic Center of Puebla, an inventory is being generated. This initiative is promoted from the agreements of the Aldermen Council of the municipality of Puebla, in order to generate a safeguard plan.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and fully implemented; **all technical skills are being transferred** to those managing the property locally

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

The Management of the Historic Center and Cultural Heritage and the Ministry of Economy and Tourism have Procedures and Operations Manuals that are transferred during each administrative change to follow up on the tasks of monitoring, conservation and dissemination of the cultural asset. Likewise, a liaison is assigned with the national focal point to follow up on the reporting and monitoring tasks of the area and to have contact with the authorities or managers of the Historic Center of Puebla

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained?

Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is **considerable research** but it is **not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value**

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results **are shared with local communities and some national agencies**

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

There is sufficient research on the site, and work is being done on synthesizing information and generating material for dissemination and promotion. We are currently working with the World Heritage Directorate of the National Institute of Anthropology and History on the project: "A tradition for conservation: the use of

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property amongst the following groups

Local communities	Poor
Local/municipal authorities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities
Local/municipal authorities
Landowners
Youth/children
Researchers
Local Visitors
National/international tourists

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Fair
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Through the Management of the Historic Center, different reading material focused on heritage education have been published, such as: "Illustrated Adventures in the Historic Center and Heritage" 2020-2021, "Heritage Education in Ibero-America" 2021, "Chronicle: Life Stories" in which, through new narratives, brings together reflections on projects, life stories of inhabitants, and interpreted information for inhabitants and visitors about the Historic Center of Puebla with public and free access

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

5,675,000 / 4,556,512 / 10,179,093 / 9,901,420 / 9,643,300 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Other

Through the National System of Statistical Information of the Tourism Sector of Mexico-DataTur, its purpose is that the agents of the sector and the citizenry consult in a web page the statistics of the main indicators of the tourist activity in Mexico (<https://www.datatur.sectur.gob.mx/SitePages/Inicio.aspx>)

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

Overnight stay

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

National Tourist Registry State Tourism Secretariat based on current records as of March 2020 National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI) Statistical Information System of the Tourism Sector (DATATUR)

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

40 / 35 / 5 / 0 / 25 / 25 /

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

SECTUR of the Government of the State of Puebla

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a **strategy** to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are **some deficiencies in implementation**

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

The strategies for visitor management, tourist activity and its impacts on the cultural asset are poured into the 2020-2024 Tourism Sector Program of the Government of the State of Puebla, in addition to the work generated by the Municipal Ministry of Economy and Tourism, putting into value the declarations granted by UNESCO; added to the history, traditions, gastronomy, commercial offer, quality of tourist services, infrastructure, its people and the vocation of the site.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but **improvements could be made**

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

Statistical Information System of the Tourism Sector (DATATUR)

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is **good cooperation** between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry **to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation**

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is **acceptable but improvements could be made**

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In **many locations** and **easily visible** to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property?

No fees are collected

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

Increases trade and economic certainty

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property

Tourist activity has a positive impact in specific places, especially those located near the characteristic buildings of the area, in their economic development. Nonetheless, it can generate negative aspects that can directly influence the local population, such as the high dependence on tourist activity, price increases, and degradation of space.

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive, integrated programme of monitoring**, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved**

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

	Extend of indicators	Not applicable	No indicators	Indicators have been defined but are not yet in use	Indicators are in place and in use since the last Periodic Reporting cycle
10.3.1	State of conservation				×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system			×	
10.3.3	Character of governance				×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations			×	
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development			×	
10.3.6	Capacity development			×	

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property

The Management Plan for the Historic Center of Puebla (which is in the process of approval), provides monitoring indicators for the site as a point of reference and improvement of management actions

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Fair
Researchers	Good
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

Implementation is underway

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee.

The Management of the Historic Center of Puebla, through the different municipal agencies, always seek to attend to the recommendations issued by the World Heritage Committee, which is why, through the Management Plan of the Historic Center of Puebla (which is in the process of approval), there are monitoring indicators in the area

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

If needed, the indicators could be modified by external factors in order to maintain the Universal Exceptional Value. On the other hand, the indicators of the management system are implemented even though a formalization from the governing instrument is pending.

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners	✕
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone, but there is a need for one	
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	✕
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	✕
5.2.4	The property has no buffer zone	
5.2.5	There is no legal framework for controlling use and activities in the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone	✕
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain	✕
5.3	Management System/Management Plan	
5.3.5	Some use has been made of the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation to develop policies and best practices for the protection of the property	
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	✕
5.3.11	There is coordination between the range of administrative bodies involved in the management of the property, but it could be improved	
5.3.12	The management system/plan is only partially adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value	
5.3.13	The management system at the property is only being partially implemented	
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is inadequate for basic management needs and presents a serious constraint to the capacity to manage the World Heritage property	
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	✕
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	✕
6.1.10	Some use has been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Development at the World Heritage property	
7	Scientific Studies and Research Projects	
7.2	There is considerable research in the World Heritage property but it is not directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value	
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	✕
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	
9.9	Visitor use of the World Heritage property is managed but improvements could be made	
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	✕
10	Monitoring	
10.2	Information on the values of the World Heritage property is adequate and key indicators have been defined but monitoring of the status of indicators could be improved	

Please select 0 more issues.

☒ Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

4.1	Buildings and Development
-----	---------------------------

4.1.2	Commercial development	It is seen as a potential but not current affection to the selection criterion IV (architecture) due to urban expansion and possible changes on the horizon. At the moment this problem is stable but it will not stop monitoring	Currently considered stable	Constant in accordance with the applicable regulations for the site	without temporality	Secretariat of Municipal Urban Management and Development, Center INAH Puebla, Management of the Historical Center and Cultural Heritage, Secretariat of Culture of the Government of the State of Puebla.... *el último por que ejecuta obra o programas	The foregoing in respect of the attributes of the Historic Center of Puebla and the Recommendation of Historic Urban Landscape of 2011
4.2	Transportation Infrastructure						
4.2.5	Effects arising from use of transportation infrastructure	Selection criteria (IV)	The layout site hasn't been affected by the presence and integration of mass transport, however, there are effects derived from its operation, such as the increase in the emission of noise pollution, gas emissions and the impact on pavements.	Stable-decrease. The growth of the passage of this type of transport in the area is not seen in the future. Monitoring is constant by the involved authorities	it don't have a time period	Mobility and Municipal Infrastructure Secretariat Mobility and Transportation Secretariat of the State of Puebla Government, Private concessionaires, Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management	By the local authorities, it's planned to integrate other modes of mobility for the site
4.4	Pollution						
4.4.2		Ground water pollution					
4.4.5		Solid waste					
4.7	Local conditions affecting physical fabric						
4.7.2	Relative humidity	Selection criteria (IV)	Due to the geographical location of the site, it is immersed in rainfall in the months of June to October, so that, in this period, in buildings that do not have adequate care and maintenance, there are some damages due to accumulation of moisture.	Stable. Lately, the rainy season has become longer due to climate change in recent years, which can affect buildings without maintenance. Monitoring is constant by the involved authorities	it don't have a time period	Urban Management and Development Secretariat General Civil Protection Directorate Government Secretariat Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management INAH Puebla Centre (National Anthropology and History Institute, local centre) Owners, Possess	Through the Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management, owners or possessors are encouraged to give constant maintenance to their properties, since they are considered federal monuments, and have a representative architectural items.
4.7.6	Water (rain/water table)	Selection criteria (IV)	If there're properties that didn't had any maintenance, there may be affected, so the attribute that could be affected would be the one described under selection criteria IV, in addition, the rainy season has changed due to climate change.	Stable. Although future changes in the climate are unknown. Monitoring is constant by the involved authorities	it don't have a time period	Municipal Water Concessionaire of Puebla Mobility and Infrastructure Secretariat General Civil Protection Directorate Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management	no comment
4.8	Social/Cultural uses of heritage						
4.8.2	Society's valuing of heritage	existe una "concentración de vivienda" en los barrios históricos, los cuales se encuentran dentro de la limitación del sitio, y en la centralidad del polígono se dedica más al sector terciario, enfocada al turismo, servicios y equipamiento	la tendencia poblacional va a la baja dentro del centro histórico, pero se prevé colaborar con instancias encargadas del desarrollo de la vivienda, pérdida paulatina de las vida tradicionales (transmisión de usos-costumbres-oficios por herencia)	si		Instituto de Arte y cultura del Municipio de Puebla, secretaría de economía y turismo en acciones de contribución: la Secretaría de cultura del gob del estado de Puebla, gchypc.	se considera para este año 2022, con el instituto, generar trabajo de compilación documental sobre los oficios vivos dentro de la zona de monumentos, como una forma de rescate y revaloración de los usos y oficios de los barrios históricos del sitio

4.8.4	Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge system	Selection criteria (IV)	New population is identified that comes to inhabit the site due to the loss of the locals and its displacement due to the presence of the tertiary sector.	Growing. Although future changes in the climate are unknown. Monitoring is constant by the involved authorities	it don't have a time period	Municipal Planning Institute of Puebla Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management	no comment
4.8.5	Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community	Selection criteria (IV)	The increase in the indigenous population is identified due to outpatient commerce activity, displacement of local inhabitants due to projects in the tertiary sector and housing for medium-high economic strata	Stable-increasing. Only in specific areas does this trend occur.	it don't have a time period	Urban Management and Development Secretariat Municipal Planning Institute of Puebla City Council's Housing Promotion Office Housing Commission of Puebla State Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management	To mitigate the impact of changes in the population, the possibility of integrating social housing is explored through the City Council's Housing Promotion Office, in conjunction with the Housing Commission of Puebla State.
4.11	Sudden ecological or geological events						
4.11.2	Earthquake	Selection criteria (IV)	This activity is latent on the site, so criterion IV would be affected in the event of an earthquake, such as the one that occurred on September 19th 2017.	Monitoring is constant by the involved authorities	it don't have a time period	Urban Management and Development Secretariat General Civil Protection Directorate Government Secretariat Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management INAH Puebla Centre (National Anthropology and History Institute, local centre) Owners, Possessor	no comment

Question not completed

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones				
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment
5.1.2	The boundaries of the World Heritage property are known by the management authority but are not known by local communities/landowners				
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	Work tables are generated between the dependencies involved for the definition of the buffer zone and its guidelines (heights)	The actions to have a buffer zone are projected in the medium term	Urban Management and Development Secretariat Municipal Planning Institute of Puebla Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management INAH Puebla Centre (National Anthropology and History Institute, local centre) Owners, Possessor	no comments
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.3	An adequate legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property exists but there are some deficiencies in implementation	gestores limitados en las acciones de construcción			

5.2.5	There is no legal framework for controlling use and activities in the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone	The process was generated: "Provisional suspension", which makes the Management and Urban Development Secretary generate the closures, suspensions, or corresponding actions	It's estimated to have an improvement in the monitoring of the site in the short term	Urban Management and Development Secretariat Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management INAH Puebla Centre (National Anthropology and History Institute, local centre)	no comments
5.2.6	There is acceptable capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property but some deficiencies of enforcement remain				
5.3	Management System/Management Plan				
5.3.7	No use has been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property	This year, there is a local Environment Secretariat, which will allocate part of its work to the study of government actions to that contribute to the mitigation of climate change on local scale.	The results of the actions are projected in the long term	Urban Management and Development Secretariat Environment Secretariat General Civil Protection Directorate Mobility and Municipal Infrastructure Secretariat	The authorities will deal to generate studies to identify future needs in the face of changes that, although to a lesser extent, are already observable on the site.
6.1	Funding				
6.1.4	Existing sources of funding are not secure	The Management through its Planning and Projects Directorate generates projects that respond to the needs of the site, this to contest and raise funds	The results of the actions are projected in the short, medium and long term	federal, state and local Government Historic Center and Cultural Heritage Management INAH Puebla Centre (National Anthropology and History Institute, local centre)	As the federal and local resources with which the site is maintained in conditions decrease over the years and the focus of each government, Management generates projects to raise funds through federal programs
6.1.7	Human resources partly meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Part of the needs of the site are met through the personnel of the different secretariats that shape the local government, additionally, at this time there are support staff such as interns and social service	The results of the actions are projected in the short, medium and long term	Local Government	Although having staff to attend to the site, it will be necessary that at some point to have operational personnel who constantly attend to damage that may arise.
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building				
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	The Management, in coordination with the Municipal Art and Culture Institute, generates heritage education activities and content for the entire municipality, which may have a greater scope in the future.	The results of the actions are projected in the medium and long term	Federal, state and local Government	To solve the lack of personnel for these heritage education activities, local authorities choose to have temporary employment programs, interns and social service, as well as allies of civil society
9	Visitor Management				
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	Due to the previous displacement of the locals and the arrival of new inhabitants, part of the knowledge of the site is lost, which means for the local government opts for the implementation of participatory heritage education programs	The results of the actions are projected in the medium and long term	Federal, state and local Government	These types of actions always need to have constant activity, also involving site visitors.

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property?

The Authenticity of the World Heritage property **has been preserved**

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property?

The Integrity of the World Heritage property **has been compromised** by factors described in this report

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been **maintained**.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The site has been compromised in its selection criteria IV due to the effects of the earthquakes of the years 1999 and 2017, in addition to the execution of works and the growth in the inside of the blocks without authorization on the built heritage. Likewise, the lack of maintenance of some buildings in localized areas, over time compromises the loss of representative elements of the site's architecture. On the other hand, selection criteria II has remained impetuous over time.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	No impact
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	No impact
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	No impact
Recognition	No impact
Education	No impact
Infrastructure development	Positive
Funding for the property	No impact
International cooperation	Positive
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive
Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	No impact
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	Not applicable
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	No impact
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	Positive
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts

Equity and social equality policies are followed up, as well as, likewise work is being done to integrate friendly infrastructure for users and the site itself. Institutional coordination is reinforced at all levels of government despite the different administrative times of each authority

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Among the actions and good practices that are implemented on the site, is the outreach program that generates itinerant spaces that contribute to socialization,

symbolic appropriation and citizen participation in urban improvement projects implemented by the local government, called: "Open house". Within this activity, collective mapping is generated to identify the needs in the territory. On the other hand, actions to raise awareness and integrate the inhabitants in heritage conservation are implemented through "Workshops for the care and conservation of heritage", in which the inhabitants are made aware of the value of the area, and practical activities are generated on the processes of property conservation.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

State of Conservation
Capacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value
Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	No follow-up

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage
Update of management plans
Fundraising
Awareness raising
Advocacy

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

The data obtained in this Cycle of reports will guide the management of the local Government before the other orders of government for the search and use of the human and financial resources that are available for the development and implementation of actions that improve the management and conservation of the site.

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage
Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff
Staff from other World Heritage properties
Local communities
Other specific groups
National of Statistic and Geography Institute, Mobility and Infrastructure Secretariat
External experts

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire?

Gender balance is explicitly considered and effectively implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire?

No

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

180 / 160 / 180 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources		✗
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training		✗

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

Most required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			X	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions			X	

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

The need to have an emphasis on an intermediate option is observed, since there is no opportunity to respond in a more balanced way, so as not to go to extremes in a response. Likewise, being able to count on the option of being able to upload supporting documentation to the platform.

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Poor
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Fair
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	No support
National Commission for UNESCO	No support
ICOMOS International	No support
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	No support
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Yes

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

The resources are sufficient, but since the time between reporting cycles is long (in practice it is almost 10 years), it is considered that there should be advance advice by region to improve the understanding and search for information for a better emptying of the data.

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

- **Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee**

Reason for update: It is necessary that the data reflected in the paragraphs that make up the "Protection and management requirements" section be updated since some Federal, State and Municipal entities have changed their name, attributions or organizational structure. Likewise, the programs and plans of this section, are 10 years behind, thus, it is necessary to include instruments such as "Partial Program for Sustainable Urban Development of the Historical Center of the municipality of Puebla in 2015"

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

We suggest, to be possible, a preliminary reflection can be issued of what is stated in this report to give greater follow-up to the weaknesses and strengths that this site presents. The above to request support from municipal, state and federal authorities to improve human and financial resources for the improvement of the site.

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.